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FORTNIGHT NEARS THE END


These are the hard-working staff members who produce all the deals - literally thousands - for the championships

Players at the World Bridge Series Championships have been at it for nearly two weeks with only one full day left. Those who have played every day deserve credit for their stamina.
Consider the players who started on opening day of the Generali Open Pairs on Saturday nearly a week ago. If they made it to the final, which started yesterday, they will end up playing 15 sessions.
With three sessions to go, the Open leaders, drop-ins from the Rosenblum, are Fulvio Fantoni and Claudio Nunes. In the Women's Pairs, another pair of drop-ins, Carla Arnolds and Bep Vriend are in front.
The IMP Pairs leaders are Joao-Paulo Campos and Miguel Vil-las-Boas. ACBL President Rich DeMartino and Patrick McDevitt are in the lead in the Hiron Trophy Senior Pairs.
The Chagas team held a narrow lead in the World Mixed Swiss Teams.

In theWorld Junior Championship, Israel and France will start play today for the Ortiz-Patino Trophy, and in the WorldYoungsters Championship, it will be England versus Poland for the Damiani Cup.
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## DISTRICT 3 <br> AUTUMN LEAF REGIONAL October 25-31, 2010 <br> Fall Foliage and Bridge go together!

Danbury Hotel \& Conference Center
Danbury CT 203-794-0600
Bridge Rate: \$94
(Reservation Deadline: October II)
New: Golden Opportunity Pairs 0-750 MPs, Friday, Oct. 29
Bracketed KOs, Bracketed Round Robin Teams;
Swiss Teams
Senior Pairs; Stratified Open Pairs, Newplicate one-session games
For complete information: www.bridge-district3.org

## Badges Needed for Prize Giving

The Prize Giving and Closing Ceremony is on Saturday October 16th at 5 p.m. in the Grand Ballroom on the 5th floor of the Marriott Hotel for players who have registered to attend.
Please note that you will need to show your badge to gain entry.

## VUGRAPH MATCHES

Matches (10.00, 13.10 )
BBO.I-VG: Table I Israel - France
(Juniors)

## Vugraph Schedule

Two sessions of the World Junior Championship for the Ortiz-Patino Trophy will be shown in the Vugraph Theater today at 10.00 and 13.10 - Israel versus France. There will be no more vugraph today or tomorrow.

## SCHEDULE OF EVENTS


(Today)
Mixed Swiss Teams
Qualifying sessions 6,7 at $\mathbf{I O} \mathbf{0 . 3 0}, \mathbf{I} \mathbf{2 . 2 0}$
Final / Plate sessions I-3 at 14.50, $16.40,18.30$

Ortiz-Patiño Trophy / Damiani Cup
Final / Play Off sessions at 10.00, I3.10, 15.50

GENERALI World Open / Women's Pairs
Final sessions 3,4 at II.00, I6.00
Hiron Trophy Senior Pairs
Final sessions 3, 4 at II.00, I6.00
IMP Pairs
Final sessions 3, 4 at II.00, I6.00
Youth Individual Contest
Final sessions I, 2 at II.30, I6.00
Plate sessions I, 2 at II.30, $\mathbf{1 6 . 3 0}$
(Tomorrow)
Mixed Swiss Teams
Final / Plate sessions 4, 5 at I 0.30, I $\mathbf{2 . 2 0}$
Ortiz-Patiño Trophy / Damiani Cup
Final / Play Off session at $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{0 . 0 0}$
GENERALI World Open / Women's Pairs Final session 5 at $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 0}$

Hiron Trophy Senior Pairs
Final sessions 5 at $\mathbf{I} 0.00$
IMP Pairs
Final sessions 5 at $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{0 . 0 0}$

## WORLD MIXED SWISS TEAMS

| Ranking after 5 Qualifying sessions |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Chagas | 100 |  | Kamras | 76 |
| 2 | Gordon | 98 |  | Pin An | 76 |
|  | Payen | 98 | 38 | Funbridge Girls FRA | 75 |
| 4 | Amigos | 96 | 39 | Berg | 74 |
| 5 | Green | 94 |  | Istanbul | 74 |
|  | Hinze | 94 | 41 | Rasmussen | 73 |
| 7 | Hennings | 93 | 42 | Harding | 72 |
| 8 | Hansa Narasimhan | 92 |  | Horn Lake 6 | 72 |
| 9 | India Alizee | 91 | 44 | Beijing Trinergy | 71 |
| 10 | Ida | 90 | 45 | Djarum | 70 |
|  | Wheeler | 90 |  | Ferlema | 70 |
| 12 | De Botton | 89 |  | Hargreaves | 70 |
| 13 | Steelers | 88 | 48 | Ekeblad | 68 |
| 14 | Auken | 86 |  | Gabrial UI | 68 |
|  | Frind | 86 | 50 | Cassini | 67 |
|  | Harris | 86 | 51 | Levine | 66 |
| 17 | Hansen | 85 | 52 | Hawkes | 65 |
|  | Nice Girls | 85 | 53 | France Girls | 64 |
|  | Schwartz | 85 |  | Rayner | 64 |
| 20 | Cooper | 82 |  | Team 913 | 64 |
| 21 | Stienen | 81 | 56 | Hertz | 63 |
| 22 | Cayne | 80 |  | Reedinger | 63 |
|  | Hauge | 80 | 58 | Drunken Kangaroos | 62 |
|  | O'Rourke | 80 |  | Feldman | 62 |
|  | Very Mixed | 80 | 60 | Fulton | 61 |
| 26 | Isporski | 79 | 61 | Meadow | 60 |
|  | Lay | 79 | 62 | Moscow | 59 |
| 28 | Willenken | 78 | 63 | Allison | 58 |
| 29 | Callaghan | 77 | 64 | Giesler | 57 |
|  | Cushing | 77 | 65 | Barrett | 54 |
|  | Dulet | 77 | 66 | Kahlenberg | 53 |
|  | Karsiyaka | 77 |  | Solodar | 53 |
|  | Meltzer | 77 | 68 | Latins | 51 |
| 34 | Glasson | 76 |  | Stober | 51 |
|  | Goldstein | 76 | 70 | Argemex | 38 |

## GENERALI WORLD OPEN PAIRS

| Final Results after 2 sessions (subject to confirmation) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank Names | Result |  | Joanna STANSBY - Lew STANSBY | 50.22 |
| I Fulvio FANTONI - Claudio NUNES | 58.21 | 38 | Zia MAHMOOD - Eric RODWELL | 50.04 |
| 2 Bobby LEVIN - Steve WEINSTEIN | 56.89 |  | Dominique PILON - Lionel SEBBANE | 49.61 |
| 3 Steve GARNER - Howard WEINSTEIN | 55.66 |  | Fred STEWART - Kit WOOLSEY | 49.60 |
| 4 Eric GRECO - Geoff HAMPSON | 55.22 |  | Bill POLLACK - Jeff ROMAN | 49.58 |
| 5 Gheorghe SERPOI - Calin STIRBU | 55.00 |  | Cezary BALICKI - Jacek PSZCZOLA | 49.33 |
| 6 Tim COPE - Glen HOLMAN | 54.76 |  | Alexander DUBININ - Andrey GROMOV | 48.99 |
| 7 Martin FLEISHER - Mike KAMIL | 54.69 |  | Yury KHIUPPENEN - Vadim KHOLOMEEV | 48.89 |
| 8 Marc BOMPIS - Jean-Christophe QUANTIN | 54.54 |  | Marian KUPNICKI - Leszek MAJDANSKI | 48.85 |
| 9 Vladimir MIHOV - Jerry STAMATOV | 54.35 |  | Ricco van PROOIJEN - Louk VERHEES JR | 48.63 |
| 10 Jouri KHOKHLOV - Georgi MATUSHKO | 54.05 |  | Ralph KATZ - Nick NICKELL | 48.62 |
| II Bjorn FALLENIUS - Peter FREDIN | 54.02 |  | Jan JANSMA - Gert Jan PAULISSEN | 48.37 |
| 12 Rui LI - Jiang TONG | 53.99 |  | Hemant LALL - Justin LALL | 48.24 |
| 13 Ashley BACH - Ishmael DELMONTE | 53.55 |  | Janusz MAKARUK - Pawel NIEDZIELSKI | 48.06 |
| 14 Perry JOHNSON - Jeff MECKSTROTH | 53.37 |  | Siu-Kau Samuel WAN - Derek ZEN | 47.91 |
| 15 Dawei CHEN - Kazuo FURUTA | 52.81 |  | Tom HANLON - Hugh MCGANN | 47.86 |
| 16 Lixin YANG - Ban Xiang ZHANG | 52.77 | 53 | Michael POLOWAN - Jacob MORGAN | 47.71 |
| 17 John HURD - Joel WOOLDRIDGE | 52.44 |  | Hailong AO - Jian-Jian WANG | 47.66 |
| 18 Franck MULTON - Pierre ZIMMERMANN | 52.42 |  | Dominik FILIPOWICZ - Michal NOWOSADZKI | 47.47 |
| 19 John DIAMOND - Brian PLATNICK | 52.23 | 56 | Karl GOHL - Neil KIMELMAN | 47.43 |
| 20 Eldad GINOSSAR - Ron PACHTMAN | 51.99 |  | Stephen BURGESS - Michael COURTNEY | 47.38 |
| 20 Sherif NOSHY - Ahmed YOUSRY <br> 22 Borislav POPOV - Stefan SKORCHEV | 51.99 51.94 | 58 | David BAKHSHI - Tom TOWNSEND | 47.09 |
| 23 Brian GLUBOK - Philip GORDON | 51.89 | 59 | Jie ZHAO - Zhong FU | 46.70 |
| 24 Honey B PRABHAKAR - Rajeshwar TEWARI | 51.78 | 60 | Sunit CHOKSHI - Subhash GUPTA | 46.68 |
| 25 Peter BOYD - Steve ROBINSON | 51.62 |  | Kalin KARAIVANOV - Tony RUSEV | 46.66 |
| 26 Marius IONITA - Cornel TEODORESCU | 51.58 |  | Pierre SAPORTA - Jean-Michel VOLDOIRE | 46.55 |
| 27 Piotr GAWRYS - Piotr TUSZYNSKI | 51.53 | 63 | Curtis CHEEK - Joe GRUE | 46.22 |
| 28 Krzysztof JASSEM - Krzysztof MARTENS | 51.51 | 64 | Shane BLANCHARD - Brad MOSS | 46.14 |
| 29 Taufik Gautama ASBI - Robert PARASIAN | 51.37 |  | Tor HELNESS - Danny SPRUNG | 44.78 |
| 30 Bob HAMMAN - Mike PASSELL | 51.23 |  | Xinli GAN - Qiang ZHANG | 44.73 |
| 31 Jim FOSTER - Larry SEALY | 51.21 |  | Jason FELDMAN - John KRANYAK | 44.64 |
| 32 Boye BROGELAND - Gavin WOLPERT | 51.21 |  | Doug DOUB - Adam WILDAVSKY | 44.54 |
| 33 Bart BRAMLEY - Nikolay DEMIREV | 51.09 |  | Xu HOU - Miao SHI | 43.91 |
| 34 William JACOBS - Ben THOMPSON | 50.75 |  | Michael ROSENBERG - Warren SPECTOR | 43.57 |
| 35 Josef PIEKAREK - Alexander SMIRNOV | 50.49 |  | Piotr WALCZAK - Jan ZADROGA | 42.56 |
| 36 Alexander ALLFREY - Andrew ROBSON | 50.40 |  | Yuliy CHUMAK - Oleg ROVYSHYN | 40.73 |

