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## AMERICANS CLAIM SENIORS TITLE



WBF President José Damiani, right, with Pat McDevitt and Rich DeMartino, winners of the Hiron Trophy Senior Pairs

Two veteran players from New England,ACBL President Rich DeMartino and Pat McDevitt, held onto the lead they forged after three sessions to win the Hiron Trophy Senior Pairs. Both have North American championships to their credit.
Three pairs events will end today. In the Generali Open, leaders Bobby Levin and Steve Weinstein took the lead and held it despite hot pursuit by Lixin Yang and Ban Xiang Zhang, who posted $65 \%$ to draw close. The Women's leaders with a session to go are Lynn Deas and Beth Palmer. Wolfe Thompson and Marc Zwerling are ahead in the IMP Pairs.
Holding a narrow lead in the World Mixed Swiss Teams are Steelers, an American team, just ahead of Hansen, a multi-national squad.
Also concluding today is the World Junior Championship for the Ortiz-Patino Trophy, with Israel leading France with one quarter to go. China defeated USA I for the bronze medal. The World Young-
sters Championship for the Damiani Cup has a set to go, with Poland leading England. The Netherlands won the bronze medal by defeating Israel.
World Youth Individual winners are Haakon Bogen, Juniors; Massimiliano Di Franco, Youngsters, and Andrew Jeng, Plate.
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## DISTRICT 3 <br> AUTUMN LEAF REGIONAL October 25-3I, 20IO <br> Fall Foliage and Bridge go together!

Danbury Hotel \& Conference Center<br>Danbury CT 203-794-0600

Bridge Rate: \$94
(Reservation Deadline: October II)
New: Golden Opportunity Pairs 0-750 MPs, Friday, Oct. 29
Bracketed KOs, Bracketed Round Robin Teams;
Swiss Teams
Senior Pairs; Stratified Open Pairs, Newplicate one-session games
For complete information: www.bridge-district3.org


## Correction

In the interview with WBF President José Damiani, there was a slight misunderstanding. Subject to confirmation, it was Mr. Castro from Cuba who congratulated Hu Jintao, President of the People's Republic of China on the victory of the China Ladies Team in the McConnell Cup.

## Badges Needed for Prize Giving

The Prize Giving and Closing Ceremony is on Saturday October 16th at 5 p.m. in the Grand Ballroom on the 5th floor of the Marriott Hotel for players who have registered to attend. Please note that you will need to show your badge to gain entry.

World Championship Book Philadelphia 2010


The official book of these championships in Philadelphia will be available in March 201I, when the official price will be US\$34 plus postage (\$35 from some suppliers). Advance orders can be made through Jan Swaan in the Press Room on the third floor at the discounted price of US\$30, with free postage and packing.
The principal writers will again be Brian Senior, John Carruthers, Barry Rigal and Geo Tislevoll. There will also be guest contributors including Donna Compton on the Mixed (likely with substantial input from Fulvio Fantoni).
There will be a full listing of all participants in world championship events, a full results service and many photographs. Coverage will include every deal from the final and semi-finals of the Rosenblum plus the pick of the action from the earlier stages and the other championship events.

## TODAY's SCHEDULE OF EVENTS



Mixed Swiss Teams
Final / Plate sessions 4, 5 at IO.00, $\mathbf{I} 2.00$
Ortiz-Patiño Trophy / Damiani Cup
Final session at $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{0 . 0 0}$
GENERALI World Open / Women's Pairs Final session 5 at $\mathbf{I O . 0 0}$

IMP Pairs
Final session 5 at $\mathbf{I O . 0 0}$
Youth Individual Contest
Final session 3 at $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{0 . 0 0}$

## WORLD MIXED SWISS TEAMS

| QUALIFIERS - Ranking after 7 sessions |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Hinze | 141 | 36 | Pin An | 105 |
| 2 | Hansa Narasimhan | 136 | 37 | Funbridge Girls FRA | 103 |
| 3 | Amigos | 134 |  | Isporski | 103 |
| 4 | Steelers | 130 | 39 | Harding | 102 |
| 5 | India Alizee | 129 | 40 | Ekeblad | 101 |
| 6 | Schwartz | 128 | 41 | Beijing Trinergy | 100 |
| 7 | De Botton | 125 |  | Cassini | 100 |
| 8 | Ida | 122 | 43 | Drunken Kangaroos | 99 |
|  | Payen | 122 |  | Horn Lake 6 | 99 |
|  | Very Mixed | 122 |  | Istanbul | 99 |
| 1 I | Kamras | 12 I |  | Willenken | 99 |
| 12 | Gordon | I I 8 | 47 | Allison | 97 |
|  | Hauge | 118 |  | Wheeler | 97 |
| 14 | Chagas | 117 | 49 | Gabrial UI | 96 |
|  | Hansen | 117 |  | Team 913 | 96 |
| 16 | Auken | II 5 | 51 | Djarum | 95 |
|  | Lay | II5 |  | Dulet | 95 |
|  | Nice Girls | II5 |  | France Girls | 95 |
| 19 | Cayne | II 4 | 54 | France Girls |  |
| 20 | Green | 113 | 54 | Levine | 93 |
|  | Stienen | 113 | 55 | Rasmussen | 92 |
| 22 | Frind | II 2 |  | Rayner | 92 |
| 23 | O'Rourke | I I I | 57 | Kahlenberg | 90 |
| 24 | Glasson | 110 | 58 | Fulton | 89 |
|  | Hargreaves | 110 | 59 | Hawkes | 88 |
| 26 | Cooper | 109 |  | Latins | 88 |
|  | Cushing | 109 | 61 | Feldman | 87 |
|  | Hennings | 109 |  | Giesler | 87 |
| 29 | Goldstein | 108 |  | Moscow | 87 |
|  | Karsiyaka | 108 | 64 | Meadow | 83 |
|  | Meltzer | 108 |  | Reedinger | 83 |
| 32 | Ferlema | 107 | 66 | Barrett | 81 |
| 33 | Berg | 106 |  | Solodar | 81 |
|  | Callaghan | 106 | 68 | Stober | 78 |
|  | Harris | 106 | 69 | Argemex | 66 |

## WORLD MIXED SWISS TEAMS

| FINAL - Ranking after 3 sessions |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Steelers | 190 | 13 | Cayne | 163 |
| 2 | Hansen | 188 | 14 | Amigos | 162 |
| 3 | Hinze | 187 | 15 | Lay | 161 |
| 4 | Hansa Narasimhan | 185 | 16 | Auken | 160 |
| 5 | Ida | 182 | 17 | Schwartz | 159 |
| 6 | India Alizee | 174 | 18 | Chagas | 157 |
| 7 | Hauge | 173 | 19 | Nice Girls | 156 |
| 8 | Kamras | 170 | 20 | Frind | 155 |
| 9 | Payen | 169 | 21 | Glasson | 152 |
| 10 | O'Rourke | 168 | 22 | Stienen | 149 |
| 11 | De Botton | 166 | 23 | Very Mixed | 144 |
| 12 | Gordon | 164 | 24 | Green | 141 |


| PLATE - Ranking after 3 sessions |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cushing | 166 | 19 | Beijing Trinergy | 142 |
| 2 | Pin An | 162 |  | Moscow | 142 |
| 3 | Callaghan | 161 | 21 | Reedinger | 141 |
| 4 | Meltzer | 159 | 22 | Kahlenberg | 140 |
| 5 | Goldstein | 158 | 23 | Djarum | 136 |
| 6 | Ekeblad | 155 |  | Rasmussen | 136 |
| 7 | Allison | 152 | 25 | Horn Lake 6 | 135 |
| 8 | Harding | 151 | 26 | Levine | 134 |
|  | Hargreaves | 151 | 27 | Dulet | 133 |
|  | Willenken | 151 | 28 | Cassini | 131 |
| 11 | Berg | 148 |  | Rayner | 131 |
|  | Ferlema | 148 | 30 | Gabrial UI | 129 |
|  | Harris | 148 | 31 | Argemex | 125 |
| 14 | Barrett | 147 | 32 | Latins | 123 |
| 15 | Hennings | 146 | 33 | Fulton | 116 |
|  | Wheeler | 146 | 34 | Stober | 114 |
| 17 | Isporski | 144 | 35 | Giesler | 112 |
| 18 | Hawkes | 143 | 36 | Meadow | 101 |

## GENERALI WORLD OPEN PAIRS

| Final Results after 4 sessions (subject to confirmation) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank Names | Result | 37 | Honey B PRABHAKAR - Rajeshwar TEWARI | 50.39 |
| I Bobby LEVIN - Steve WEINSTEIN | 57.34 | 38 | Cezary BALICKI - Jacek PSZCZOLA | 50.33 |
| 2 Lixin YANG - Ban Xiang ZHANG | 56.30 | 39 | Tor HELNESS - Danny SPRUNG | 50.22 |
| 3 Vladimir MIHOV - Jerry STAMATOV | 55.47 |  | Ashley BACH - Ishmael DELMONTE | 50.20 |
| 4 Fulvio FANTONI - Claudio NUNES | 54.81 |  | Bart BRAMLEY - Nikolay DEMIREV | 50.01 |
| 5 Josef PIEKAREK - Alexander SMIRNOV | 54.52 |  | David BAKHSHI - Tom TOWNSEND | 50.00 |
| 6 Tim COPE - Glen HOLMAN | 53.98 | 43 | Perry JOHNSON - Jeff MECKSTROTH | 49.68 |
| 7 John HURD - Joel WOOLDRIDGE | 53.85 |  | Michael POLOWAN - jacob MORGAN | 49.51 |
| 8 Bob HAMMAN - Mike PASSELL | 53.65 | 45 | Bill POLLACK - Jeff ROMAN | 49.27 |
| 9 Eric GRECO - Geoff HAMPSON | 53.63 | 46 | Janusz MAKARUK - Pawel NIEDZIELSKI | 48.97 |
| 10 Bjorn FALLENIUS - Peter FREDIN | 53.57 | 47 | Borislav POPOV - Stefan SKORCHEV | 48.89 |
| II Jan JANSMA - Gert Jan PAULISSEN | 53.39 | 48 | Franck MULTON - Pierre ZIMMERMANN | 48.73 |
| 12 Stephen BURGESS - Michael COURTNEY | 53.35 | 49 | Yury KHIUPPENEN - Vadim KHOLOMEEV | 48.63 |
| 13 Taufik Gautama ASBI - Robert PARASIAN | 52.77 | 50 | Alexander DUBININ - Andrey GROMOV | 48.60 |
| 14 Martin FLEISHER - Mike KAMIL | 52.57 |  | Curtis CHEEK - Joe GRUE | 48.59 |
| 15 Gheorghe SERPOI - Calin STIRBU | 52.47 |  | Joanna STANSBY - Lew STANSBY | 48.51 |
| 16 Ricco van PROOIJEN - Louk VERHEES JR | 52.21 | 53 | Karl GOHL - Neil KIMELMAN | 48.34 |
| 17 Marc BOMPIS - Jean-Christophe QUANTIN | 51.99 | 54 | Dominik FILIPOWICZ - Michal NOWOSADZKI | 48.25 |
| 18 Dawei CHEN - Kazuo FURUTA | 51.70 | 55 | Xu HOU - Miao SHI - Michal | 47.96 |
| 19 Tom HANLON - Hugh MCGANN | 51.67 | 5 | Kalin KARAIVANOV - Tony RUSEV | 47.64 |
| 20 Rui LI - Jiang TONG | 51.64 51.64 | 57 | Marian KUPNICKI - Leszek MAJDANSKI | 47.55 |
| 21 Jason FELDMAN - John KRANYAK <br> 22 Steve GARNER - Howard WEINSTEIN | 51.64 51.62 | 58 | Marius IONITA - Cornel TEODORESCU | 47.55 |
| 23 Zia MAHMOOD - Eric RODWELL | 51.17 | 59 | Ralph KATZ - Nick NICKELL | 47.44 |
| 24 Dominique PILON - Lionel SEBBANE | 51.15 | 60 | Fred STEWART - Kit WOOLSEY | 47.29 |
| 25 Jim FOSTER - Larry SEALY | 51.12 |  | Jie ZHAO - Zhong FU | 46.89 |
| 26 William JACOBS - Ben THOMPSON | 50.99 | 62 | Shane BLANCHARD - Brad MOSS | 46.85 |
| 27 John DIAMOND - Brian PLATNICK | 50.99 | 63 | Hailong AO - Jian-Jian WANG | 45.63 |
| 28 Krzysztof JASSEM - Krzysztof MARTENS | 50.95 | 64 | Sherif NOSHY - Ahmed YOUSRY | 45.61 |
| 29 Peter BOYD - Steve ROBINSON | 50.92 |  | Hemant LALL - Justin LALL | 45.53 |
| 30 Piotr GAWRYS - Piotr TUSZYNSKI | 50.90 | 66 | Piotr WALCZAK - Jan ZADROGA | 45.52 |
| 31 Brian GLUBOK - Philip GORDON | 50.77 | 67 | Doug DOUB - Adam WILDAVSKY | 45.36 |
| 32 Eldad GINOSSAR - Ron PACHTMAN | 50.73 | 68 | Sunit CHOKSHI - Subhash GUPTA | 45.20 |
| 33 Siu-Kau Samuel WAN - Derek ZEN | 50.73 |  | Xinli GAN - Qiang ZHANG | 44.96 |
| 34 Jouri KHOKHLOV - Georgi MATUSHKO | 50.61 |  | Pierre SAPORTA - Jean-Michel VOLDOIRE | 44.36 |
| 35 Boye BROGELAND - Gavin WOLPERT | 50.50 | 71 | Michael ROSENBERG - Warren SPECTOR | 44.35 |
| 36 Alexander ALLFREY - Andrew ROBSON | 50.50 |  | Yuliy CHUMAK - Oleg ROVYSHYN | 40.81 |