## GENERALI WORLD WOMEN's PAIRS

## Final Results after 2 sessions (subject to confirmation)

| Rank | Names | Result | 19 | Aida SALDZIEVA - Betty SPEELMAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |

## IMP PAIRS

| Rank | Names | Result | 37 | Bob ETTER - Bob MORRIS | 173.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Joao-Paulo CAMPOS - Miguel VILLAS-BOAS | 2502.0 |  | Jiang GU - Xiaodong SHI | 38.0 |
|  | Onno ESKES - Vincent KROES | 2391.0 | 39 | Ahmed HUSSEIN - Apolinary KOWALSKI | 31.0 |
|  | Judith GARTAGANIS - Nicholas GARTAGANIS | 2293.0 |  | Meng KANG - Shaolin SUN | 0.0 |
|  | Daniel WILDERMAN - Kenneth ZUCKERBERG | 2217.0 |  | Masayuki INO - Tadashi TERAMOTO | -7.0 |
|  | Michal KOPECKY - Josef KURKA | 1823.0 |  | Jaroslaw CIESLAK - Piotr ZAK | -38.0 |
|  | Boguslaw GIERULSKI - Jerzy SKRZYPCZAK | 1800.0 | 43 | Ralph BUCHALTER - Alexander ORNSTEIN | -110.0 |
|  | Waldemar FRUKACZ - Krzysztof KOTOROWI | II715.0 |  | Andrew ROSENTHAL - Aaron SILVERSTEIN | -281.0 |
|  | Alex GIPSON - Paul GIPSON | 1536.0 | 45 | David WALKER - Kevin WILSON | -304.0 |
|  | Kaustubh BENDRE - Sandeep THAKRAL | 1476.0 | 46 | R Jay BECKER - Robert SARTORIUS | -453.6 |
|  | Kiran NADAR - Bachiraju SATYANARAYANA | 1422.4 | 47 | Manol ILIEV - Stefan STEFANOV | -492.0 |
|  | Mehmet GUNEL - Naci YUKSEL | 1412.0 | 48 | Richard COREN - Barnet J SHENKIN | -493.0 |
|  | Ari David GREENBERG JR - Billy MILLER | 1380.0 | 49 | Radu MIHAI - Paul WEINSTOCK | -499.0 |
|  | Morten BILDE - Jorgen HANSEN | 1350.0 | 50 | Catherine CAPLAN - Paul CAPLAN | -518.0 |
|  | Volodymyr DANYLYUK - Vladimir PORHUN | I 180.0 |  | Gordon CAMPBELL - Piotr KLIMOWICZ | -652.0 |
|  | Maija ROMANOVSKA - Karlis RUBINS | 1115.0 |  | Bobby JONES - Jim KREKORIAN | -669.0 |
|  | Ricardo ANGELERI - Marcelo VILLEGAS | 1095.0 |  | Anna ST CLAIR - Dee HARLEY | -740.0 |
|  | Barry SPECTOR - Randy THOMPSON | 1079.0 | 54 | Peter BERTHEAU - Gunnar HALLBERG | -762.0 |
|  | Harley BRESS - Garth YETTICK | 1048.0 | 5 | Philippe SOULET - Maurice TCHENIO | -770.0 |
|  | Kelley HWANG - John ZILIC | 1036.5 | 56 | Chuck BURGER - Dennis KASLE | -840.5 |
|  | Willem van EIJCK - Nicolas HAMMOND | 986.0 | 57 | Steve BEATTY - Ronald GERARD | -880.0 |
|  | Gary COHLER - Eric ROBINSON | 953.0 | 58 | Alejandro BIANCHEDI - Ernesto MUZZIO | -1010.0 |
|  | Bruce FERGUSON - Robert HOLLMAN Wolfe THOMPSON - Marc ZWERLING | 951.0 937.0 | 59 | Irving LITVACK - William F. E.TUCKER | - 1287.0 |
|  | Thomas CHARLSEN - Thor Erik HOFTANISKA | 856.3 | 60 | Michael YUEN - Maurice DE LA SALLE | - 1370.0 |
|  | Karen Lee BARRETT - Carol Ann CLIFFORD | 849.2 | 61 | Roberto BARBOSA - Paulo Roberto BRUM | -1460.0 |
|  | Rajeev GUPTA - Joyjit SENSARMA | 802.7 | 62 | Adam PARRISH - Randall RUBINSTEIN | -1635.0 |
|  | Adi KALIANIWALA - B WADIA | 752.0 | 63 | Mckenzie MYERS - Robert TODD | -1758.0 |
|  | Alon APTEKER - Craig GOWER | 740.0 | 64 | Craig GANZER - R POPPER | - 1998.0 |
|  | Andrew HOSKINS - Jason ROSENFELD | 713.5 |  | Claire TORNAY - George TORNAY | -2012.0 |
|  | Julien GAVIARD - Juan Carlos VENTIN | 523.0 |  | Richard MORGEN - Andy MUENZ | -2164.0 |
|  | Shireen MOHANDES - Andy BOWLES | 410.0 |  | Maritha POTTENGER - Judy RIMER | -2423.0 |
| 32 J | J BRYANT - Mark LAIR | 382.0 | 68 | Samuel IEONG - Pedro Leonel loklon IEONG | -2550.0 |
| 33 D | Dario ATTANASIO - Guiseppe FAILLA | 357.0 |  | Stanley DUB - Greg MICHAELS | -2579.0 |
| 34 S | Serge BERGHEIMER - Jean-Claude FOUASSIER | 272.0 | 70 | Sally CLARK - Robin TAYLOR | -2687.0 |
|  | Isabella VARGAS DE ANDRADE - Stanley BARG | 192.0 |  | Robert HEITZMAN - Stan TULIN | -3392.0 |
| 36 M | Makiko SATO - Kyoko SHIMAMURA | 175.0 | 72 | Ender AKSUYEK - Marco TER LAARE | -3928.0 |

## HIRON TROPHY SENIOR PAIRS

| Final Results after 2 sessions (subject to confirmation) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Names | Result | 15 | Albert FAIGENBAUM - Romain ZALESKI | 50.07 |
| 1 | Rich DEMARTINO - Patrick MCDEVITT | 57.14 | 16 | Ken COHEN - Neal SATTEN | 49.59 |
| 2 | Lew FINKEL - Dan GERSTMAN | 56.04 | 17 | Sandra FRASER - Douglas FRASER | 49.38 |
| 3 | Kyoko OHNO - Akihiko YAMADA | 55.91 | 18 | Michael GORE - Walter SCHENKER | 49.04 |
| 4 | Sam LEV - Reese MILNER | 55.15 | 18 | Dan MORSE - John SUTHERLIN | 49.04 |
| 5 | Farid ASSEMI - Edward WOJEWODA | 55.01 | 20 | Amilcar MAGALHAES - Pedro MANDELOT | 48.90 |
| 6 | Roald RAMER - Jerzy RUSSYAN | 53.30 | 21 | Rebecca (Becky) ROGERS - John GRANTHAM | 48.35 |
| 7 | Bruce NODA - Mark RALPH | 53.16 | 22 | Victor MELMAN - Shalom ZELIGMAN | 48.15 |
| 8 | Tom KNIEST - Don STACK <br> Martin HIRSCHMAN - Franklin KASLE | 52.75 52.20 | 23 | Makoto HIRATA - Tadashi YOSHIDA | 47.80 |
| 10 | Christian MARI - Stanley WALTER | 51.79 | 24 | Cynthia COLIN - Jeff HAND | 45.54 |
| 11 | Julian KLUKOWSKI - Victor MARKOWICZ | 51.65 | 25 | Mohsen Mohamed KAMEL - Wael WATTAR | 44.02 |
| 12 | Art GULBRANDSEN - Michael SPERO | 50.96 | 26 | Mickie KIVEL - Nadine WOOD | 43.68 |
| 13 | Jerry GAER - Markland JONES | 50.48 | 27 | Arnold FISHER - Fred PAUL | 41.83 |
| 14 | Robert BITTERMAN - Jerry HELMS | 50.21 | 28 | Barbara KEPPLE - Carl BERENBAUM | 38.87 |

## Final - Session I Rosenblum Cup For Those Who Like Action by Brent Manley

The first quarter of the Rosenblum Cup final match between the Nick Nickell and John Diamond teams promised to be a hard-fought affair. The Nickell squad have proven themselves in high-level competition, and the Diamond team ran roughshod over the Rosenblum field to get to the championship round.
There was action on the first board out of the box.
Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.


Fred Gitelman and Brad Moss had only two tricks coming to them, and Meckstroth quickly chalked up plus 450. At the other table, Zia Mahmood bid too much and landed in the proverbial soup.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hampson | Hamman | Greco | Zia |
|  | Pass | $1{ }_{1}$ | 29 |
| 21 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | 4NT |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

If Zia's 4NT suggested minors, Hamman must have thought his partner's primary suit was much longer than it actually was. Not that $5 \triangleleft$ was going to be a bargain. Zia's aggressive bid cost the team minus 800, and Diamond was off to an 8-0 lead.
In the semifinal round the on Tuesday night, a falsecard on defense by the Wolfson team appeared to lead to con-
fusion and a less-than-optimum result. On board 2 in the Nickell-Diamond match, there was a feeling of déjà vu.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.


There was no chance for Geoff Hampson to make this contract on a 4-2 fit with all those high trumps on his left. The result was two down for minus 100 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rodwell | Moss | Meckstroth | Gitelman |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| $1 \diamond *$ | Pass | $1\rangle$ | Pass |
| 20 | All Pass |  |  |

Both pairs play Precision, so a $\backslash \triangleleft$ opener can often be made on a doubleton with a five-card club suit on the side. Meckstroth's pass turned out to be an excellent decision.
Brad Moss started with a low trump, taken in dummy by Rodwell with the ace. The $£ 2$ went to the 9 and jack, and Moss got out with a second spade to the 6,8 and queen. Rodwell pulled trumps by playing the K from hand, then exited with the $\triangleleft 8$. Moss won the 9 and played a low heart. Rodwell put in the 9 and Gitelman played the ace. A spade to the ace put Rodwell in, Moss discarding the $\triangleleft$ J. Rodwell now played the $\Delta K$ to Moss's ace, and he could have scuttled the contract by forcing out Rodwell's last
trump with a heart switch, but he simply cashed the $\triangleleft \mathbf{Q}$, allowing declarer to claim plus 90 for a 5-IMP gain.
Diamond picked up an overtrick IMP on the next board, then another three when East/West at both tables played in 49, one down, but doubled by Moss.
Nickell moved ahead on this deal:
Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.


Moss opened the North hand $1 \S$, and Gitelman bid, in turns, 14, 24, 3
Rodwell led a diamond, and Meckstroth took the ace and continued the suit. Gitelman is reported to have placed the $\mathrm{A} K \mathrm{~K}$ on the table, claiming if the suit split. It did not, so it was minus 50 .
Zia and Hamman did not venture past the four level, and in fact made only 10 tricks, but it was $10-1 \mathrm{MP}$ gain for Nickell, now in front 16-12.
The seesaw went Diamond's way on the next deal, putting the team back in the lead.


Fred Gitelman, USA

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.
Q QJIO6543
$\bigcirc 2$
$\diamond$ Q 3
\& A 63

| - 9 | N | ¢ K 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 10854 |  | $\bigcirc$ AJ 73 |
| $\diamond$ K 109875 | W E | $\checkmark 62$ |
| - 2 | S | \& K Q 1087 |
|  | - A 87 |  |
|  | ¢K96 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ 4 |  |
|  | - J 954 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rodwell | Moss | Meckstroth |  |
|  |  | Gitelman |  |

Meckstroth led the K , taken by Moss with the ace. Meckstroth covered the $\mathbf{Q}$, and when Moss returned to hand with a spade and led a heart up, Meckstroth took the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ and cashed the Q . That was it for the defense. Moss had plus 990.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hampson | Hamman | Greco | Zia |
| $2 \diamond$ |  | INT | Pass |
| $5 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $3 \curvearrowright$ | $4 \uparrow$ |
| $5 \triangleleft$ | Pass | Dble |  |

All Pass
Greco was three down for minus 500, but the net gain for Diamond was 10 IMPs.
Nickell picked up 4 IMPs on this deal:
Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.

- J 7

PAK 6
$\diamond$ A Q 1064

- 762

| - A 542 | N | - Q 98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 87$ |  | QQJ542 |
| $\diamond 19$ |  | $\diamond$ K 753 |
| * AKQJ 5 | S | ¢ 8 |
|  | - K 1063 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 1093$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 82$ |  |
|  | 210943 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rodwell | Moss | Meckstroth | Gitelman <br> Pass |
| INT | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $\mathbf{2} \oslash$ | All Pass |  |  |

Moss led the $\mathbf{~} \mathrm{J}$ : queen, king, ace. Rodwell led a heart at trick two, taken by Moss with the ace. Now a second spade allowed North a third-round ruff, and he exited with the $\forall A$ and a diamond to the king. Rodwell then ran clubs, pitching diamonds from dummy, and exited with a heart. No more defensive tricks were available, so Rodwell earned plus IIO.
Hampson got to $2 \triangleleft$ via the same route, and Hamman started with the $\mathbf{~ 7 . ~ Z i a ~ d e c l i n e d ~ t o ~ c o v e r , ~ s o ~ t h e ~ l e a d ~ w a s ~}$ won in dummy. Hampson played a diamond from dummy's king to his jack, losing to Hamman's queen. The \$ ${ }^{\text {J went to the queen, king and ace, and Hampson cashed }}$ two clubs, pitching the losing spade from dummy. Now a diamond went to Hamman's ace and Zia ruffed the third round of diamonds with the 9 , exiting with a club. Hamman ruffed with the 8 K and played a fourth round of diamonds, promoting Zia's $\vee I O$ for the setting trick.
That was 4 IMPs to Nickell.
This deal brought about another of those falsecarding dilemmas.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

|  |  | ¢ A |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark 93$ |  |  |
|  |  | 218 |  |  |
| Q Q J |  | N | 4 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ 9 | 42 |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| $\diamond 5$ |  |  | $\Delta \mathrm{K}$ | 104 |
| * 6 |  | S | $\pm \mathrm{A}$ | K Q 10972 |
|  |  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~K}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\bigcirc$ Q |  |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ A | 8762 |  |
|  |  | $\bigcirc 4$ |  |  |
| West | No |  | East | South |
| Rodwell | Mos |  | Meckstroth | Gitelman |
|  | Pass |  | 3NT* | Pass |
| 4\% | All |  |  |  |

Meckstroth's 3NT opener described a hand with a long minor suit.
Moss started with the $\diamond 9$, Gitelman overtaking with the queen rather than the jack at trick one. Gitelman now
played the K and a spade to his partner's ace, but Moss, apparently believing that Rodwell had the $\diamond$ J, continued with a diamond. Rodwell ruffed and claimed when the trumps split. Plus I30.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hampson | Hamman | Greco | Zia |
|  | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2 *}$ | $2 \diamond$ |
| $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $2 N T$ | $3 \triangleleft$ |
| $3 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $4 \dot{e}$ | All Pass |

Zia led a club, and all Eric Greco could do was pull trumps and lead a spade from hand. Zia won the $\mathbf{~ K}$ and exited with a spade. Hamman won the ace and switched to the $\diamond 9$. That was one down and 6 IMPs to Nickell.
On board 14, both North/South pairs bid to 69, but there was a slam swing to Nickell on the next-to-last board, thanks to the aggressive tactics by Meckstroth and Rodwell.

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

|  | Q 762 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ Q 875 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 7 |  |
|  | \& A 86 |  |
| ¢ J 83 | N | - 4 |
| $\bigcirc 10962$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A 3 |
| $\diamond$ Q 2 |  | $\diamond 109543$ |
| \& Q 1042 | S | \& KJ753 |
|  | - AKQ 1095 |  |
|  | Q J 4 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KJ 86 |  |
|  | -9 |  |

Zia and Hamman charged unimpeded - with cuebids and Blackwood - to the lay-down spade slam and easily scored up plus 1430. Hampson and Greco had a lot more to deal with at their table.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hampson | Hamman | Greco | Zia |
|  |  |  | 14 |
| Pass | 28 | Dble | 31 |
| 4\% | $4 \diamond$ | 5\% | Pass |
| Pass | 54 | All Pass |  |

The barrage by Meckstroth and Rodwell shows why they are such dangerous opponents. Hampson and Greco had very little room to explore for slam, and in the end they went the conservative route. It was hard to tell, after all, whether they were being jobbed out of a cold vulner-
able slam or stampeded into a no-play contract, such as the one that Zia and Hamman got to on the final board.

Board I6. Dealer West. E/W Vul.

- Q J

Q Q 8432
$\diamond$ A 8763

| - 976 | N | - AK843 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢J65 |  | $\bigcirc 1097$ |
| $\checkmark 102$ | W E | $\checkmark$ Q 5 |
| \& 65432 | S | \& 1087 |
|  | -1052 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KJ 94 |  |
|  | * AKJ9 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rodwell | Moss | Meckstroth | Gitelman |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \mathbf{1}$ | Dble |
| Pass | $2 \mathbf{1}$ | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| Dble | 4 | All Pass. |  |

Meckstroth could have cashed two spades, but he started with a heart, enabling Moss to take all the tricks for plus 510 .
At the other table, the wheels came off in the Zia/Hamman auction.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hampson | Hamman | Greco | Zia |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \searrow$ |
| Pass | $3 \Delta$ | Dble | Pass |
| Pass | Redbl | Pass | $4 N T$ |
| Pass | $5 N T$ | Pass | $6 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Zia must have thought that Hamman's redouble showed a control in the spades. In any event, it was over quickly as Greco cashed his spade winners for an II-IMP gain.
After one quarter, Nickell led 39-34.