## GENERALI WORLD WOMEN's PAIRS

## Final Results after 4 sessions (subject to confirmation)

\left.| Rank | Names | Result | 19 | Aida SALDZIEVA - Betty SPEELMAN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\right] 49.88$

## IMP PAIRS

| Final Results after 4 sessions (subject to confirmation) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Names | Result | 37 | Isabella VARGAS DE ANDRADE - Stanley BARG | -166.0 |
| 1 | Wolfe THOMPSON - Marc ZWERLING | 4678.0 | 38 | Alon APTEKER - Craig GOWER | -180.0 |
| 2 | Joao-Paulo CAMPOS - Miguel VILLAS-BOAS | 4099.0 | 39 | Dario ATTANASIO - Guiseppe FAILLA | -218.0 |
| 3 | Kelley HWANG - John ZILIC | 3891.0 |  | Philippe SOULET - Maurice TCHENIO | -235.0 |
| 4 | Thomas CHARLSEN - Thor Erik HOFTANISKA | 3749.0 |  | Mehmet GUNEL - Naci YUKSEL | -260.0 |
| 5 | A GREENBERG - Billy MILLER | 3746.0 |  | Andrew HOSKINS - Jason ROSENFELD | -342.0 |
| 6 | Waldemar FRUKACZ - Krzysztof KOT | Z3506.0 |  | Masayuki INO - Tadashi TERAMOTO | -356.0 |
| 7 | Michal KOPECKY - Josef KURKA | 3316.0 |  | Shireen MOHANDES - Andy BOWLES | -385.0 |
| 8 | Julien GAVIARD - Juan Carlos VENTIN | 3290.0 | 45 | Steve BEATTY - Ronald GERARD | -392.5 |
| 9 | Gary COHLER - Eric ROBINSON | 3073.0 |  | Maija ROMANOVSKA - Karlis RUBINS | -449.0 |
| 10 | Willem van EIJCK - Nicolas HAMMOND | 3000.0 |  | Rajeev GUPTA - Joyjit SENSARMA | -490.0 |
| 11 | Boguslaw GIERULSKI - Jerzy SKRZYPCZAK | 2939.5 |  | Craig GANZER - R POPPER | -753.0 |
| 12 | Judith GARTAGANIS - Nicholas GARTAGANIS | 2906.0 | 49 | Chuck BURGER - Dennis KASLE | -830.5 |
| 13 | Daniel WILDERMAN - Kenneth ZUCKERBERG | 2418.0 | 50 | R Jay BECKER - Robert SARTORIUS | -888.5 |
| 14 | Ricardo ANGELERI - Marcelo VILLEGAS | 2037.0 |  | Anna ST CLAIR - Dee HARLEY | -1019.0 |
| 15 | Andrew ROSENTHAL - Aaron SILVERSTEIN | 1317.0 | 52 | Volodymyr DANYLYUK - Vladimir PORHUN | - 1180.1 |
| 16 | Serge BERGHEIMER - Jean-Claude FOUASSIER | 1228.0 |  | Karen Lee BARRETT - Carol Ann CLIFFORD | - 1356.0 |
| 17 | Meng KANG - Shaolin SUN | 1219.0 | 54 | David WALKER - Kevin WILSON | -1452.0 |
| 18 | J BRYANT - Mark LAIR | 1207.0 |  | Manol ILIEV - Stefan STEFANOV | - I562.0 |
| 19 | Bruce FERGUSON - Robert HOLLMAN | 1074.5 |  | Jiang GU - Xiaodong SHI | - 1572.0 |
| 20 | Bobby JONES - Jim KREKORIAN | 912.0 |  | Gordon CAMPBELL - Piotr KLIMOWICZ |  |
| 21 | Onno ESKES - Vincent KROES | 911.0 | 58 | FINSTOCK | $\begin{aligned} & -1684.0 \\ & -17720 \end{aligned}$ |
| 22 | Kiran NADAR - Bachiraju SATYANARAYANA | 826.0 | 59 | Radu MIHAI - PaulWEINSTOCK <br> Richard COREN - Barnet J SHENKIN | $\begin{aligned} & -1772.0 \\ & \text {-I9I7.0 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 23 | Harley BRESS - Garth YETTICK | 700.0 | 60 | Richard COREN - Barnet J SHENKIN Richard MORGEN - Andy MUENZ | $\begin{aligned} & -1917.0 \\ & -2119.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 24 | Adi KALIANIWALA - B WADIA | 686.0 |  | Richard MORGEN - Andy MUENZ Claire TORNAY - George TORNAY | $\begin{aligned} & -2119.0 \\ & -2275.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 25 | Jaroslaw CIESLAK - Piotr ZAK | 618.0 |  | Claire TORNAY - George TORNAY <br> Adam PARRISH - Randall RUBINSTEIN | $\begin{array}{r} -2275.0 \\ 21210 \end{array}$ |
| 26 | Ahmed HUSSEIN - Apolinary KOWALSKI | 481.0 | 62 | Adam PARRISH - Randall RUBINSTEIN | -2434.0 |
| 27 | Peter BERTHEAU - Gunnar HALLBERG | 310.0 | 63 | Irving LITVACK - William F. Eldon TUCKER | -2490.0 |
| 28 | Bob ETTER - Bob MORRIS | 274.0 | 64 | Maurice DE LA SALLE - Michael YUEN | -2564.0 |
| 29 | Barry SPECTOR - Randy THOMPSON | 247.0 | 65 | Makiko SATO - Kyoko SHIMAMURA | -2710.5 |
| 30 | Morten BILDE - Jorgen HANSEN | 242.0 | 66 | Stanley DUB - Greg MICHAELS | -2816.0 |
| 31 | Roberto BARBOSA - Paulo Roberto BRUM | 231.0 |  | Sally CLARK - Robin TAYLOR | -3040.0 |
| 32 | Ralph BUCHALTER - Alexander ORNSTEIN | 134.0 | 68 | Catherine CAPLAN - Paul CAPLAN | -3178.0 |
| 33 | Alex GIPSON - Paul GIPSON | 68.0 | 69 | Robert HEITZMAN - Stan TULIN | -3408.0 |
| 34 | Kaustubh BENDRE - Sandeep THAKRAL | -26.0 | 70 | Samuel IEONG - Pedro Leonel loklon IEONG | -3603.0 |
| 35 | Mckenzie MYERS - Robert TODD | -62.0 |  | Ender AKSUYEK - Marco TER LAARE | -4357.0 |
| 36 | Alejandro BIANCHEDI - Ernesto MUZZIO | - 117.0 |  | Maritha POTTENGER - Judy RIMER | -4527.0 |

## HIRON TROPHY SENIOR PAIRS

## Final Results after 4 sessions (subject to confirmation)

| Rank | Names | Result | I4 | Lew FINKEL - Dan GERSTMAN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## New WBF President Embraces Challenges by Brent Manley

At last year's world championships in Sao Paulo, Brazil, Gianarrigo Rona was elected president of the World Bridge Federation. The plan was for him to take over at the tournament in Philadelphia. He will go from president-elect to president tomorrow.
Rona's career as a bridge administrator has left him well prepared for his new job. He has held many posts, notably president of the Italian Bridge Federation and of the European Bridge League. Since his election as WBF president, he has been making plans, appointing committees and thinking about the enormous responsibilities of his new position.
There is much work to do, he acknowledges, but he is confident that he has assembled a good group to face the challenges to come. In a memo to the WBF board, Rona wrote:"I am convinced that we will be a great team and will achieve the best for bridge in the world."
During the past year, Rona has asked for opinions from various committees, and he has established a new one the Youth Committee, chaired by Ata Aydin, former president of the Turkish Bridge Federation.
Clearly, Rona intends to focus on recruiting more young players to the game, and he has ideas for making that happen.
One of them is to establish a fund that would provide grants for smaller federations to assist them with training bridge teachers, who are on the front lines of recruiting younger players.
He would also use some of the funds to help increase the experience and professionalism of tournament directors. Both teachers and TDs, he says, could benefit by attending training seminars, for which the fund could help.
Rona's new Teaching Committee is charged with preparing documents to help smaller countries and NBOs to help teach teachers of bridge. "I want to have a cadre of teachers for bridge teachers," he says.
Many small federations, he says, are eager to promote bridge "but they don't have a chance to grow and learn, and they don't have the knowledge."
Rona says he plans to propose the establishment of the
fund to the WBF board of directors.
The key, he adds, is getting bridge into schools, and he plans to continue the efforts begun by his predecessor, José Damiani.
Rona's goal is "to get the message out that, for young people, bridge is much more than a card game. It teaches you valuable life lessons, logic, discipline, cooperation, making decisions - there is much young people can learn from bridge."
Many adults and school officials already realize the benefits of bridge, Rona says, noting that he has seen reports from schools that their bridge-playing students do better overall than those who don't play the game.
Once youngsters start to play in school, he says, they will naturally talk about the game with their peers and recruit others to the game.
Another of Rona's focuses will be improving the events at championships, attending to the needs of all players.
Rona is in accord with Damiani about the idea for having web cams at every table in the World Bridge Championships next year in Eindhoven, Netherlands. In fact, says Rona, the University of Eindhoven developed the web cams and is working on the program now.
With hookups to the Internet, having a web cam at each table will be like having 50 vugraphs at home.
"My credo," says Rona, "is to organize the championships for the players. We have a high-level of organization, but we have to continue to introduce new ideas to be better and better."
Rona is passionate about the game, and not just from the organizational viewpoint. He remembers back to his days as a toddler, overhearing his parents playing bridge. "It is not only a game, it is a discipline, and you can play it for a long time."
When Rona was elected last year in Sao Paulo, Brazil, he was given a strong endorsement by Damiani, who expressed the view that the right choice was made for his successor. Of Rona, Damiani said, "He is a very good bridge lover. He knows how to promote bridge."

## Spectacular Highlights <br> by Brent Manley

On Friday morning, Zia Mahmood and Eric Rodwell were lying 38th in the field of 72 in the Generali Open Pairs and were hopeful of a big game in session three to put them in contention. It didn't happen - they were about average - but they did have their moments.
This was the first.
Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

| - J 82 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 108432 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 9$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q Q 76 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - - | N |  |  | -1097653 |  |
| $\bigcirc 6$ | W E |  |  | $\bigcirc 95$ |  |
| $\diamond$ AKQ |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| \& AKJ5 |  | S |  | -104 |  |
|  | , AKQ 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKJ 7 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 6$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | ¢ 9832 |  |  |  |  |
| West | North |  | Eas |  | South |
| Zia |  |  | Rodwell |  |  |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass |  | RodwellPass |  | Dble |
| Pass (!) | 18 |  | $1{ }^{1}$ |  | 38 |
| 49 (!!) | 5 |  | Pass |  | Pass |
| 54 (!!!) | Pass |  | Pass |  | Dble |
| $6\rangle$ | Pass |  | Pass |  | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |  |  |

Barry Rigal points out that in Zia's autobiography Bridge My Way - there is a passage about a player known as Godzilla, who was his parter in an auction much like the one Zia perpetrated against Louk Verhees (South) and Ricco van Prooijen.