## Championship Diary



Peter Hasenson emails from London: Watching the world championships on BBO and Swan it struck me that bridge is perhaps the only sport in the world where the spectators (often several thousand miles away) know the result before the participants?
Can you think of any other sport where this also applies?
lain Sime, Edinburgh:
Re alternative verbs for 'beat', the popular one up here these days is 'mullered'- especially popular since a World Cup football match involving Germany and England in South Africa.

Simon Cochemé writes from London again:
I read in the Bulletins that 'World Players must RSVP by 3 pm .' Well, they would have to be World players, versed in French and Latin, to understand the instruction. I was disappointed that the following sentence did not read 'Regional Players must reply by 3 in the afternoon.'
Anyway, it got me thinking about other verbs (I use the term loosely) that are made up of initials. OK sprang to mind ...and KO and OD. What about in bridge? 'They RKCBed their way to a slam, MUDing in the club suit was the best lead.'

That inspired me to come up with a few for readers to work on:

| ACBL | EHAA | NBB |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BAM | FFB | PODI |
| CBF | GSF | RHO |
| DBV | HCP | ROPI |
| DEPO | HUM | SAYC |
| DOPI | IMP | SBU |
| DOPE | IPBA | SOS |
| DONT | IPBM | UNT |
| EBL | LHO | WBF |
| EBU | LOL | WBU |

We offer a prize for the best effort submitted to the Daily Bulletin: a session with Barry Rigal. Second prize - you guessed it: two sessions with B...

## Final - Session 2 <br> Rosenblum Cup Sting in the Tail

As the second session of the Rosenblum final got under way Diamond trailed 34-39 IMPs. Nickell's lead did not survive the opening deal:

Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.

> Q Q 43
> $\diamond$ A 97
> $\diamond$ J 1086
> $\leqslant$ A 107


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | Hampson | Meckstroth | Greco |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | 18 | Dble |
| Rdbl | Pass | 28 | 2 |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |

All Pass
South led the three of diamonds and declarer won with dummy's ace and played the jack of clubs. North won and switched to a low heart, so the defenders played two rounds of the suit and North then switched to the three of spades. There were still two tricks to come, -300 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diamond | Katz | Platnick | Nickell |
|  | Pass | 38 | Pass |

South led the jack of spades and declarer took dummy's ace and played the club. As before North won and two rounds of hearts followed, booking declarer for the same two down. Absent the double that was 5 IMPs for Diamond.

Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.


Q 2

- K 10974

943
1043

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rodwell | Hampson <br> Meckstroth | Greco <br> Pass | 1. |
| Pass | $2 \diamond *$ | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

West led the nine of hearts and declarer won the second round with dummy's ace and ran for home, +600

## Closed Room

| West | North | East <br> Platnick | South <br> Nickell |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Katz |  | Pass | $1 乌$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 4 | Pass | 5 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

You can understand why North rebid $3 \diamond$ (as opposed to say $2 N T$ ) but it turned out badly when the no-trump game was bypassed.
East led the queen of hearts and declarer won and had to find a way to avoid three losers. We can see that drawing trumps and playing on clubs would work, but declarer could not. He tried the nine of spades at trick two and played low when East followed with the three. West won and the defenders cashed out for one down, IO IMPs for Diamond.

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.

- A Q J 3

คJ875
$\triangleleft$ Q 10

- 1074
- K 4
$\triangleright 432$
$\diamond$ AKJ 62
- 862

- 1098
$\checkmark$ AKQ 9
$\diamond 854$
\& 195
. 7652
$\bigcirc 106$
$\diamond 973$
- AK Q 3
ered by the jack and queen. South switched to the seven of spades and the defenders cashed their winners for three down, - 150 and 6 IMPs

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.

|  | - K 952 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢QJ843 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | $852$ |  |
| - A Q J 3 | N | -1064 |
| คA1062 |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 95$ |
| $\checkmark$ A 2 |  | $\diamond$ J65 |
| ¢ AK 4 | S | - J 109 |
|  | - 87 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 7$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K Q 9874 |  |
|  | ¢ Q 763 |  |

Open Room

| West <br> Rodwell | North <br> Hampson | East <br> Meckstroth | South <br> Greco <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \mathbf{2 0 *}^{*}$ | I $\$$ | Dble* $^{*}$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

North led the two of spades and when dummy's ten held declarer played a spade to the queen and North's king. He ducked the diamond switch, won the next spade, cashed the ace of hearts and played a heart to the jack and king. He then played the nine of hearts and claimed his contract, +400 .

## Closed Room

| West <br> Diamond | North <br> Katz | East <br> Platnick | South <br> Nickell <br> 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dbl | Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass |
| $4 \oslash$ | All Pass |  |  |

South led the eight of spades and declarer put up dummy's ace and followed it with the ace of hearts. His next move was a heart to the king and South discard of the eight of diamonds was a bitter blow. The jack of clubs was covered by the queen and ace and a low spade was taken by North's king. He cashed two trumps and played a diamond. Declarer took the ace and could cash his black suit winners, but that was only nine tricks, -50 and 10 IMPs to Nickell.

With two deals left in the set, Nickell led 56-53. The next two deals went a long way to deciding the final outcome.

Board 3I. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

|  | - AJ4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AQJ 10 |  |
|  | $\triangleleft$ A 875 |  |
|  | - A 3 |  |
| - 62 | N | ¢ K Q 10987 |
| $\bigcirc 96542$ |  | $\bigcirc 83$ |
| $\diamond 642$ |  | $\diamond 103$ |
| ¢ K 106 | S | * J 54 |
|  | - 53 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 7$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K Q 9 |  |
|  | - Q 9872 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | Hampson | Meckstroth | Greco |
|  |  |  | । $\diamond$ |
| Pass | 18 | 24 | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | 30 |
| Pass | 4NT | All Pass |  |

Declarer scored his top tricks, +660 .

## Closed Room

West

Diamond $\quad$\begin{tabular}{l}
North <br>
Katz

$\quad$

East <br>
Platnick

$\quad$

South <br>
Nickell <br>
Pass
\end{tabular}

## 3. Minors

An effective sequence to a good contract.
East led the king of spades and declarer won with the ace, played a diamond to the king and played three rounds

of hearts. When East ruffed in with the ten declarer overruffed.
The winning line now is to play a club to the ace, cash the queen of hearts to get rid of the losing spade and play a club. That leaves the defenders without resource.
If you treat East's ruff with the ten of diamonds as a restricted choice type of play perhaps you can find that line.
When declarer played a second trump, he could not recover, down two, -200.

Board 32. Dealer West. E/WVul.

|  | - A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢K873 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AKQ 1064 |  |
|  | \& A 3 |  |
| -108643 | N | - K 972 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 104 |  | $\bigcirc 95$ |
| $\diamond 7$ |  | $\checkmark 9853$ |
| 2 Q 984 | S | 4 765 |
|  | Q QJ 5 |  |
|  | Q AJ 62 |  |
|  | $\diamond{ }^{\text {d }} 2$ |  |
|  | \& KJ 102 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rodwell | Hampson | Meckstroth | Greco |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | $2 \wedge^{*}$ |
| Pass | $3 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | $3 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ |
| Pass | $4 \wedge^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | $6 \mathbf{N}^{*}$ |
| Pass | $7 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

18. Strong

2 I2-I3 balanced
4〉 Kickback
4NT I key card
5 8 King ask
6\% Club King
Something went seriously wrong here as North/South landed in a very poor contract.
East led the three of diamonds and declarer won with dummy's jack and drew trumps, discarding a heart from dummy as West parted with three spades. Declarer cashed the king of hearts, East following with the five, and played a heart to the nine and ace. He played the jack of spades to the ace, cashed the ten of diamonds, throwing dummy's last heart, East discarding the seven of clubs and

West the ten of spades. When he played the last diamond East threw the seven of spades, dummy the queen of spades and West. ...the queen of hearts.
Declarer's hearts were good now so that was a fortuitous + 1440 .
Declarer's line was perhaps the best available (although at double dummy the contract can be made), hoping for a doubleton queen of hearts, or Qxx with East.
Clearly at the end West was catering for declarer being I-2-6-4, when he needs to keep all his clubs.
However, if East's shape had been 4-4-4-I, he would surely have thrown a third heart on the last diamond.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diamond | Katz | Platnick | Nickell |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | 54 | Dble | Pass |
| Pass | 68 | All Pass |  |

Declarer won the spade lead in dummy, played a heart to the ace and a heart to the king, claiming when East followed, +980 . That was 10 IMPs to Diamond
If Katz had made $6 \diamond$ and Rodwell defeated $7 \diamond$ Nickell would have scored 26 IMPs. As it was diamonds had been brilliant for Diamond who picked up 23 IMPs on the two deals.
It had been a great set for Diamond, who led 76-56 IMPs.