When van Prooijen led a low club against the doubled slam, Zia put up dummy's 10 , and when the queen fell under his king, he had an overtrick for plus 1190 and 69 of the 70 matchpoints.
Later, Rodwell earned a fine score without bamboozling anyone. He did it by accurate reading of the cards.

Board I6. Dealer West. E/W Vul.

- 652
- J 1075
$\diamond 1432$
- 103
- K Q 103
- K 64
$\checkmark 976$
-984

| West | North | East <br> Rodwell | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zass | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 2 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass | $3 \mathbf{R}^{*}$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |


| West | North | East <br> Zia |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rodwell |  |  |  | South



A 98
A 932
$\diamond$ A 105
\& KJ 5

- J 74

Q Q 8
$\diamond \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}} 8$

* A Q 762

Zia's 2s asked whether Rodwell was minimum, and 3s indicated he was not, so Zia bid the game.
South led a low club to the 4, IO and jack. Rodwell played the 49 to dummy's king and called for the 84 . When North followed with the 5, Rodwell played the 3, knowing his left-hand opponent was going to have to win the trick.
South thought for a moment and played the $\triangle Q$ and continued with the 98 . Rodwell won in dummy and played a diamond to his 10 and West's queen. West exited with a spade, taken by Rodwell with the ace. Rodwell cashed the $\bigcirc \mathrm{A}$, and when he cashed two more spade tricks, West erred by discarding a diamond.
Rodwell then played the $\diamond 7$ from dummy, and when North followed low, Rodwell considered his play for a long time before playing the 5 . South was down to the singleton $\diamond K$ and his clubs, so he was forced to concede Rodwell's ninth trick in the club suit. That was worth 63 matchpoints.

## Not To Be Denied

by Phillip Alder

The official score at the start of the last 16 boards in the McConnell Cup was China 75 Netherlands 6l. However, this was incorrect because of the $4-\mathrm{IMP}$ score correction on Board 33. For some reason, the director's ruling never made it to the scoring department. But in this article, we are going to assume the correction was relayed.
And there was "trouble" on the first board of this session.

Board 49. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \& K \text { Q } 963 \\ & \vee 10 \\ & \diamond \text { J } 1074 \\ & \& \text { JJ } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - A 42 | N | -1075 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 52 |  | ¢KJ7643 |
| $\checkmark$ Q 83 |  | $\checkmark 9$ |
| \& Q 654 | S | ¢ K 87 |
|  | - J 8 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 98 |  |
|  | $\triangleleft$ AK 652 |  |
|  | - 1092 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simons | Lu | Pasman | Gu |
|  | $1{ }_{1}$ | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | 31 | Pass | 4. |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wang | Verbeek | Sun | van Zwol |
|  | $1{ }_{1}$ | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3\% | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 31 | Pass | $5 \diamond$ |

All Pass
Against 4@, Jet Pasman (East) led her singleton diamond. Declarer won with dummy's ace, took the next trick with the $\mathbf{~}$, and played another trump. Anneke Simons (West) rose with her ace and shifted to a low club, ducked to East's king. The club return went to declarer's jack. Lu Yan drew the missing trumps and gave up a diamond to make her contract.

In $5 \diamond$, Wietske van Zwol (South) won the first trick with her $\vee \mathrm{A}$, ruffed a heart in the dummy, played a trump to her ace, ruffed her last heart, led a trump to her king, and drove out the $\$$. Wang Hongli (West) shifted to a club, but declarer won with dummy's ace and cashed spades, getting both of her club losers away before West could ruff in.
Plus 420 and minus 400 gave China I IMP on the board. What was the trouble? The Bridge Base Online broadcast and the World Bridge Federation scorecard have the Netherlands gaining 6 IMPs because supposedly Lu and Gu played in 3 and won only nine tricks. But their auction was game-forcing, so that is impossible. And if you play through the deal, you will see that North lost only one spade, one diamond and one club. (The Chinese Our Game broadcast has Lu in 4s and winning ten tricks.)
So, the correct score was now China 72 Netherlands 61.

China gained 5 IMPs on the next board for more accurate partscore bidding. Then came:


Yan Lu, China

Board 5I. Dealer South. East-West Vul.

- A 98
- J 9
$\diamond$ K Q 10975
\& K 9

\& QJ 62
คA643
$\diamond-$
- 10742
- 1043
- K Q 852
$\Delta A$
A 653

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simons | Lu | Pasman | Gu |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| Pass | 2 - | Pass | 28 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 30 |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | 48 | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wang | Verbeek | Sun | van Zwol |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 28 |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Against $4 \rrbracket$, West led the 5 . Declarer won with dummy's ace and called a diamond. Mysteriously and fatally, East discarded a spade. South won with her ace, played a club to dummy's king, and led the $\forall \mathrm{K}$, ruffed and overruffed. After the e A and a club ruff, East did not ruff the $\diamond$ Q (not that it mattered), allowing declarer to throw a spade. Declarer ruffed the next diamond, ruffed another club in the dummy and lost only one spade, one heart and one club.


West also led a spade against 3NT. Declarer took the third round, played a diamond to her ace, and led a heart to dummy's jack. East won with her ace, cashed the Q , and shifted to a club. South took West's queen with dummy's king and cashed the $\triangleleft K$ to give this position:

|  | - - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 9$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 109 |  |
|  | -9 |  |
| - - | N | - - |
| $\bigcirc 10$ |  | $\bigcirc 643$ |
| $\diamond 186$ |  | $\checkmark$ - |
| -8 | S | \& J 10 |
|  | - - |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q 85 |  |
|  | $\diamond-$ |  |
|  | \% A |  |

On the $\diamond$ Q, East discarded the $\nabla 3$. Now declarer started thinking. She knew West had begun with either $3=2=6=2$ or $3=1=6=3$ distribution - but which?
Eventually van Zwol bravely ran dummy's 89 , but it lost to the ten and West cashed the $\diamond J$ for down two.
Plus 420 and plus 100 gave China II IMPs and a lead of 27.

That deal is the sort on which championships rest. The game that can be defeated isn't, and the game that can make doesn't. If the Dutch had done everything right, the swing would have been 10 the other way and the margin only 6.
China gained 2 more IMPs over the next four boards, then came a huge result:

Board 56. Dealer West. None Vul.

|  | - K642 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AQ 94 |  |
|  | $\diamond 106$ |  |
|  | - A 97 |  |
| Q Q 1085 | N | - J 97 |
| $\bigcirc 52$ |  | $\bigcirc 108$ |
| $\checkmark$ KJ 83 | W E | $\diamond$ A Q 742 |
| -1082 | S | \& KJ4 |
|  | - A 3 |  |
|  | -KJ763 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 95$ |  |
|  | 2 Q 653 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Simons | $L u$ | Pasman | $G u$ |
| Pass | $1 \diamond(I)$ | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | $2 \boxtimes$ | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | $4 》$ | All Pass |  |

(I) Precision with at least zero diamonds!

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wang | Verbeek | Sun | van Zwol |
| Pass | 1\% | $1 \diamond$ | Dble (1) |
| $18(2)$ | 28 | Pass | 30 |
| Pass | 48 | Pass | 49 (3) |
| Pass | 5\% (3) | Dble | Pass |
| Pass | Redble | All Pass |  |

(I) Four or five hearts
(2) In principle, a good diamond raise
(3) Control-bids (cue-bids)

The Chinese auction in the Open Room was sensible and declarer had no trouble holding her losses to two diamonds and one club.
Why did van Zwol move over 4 8 ? Then she clearly took partner's redouble as suggesting they play there, given her earlier 3 rebid. But of course by then it did not matter that much.
Declarer, not willing to gamble on a 3-3 club break, lost two diamonds and two clubs for down two.
Plus 420 and plus 600 gave China 14 IMPs and the lead by 43 . But even if Verbeek had gone down one in $5 \gtrdot$, China would still have gained 10 IMPs.
Things did not get better for the Netherlands. Pasman was caught speeding in 2 doubled to lose 8 IMPs. And on the following board Pasman went down in a 4s she should have made to lose another II IMPs.
A small Dutch rally started, with Verbeek and van Zwol defending correctly to beat a 3NT that Pasman made. But it was too little too late.
The final score was China I3I Netherlands 84.
This was a second consecutive women's world knockout team title for China, and to show the strength in depth, only Sun Ming and Wang Hongli played last year in Sao Paulo.


## Last Chance Saloon

by Mark Horton

Having completed I29 of I30 deals in the semi final of the Generali Open Pairs Championship, Patrick Jourdain still had no story worthy of publication.
This was his final opportunity:
Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

|  | - 109832 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢KQJ 62 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q |  |
|  | - 42 |  |
| - Q 654 | N | - AJ7 |
| $\bigcirc 854$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A 9 |
| $\diamond$ J 5 |  | $\diamond$ K 98762 |
| - J 107 | S | \& AK |
|  | , K |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 1073$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 104 |  |
|  | \& Q 98653 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kendrick | Matushko | Jourdain | Khokhlov |
| Pass | Pass | I $\diamond$ | Pass |
| IS | Pass | 2NT | All Pass |

With North having no helpful gadget available, East/West had a free run. East might have rebid 3NT, but felt his diamonds were not really good enough.
South led a club and declarer won with the king.
He took his only chance by leading the king of diamonds and was pleased to see the queen appear on his right.A low diamond went to dummy's jack and declarer tried dummy's queen of spades. When that drew no reaction from North he went up with the ace, felling the king. Going back to diamonds gave him 10 tricks and an $85 \%$ score.
Over a late-night drink I presented it to Tacchi as a play problem. He also played the king of diamonds followed by a low diamond, but when South ducked he simply cleared the diamonds. Now when he cashed the rest of the suit North would no doubt part with a couple of spades giving declarer no less than 12 tricks. (Notice that if North's hearts were headed by the KQJIO then the squeeze would be automatic.)
Another set-back for the Unlucky Expert from Wales!

## Bid or Play - Your Choice <br> by David Stern

This interesting deal came up in the third segment of the Rosenblum Cup Round of 16. Decide whether you would prefer to play or defend $6 \%$ by South.

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.

- 3

ค K 6
$\diamond$ A Q 32


My first instinct looking at the board was that there may be a Morton's Fork situation.
The Morton's Fork Coup is a manoeuvre by which declarer presents a defender with a choice of taking a trick cheaply, or ducking to preserve an honour combination, either decision costing the defence a trick. If the defender wins the trick, he sets up an extra high card in the suit. If he ducks, his winner disappears because declarer has a discard possibility.
The name is derived from an episode in English history. Cardinal Morton, Chancellor under King Henry VII, habitually extracted taxes from wealthy London merchants for the royal treasury. His approach was that if the merchants lived ostentatiously, they obviously had sufficient income to spare for the king. Alternatively, if they lived frugally, they must have substantial savings and could therefore afford to contribute to the king's coffers. In either case they were impaled on "Morton's Fork".
In the hand in question if declarer plays a low heart from dummy then:
I.If East rises with the $\vee \mathrm{A}$, declarer will be allowed to score both the 8 K and $\bigcirc \mathrm{Q}$, allowing declarer to score twelve tricks via 2-2-2 (finesse) and 6=12.
2.If East ducks the 8 A then declarer can play the $A$ and @ K, pitching dummy's losing heart
But a closer inspection shows that if East does in fact duck declarer will be one trick short 2-I-2-6. Had the
trumps been 2-2, declarer could score a twelfth trick via a diamond ruff.
So back to the drawing board for more evaluation. The answer is that declarer will make $6 \%$ on any lead other than a spade and here is how. On a heart lead to the ten and queen declarer can cash his tricks and come to this position:


When North plays the club, East has a problem. If he pitches a heart, South throws the 9 and plays the 8 K from dummy to set up the $\vee 9$ as his twelfth trick. If East throws a spade, declarer simply throws a heart and scores the $\mathbf{~ A K 9 ~ t o ~ m a k e ~ h i s ~ c o n t r a c t . ~}$
On a spade lead, however, the squeeze is broken up as declarer will have no entry to the South hand in this position with East, South and West to discard:


East simply discards the 1 and whatever South discards the defence will survive. If South discards the and

West the $\triangleleft 8$, a diamond from dummy will lead to immediate defeat, whereas a heart will see the defence score two heart tricks. If on the other hand declarer pitches a heart then he will reach the following position:


Again a diamond will lead to instant defeat. If a low heart is played, East simply rises and puts declarer back into the North hand with another heart. If declarer tries the 8 K from dummy, East simply ducks and the defence will prevail.
So if you have been able to follow me all the way through the hand the answer is play on anything but a spade lead. Note that if West holds any of the $\stackrel{Q}{\mathrm{Q}}$ or or 10 the hand will always fail.

## Championship Diary



Around midnight on Wednesday evening the hotel's fire alarm went off for the umpteenth time (no doubt yet again a smoker had walked within 25 feet of the front door). Risking life and limb (and being on the 23rd floor) I decided to remain in my room, ignoring the advice to make my way to a fire exit and not use the elevators. I turned on the TV - and discovered the late night movie was The Towering Inferno.

Here's a joke Tacchi found on the Internet:
What did the fish say when it ran into the concrete wall?
Dam.
If you are a Baseball fan, don't miss the hilarious video on YouTube featuring Ron Rivera and the worst base running ever:
www.youtube.com/watch?v=dZ94rkwdTvQ

# Jacks Step Aside 

by Brent Manley

This deal is repeated from Daily Bulletin/4 because it was analysed incorrectly in that issue.
On this deal from the third qualifying session of the IMP Pairs, Steve Hamaoui of Venezuela skilfully got a jack out of the way at just the right time. His opponent had reason to regret not doing the same.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.


Hamaoui opened INT as North and was raised to 3NT. East led the 4 to the 5 , king and ace. Hamaoui cashed the K and played the 10 , ducking when East showed out, pitching a heart. West took the and played the 9 K , ducked by Hamaoui, who played the §J from dummy, un- $^{\text {f }}$ blocking. West might have done better to switch to the $\diamond I 0$, but he persisted in hearts. Hamaoui took the 810 and cashed the ace, as East discarded a diamond.
Now Hamaoui played a low diamond from hand, winning the queen in dummy. He cashed the and East was caught. If he discarded his $\rangle$ J, Hamaoui could cash the © and exit with a diamond to the bare ace, forcing East to lead into the $Q$. The $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ would be declarer's ninth trick.
If East discarded a spade, Hamaoui could still cash the spade and play a diamond. East would win the two diamonds but would still be endplayed in the spade suit, giving Hamaoui enough spade tricks for his contract.
The key play, as noted by Fernando Lema, was for East to play the $\diamond$ J on the first lead of the suit. If he did so, declarer would not have been able to come up with the endplay he found. Declarer could not overtake the without conceding the setting tricks, and if he cashed the - and led a diamond, West's $\vee 109$ would assure that he would gain the lead sooner or later to cash the setting tricks.

## Dropping in for Matchpoints <br> by Phillip Alder

For the first of the final five sessions of the Generali Open Pairs I decided to watch a surprising pair using an even more surprising system: Bob Hamman (entering the event after playing in the Rosenblum) and Mike Passell playing Precision Club!
However, they did not have a lot of constructive bidding to do.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.


I am guessing that Passell was one of the few players not to bid with his hand.
Against $4 \Upsilon$, South guessed to lead the A . He continued with a second club, covered by the jack, queen and king. Tor Helness drew two rounds of trumps with his ace and dummy's queen, then took two top spades, ruffed a spade in the dummy, and called for a club. Hamman ruffed to hold the contract to II tricks.
Minus 450 gave North-South only 12 matchpoints out of 70. Probably at several tables South overcalled 2», West made a negative double, and East rebid 4 $\diamond$, so West became the declarer. With a low diamond lead, West has to guess well to get that overtrick. Yes, 12 tricks can be made, but no one is going to finesse the 10 on the first round of the suit.

You pick up

- 1054
Q J
843
ゝJ64 Q 7.

Partner opens 2NT. Would you just raise to 3NT because it is matchpoints, or transfer?
Helness thought for some time, then transferred.Afterward he said that he would have bid 3NT with an extra queen.
On this deal, though, 3NT was the winner:
Board 2. Dealer East. North-South Vul.

|  | $\text { A } 8763$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark 853$ |  |
|  | 2) 652 |  |
| - KQ 9 | N | -1054 |
| ¢K96 |  | Q QJ 843 |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 10 |  | $\checkmark$ J 64 |
| \& AK94 | S | - Q 7 |
|  | - J 2 |  |
|  | - A 752 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 972 |  |
|  | -1083 |  |

Plus 450 gave East-West only 21 matchpoints; plus 460 would have been worth 49.
Now Hamman and Passell moved to East-West.
On the first board of the second round, the dealer, South, opened $2 \diamond$ Multi holding:

```
& & K 10854 & Q Q 105 32
```

Responder inquired, opener showed a minimum weak two in hearts, and responder signed off in 3 .
This went down one, but Hamman and Passell scored only 27 matchpoints. When South passed, it was easy for East-West to get into the auction and they were cold for $4 \diamond$. Fourteen East-West pairs made diamond contracts. You hold:
4 4 〇3 A95432

- J 10543

You are dealer with everybody vulnerable. The auction goes like this:


| West <br> You | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | 4 | All Pass |  |

What would you lead?
Although there is a common saying about not leading a side-suit singleton with only one trump, Hamman chose the $\odot 3$. One example proves nothing, but it did not work well when this was the full deal:

| Board 4. Dealer West. Both Vul. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| - 9862 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AK 6 |  |
| $\diamond$ Q 8 |  |
| \& Q 976 |  |
| - 4 | N - J53 |
| $\bigcirc 3$ | W E QJ54 |
| $\diamond$ A 95432 | W E $\quad \diamond$ K 1076 |
| \& J 10543 | $S$ - 82 |
| - AKQ 107 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 109872 |  |
| $\diamond$ J |  |
| * K |  |

Cornel Teodorescu from Romania (South) won with dummy's ace, drew trumps, and claimed 12 tricks. This gave East-West only 15 matchpoints.
The $\diamond \mathbf{A}$ lead would have worked because even if West had continued the suit, declarer could not have discarded all four of dummy's clubs. The lead would surely also have been successful because East would have had no reason to duck his ace or to play his partner for a singleton club. But part of the credit for the good North-South result must go to Marius lonita for his 4@ raise. He judged partner would have a full-value opening bid and it might pay not to give the defense extra information about his hand.
You hold

$$
\text { \& K J } 975 \text { 〇82 } \diamond \text { K } 9872 \text { - }
$$

Partner opens INT, I4-I6 points. What would be your plan?
You might transfer into 4s via a $4 \oslash$ Texas transfer; you might transfer into spades at the two-level, then show your diamonds; you might transfer into spades at the two-level, then make a 4e splinter bid; or you might do what Passell did: transfer into spades at the two-level, then jump to 4 as a slam-try.

Either of the last two work well, especially the splinter sequence, because partner has:

$$
\text { A42 } \vee \mathrm{AJ} 1076 \diamond \text { AQ } 1096 .
$$

Over a club splinter, he will force to slam; over Passell's sequence, Hamman just jumped to 61. He got the 9 K lead, which helped, but diamonds were 3 -3, so he was always going to take 12 tricks. Plus 980 was worth 53 matchpoints.

Board 6. Dealer East. East-West Vul.

(I) Precision

Sherif Noshy from Egypt (South) led the 3 . Ahmed Yousry (North) won with his queen and shifted to the $\triangleleft$ J. South took East's king with his ace and returned a spade. North won with his ace and led another diamond. Hamman won with his queen, cashed dummy's top clubs discarding his $\boldsymbol{\$}$, ruffed a club, cashed the $8 \mathbf{A}$, and played another heart.
Hamman knew the odds were to finesse, but if the finesse lost, a diamond back would tap the dummy and he would also lose a trick to the $8 / 10$. So declarer put up dummy's king and dropped North's queen. Now Hamman had the rest. Plus 170 gained 56 matchpoints.
The boot was on the other foot in the next deal.


Board 7. Dealer South. Both Vul.

- Q 10742
- A 63
$\diamond$ Q 105
* AJ
. K
$\bigcirc 105$
$\diamond$ AJ 4
\& K 986532

| N | - 63 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 87$ |
| W E | $\diamond$ K98762 |
| S | \& 1074 |
| - AJ985 |  |
| ¢KQJ942 |  |
| $\diamond 3$ |  |
| * Q |  |


| West | North | East | South <br> Passell |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hurd | Hamman |  |  |
| Wooldridge |  |  |  |
| He |  |  | 18 |
| Dass | $4 N T(1)$ | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | 50 | All Pass | 5 (2) |

(I) Roman Key Card Blackwood
(2) One key card

Perhaps Passell should have led the $\diamond A$, but he actually chose a club. Declarer took the trick with his queen and cashed the A . When the king dropped, he claimed all of the tricks and took 49 of the 70 matchpoints.
The next deal was an average, Hamman making 49 exactly. Then

Board 9. Dealer North. East-West Vul.

|  | - QJ 763 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AJ9 7 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 2$ |  |  |
|  | * K Q 3 |  |  |
| AK 109 | N |  | - 82 |
| $\bigcirc 1063$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ KQ 107 |  | $\checkmark$ AJ 6 |  |
| - 4 | - 5 |  | 109752 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ KQ 85 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 98543$ |  |  |
|  | \% 86 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Passell | S. Weinstein | Hamman | Levin |
|  | $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass | INT (1) |
| Pass | 2 | All Pass |  |
| () Forcing |  |  |  |

East started the defense with the ace and another club. Steve Weinstein won with his king and led his diamond, East putting up his jack, but West overtaking with the queen and shifting to a trump. North took that with dummy's eight and called for a spade. West went in with his ace and led another trump. To hold declarer to eight tricks, West had to play a diamond now, which was very hard to see. North won in the dummy and played a spade, West ducking and North winning with his queen. After a spade ruff, declarer overtook dummy's last trump with his ace and conceded a spade. North had the last three tricks with his trump, a high spade and the Q .
Plus 140 gave North-South 59 matchpoints.
On Board 10 Hamman made normal plays, misguessing everything, to go down two in INT and collect only 16 matchpoints.
Hamman made $3 \diamond$ on Board II for 45 matchpoints. Then Hamman took II tricks in $3 \oslash$ for 33 matchpoints. And Nick Nickell played carefully to take eight tricks in 24 and gain 44 matchpoints. Nickell played too quickly on this deal, though. What would you have done? (The deal has been rotated to make South the declarer.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Dealer West. None Vul. } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { A Q J } 7 \\
>8 \\
\diamond \text { J } 9 \\
\text { AJ } 7532
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$W^{N} \quad \mathrm{E}$

- K 42
$\bigcirc$ A Q 104
$\diamond A$ Q 1073
- 10

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hamman | Katz | Passell | Nickell |
| Pass | 12 | $1 \otimes$ | 2 |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

You get the $\wp 2$ lead, covered by the $8, \mathrm{~K}$ and A . How would you continue?
While you are thinking about that, Hamman and Passell next faced a Polish pair next, Janusz Makaruk and Pawel Niedzielski. On the first board, Passell led fourth-highest from his longest and strongest (A-J-9-4-3) against 3NT,
but it conceded a second overtrick, giving the Poles 47 matchpoints. The second deal, though, was another interesting declarer-play problem. (Again, the deal has been rotated.)

(I) Polish Club: usually a weak notrump, but might be a strong hand
West leads the 2 . What would you do?
Now back to Nick Nickell's 3NT.This was the full deal:
Dealer West. None Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \text { A Q J } 7 \\
& \vee 8 \\
& \diamond \text { J } 9 \\
& \& \text { AJ } 7532
\end{aligned}
$$

| -985 | N | -1063 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 652$ |  | ¢KJ973 |
| $\checkmark 6542$ | W E | $\diamond$ K 8 |
| \& 964 | S | 9 K Q 8 |
|  | ¢ K 42 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A Q 104 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A Q 1073 |  |
|  | \& 10 |  |

Assuming the diamond finesse was winning, Nickell had 12 top tricks. To get all 13 he needed a squeeze. And that squeeze was available because East had the K-Q. But communications were a tad tenuous.