## Bots Battle Bit-By-Bit...

by AI Levy

In the closest round-robin finish in the 14 year history of the World Computer-Bridge Championship, the last match determined all 4 qualifiers for the semifinal KO stage. With one round to go any one of the top five contenders could have been eliminated.
The final result was WBridge5 first with IIOVPs, followed by Shark Bridge with 108 VPs , Jack with 106 VPs and Bridge Baron with 103 VPs . Q-Plus Bridge lost its last match to Shark Bridge by a 6-24 VP margin, and was eliminated with 99 VPs . Micro Bridge was also out of the money with a respectable 74 VPs , and newcomer Moose Bridge managed only 6VPs, in what the software developer referred to as a 'learning experience.'
Since Q-Plus Bridge won't have a chance to show off in the KO stage, here's a hand that it defended truly 'double dummy' in the last round.

| Dealer North. Both Vul |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - AJIO |  |  |
| QJ109 |  |  |
| $\checkmark 762$ |  |  |
| ¢ 10762 |  |  |
| - Q 98 | N | ¢ 764 |
| $\bigcirc$ AKQ 52 |  | $\bigcirc 743$ |
| $\checkmark$ Q 94 |  | $\diamond 3$ |
| - A 3 | S | KJ9642 |
|  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 86$ |  |  |
| $\diamond$ AJ 1085 |  |  |
|  | * Q 8 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shark | Q-Plus | Shark | Q-Plus |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| I | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | $3 \cap$ | All Pass |

Q-Plus found the double dummy defense to defeat 3 ; ${ }^{\text {a }}$ to partner's K ; spade to the 10 ; A ; diamond to the ace; and the fourth spade promoting the setting trick.
All that, and Q-Plus Bridge has to wait until next year to display its talents in the 15th annual championship, to be held in Veldhoven, The Netherlands, as part of the 40th World Team Championships.

## Sharlk Attack <br> by AI Levy

Board 15 of the round-robin third round produced a big swing for Shark Bridge against Jack, when both played in $6 \bigcirc$ and the lead was crucial.

| Dealer South. North-South Vul |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 7 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 8652$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 83 |  |  |
| QJ865 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10965 \\ & >K 7 \end{aligned}$ | N | - AKQ 8 |
|  | W E | $\bigcirc$ AQJIO943 |
| $\checkmark$ QJ 652 | W E | $\diamond$ - |
| - A 3 | S | \% 42 |
|  | - J 432 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 10974 |  |
|  | \& K 1097 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shark | Jack | Shark | Jack |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | Pass | 20* | Pass |
| $2 \checkmark^{*}$ | Pass | 28 | Pass |
| 2NT* | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 4NT* | Pass | $6{ }^{*}$ | Pass |
| 68 | All Pass |  |  |

2e Not game forcing
2NT Waiting, denies 3 hearts
6 $\quad 2$ key cards + diamond void

## Open Room

| West | North <br> Jack | Shark | East <br> Jack |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | South |
| :--- |
| Shark |

## 2e Not game forcing <br> 24 Waiting, denies three hearts

5. 2 key cards + 8 Q

As the cards lie, $6 \bigcirc$ goes down except on a spade lead. At Table I, Jack made the unfortunate lead of a spade, and $6 \bigcirc$ made. At Table 2, Shark Bridge had an easy club lead and 68 had no play.
A most interesting play occurred in the Q-Plus Bridge vs. Micro Bridge match. At one table Micro Bridge opened $4 \bigcirc$ and played it there, making 7 on a spade lead. At the other table Q-Plus Bridge played in 6s from the West position. North led the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ and Q -Plus Bridge ruffed with the $\uparrow$, and led the $₫ 8$ ! A good play to induce a defender with $\mathbf{v x x x}^{\text {to }}$ win the trick. Declarer will then succeed unless a club is returned and the defender with 4 spades has a heart void. South ducked the $\uparrow 8$ and declarer had no play, down one.
(If South wins the jack and returns a club, declare is defeated, but if declarer has the the safe defense is to duck the $\$ 8$. Maybe a better play is for declarer to play the A trick two before leading the 8 , but then the short spade hand has a chance to signal and make the


# PHILADELPHIA REGIONAL RESULTS 



Complete Regional Event Results and Hand records are available at http://web2.acbl.org/hosted/districts/d4web/tournamentcalendar.htm

## Final - Session 4 Rosenblum Cup

In baseball, a closing pitcher, more frequently referred to as a closer (abbreviated CL), is a relief pitcher who specializes in closing out games, i.e., getting the final outs. Closers often appear when the score is close, and the role often goes to a team's best reliever. A small number of closers have won the Cy Young Award. Dennis Eckersley, Rollie Fingers, Goose Gossage, Bruce Sutter and Hoyt Wilhelm are closers who have been elected to the Baseball Hall of Fame.
Closers are often the highest-paid relief pitchers on their teams, since they are expected to be the most talented and 'mentally tough'.
At this tournament I seem to have spent a lot of my time writing up the last set of numerous matches, but my new nickname, 'the Closer' implies nothing about my mental toughness, and even less about my salary.

Diamond led 90-69 IMPs, handy, but a couple of big swings could quickly change that. They did.

Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.

- K 1065

ค 543
$\diamond$ K 105
\& A 106


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zia | Greco | Hamman | Hampson |
|  | l $\diamond *$ | Pass | $2 \diamond *$ |
| 32 | Pass | Pass | 31 |
| Pass | 49* | Pass | 4NT* |
| Pass | $5{ }^{\text {\% }}$ * | Pass | 51 |

All Pass

## I $\diamond$ 10-15 2+ diamonds <br> $2 \diamond \quad$ Inverted

West's intervention allowed North to show the modest nature of his hand by passing. When South introduced his second suit North cue bid his club control and South asked for key cards, signing off when North could only admit to two.
West led the nine of hearts and declarer won with the ace, crossed to dummy with the king of spades and, aided by the overcall, played a spade to the jack. That was +480 .
I would have been willing to bet my house that Meckwell would bid a slam at the other table:

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moss | Rodwell | Gitelman | Meckstroth |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \%$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 3 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass | 31 |
| Pass | $3 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ | Pass | 4** |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 64 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

$2 \triangleleft \quad 8-10$, balanced
No time to ask Eric or Jeff what this all meant, but it looks as if $3 \oslash$ was a four-card spade raise. 3NT might have been the serious variety (remind me to discuss the use of 3 NT as a non-serious bid sometime - Brian Senior claims it is vastly superior). 4i I guess was a cue bid (or possibly some key card ask). No matter how (in)accurate this might be, 64 was a decent proposition, although not quite as good as $6 \diamond$, where, apart from eliminating the danger of a diamond ruff, declarer might get some useful information some of the time.
West led the ace of diamonds, five, two, queen, sighed (according to theVuGraph operator) and put his cards on the table. Eventually he played another diamond and declarer won with dummy's king.
Declarer asked about E/W's defence to and received a lengthy explanation (West, facing a passed partner, had done very well to keep quiet). He apologized to his partner, saying he wished he could claim and West said he could - but only for one down.
Read into all that what you will, but declarer ruffed a club and cashed the ace of spades, so he was one down.
With enough entries to dummy (here there are none) playing the king of spades first will allow you to pick up
all four spades in the East hand. The best chance of avoiding a loser thereafter is to play a spade to the ace, which delivers 57/91\% of the time.
Only 21 IMPs down its not clear if declarer should deliberately play for a swing by planning to finesse one way or the other, after all, the slam is not certain to be bid in the other room.
Diamond had II IMPs - just the start that one team wanted.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

\[

\]



He exited with a club, knowing someone would be endplayed.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moss | Rodwell | Gitelman | Meckstroth |
| 18 | Pass | 2NT* | Pass |
| 38 | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| 48 | Pass | 4NT* | Pass |
| 5 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass | 54* | Pass |
| 5NT | Pass | 78 | All Pass |

For the second time in the match the diamond team reached a dubious grand slam. Had North avoided a trump lead declarer might have got that suit wrong, but he was over the first hurdle when the three of hearts hit the deck.
Declarer took the queen with the ace, crossed back to the king of hearts, came to hand with the king of spades, drew the last trump and played the jack of diamonds to the ace.
He cashed the king of diamonds and ruffed a diamond, isolating the diamond menace.
He cashed a heart, then played a club to the king and a club to the ace.
On the last trump North had to part with a spade. The redundant four of diamonds was discarded from dummy and South also had to pitch a spade. The double squeeze gave declarer a heart warming/breaking 2210 and 13 IMPs.
Diamond led II8-70 IMPs, and although there were 12 deals to play the writing was on the wall.

## Historical Note

The phrase Mene, Mene, Tekel u-Pharsin (the writing is on the wall) comes from the book of Daniel. During a drunken feast King Belshazzar of Babylon takes sacred golden and silver vessels that had been removed from Solomon's Temple in Jerusalem by his predecessor Nebuchadnezzar. Using these holy items, the King and his court praise 'the gods of gold and silver, brass, iron, wood, and stone'. Immediately, the disembodied fingers of a human hand appear and write on the wall of the royal palace.
The meaning that Daniel decrypts from these words is: God has numbered the days of your kingdom and brought it to an end; you have been weighed on the scales and found wanting; your kingdom is divided and given to the Medes and Persians.
That night Belshazzar is slain and Darius the Mede becomes King.

# Stepping Down But Not Away <br> by Brent Manley 

Sixteen years ago at the World Championships in Albuquerque NM, José Damiani was elected World Bridge Federation president, a position he is turning over to Gi anarrigo Rona at the end of the Philadelphia tournament.
In four terms as the WBF chief, Damiani has seen many changes in the world of bridge - some of them wrought by him.
Of all his accomplishments in the high office, he is especially proud of the advances in technology he has helped to bring to the sport of bridge.
"I tried to build a different image for bridge," Damiani says,"and for that I worked a lot on the technological side."
The fruits of his imagination are evident to competitors in the many events on the schedule of WBF tournaments: Bridge Mates for scoring, Bridge Vision for watching, Duplimate machines for duplication of computer-generated deals. Today, every event is played with screens, and players use symmetrical cards and bidding boxes.
By the next championships - scheduled for Eindhoven, Netherlands, next October 15-29 - Damiani says players can expect to see web cams at every table to record all bidding and play and to allow spectators to watch any match or pair they want to.
Although he is stepping down from the WBF presidency, Damiani says he will still be heavily involved in the organization and a presence at world championships. He is hoping to have more time to play - he is passionate about the game from all perspectives.
Damiani's career as a player began while he was studying law and economics at the University of Paris in his hometown.Although he learned bridge at college, he didn't play for about 10 years after graduating, concentrating on his career, which has included ownership of a sports marketing company.
After returning to the game, his play improved quickly, helping him to six national championships and three

medals in European competitions. He also owned a bridge club in Paris for a time, and he learned a skill he took with him to other jobs - getting more members.
He soon was recruited into bridge politics and became president of the French Bridge Federation in 1978. At the time, the membership of the federation was about 20,000 . Five years later, Damiani had overseen growth to 44,000 .
With his record for recruitment - he more than doubled the membership of his bridge club Damiani came to be known as "Mr. 100 Percent."
He turned the same trick after becoming president of the European Bridge League in 1987, taking the membership from 220,000 to 400,000 in short order. He also found a number of sponsors for the EBL and embarked on a campaign to promote teaching of bridge in schools.
One of his disappointments as WBF president has been the near miss in getting bridge into the Olympic Games - one of his key goals. Bridge had a strong ally in the effort in the late Marc Hodler, a bridge player and IOC vice president who helped to get the game approved as an official sport by the International Olympic Committee. There appeared to be support for getting bridge into the Games in some fashion, but then Juan Antonio Samaranch stepped down as IOC president, and his successor, Jacques Rogge, said no to bridge in the Olympics.
Since then, Damiani has been working on a different strategy, one embodied in the creation of the International Mind Sports Association five years ago. The first World Mind Sports Games took place in 2008 in Beijing, China, not long after the Olympics concluded, and the plan is for the next edition of the tournament to occur in Manchester, England, after the 2012 Summer Olympics in London.
IMSA, Damiani says, is willing to add poker to the Mind Sports Games, "but not as it's played now. We must avoid gambling and eliminate the luck factor. We will have only
skill games. We may introduce duplicate poker, and we hope to have a lot of VIPs playing to qualify for a headsup (one on one) final."
Damiani acknowledges that poker is more attractive than bridge to the young at this point. If duplicate poker becomes part of the Mind Sports Games, he says, "once the young people understand the duplicate concept they may go to bridge."
One of Damiani's enduring legacies is the concept of transnational events, which have opened the world championships to many more players. "These events," he says, "have helped with our goal of Bridge for Peace."
The outgoing president will maintain his focus on getting more young players into the game."We must teach bridge in schools, but it all depends on the national federations," he says.
Although much progress has been made in that area, he says, there is much work left to do. "We can provide the leadership," Damiani says, "but it's up to the federations
to follow."
Damiani says that successfully managing an organization of diverse personalities and nationalities has been challenging,"but I am proud to have gathered so many people who have worked for the good of bridge. The Executive Council has worked in harmony for all these years."
In his final interview as WBF president, Damiani did have some news items, starting with the plans by Sport Accord, the world's largest international sports organization, to put on a tournament of mind sports next September, possibly in Beijing. Damiani says only the elite players of each of the games will be invited and that prize money is expected to total $\$ 300,000$ or more.
He also reported that the McConnell Cup winners, the Chinese Ladies Teams, received a message from Chinese President Hu Jintao congratulating them on their achievement, and he noted that Cuba became a member of the World Bridge Federation three months ago.

## Tough Defence

by Brian Senior

South African, Jeff Sapire came in with this deal from the fourth session of the Open Pairs semi-final. It features a defence which Jeff admitted to having missed at the table.


Sapire led the ace of diamonds against 2 and switched to the jack of hearts, which Les Amoils ducked to declar-
er's king. Declarer thought awhile then led a low spade and, having given away the four-one trump split with his INT call, Sapire split his honours, forcing dummy's queen. Declarer played a diamond next so Sapire won and led his remaining heart.
Amoils won the $\vee Q$ and cashed the ace, Sapire pitching a diamond. Now Amoils led a club through. Declarer ducked this to the queen and won the diamond return, crossed to the ace of clubs and led a spade to the eight. He could now cash the K then ruff a club back to hand to cash the ace of spades for eight tricks and +110 .
Sapire's first thought was that he had erred by pitching a diamond on the third heart and should instead have thrown a club. However, the nine of clubs is not good enough. Declarer now wins the club switch, plays a spade to the eight and cashes the $\mathbf{~ K}$ and $\diamond$ J before exiting with a club to North's remaining honour. North is endplayed to provide a safe return to hand for declarer.
The winning defence is for North to throw a club honour on the third heart and, when declarer wins the club switch, unblock the second honour. Now South wins the second club and can push a heart through to promote the jack of spades for the setting trick.


## When in Doubt Bid 4S <br> by Gert-Jan Paulissen

Everybody knows the rule of Bob Hamman: when in doubt bid 3NT. Well, for our partnership (Jan Jansma and myself), we may try bidding 4s as well. I will show you some deals.
This was board 9 from the third qualifying match of our team (Rose Meltzer) against Argentina U26.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.


INT One-round force
Declarer made 10 tricks. Jan did not adhere to the new rule that time, but he got another chance.
This one was against team Hughes in the fourth round of the qualifying stages.

Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \qquad \text { A } 1098 \\ & \diamond \text { A Q } \\ & \diamond \text { K } 8 \\ & \geqslant A 92 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ 76 | N | , Q 5 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 108$ |  | PJ62 |
| $\checkmark$ J10962 |  | $\checkmark$ A Q 53 |
| \& Q 86 | S | \& KJ105 |
|  | - KJ4 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 97543$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 74$ |  |
|  | 9 743 |  |


| West | North <br> Paulissen | East | South <br> Jansma |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IQ | Pass | INT |  |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | 49 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Jan thought that when zero points were enough to make 44, four points would certainly be enough.
The play was very interesting. Steve Aarens made the good lead of the which held the trick. He continued with the 2 to the queen and ace. I now had two ways to play the contract. The first is cash $\boldsymbol{\wedge} \mathrm{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathrm { K }}$ (the queen must drop) and finesse in hearts and later lead to the $\diamond$ K. Slightly better, I could cash $>A$ after finessing and exit with clubs. Or the second line, which I chose - spade to the Jack, finesse in hearts, $8 \mathrm{~A}, \stackrel{1}{10}$ to the king, ruff a heart and reach dummy with the 4 . Bingo.
The first line wins when spades can be played for no loser (slightly above $50 \%$ ) and the two red key cards are well placed (about 25\%) which is about I $2.5 \%$ in total.
My line wins when East has the $\uparrow$, West has the 9 K and hearts are 3-3 (spades must be 2-2 as well) or West has the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$. Please note that you can combine hearts 3-3 with spades 2-2 or the $\diamond$ A well placed, because when you play the second spade and East plays low (spades 3-I), you just finesse, play another to the king and lead diamonds. And if the hearts don't break when spades are 22, you also can lead diamonds up to the king (or better exit in clubs). All in all $50 \% \times 50 \% \times(35 \% \times 40 \%+50 \%)$ $=16 \%$. It took me some time to calculate (a pity we cannot use calculators at the table) that but it paid off.
And now I show you the final example of the new rule. Our team had a hard time against team Chateau Rossenovo in the round of 64.
This is board 23 of the last segment. Halfway, we were down 4 I IMPs, but we won the third set by 29 , so we were only 12 behind in the last segment. This deal contributed a lot to the 61-15 victory in the last segment.


3e $5-5,15-17 \mathrm{HCP}$
4

## World Championship Book Philadelphia 2010

The official book of these championships in Philadelphia will be available in March 201I, when the official price will be US\$34 plus postage (\$35 from some suppliers). Advance orders can be made through Jan Swaan in the Press Room on the third floor at the discounted price of US $\$ 30$, with free postage and packing.
The principal writers will again be Brian Senior, John Carruthers, Barry Rigal and Geo Tislevoll. There will also be guest contributors including Donna Compton on the Mixed (likely with substantial input from Fulvio Fantoni).
There will be a full listing of all participants in world championship events, a full results service and many photographs. Coverage will include every deal from the final and semi-finals of the Rosenblum plus the pick of the action from the earlier stages and the other championship events.

The play was again not without interest. South led the $\diamond$ K and continued the suit. Now you can try to draw trumps and guess the clubs, but I could not resist this line: $\%$ to the king and club to my ace and club to the 10 . South almost ruffed this one, but he discarded a heart. Now North persevered with diamonds and I had a crossruff $(\mathbb{A}$ and $\S K$, club ruffed with the 9 , diamond ruffed with the ace and the last club with the 0 . I cannot remember when I had a crossruff in a 5-2 fit!
And I think my line is better than just trying to draw trumps. Drawing trumps needs the trump king with North or trumps 3-3 and guessing the 2 Q (you play North to have it) unless spades are $3-3$ with the king well placed. For me, it was best when South had won the third club because he cannot play spades to his advantage. Now North was on lead with the queen he had to lead spades from two or four small, which is not always easy to do. And if he had the K , I needed him to have at least three spades. I could not calculate my line so I just did it on intuition. The other table scored 2 plus three, so we won 9 IMPs.


## 2010 IBPA Awards

## 2i. - MASTER PONT PRESS

## THE MASTER POINT PRESS IBPA BOOK OF THE YEAR

Krzysztof Martens (POL) for "Owl, Fox and Spider"

## Owl, Fox and Spider

You are the wise owl already,
If you are alert as a fly
Strong as a tiger
Sly as a spider
Cunning as a fox
You'll have to go and see your vet
'Cause you might have turned calf at this point.

## The Fox and Crow

(Thomas Philipot)
The Crow with laden beak the tree retires, The Fox to gett her prey her forme admires, While she to show her gratitude not small, Offering to give her thanks, her prize lets fall.

## Spiders and Scorpions

Everyone is afraid of spiders and scorpions! They are terrifying because they have many legs, move fast and are venomous. We must not forget, however, that they can be beneficial too: they eat the worms and insects that destroy crops. Spiders create sticky threads to make webs, which they use to entrap insects. They then kill their prey with venom and consume them.