Nickell played a spade to dummy's jack and called for the $\diamond$ J, covered by the king and ace. Now declarer led a diamond to dummy's nine, which destroyed his communications for the squeeze. If he had been willing to assume he had five diamond tricks, he would have cashed his spades, overtaken the $\diamond 9$ with his ten (which would have been easy when East played the eight), and run the diamonds, bringing everyone down to three cards. Dummy would have three clubs, declarer the $\vee \mathrm{Q}-10$ and 10 . But what could East retain? He couldn't keep both jackdoubleton in hearts and the K-Q.
Finally, we return to Niedzielski's horrible 6NT.This was the full deal:

|  | Q KJ 75 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK 103 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A Q 6 |  |
|  | \& Q J |  |
| - Q 942 | N | ¢ 1083 |
| $\bigcirc 7$ |  | Q Q J 842 |
| $\checkmark$ K 432 |  | $\checkmark$ J 8 |
| \& 10752 | S | -964 |
|  | - A 6 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 965$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 10975$ |  |
|  | 9 AK 83 |  |

Declarer correctly realized that he needed one opponent to have jack-doubleton of diamonds. So, after taking the first trick with dummy's ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$, he cashed the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ and continued with the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$.
West took this trick and returned a diamond. South won in his hand, cashed his last diamond discarding a heart from the dummy, played a club to the queen, took the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ and $\wp \mathrm{K}$, played a spade over to his ace, and cashed his top clubs, throwing dummy's last two diamonds.
Everyone was down to two cards. South had the 6 and $\bigcirc 9$ and North retained the K-J. Now came a spade.Was the finesse working or had East been squeezed in the majors?
The deal had counted out, so the odds favored playing West for the $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$, but declarer called for dummy's king and went down one.
Surprisingly, plus 50 gave Hamman and Passell only 50 matchpoints. But minus 990 would have been worth just 9 .


# MIXED SWISS TEAMS ROSTERS 

| Allison | Frank R ALLISON, Jane DILLENBERG, Alene FRIEDMAN, Jerry GOLDBERG |
| :---: | :---: |
| Amigos | Marcelo AMARAL, Marita Lujon AMARAL, Sergio ARANHA, Rosa Alta GOLDFARB GORESCU |
| Argemex | Julio Alberto ALFONSIN, Irene ELKIN, Claudia Valerie GAMIO, Steve MAGER, Miguel REYGADAS, Maria Elena SUAREZ |
| Auken | Daniela von ARNIM, Sabine AUKEN, John CARROLL, Tommy GARVEY, Christal HENNER-WELLAND, Roy WELLAND |
| Barrett | Geoffrey S Jade BARRETT, Anne DAWSON, Barry HARPER, Samantha NYSTROM |
| Beijing Trinergy | Yan HUANG, Xiaoyi LI, Dong LU, Xiaojing WANG, Wang YANHONG, Meng KANG (coach) |
| Berg | Mary Ann BERG, Laura DEKKERS, John MOHAN, Bauke MULLER, Martine VERBEEK, Simon de WIJS |
| Callaghan | Brian CALLAGHAN, Christine DUCKWORTH, Sam PUNCH, Tim REES |
| Cassini | Erkki JUURI-OJA, Pirjo JUURI-OJA, Vaino KELHA, Eeva PARVIAINEN |
| Cayne | Jimmy CAYNE, Patricia CAYNE, Dano DE FALCO, Jacqui MITCHELL, Gabriella OLIVIERI, Alfredo VERSACE |
| Chagas | Maria Joao Lara CAPUCHO, Gabriel CHAGAS, Manuel d' OREY CAPUCHO, Leda PAIN Sylvie WILLARD |
| Cooper | Betty BLOOM, Steve BLOOM, Steven COOPER, Kitty MUNSON COOPER |
| Cushing | Tuna ALUF, Justine CUSHING, Namik KOKTEN, Melih OZDIL, Matilda POPLILOV |
| De Botton | Janet DE BOTTON, Ewa Agnieszka GRABOWSKA,Artur MALINOWSKI, Grzegorz NARKIEWICZ |
| Djarum | Rury ANDHANI, Suci Amita DEWI, Michael Bambang HARTONO, Kristina Wahyu MURNIATI, Munawar SAWIRUDDIN, Peter Tora WANGSAPUTRA, Fransisca ARIYANI (npc) |
| Drunken Kangaroos | Raffael BRAUN, Marie EGGELING, Max ELLERBECK, Laura GINNAN |
| Dulet | Alexander ALLEN, Donna DULET, Abe PINELES, Julie ROWE |
| Ekeblad | Vincent DEMUY, Russ EKEBLAD, Sheila EKEBLAD, Kerri SANBORN |
| Feldman | Lynn FELDMAN, Abby HEITNER, Louis REICH, Barry SCHAFFER |
| Ferlema | Fernando Alfredo LEMA, Kenji MIYAKUNI,Virginia MULLER, Morella PACHECO, Yukiko TOKUNAGA |
| France Girls | Veronique BESSIS, Claire CHAUGNY, Danny MOLENAAR, Carole PUILLET, Clement THIZY |
| Frind | Jean-Baptiste FANTUN, David FORGE, Ashok Kumar GOEL, Isabelle LE PROVOST, Kalpana MISRA,Veronique VENTOS |
| Fulton | Prakash BHANDARI, Ellis FEIGENBAUM, Arline FULTON, Melanie MANFIELD |
| Funbridge Girls Fra | Marion CANONNE, Jerome ROMBAUT, Godefroy De TESSIERES, Aurelie THIZY |
| Gabrial UI Mixed | Syarifah Nina Tirta AYU, Lusje Olha BOJOH, Franky Steven KARWUR,Widi PANCONO, Denny SACUL, Joice TUEJE, Peter Tora WANGSAPUTRA (npc) |
| Giesler | Allison BRANDT, Bob COLE, Michael GIESLER, Joseph MURRAY, Ricki ROGERS, Regina STRAUSS |
| Glasson | Bob GLASSON, Joann GLASSON, Linda LEWIS, Paul LEWIS |
| Goldstein | Sheila GABAY, Stephen GOLDSTEIN, Leslie PARYZER, John STIEFEL |
| Gordon | Shannon CAPPELLETTI, Mark GORDON, Pratap RAJADHYAKSHA, Michael SEAMON, Jenny WOLPERT, Allan COKIN (coach) |
| Green | Mike CAPPELLETTI SR, Susan GREEN, Kathy WALVICK, Walter WALVICK |
| Hansa Narasimhan | Bobby LEVIN, Jill LEVIN, Irina LEVITINA, Hansa NARASIMHAN, Eddie WOLD |
| Hansen | Andreas BABSCH, Renate HANSEN, Valentin I.D. KOVACHEV AL-SHATI, Cecilia RIMSTEDT, Jovanka SMEDEREVAC, Sascha WERNLE |
| Harding | Fiona BROWN, Jenni CARMICHAEL, Tom CARMICHAEL, Keiran DYKE, Marianne HARDING, Sven Olai HOYLAND |


| Hargreaves | Ruth GOLD, Mike HARGREAVES,Valerie HARGREAVES, Constance MCAVOY, James MCAVOY, Andy STARK |
| :---: | :---: |
| Harris | Grazyna BREWIAK, Martin HARRIS, Miriam HARRIS-BOTZUM, Janoslaw PIASECKI |
| Hauge | Ann Karin FUGLESTAD, Rune HAUGE, Geir HELGEMO,Anna MALINOWSKI, Desislava Borissova POPOVA, Erik SAELENSMINDE |
| Hawkes | James HAWKES, Mark McLAUGHLIN, Suzanne McLAUGHLIN, Anne RAHTJEN HAWKES |
| Hennings | D. HENNINGS, M. HENNINGS, Robert HOPKINS, Joan LEWIS, A. RODNEY, David RODNEY |
| Hertz | Harold FELDHEIM, Daniel HERTZ, Natalie HERTZ, Grace LLOYD |
| Hinze | Shane BLANCHARD, Connie GOLDBERG, Greg HINZE, Dale JOHANNESEN, Lynn JOHANNESEN, Jo Ann SPRUNG |
| Horn Lake 6 | Jay BAUM, Kathy BAUM, Elaine LANDOW, Craig ROBINSON, Stanton SUBECK, Susan SUBECK |
| Ida | Ida GRONKVIST, Jessica HAYMAN PIAFSKY, Nicolas L'ECUYER,Tim VERBEEK |
| India Alizee | Daniela BIRMAN, David BIRMAN, Doris FISCHER, Himani KHANDELWAL, Rajeev KHANDELWAL, Bernd SAURER |
| Isporski | Gary GOTTLIEB, Vladislav Nikolov ISPORSKI, Ljudmila KAMENOVA, Margo SINCLAIR |
| Istanbul | Sedat ALUF, Salih Murat ANTER, Sevinc ATAY, Mine BABAC, Niso ESKINAZI, Lale GUMRUKCUOGLU, Ata AYDIN (coach) |
| Kahlenberg | Suzy BURGER, Daisy GOECKER, Howard KAHLENBERG, Ed LAZARUS, Andrew MARKOWITZ, Donna MORGEN |
| Kamras | Pia ANDERSSON, Jan KAMRAS, Sandra RIMSTEDT, Emma SJOBERG, Johan UPMARK, Arvid WIKNER |
| Karsiyaka | Salvador ASSAEL, Serap CARFI, Ali YALMAN, Gracia YALMAN |
| Latins | Jorge Andres BARRERA, Diana Cecilia BERNAL, Juan Carlos CASTILLO, Luisana MADUENO, Elsa CASTILLO (coach) |
| Lay | Marilyn GARCIA, Pam LASHELLE, James Spike LAY, Roger WOODIN |
| Levine | Mike LEVINE, Dennis MCGARRY, Linda MCGARRY, Cathy NATHAN, Marc NATHAN |
| Meadow | Ann CADY, Nicholas FRANCE, Erez HENDELMAN, Roberta MEADOW |
| Meltzer | David BERKOWITZ, Lisa BERKOWITZ, Kyle LARSEN, Chip MARTEL, Jan MARTEL, Rose MELTZER, Debra HYATT (npc), James D GORDON (coach) |
| Moscow | - COQUILLETTE, Walter HOEGER, Petra von MALCHUS, - MOSCOW |
| Nice Girls | Jessie CARBONNEAUX, Argenta PRICE, Sebastian WEYAND, Felix ZIMMERMANN |
| Orourke | Sjoert BRINK, Bas DRIJVER, Marc JACOBUS, Marion MICHIELSEN, Lou Ann O'ROURKE, Meike WORTEL |
| Payen | Catherine D'OVIDIO, Daniele GAVIARD, Donatella HALFON, Eric MAUBERQUEZ, Bernard PAYEN, Philippe SOULET |
| Pin An | Xueliang CAO, Ya Fu LIN, Yue LIN, Yi Qian LIU, Shaomin SHI,Weimin WANG |
| Rasmussen | Pam MILLER, Jim RASMUSSEN, Bernard SCHNEIDER, Frances SCHNEIDER |
| Rayner | Karen CUMPSTONE, John RAYNER, Michael ROCHE, Barbara TRAVIS |
| Reedinger | Marjorie MICHELIN, Carlos PELLEGRINI, Marilyn REEDINGER, Rich ROTHWARF |
| Schwartz | Margie GWOZDZINSKY, Richard SCHWARTZ, Cathy STRAUCH, Peter WEICHSEL |
| Solodar | Jerry CLERKIN,Virginia LIFTON, Lynda NITABACH, John SOLODAR |
| Steelers | Linda GORDON, Robb GORDON, Mike McNAMARA, Sylwia McNAMARA, Jo MORSE, Warren OBERFIELD |
| Stienen | Inez van EIJCK, Willem van EIJCK, Elly SCHIPPERS-BOSKLOPPER, Rene STIENEN |
| Stober | Steven CONRAD, Sandrea FRIEDMAN, Michael ROSEN, Ruth STOBER |
| Team 913 | Darrian Bogdan COTESCU, Andreea IORDACHE, Dragos IORDACHE,Ana Lavinia OPRISAN |
| Very Mixed | Barbara GOTARD, Thomas GOTARD, Hartmut KONDOCH, Natalia SAKOWSKA, Maria WUERMSEER |
| Wheeler | Cindy BERNSTEIN, Buddy HANBY,Thomas WEIK, Sally WHEELER |
| Willenken | Kent MIGNOCCHI, Chris WILLENKEN, Alison WILSON, Migry ZUR-CAMPANILE |

## The Philadelphia Story <br> by Mark Horton

The Oscar winning movie of my title was made in 1940 and starred Hollywood greats Cary Grant, Katharine Hepburn and James Stewart. It was adapted in 1956 as the musical High Society, starring Bing Crosby, Grace Kelly, Frank Sinatra and Louis Armstrong. The story line is a simple one:
When a rich woman's ex-husband and a tabloid-type reporter turn up just before her planned remarriage, she begins to learn the truth about herself.
My favourite line is when Tracy's ex-husband tells her she should have stuck with him longer and she retorts, 'I thought it was for life, but the nice judge gave me a full pardon.'
Bridge partnerships are much like marriages; some last forever, some eventually lead to divorce, while others are brief affairs, destined not to last.
The final of the Generali World Pairs Championship embraces all these combinations. Let's take a look at some of the action from the second session (a top was 70):


Looking for ruffs North led the queen of diamonds, covered by the king and ace. South switched to the jack of clubs and declarer won in hand with the ace and played a spade to the king. When that held he played a heart. South does best now to rise with the ace and play a second club, but when he played low declarer won with the
king and played another spade. When the queen held he played a third round, happy to see the ace and jack appear. The defenders cashed their heart tricks, but declarer had the rest. +140 was a poor result for N/S, $10 / 60$.

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.

$$
\text { \& K } 10974
$$

-K 3
$\diamond$ J 103

- KJ 2


QQ975
$\diamond K Q$

* A Q 10543

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Khiuppenen | Gohl | Kholomeev | Kimelman |
|  |  | । $\diamond$ | 29 |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 320 |
| Pass | $3{ }^{*}$ | Pass | 3NT |

All Pass
West led the seven of diamonds and East took the ace and returned the nine of diamonds. Declarer won, crossed to the jack of clubs and played the four of spades.
Had East played low declarer would have won with the king and set up a heart trick, bringing his total to ten. That would have been worth 58/I2.
However, East made the fine play of rising with the ace. He cleared the diamonds, holding declarer to nine tricks and saving a lot of points, $37 / 33$.
It was by no means routine to bid this game - it was missed at I3 tables.


Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- QJ 765

Q 643
$\checkmark 42$

- 532

| -104 |  | 4 A 93 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ A 2 |  | N | $\checkmark$ J 75 |
| $\checkmark$ Q 86 |  | E | $\diamond$ A 93 |
| \% Q J 9 | 4 S |  | K 106 |
|  | ¢ K 82 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q 1098 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KJ 1075 |  |  |
|  | \% - |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Verhees | Chokshi | Prooijen | Gupta |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| $3 \%$ | Pass | 38* | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Hard to say why South doubled. North led the queen of spades and declarer ducked. He won the next spade and claimed nine tricks, +550 .
If declarer ducks the second spade he can strip squeeze South in the red suits, eventually throwing him in with a heart to lead into the split diamond tenace, but it would not have changed the matchpoint result, 2/68.

Board I6. Dealer West. E/W Vul.

- KQ 543
$\bigcirc 9$
$\diamond$ Q 8432
\% K 2
4 198
》) J 10543
$\diamond 65$
2 Q 63

| N | ¢ 762 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc$ A 862 |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK 10 |
| S | 995 |
| $\pm$ A 10 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ K Q 7 |  |
| $\diamond$ J 97 |  |
| ¢ AJ 1087 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Verhees | Chokshi | Prooijen | Gupta |
| Pass | $1 \$$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2 Q}$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

West led the four of hearts and East won with the ace, cashed the king of diamonds and switched back to hearts. Declarer won with the king, crossed to dummy with a club and took the losing club finesse. West was quick to play a diamond and that held declarer to nine tricks, +400, 25.7/44.3.
Obviously declarer could have done better - making 430 would have changed the score to $42.2 / 27.8$.

Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.

- AK 10652

ค 1032
$\diamond$ J 5

- J 2


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Courtney | Delmonte <br>  <br> 24 | Burgess <br> All Pass | Bach |
| Pass | $4 \Phi$ |  |  |

East led the eight of hearts and declarer went up with dummy's ace, drew trumps and ran the ten of hearts. When that held he cashed two more hearts, discarding a club from his hand. He played a spade on which West


Ricco van Prooijen, Netherlands

Philadelphia, PA, U.S.A.
made the dramatic discard of the ace of clubs. Declarer continued playing spades, keeping the $\triangleleft \mathrm{AQ}$ and 104 in dummy. West, down to $\langle\mathrm{K} 107$ and K 7 was worried about being thrown in to lead into the diamond tenace (an optical illusion) and threw the king of clubs. Now declarer could play the jack of clubs, setting up a twelfth trick. +480 was one of those results you don't see very often, a complete top, 70/0.

Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.
AK A 65
คAKQ 54
$\diamond 3$
\& K 5

| 887 |
| :--- |
| $\vee 19732$ |
| $\diamond 42$ |
| 832 |



Q QJ 104
ค 108
$\diamond$ AK 1097

- J 7

| West | North <br> Courtney | East <br> Delmonte | South <br> Burgess |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass |  |  |  |

Played by North, 64 was excellent. Declarer won the trump lead in dummy, cashed the ace of diamonds, ruffed a diamond and played a trump. The 2-2 break avoided any further complications, +1430 delivering 58/I 2 .

Board I9. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

|  | - Q 743 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QJ1064 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 42 |  |
|  | + ${ }^{\text {a } 6}$ |  |
| ¢ J 98652 | N | - K |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 5$ |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 973 |
| $\diamond$ K 75 |  | $\checkmark 10863$ |
| * Q 8 | S | -10752 |
|  | - A 10 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 82 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ 9 |  |
|  | KJ943 |  |


| West <br> Tewari | North <br> Paulissen | East <br> Prabhakar | South <br> Jansma |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $2 \mathbf{N H}^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \wedge^{*}$ |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |

All Pass
West led the six of spades for the king and ace and declarer crossed to the ace of clubs (seeing West's eight) and played a club to the king (had he played the jack West would win and switch to the jack of spades). Declarer cleared the clubs, leaving East on lead. He switched to a diamond and West won with the king and played the jack of spades. Declarer still had nine tricks, +400. With 23 pairs failing in 3NT that was worth 54/I6.


With ten easy tricks available missing game delivered a very poor score for no less than 19 pairs, 19/5 I.


Jan Jansma, Netherlands

For my money the blame lies with North. Once your five card suit is supported the fifth trump becomes a significant extra value, about the equivalent of an extra king.

Board 2I. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- 75
- K 54
$\diamond$ Q 9632
2 A 83

| -108642 | N | - AJ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ - |  | ค A Q 8732 |
| $\diamond$ KJIO 54 |  | $\diamond 8$ |
| \& Q J 7 | S | ¢ K 1094 |
|  | - KQ 93 |  |
|  | ¢J1096 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 7 |  |
|  | 2652 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Blanchard | Khokhlov | Moss | Matushko |
|  | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| IS | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2 c}$ | All Pass |

South led the two of clubs and North took the ace and returned the suit. Declarer won in hand and ruffed a heart before playing a spade to the jack and queen. South returned a spade and declarer won, drew a round of trumps and ducked a heart to South's nine. Now South tried a low diamond. (A risky play - one simple way to hold the contract to nine tricks is to play the king of spades, removing declarer's last trump.) Putting up the king of diamonds would have given declarer the rest, but he played the jack. However, North withheld the queen, so declarer ruffed a diamond and cashed the ace of hearts - ten tricks, +130 and a massive 7-63. That extra trick cost a lot, - I 10 was worth 33/37.

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.

|  | - Q 732 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\diamond$ - |  |
|  | \& KJ86543 |  |
| - KJ 106 | N | - 8 |
| ¢ 109832 |  | $\bigcirc$ AQ 7654 |
| $\diamond$ QJ 52 | W E | $\diamond 9876$ |
| - | S | - A 2 |
|  | - A 954 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ - |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AK 1043 |  |
|  | - Q 1097 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allfrey | Helness | Robson | Sprung |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 320 | 38 | 68 |
| 68 | Dble | All Pass |  |

With diamonds 4-4 cannot be defeated, so bidding 60 saved a few points. South led the king of diamonds, continued with the ace and then played the three. North ruffed, played a spade and South took the ace and played another diamond for North to ruff, down four, -IIOO. That was worth 47/23, while would have collected 61/9.

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.


South took his partner very seriously when he bid 3NT. Passing would have netted an easy +300 and 54/I 6 .
West cashed the queen of clubs and could have ensured one down by continuing the suit. However, he switched to a heart and declarer took East's queen with the king. If declarer now plays a spade West will have to duck. Then six rounds of diamonds followed by a club exit will see West forced to give declarer a ninth trick in one hand or the other. That would have been a unique result, +400 and $55 / 15$. When declarer played back the jack of hearts a grateful East won and switched to a spade. West cashed out for one down, +50 and a massive $8 / 62$.
As everyone prepares to return home let me leave you with WC Fields epitaph:
'On the whole, l'd rather be in Philadelphia.'

| ORTIZ-PATINO TROPHY <br> World Junior Championship |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FINAL / PLAY OFF |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tbl |  | Session I | Session 2 | Session 3 | Session 4 | Total |
| 1 | Israel | 58 | 28 | 48 |  | 134 |
|  | France | 9 | 26 | 43 |  | 78 |
| 2 | USA I | 24 | 15 | 22 | - | 61 |
|  | China | 26 | 54 | 36 | - | 116 |
| DAMIANI CUP <br> World Youngsters Championship |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FINAL / PLAY OFF |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Tbl } \\ \text { II } \\ 12 \end{array}$ |  | Session I | Session 2 | Session 3 | Session 4 | Total |
|  | England | 18 | 21 | 54 |  | 93 |
|  | Poland | 45 | 64 | 18 |  | 127 |
|  | Netherlands | 47 | 55 | 19 | - | 121 |
|  | Israel | 23 | 48 | 22 | - | 93 |


| WORLD YOUTH INDIVIDUAL CONTEST Final Results after 2 sessions (subject to confirmation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JUNIORS |  | YOUNGSTERS |  |  | PLATE |  |  |
| Rank Names | Result | Rank | k Names | Result | Rank | k Names | Result |
| I Haakon BOGEN | 56.89 |  | Massimiliano DI FRANCO | 57.07 |  |  | 6 |
| 2 Sam KATZ | 56.67 |  | Adam GROSSACK | 56.31 |  | Adrew JENG | 59.66 |
| 3 Dennis BILDE | 56.22 |  | Krisztina ORMAY | 55.11 |  | Yasuaki II | 57.41 |
| 3 Prajwal BACHIRAJU | 56.22 |  | Richard JENG | 54.89 |  | Mikael RIMSTEDT | 57.14 |
| 5 Chun Ting Arthur LAU | 54.89 |  | Kristoffer HEGGE | 54.04 |  |  |  |
| 6 Adam KAPLAN | 54.44 |  | Shan HUANG | 53.56 |  | Vemund VIKJORD | 55.16 |
| 7 K. KONTOMITROS | 51.78 |  | Magdalena HOLEKSA | 53.51 |  | Johan KARLSSON | 54.63 |
| 8 Eric ARVIDSSON | 51.11 |  | Chun-Yao HUANG | 53.20 |  |  |  |
| 8 Erlend SKJETNE | 51.11 |  | Daniel GULLBERG | 52.76 |  | Naozumi NAKAYAMA | 54.50 |
| 10 Matthew MECKSTROTH | 50.89 | 10 B | Barnabas SZIRMAY-KALOS | 52.58 |  | Shunsuke GOTODA | 53.70 |
| II Joanna TACZEWSKA | 50.67 |  | Yujie JIANG | 51.47 |  | Vinoth Kumar RAGHAVAN | 52.59 |
| 12 Pui TSANG | 50.44 | 12 | Danuta KAZMUCHA | 51.02 |  | 俍 | 52.5 |
| 13 Belinda GU | 50.00 | 13 | Csaba KONKOLY | 50.89 |  | Ethan MACAULAY | 52.12 |
| 14 Marius BARTNES | 49.78 | 14 P | Po-Hsiang HAO | 50.00 |  | Kristian ELLINGSEN | 50.26 |
| 15 Michael BELL | 48.89 | 15 P | Po-Yi LIN | 49.29 |  | Yoshiro KIDO | 48.28 |
| 16 Yin Wai LEUNG | 48.67 | 16 K | Kevin ROSENBERG | 47.16 |  | Yoshiro KIDO | 48.28 |
| 17 Raghavendra RAJKUMAR | 48.44 | 17 K | Kornel LAZAR | 46.89 | 12 A | Anurag MOHOTA | 47.22 |
| 18 Vassilis VROUSTIS | 48.00 | 18 | Ola RIMSTEDT | 46.62 |  | Ethan KOTKIN | 44.71 |
| 19 HirokiYOKOI | 47.11 | 19 M | Mats EIDE | 46.00 |  | Ethan KOTKIN |  |
| 20 Lars Arthur JOHANSEN | 46.00 | 20 M | Manlin LUO | 45.38 | 14 P | Peter KU | 44.32 |
| 21 Raja Sekhar GUTHI | 45.33 | 215 | Shih-Yao LEE | 43.82 | 15 | Geoff WEBB | 43.39 |
| 22 Bruno FURLAN | 44.44 | 22 R | Renjie TANG | 43.64 |  |  | 40.61 |
| 23 Mohit AGARWAL | 41.78 | 23 K | Kai LU | 43.47 |  | Aled IABONI | 40.61 |
| 24 Hiroaki MIURA | 40.22 | 24 A | Asya LADYZHENSKY | 41.33 | 17 M | Malcolm McCOLL | 34.52 |