Look at the following defensive trump suit shenanigans from Krzysztof Martens...

## CAMOUFLAGING 4

"He's not a fox, he's a chicken," commented the kibitzers, when the contract was not doubled.

Pairs. Dealer West. Both Vul.

| - 109 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AKJ |  |
| $\checkmark 83$ |  |
| \& AJ 10984 |  |
| N | - AJ 52 |
| W E | Q 8532 |
|  | $\checkmark$ QJ 64 |
| S | \& Q 7 |

West
$1 \diamond$
$3 \curvearrowright$
Pass
North
2
Pass
Pass

## East <br> Double

South
3 옹
Pass

Lead: Club ace and a small club. West ruffs, crosses to dummy in spades and leads a heart to the nine. You, North, win the jack, cash two more trumps and switch back to clubs, forcing declarer to use his last trump. Here are the four hands:

|  | - 109 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKJ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 83$ |  |
|  | \& AJ 10984 |  |
| - K Q 6 | N | - AJ5 2 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 974 |  | ¢8532 |
| $\checkmark$ AK 1052 |  | $\diamond$ QJ 6 |
| - 3 | S | 2 Q 7 |
|  | - 8743 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 106$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 974$ |  |
|  | - K 652 |  |

Declarer claims nine tricks. One off was worth only $29 \%$. Many North players courageously doubled. Well, if the queen had appeared in dummy you would have been right to pass.

After a similar auction, the fox did not double either. His opening lead was identical, and he also continued clubs at trick two. However, when declarer crossed to the ace of spades and led a trump to the nine, the fox won with the king and continued clubs. Can you blame declarer for looking for a way to make the contract? He imagined North holding ace-king doubleton of trumps. Based on this, he ruffed in dummy and played another heart. The result: four down and $99 \%$.
"Better four down undoubled than one down doubled," was the friendly beast's response to the kibitzers' critique.

## KILLER 3

Underestimating your opponent may cost you dearly. The auction was informative.

Pairs. Dealer East. Neither Vul.

| N | - A 32 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |
| W E | $\diamond$ K Q J 10 |
| S | * AKQJ5 |
| - Q 106 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A9876 |  |
| $\checkmark 986$ |  |
| - 72 |  |


| West | North | East | South <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
|  | Pass | 3 | Pass |
|  | Pass | 5 NT | Pass |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |

Lead: Heart queen. You take the ace and continue hearts. Declarer ruffs, draws trumps via a finesse against the queen and claims the contract. How lucky! The four hands:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond 754 \\ & \diamond \text { QJ54 } \\ & \diamond 752 \\ & \& 863 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ KJ98 | N | , A32 |
| $\bigcirc 1032$ |  | $\bigcirc$ K |
| $\checkmark$ A 43 |  | $\diamond$ K Q J IO |
| -1094 | S | \% AKQJ 5 |
|  | - Q 106 |  |
|  | -A9876 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 986$ |  |
|  | \& 72 |  |

The fox never rests. Having taken the first trick, he switched to the ten of spades! Declarer happily covered with the jack and, when it held, played the spade nine, ducking in dummy. Ultimate naïveté, no doubt about it, but congratulations to the fox nevertheless. If you haven't been fooled this way before, you are very likely to fall for this trick.

## Jacks Step Aside

by Brent Manley

On this deal from the third qualifying session of the IMP Pairs, Steve Hamaoui of Venezuela skilfully got a jack out of the way at just the right time.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.


Hamaoui opened INT as North and was raised to 3NT. East led the 6 to the 5 , king and ace. Hamaoui cashed the K and played the ducking when East showed out, pitching a heart. West took the and played the $\cap \mathrm{K}$, ducked by Hamaoui, who played the $\vee \mathrm{J}$ from dummy, unblocking. West might have done better to switch to the $\vee 10$, but he persisted in hearts. Hamaoui took the P IO and cashed the ace, as East discarded a diamond.
Now Hamaoui played a low diamond from hand, winning the queen in dummy. He cashed the A, and East was in a bind. If he pitched a spade, Hamaoui could then overtake the and take five spade tricks in all. If East pitched the $\triangleleft$, Hamaoui could cash the ${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ and exit dummy with a diamond, leaving East to lead from the 1087 into his A 9 .
It was important to for Hamaoui to unblock dummy's jack so that he could take his two heart tricks before playing a diamond from hand.

## ORTIZ-PATIÑO TROPHY

World Junior Championship

| SEMI FINALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tbl | Session I | Session 2 | Session 3 | Session 4 | Total |  |
| 1 | Israel | 43 | 48 | 38 | - | $\mathbf{I 2 9}$ |
|  | USA I | 13 | 13 | 24 | - | 50 |
|  | China | 29 | 22 | 26 | 52 | 129 |
|  | France | 53 | 54 | 29 | 49 | $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ |

## DAMIANI CUP <br> World Youngsters Championship

|  |  |  | EMI FIN |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tbl |  | Session I | Session 2 | Session 3 | Session 4 | Total |
| 1 | England | 45.5 | 1 | 32 | 64 | 142.5 |
| 1 | Netherlands | 25 | 78 | 20 | 13 | 136 |
| 2 | Israel | 10 | 63 | 9 | 24 | 106 |
|  | Poland | 55 | 41 | 18 | 25 | 139 |

Today's Schedule
(Final at $10.00,13.10,15.50$ )
ORTIZ-PATIÑO TROPHY
World Junior Championship
FINAL / PLAY OFF (I0.00, I3.10, I5.50)

| 1 | Israel | France |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | USA I | China |

## DAMIANI CUP

World Youngsters Championship
FINAL / PLAY OFF (I0.00, I3.10, I5.50)

| 11 | England | Poland |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 | Netherlands | Israel |

## World Youth Individual Contest (Final II.30, I6.00 / Plate II.30, I6.30)

The final of the Ortiz-Patiño Junior Championship will be between Israel and France. Both had relatively comfortable wins over USAI and China respectively in yesterday's semi-finals.
The Damiani Cup semi-finals were much closer affairs. Poland led most of the way against Israel but were never completely comfortable. In the final the Poles will meet England, who came back from a long way down to the Netherlands, partly due to an unfortunate deal on which the Dutch team lost points on Appeal.
The Junior final will be on vugraph for the first two sets today and BBO throughout. However, there will be no coverage of the Youngsters Damiani Cup final. If anyone would like to sit at the table and make bidding and play records for the Damiani Cup final, which will help with coverage in the official world championship book, please come to see Brian Senior in the Bulletin Room on the third floor before game time today. You can earn yourself a free copy of the book when it is published.

## WORLD YOUTH INDIVIDUAL CONTEST <br> Final Qualifying Results (subject to confirmation)

| Juniors |  |  | Youngsters |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Names | Result | Rank | Names | Result |
| I | Raghavendra RAJKUMAR | 57.05 | 1 | Daniel GULLBERG | 65.14 |
| 2 | Raja Sekhar GUTHI | 56.70 | 2 | Yujie JIANG | 62.34 |
| 3 | Yanjiao LIU | 56.02 | 4 | Shan HUANG | 60.42 |
| 4 | Hiroki YOKOI | 55.91 | 5 | Maxim HENBEST | 60.00 |
| 5 | Mohit AGARWAL | 55.80 | 6 | Danuta KAZMUCHA | 58.75 |
| 6 | Belinda GU | 55.68 | 7 | Kristoffer HEGGE | 57.55 57.36 |
| 7 | Hiroaki MIURA | 55.45 | 9 | Kevin ROSENBERG | 56.00 |
| 7 | Michael BELL | 55.45 | 10 | Manlin LUO | 55.85 |
| 9 | Prajwal BACHIRAJU | 55.23 | 11 | Magdalena HOLEKSA | 55.26 |
| 10 | Owen LIEN | 54.77 | 13 | Asya LADYZHENSKY | 54.01 |
| 11 | Dennis BILDE | 54.32 | 14 | Renjie TANG | 53.91 |
| 12 | Joanna TACZEWSKA | 53.41 | 15 | Kornel LAZAR | 53.34 |
| 13 | Lulu PENG | 52.73 | 16 | Krisztina ORMAY Csaba KONKOLY | 52.70 52.43 |
| 14 | Bruno FURLAN | 52.61 | 18 | Massimiliano DI FRANCO | 52.17 |
| 15 | Yin Wai LEUNG | 52.50 | 19 | Barnabas SZIRMAY-KALOS | 51.98 |
| 15 | Adam KAPLAN | 52.50 | 20 | Ola RIMSTEDT | 51.43 |
| 17 | Xing LI | 52.27 | 21 22 | Shane HARRISON Shih-Yao LEE | 51.32 51.30 |
| 18 | Lars Arthur JOHANSEN | 52.16 | 23 | Adam GROSSACK | 50.93 |
| 19 | Konstantinos KONTOMITROS | 51.93 | 24 | Po-Hsiang HAO | 50.16 |
| 20 | Marius BARTNES | 51.59 | 25 | Richard JENG Po-Yi LIN | 50.09 4984 |
| 21 | Vassilis VROUSTIS | 51.36 | 27 | Sebastian OCHOA | 49.64 |
| 22 | Haakon BOGEN | 51.25 | 28 | Kristian ELLINGSEN | 49.58 |
| 23 | Matthew MECKSTROTH | 50.91 | 29 | Johan KARLSSON | 49.29 |
| 24 | Pui TSANG | 50.23 | 30 | Jianqiu SHEN | 49.22 4879 |
| 25 | Wei MENG | 49.89 | 32 | Justyna ZMUDA | 48.59 |
| 26 | Sam KATZ | 49.66 | 33 | Geoff WEBB | 48.37 |
| 27 | Eric ARVIDSSON | 49.43 | 34 | Tor Eivind GRUDE | 48.31 |
| 28 | Andrea MANNO | 48.64 | 35 | Malcolm McCOLL | 48.09 |
| 28 | Steffen Fredrik SIMONSEN | 48.64 | 37 | Hsuan LEE | 47.67 |
| 30 | Erlend SKJETNE | 47.73 | 38 | Zsolt WAGNER | 47.32 |
| 31 | Chun Ting Arthur LAU | 47.05 | 39 | Zachary GROSSACK | 47.24 |
| 32 | Vinoth Kumar RAGHAVAN | 46.70 | 40 | Hsiu-Ping SHEN Andrew | 47.05 |
| 33 | Erik BERG | 46.14 | 42 | Ethan MACAULAY | 45.75 |
| 34 | Dana BERKOWITZ | 45.91 | 43 | Junjie MAO | 45.55 |
| 35 | Daniel GOLDFEIN | 45.80 | 44 | Vemund VIKJORD | 45.47 |
| 36 | Naozumi NAKAYAMA | 43.98 | 46 | Mikael RIMSTEDT | 45.00 |
| 37 | Yasuaki II | 43.75 | 47 | Pablo HERNANDEZ | 44.55 |
| 37 | Kevin DWYER | 43.75 | 48 | Solene THEPAUT-VENTOS | 44.48 |
| 37 | Leonid BAYAKHCHEV | 43.75 | 49 | Stephen WiLLIAMS | 44.22 |
| 37 | Yoshiro KIDO | 43.75 | 51 | Aled IABONI | 43.30 |
| 41 | Xiufen CHANG | 42.95 | 52 | Justin HOWARD | 40.79 |
| 42 | Anurag MOHOTA | 42.84 | 53 | Rodrigo GARCIA DA ROSA | 40.01 |
| 43 | Shunsuke GOTODA | 41.59 | 55 | Martin DE LOS SANTOS | 38.67 |
| 44 | Ethan KOTKIN | 40.23 | 56 | Kendrick CHOW | 37.68 |