## Final - Session I Ortiz-Patiño Trophy <br> Strong Showing

The 48 -board final of the Ortiz-Patiño Trophy was between France and Israel.
France was represented by Thomas Bessis, Frederic Volker, Christophe Grosset, Cedric Lorenzini, Nicolas Lhuissier, Quentin Robert, with Philippe Cronier the nonplaying captain.
The Israeli team was Eliran Argelazi, Alon Birman, Lotan Fisher, Bar Tarnovski, with Gilad Ofir the npc.
The first session started well for Israel. On the second board, Lorenzini found out that two key cards were missing, but bid $6 \bigcirc$ anyway. Argelazi, who had the 9 K and A sitting behind declarer, doubled.
That was down one and I3 IMPs to Israel when Fisher and Tarnovski stopped in 4 『.
With only the opponents vulnerable, you pick up:

$$
\text { \& } 10 \vee 7 \diamond \text { A } 96 \text { QJ } 1098763 .
$$

The auction starts Pass-I $\diamond-1 \oslash$ to you. What would you bid?
While you think about that, another sizable swing occurred on this deal:

Board 6. Dealer East. East-West Vul.


The auctions were effectively the same, the only difference being the bid used as checkback by North. Grosser employed 3e and Tarnovski $3 \diamond$.
Bessis made it easy for declarer by - understandably leading the Q . Tarnovski won with his ace and played a heart to dummy's king. West took his ace and shifted to the $\diamond 5$, dummy's ace taking East's jack. Two rounds of trumps followed, West winning with his jack and playing another diamond. East took his king and led the $\uparrow 5$, but declarer finessed dummy's nine and claimed.
Birman led the $\diamond 4$. Grosset won with his seven, played a heart to the king, which held, returned to his hand with a spade, and played another trump. West took dummy's queen with his king, cashed the $\% \mathrm{~J}$, and returned his second diamond to the jack and ace. Declarer gave up diamond to East, who switched to the Q .
In a way, this was a restricted choice situation because East might have led the J if he had both honors. And East, if he had the Q , could not be sure declarer did not have the North saw it that way, winning with dummy's king and running the 9 , discarding a low club from his hand. But East won with the for down one.
Plus 420 and plus 50 gave Israel 10 IMPs.
Now back to the bidding problem. This was the full deal:
Board 5. Dealer North. North-South Vul.

|  | $$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -10 | N | - AKJ8 |
| $\bigcirc 7$ |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 954 |
| $\diamond$ A 96 | W E | $\checkmark$ Q 543 |
| \& QJIO9 8763 | S | \& A |
|  | - Q 96 |  |
|  | ¢AK 1082 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KJ 2 |  |
|  | \& 54 |  |

Argelazi bid $3 \boldsymbol{\xi}$ andVolker jumped to $5 \boldsymbol{\xi}$, both of which ended the auction.
Each North led the 93 and South won with his king.
Against Argelazi, Lorenzini sensibly shifted a trump and in the fullness of time declarer took two spades, one diamond and seven clubs for an overtrick.

Against Volker, Fisher led the $\diamond 2$ at trick two! Now all declarer had to do was play low from his hand and he would have had an eleventh trick. But never believing that South would lead away from the $\triangleleft K$, West went up with his ace and down two in his contract, losing one heart, two diamonds and one club.
That was 6 IMPs to Israel when it could have been 7 to France.
This deal was a bidding challenge for East-West.
Board 9. Dealer North. East-West Vul.

- A 762

ค 10654
$\diamond 198$

- 92


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volker | Tarnovski | Bessis | Fisher |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| 1\% | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | $2 \diamond(1)$ | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 49 | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 49 | Pass |
| 5\% | All Pass |  |  |

(I) Fourth-suit forcing

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argelazi | Grosset | Birman | Lorenzini |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass | 2\% | Pass |
| 2 (1) | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 30 | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| 4* | Pass | $4 \diamond(2)$ | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ (2) | Pass | 49 (2) | Pass |
| 4NT (3) | Pass | 5\% (4) | Pass |
| 6\% | All Pass |  |  |

(I) Fourth-suit forcing
(2) Control-bids (cue-bids)
(3) Not RKCB
(4) Nothing extra

Birman made it easier to reach the slam by opening, but he also made it harder because West's first bid was in spades, where highlighting the single loser was key. However, after finding the club fit, East's 44 control-bid, which was surely a singleton given the earlier bids, was just what West wanted to hear. Well bid - and a candidate for the International Bridge Press Association's annual award for the best-bid deal of the year.
At the other table, if West could have bid a 4NT Last Train, that would have been perfect, but perhaps he should have bid 6 anyway, given all of East's pushing.
With trumps 3-2, there were 12 easy tricks: four hearts, three diamonds, three clubs and one ruff in each hand.
Plus 1370 and minus 620 gave Israel 13 IMPs and the lead by 42.
Three flat boards were followed by...
Board I3. Dealer North. Both Vul.

|  | - AKJ973 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 9$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 83$ |  |
|  | \% 1084 |  |
| - 5 | N | -1084 |
| $\bigcirc$ A 874 | N | $\bigcirc$ Q 62 |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 1064 |  | $\diamond 975$ |
| \& A Q 7 | S | KJ62 |
|  | - Q 62 |  |
|  | Q J 1053 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KJ 2 |  |
|  | 2953 |  |



Lotan Fisher, Israel

| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volker | Tarnovski | Bessis | Fisher | Volker | Tarnovski | Bessis | Fisher |
|  | 19 | Pass | INT (1) |  |  |  | Pass |
| Dble | 2 | Pass | Pass | Pass | 19 | 19 | Dble |
| Dble | Pass | 3\% | 31 | 38 (1) | 4* | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  | Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

(I) Forcing

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Argelazi | Grosset | Birman | Lorenzini |
|  | $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ | Pass | 34 |
| Dble | Pass | $4 \AA$ | All Pass |

The constructive weak two pushed Birman into 4\%, but he could have made it. South led a trump. Declarer won with dummy's queen and played a low heart, being allowed to take the trick with his queen. Now a diamond to dummy's ten would have been successful, but declarer finessed the queen. He cashed the $\diamond \mathbf{A}$ and played a third diamond, on which Grosset discarded his 8 K . South returned his $\S J$, North ruffing away dummy's ace. Now North did well, underleading his spades to put partner in with his queen to cash the 810 . Dummy ruffed the spade return, the was cashed, and East's last spade disappeared on the $\$ 8$, but the contract was down one.
Three spades did not play well. Declarer lost one heart, two diamonds and three clubs to go down two.
Plus 100 and plus 200 gave France 7 IMPs.
But Israel had another sizable gain on the penultimate board of the session.

Board I5. Dealer South. North-South Vul.

(I) Maximum pass with spade support

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argelazi | Grosset | Birman | Lorenzini |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | 1980 | $1{ }^{1}$ | $2 \checkmark$ |
| $3 \diamond(1)$ | 49 | Pass | 4 |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | 5 |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Pass |

(I) Maximum pass with spade support

Juniors have a reputation for bidding a lot, but notice that both Souths passed as dealer because they had four hearts. Fisher then brought hearts into the picture with his interesting negative double.
Grosset should have remembered that misfits are miserable and passed out $4 \diamond$, as Tarnovski did in the Open Room.
The play started identically at both tables. West led the spade king and continued with a low spade to his partner's ace. Then East cashed the diamond ace.
At this point, the correct shift was to a heart, which would have disrupted the communications for South's squeeze. But both Easts led a spade. Now, if the declarers had ruffed and ran all of their trumps, West would have been squeezed in hearts and clubs. Notice dummy's $\$ 8$.
However, neither cashed them all, so both took only nine tricks.
Plus 500 and minus 100 gave Israel another 9 IMPs.
Israel gained a further 5 IMPs on the final board to win the session by an impressive 58 IMPs to 9 .


Eliran Argelazi, Israel

## Final - Sessions I,2 <br> Damiani Cup Poland v England

While three of the finalist teams in the Damiani Cup and Ortiz-Patiño Trophy had enjoyed relatively comfortable passage through the semi-final stage, the English Youngsters had come back from a long way down to the Netherlands. Would that recovery prove to be a positive factor when the final got underway, giving them momentum, or would they take a while to settle down again?

The first major swing came on Board 2 and it could just as easily have gone in the other direction.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

|  | - K 7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 42$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q 1074 |  |  |
|  | \% K 873 |  |  |
| - 8643 | N |  | J 52 |
| ¢K96 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 953$ |  | E |  |
| - A 104 |  | S | 9652 |
|  | - A 109 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A Q J 8 53 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KJ8 |  |  |
|  | \% |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jochymski | Paul | Kazimerczak McIntosh |  |
|  |  | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 2 - | Pass | 28 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Robertson | Tuczynski | Paske | Jassem |
|  |  | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 6 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

For England, James Paul and Daniel Mclntosh had a two-over-one auction in which McIntosh was able to show his red suits at an economical level, after which Paul elected to play the no trump game. Wojciech Kazmierczak led the five of clubs to the jack and ace. Paul ducked the continuation of the club ten but won the next round, pitching
hearts from the dummy. He cashed the diamonds then led a heart up. As Kazmierczak had thrown his hearts away, he now showed out and Paul just took his ace and the top spades for +600 .
In the other room, Pawel Jassem bid much more aggressively with the South cards, first jumping to $3 \bigcirc$ then, when Piotr Tuczynski raised to $4 \longdiv { 9 }$, leaping to the diamond slam. Six Diamonds is playable but, as the cards lie, appears doomed to failure. However, Tom Paske led the queen of spades, which looks to be the normal choice, and Tuczynski could win the king, finesse the ten and take a heart pitch on the ace. A club from dummy saw Graeme Robertson win the ace and declarer had twelve tricks for +1370 and a mildly fortuitous 13 IMPs to Poland.
Midway through the 16 -board set, Poland had extended the lead to 29-6. Then came another slam swing, this time in favour of England.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.



| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Robertson | Tuczynski | Paske | Jassem |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| 1\% | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| $3 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 3 | Dble |
| Pass | Pass | 49 | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | 6\% | All Pass |

With West having so little wasted strength facing the spade shortage, 6 is an excellent contract.
Neither East opened the I-4-4-4 II-count. The Polish Club auction failed to get the job done. One Club was either a weak no trump type or strong and artificial and $2 \diamond$ confirmed the strong type with at least three hearts. Tomasz Jochymski completed the picture of his hand by raising 2NT to 3NT and Kazimerczak had no reason to bid on. McIntosh's low spade lead ensured that the defence got its three top tricks but that was all; +630 .
Robertson opened a potentially short club and rebid 2NT then showed his three-card heart holding. When Paske cuebid 34, Jassem doubled, giving East/West extra bidding space as Robertson could pass and allow Paske to show his club support. Robertson's diamond cuebid was sufficient to get Paske to ask for key cards, though the response suggests that Robertson believed hearts to have been agreed. No matter, he knew to pass Paske's $6 \%$ and the play was quite straightforward after the ace of spades


Pawel Jassem, Poland
and a diamond switch; +1370 and 12 IMPs to England.The rest of the set went mostly in favour of Poland, who led by $45-18$ after 16 boards.
For Set 2, England retained the same line-up, while the Poles brought in Mateusz Mroczkowski and Adam Smieszkol, while Jassem/Tuczynski switched rooms.
The score had moved on to 53-22 in favour of Poland when this next deal appeared.

Board 2I. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- A8753
$\checkmark$ -
$\diamond$ QJ 1095
- AJ 2


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuczynski | Paul | Jassem | McIntosh |
|  | 19 | Pass | 24 |
| Pass | 38 | Pass | 39 |

All Pass

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Robertson | Mroczkowski | Paske | Smieszkol |
|  | $1 \Phi$ | Pass | $2 \Phi$ |
| Pass | $4 \Phi$ | Pass | Pass |

Dble All Pass
Paul made a short-suit game try and, with much of his strength in hearts, McIntosh signed off in 34. Jassem led the six of spades to the jack, ducked. Tuczynski switched to the king of diamonds then back to spades. Paul won the ace and knocked out the diamond. Tuczynski cashed his trump winner and tried the ace of hearts. Paul ruffed and had a discard for his third club; + 140 .
Mroczkowski simply bid game facing the simple raise, hoping to make the defence more difficult. Unfortunately for him, there was no way that the defence could let this game through and, worse, Robertson had a pretty easy double of the final contract. Paske led a diamond so Robertson took two winners there then switched to a trump, ducked. He continued with a second trump.

Mroczkowski won and threw one of dummy's clubs on the diamonds so was just one down for -200 and 8 IMPs to England.

Board 22. Dealer East. E/WVul.

- J 84

ค Q 3
$\diamond 94$

- J 109842

| N | , AQ 976 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 104$ |
| W E | $\diamond$ KJ8653 |
| S | \% - |
| - K 105 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AK 9765 |  |
| $\checkmark 7$ |  |
| 9653 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuczynski | Paul | Jassem | McIntosh |
|  |  | 14 | $2 \bigcirc$ |
| Dble | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 38 | Dble | Pass | Pass |
| 5 | All Pass |  |  |

Smieszkol led out the ace, king and a third heart, ruffed and over-ruffed, and in the fullness of time made his king of spades for down one; -100.
In response to Paul's lead-directing double, McIntosh led the seven of hearts. Paul won the queen and could not see why his partner was underleading an ace-king unless it was in an attempt to put him in to give a ruff. I'm not sure that this adds up, given the auction, but Paul switched to a club and the contract was home, the second heart loser going away on the clubs; +600 and 12 IMPs to Poland.

Board 25. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

- 874
$\bigcirc 4$
$\diamond$ A 874
2 Q 10862

| - AKQ 2 | N | -106 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AK 10832 |  | Q QJ 6 |
| $\diamond$ Q | W E | $\checkmark$ KJ5 32 |
| \& 54 | S | ¢ K 73 |
|  | - 1953 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 975$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 1096$ |  |
|  | 2 AJ9 |  |


| West | North | East <br> Tuczynski | South <br> Paul |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jassem |  |  |  |$\quad$| McIntosh |
| :--- |

Paske used Drury and the English pair stopped safely in $4 \checkmark$ after he had shown diamond values at his next turn. Mroczynski cashed the ace of diamonds and switched to a spade, so the club loser went away; +680.
Tuczynski opened with a Polish Club and the $2 \bigcirc$ rebid showed the strong variety with long hearts. Why Jassem thought that an immediate leap to $6 \triangleleft$ was correct I cannot imagine, but that is what he did, and it gave England the chance of a major swing. Paul led the ace of diamonds and McIntosh followed with the six. Paul continued with a second diamond and the swing was I3 IMPs to Poland
instead of to England, their second fortunate slam swing of the match.

Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

|  | - J 872 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 983 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A |  |  |
|  | \& K 1083 |  |  |
| - Q 4 | N |  | - AK |
| -KJ 75 |  | E | $\bigcirc$ A 104 |
| $\checkmark$ QJ 9 |  | E | $\checkmark 8752$ |
| \% 7542 | $109653$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 62$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 10643 |  |  |
|  | * J |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Tuczynski | Paul | Jassem | McIntosh |
| Pass | Pass | 1\% | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Robertson | Mroczkowski | Paske | Smieszkol |
| Pass | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 29 | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Paske opened INT and played 3NT after a Stayman sequence. Jassem opened a Polish Club and showed the strong variety with three or more hearts at his next turn. He too declared 3NT.
Smieszkol led the nine of spades. Paske won the king of spades and led the nine of clubs from hand, Smieszkol winning the jack and leading a second spade to the ace. Paske cashed the ace of hearts and led a second heart to the jack and queen. His idea, of course, was to play hearts this way because he needed two dummy entries to first finesse in clubs then cash the thirteenth club on a threethree break. When the heart finesse failed, he was three down for -150.
McIntosh chose to lead his fourth-best diamond. That went to the queen and ace and Paul switched to a low club. Jassem put in the queen, McIntosh dropping the jack, and led a diamond to McIntosh's king, Paul pitching a spade. Now Miclntosh led a spade. Jassem won and led a diamond to the jack, squeezing Paul out of another spade.

Jassem led a club next, ducking Paul's king, and the spades were cleared. The ace of hearts was followed by running the heart ten and, with no spade left, Paul returned a club. Jassem put in the nine and nine tricks for +400 and II IMPs to Poland.

Board 29. Dealer North. All Vul.

- KQ 6
- AKIO 3
$\triangleleft$ Q 103
2952


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuczynski | Paul | Jassem | McIntosh |
|  | 1980 | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 21 |
| Pass | 31 | Pass | 6NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Robertson | Mroczkowski | Paske | Smieszkol |
|  | 1980 | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 28 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3NT | Pass | 420 |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 5 | All Pass |  |

Even the five level is not secure - there could easily be two clubs and a heart to be lost - so Mroczowski/Smieszkol certainly outbid Paul/McIntosh by stopping in 5 rather than driving to slam.
From what we have seen earlier in the match, there is no reason to imagine that England were about to get lucky on a slam deal and they did not. The club was onside but the heart offside, so both declarers made II tricks; +650 to Poland but -I00 to England, and 13 IMPs to Poland.
The set ended with Poland ahead by 109-39.There were 32 boards to play, but it was going to take a major reversal of both form and fortune for England to repeat their semi-final comeback.

## PHILADELPHIA REGIONAL RESULTS

## 2nd WEDNESDSAY - THURSDAY KO, BKT\#I


22.01
15.4|
8.80
8.80

## 3/4

 Robert Levey, Bethesda MD
## 2nd THURSDAY - FRIDAY KO, BKT\#I

9 Tables
24.80
Iftikhar Baqai, Irvine CA; Mitch Dunitz, Sherman Oaks CA; Chris Larsen, Costa Mesa CA; Wafik Abdou, Bakersfield CA
2 Paul Benedict, Pikesville MD; Lynn Jones, Timonium MD; Ed Bissell, State College PA; Anthony Aukstikalnis, Harvey Cedars NJ
3/4 Christopher Seymoure, Mississauga ON; Sandy Wyley, Amarillo TX;
Jagdish Goenka, Mumbai 400026 India; Mary Gorkin, Baldwinsville NY
I Ken Gee, Regina SK; Hannah Moon, Prince Albert SK; Rumen Trendafilov, Varna Bulgaria; Peggy Allen, Chevy Chase MD; Denny Sacul
2 Real Fradette, North Wales PA; Dennis Wick, Greencastle PA; Tony Zacchei, Lower Gwynedd PA; Elaine Clair, Gwynedd Valley PA
Elaine Weintraub - Arlyne Shockman - Phyllis Taxin, Phila PA; Peggy Bruchansky, Marlton NJ

Albert Shrive, Dalton PA; Jim McKeown, State College PA; David Meyer, Scranton PA;
Pierre Beauregard, Saint-Lazare QC; Daniel Denison - Mary Lou Denison, Solana Beach CA

## 2nd FRIDAY COMPACT KO, BKT\#I

Jonathan Steinberg, Toronto ON; Ranald Davidson, North York ON; Marc-Andre Fourcaudot, Montreal QC; Michael Kenny, Richmond Hill ON
John Schwartz - C. Donald McLean - Bettie McLean, Macungie PA; Jane Havighurst, Allentown PA
Jacco Hop - Vincont de Pagter - Joris van Lankveld - Berend van den Bos, Holland Netherlands
5.434 Tien-Chun Yang, San Jose CA; Stephen Tu, Milpitas CA; Qing Yang, Cupertino CA;

Ethan Yi Liu, Santa Clara CA

## 2nd THURSDAY OPEN PAIRS

| 30.5 Tables |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14.35 | A | B | C |
| 10.76 | 2 | 1 |  |
| 8.07 | 3 |  |  |
| 6.05 | 4 |  |  |
| 4.78 | 5 |  |  |
| 4.10 | 6 |  |  |
| 5.54 | 7 | 2 | 1 |
| 4.15 | 8 | 3 |  |
| 3.11 | 9 | 4 |  |
| 3.36 |  | 5 | 2 |
| 2.23 |  | 6 | 3 |
| 1.77 |  |  | 4 |


| David Hoffner, Smithville NJ; Martin de Bruin, Egg Harbor Twp NJ | $64.47 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| John Miller,Vienna VA; Stephen Drodge, McLean VA | $61.91 \%$ |
| Poddar Dipak - Solani Jitendra, Mumbai India | $61.74 \%$ |
| Marc-Andre Fourcaudot, Montreal QC; Ranald Davidson, North York ON | $57.69 \%$ |
| Paul Hackett, Manchester England;William Whyte, Edinburgh Scotland | $57.39 \%$ |
| James Tucker Jr, Alexander City AL; Gaylor Kasle, Boca Raton FL | $57.16 \%$ |
| Steven Gewirtzman, Ambler PA; Clifford Wilson Jr, Skillman NJ | $56.77 \%$ |
| Dennis O'Brien, Ambler PA; Joan Brandeis, Glenside PA | $55.85 \%$ |
| Robert Zeckhauser, South Orange NJ;W Samuels Jr, Lafayette CA | $55.45 \%$ |
| Thomas Gallagher,Tinton Falls NJ; Brian Kruse, North Haledon NJ | $55.17 \%$ |
| Samuel Litzenberger, Hellertown PA; Maureen Connolly, Lakemont GA | $52.72 \%$ |
| Maxine Goodman, Philadelphia PA; Siddhi Vasa, Cherry Hill NJ | $51.21 \%$ |

## 2nd FRIDAY OPEN PAIRS

20.0 Tables

|  |  |  | A |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10.50 | 1 | $B$ | $C$ |
| 7.88 | 2 |  |  |
| 5.91 | 3 |  |  |
| 5.90 | 4 | 1 |  |
| 3.50 | 5 |  |  |
| 4.43 | 6 | 2 |  |
| 3.71 |  | 3 | 1 |
| 2.88 |  | 4 |  |
| 2.78 |  | 5 | 2 |
| 3.50 |  | 6 |  |
| 2.09 |  |  | 3 |
| 1.57 |  |  | 4 |


| Christopher Young, San Diego CA; Craig Huston, Portland OR | $60.51 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Marty Seligman, Philadelphia PA; Chris Compton, Dallas TX | $59.75 \%$ |
| Irwin Kostal Jr, Indio CA; Neil Stern, Bermuda Dunes CA | $58.17 \%$ |
| Zachary Grossack, Newton MA; Jourdain Patchett, Sarasota FL | $57.68 \%$ |
| Dick Yarington, Seattle WA; Ruth Nakano, Kirkland WA | $55.23 \%$ |
| Robert Zeckhauser, South Orange NJ; Samuels Jr, Lafayette CA | $54.79 \%$ |
| William Sedlis - Gregory Kiddy, Reading PA | $54.58 \%$ |
| John Miller,Vienna VA; Stephen Drodge, McLean VA | $54.19 \%$ |
| William Detterer, Reading PA;April Uhlenburg, King of Prussia PA | $53.59 \%$ |
| Todd Fisher, Chicago IL; Bryan Delfs, Pleasnt Prairie WI | $53.32 \%$ |
| Guy Novello, Boothwyn PA; John Tampanello, King of Prussia PA | $52.89 \%$ |
| Salvatore Marcello, Trenton NJ;Vincent Marcello, Hamilton NJ | $50.38 \%$ |

Complete Regional Event Results and Hand records are available at http://web2.acbl.org/hosted/districts/d4web/tournamentcalendar.htm