## Junior Semi-final Session 2 - Israel v USAI by Brian Senior

Israel had a handy 43-I3 IMP lead after the first quarter of their semi-final with USAI.The second set was a lively affair - on five of the first six deals one side or the other attempted a 68 contract.

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- K Q 986
- 85
$\diamond$ J 953
\& Q 4

| - AJ7 | N | $\pm 104$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 107 | W E | PAKJ9643 |
| $\checkmark$ Q 872 | W E | $\checkmark$ A 4 |
| \& 106 | S | ¢ K 8 |
|  | - 532 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 2$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 106 |  |
|  | * 197532 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fay | Tarnovski | Сhiu | Fisher |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| INT | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| 28 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | 4\% | Pass |
| 44 | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 5 | Dble | 6\% | Pass |
| 68 | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Argelazi | Fournier | Birman | Lee |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| INT | Pass | 20 | Pass |
| 28 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

Argelazi rebid INT and Birman enquired then made one slam try when he admitted to three hearts, albeit it in a minimum. When Argelazi signed off, Birman called it a day. Roger Lee led a low club to the queen and king. In the fullness of time, Birman could finesse the ten of clubs for his twelfth trick to chalk up +480 .

Jason Chiu was considerably more optimistic and drove to slam once he got a cuebid out of Kevin Fay. Here, the lead was the requested spade, ducked to the queen. Spectators could see that there was a positional minor-suit squeeze against South, but the odds for that were not that great and Chiu preferred a different line.
He won the trump return and rattled off all the trumps. Lotan Fisher could pitch three clubs, two spades and a diamond, while declarer came down to three clubs and two spades in the dummy. Seeing that if he threw a club his queen would then fall under declarer's king, leaving a finesse against Fisher's jack, Bar Tarnovski instead came down to a singleton diamond. the guard squeeze had now done its work. Chiu continued by cashing the ace of spades and this time it was South who was squeezed. Fisher threw a diamond, hoping that he had got the distribution wrong, but Chiu crossed to the king of clubs and cashed the ace then four of diamonds and had his slam for +980 and II IMPs to USAI.

Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.
AKK 62
คAQJ6
$\diamond$ K 43
\& 10

\& K 73
$\diamond$ Q 765
\& 8763


Q Q 108754
$\bigcirc 5$
$\diamond 1092$

- J 52

49

- 109842
$\diamond$ AJ 8
2K K 94

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fay | Tarnovski | Chiu | Fisher |
|  | 14 | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 28 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | $5 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 68 | All Pa |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Argelazi |  |  |  |
| Fournier |  |  |  |$\quad$| Birman | Lee |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Clearly, the contract can be made. If declarer wins the ace of diamonds then draws three rounds of trumps via the finesse and continues with the top spades, pitching the $\triangleleft 8$ from dummy, he will see that spades are not going to produce the required tricks. Now he can switch his attention to clubs and, with the jack coming down in three rounds, does not even have to run the ten to establish the two tricks he needs.

Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.
Q
$>A 64$
$\diamond K 1085$
$\bullet A 8643$

- 175
$\bigcirc 75$
$\diamond$ QJ 94 - 10972
- K 10962

ค 82
$\triangleleft$ A632

- K 5
- A 843
$\bigcirc$ KQJIO 93
$\diamond 7$


Both North/Souths over-reached to a poor slam on this one. While slam has play, you would prefer to stop in game. Clearly, someone did a little too much. Perhaps the South players put too much weight on their fitting club honours? Anyway, Fisher got out for one down while Lee was down two, so Israel picked up 3 IMPs.

Board I9. Dealer South. E/WVul.

- K 3
-A98742
$\diamond 4$
2 Q 832
$\wedge A Q 9752$
$\ominus-$
$\diamond K J 7532$
$\& J$

| N | -1064 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc{ }^{\circ} 3$ |
|  | $\diamond$ A Q 86 |
| S | K974 |
| - J 8 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ K Q 1065 |  |
| $\checkmark 109$ |  |
| \& A 1065 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fay | Tarnovski | Chiu | Fisher |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 14 | 28 | 38 | 31 |
| 4* | 5 | Pass | Pass |
| $6{ }^{1}$ | Dble | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Argelazi | Fournier | Birman | Lee |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| 3\% | $4 \diamond$ | $4{ }^{1}$ | 5 |
| 54 | 68 | Dble | All Pass |

Fisher passed as dealer and Tarnovski overcalled 20 . Fisher then set up a force by cuebidding 3s and Tarnovski was happy to take the push to the five level over Fay's 49. Now Chiu made what was surely a forcing pass, and Fay took him seriously, jumping to what he expected to be a good slam. I suspect that Chiu did not realise that his pass over $5 \checkmark$ would be taken as encouraging. Anyway, Tarnovski doubled 64 and, though the heart did not stand up, Fisher provided a club trick to go with the king of spades; down one for -I00.
Lee scraped up an opening bid on the South hand and Argelazi made a two-suited overcall, showing spades and diamonds. Fournier splintered and everyone could see a double fit so the music didn't stop until the six level. Once again, it was the American pair who declared a doubled slam, losing the inevitable diamond and spade plus one club trick for down two;-300 and 9 IMPs to Israel.


Both Wests broke the transfer and the heart slam was played at both tables but from different sides of the table. Tarnovski led the two of diamonds round to the jack. Fay played ace then ruffed a spade and led the queen of hearts to the king and ace then the 99 . He went one
down, I believe because he claimed without stating his line in the club suit; - 100 .
Lee led the king of spades. Birman won the ace and played ace and another heart, picking up the suit without loss. He is credited with only twelve tricks so presumably also carelessly lost a club trick. Still + I7 IMPs for Israel.
The deals calmed down after that, the remaining big swing coming right at the end of the set.

Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.
Q Q J 72
-A 102
$\diamond$ J 9875

- 3

West
Fay
19
28
All Pass

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Argelazi | Fournier | Birman | Lee |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Dble | $1 \stackrel{\&}{2}$ | Pass | $2 \dot{2}$ |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |

South
Fisher
$2 \diamond$
5

3NT All Pass

Argelazi passed as dealer and heard his opponents bid almost unopposed to 3 NT . He led the five of spades to the queen and king. Birman switched to the four of clubs for the ten and jack, and Argelazi could not read the club position so tried the jack of hearts. That lost to declarer's king. Lee cashed all the diamonds then the hearts, ending in dummy, and led a low spade. The defence had the rest for one down.
Fay opened the West hand and heard his opponents bid to $5 \diamond$. There was no defence to that and Fisher soon had eleven tricks stacked in front of him for +600 and 12 IMPs to Israel.
Israel won the set by $48-13$ and led by $9 \mathrm{I}-26$ at the half.

## Young Ladies World Championship Final <br> by Brian Senior

Poland topped the short Young Ladies qualifying round robin ahead of France, meaning that those two teams would meet in the three 16 -board set final. China qualified third ahead of USA, and these two teams met in the third-place play-off.
France started the better and led by 20-1I midway through the first set. However, it was all Poland for the remainder of the set and the Poles led by 4I-2I after 16 boards. Meanwhile, China took a narrow lead of 34-28 over USA.
Poland steadily added to their lead early in the second segment and led by 65-28 after 26 deals. Then came a small French fightback. Poland led by 72-49 with one 16board set to play. Meanwhile China extended the lead to 81-64 in the bronze medal match.

## Set Three

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.


The two auctions were identical on the first round but then the two North players followed very different ap-
proaches at their second turns. Claire Chaugny, for France, made the simple heart raise consistent with her sub-minimum high-card strength, while Magdalena Holeska, for Poland, made a pre-emptive leap to game, trading on her extreme distribution. These choices had a profound effect on the two players' decisions on the next round, when East's 4s came around. Having far more playing strength than she had as yet admitted to, Chaugny went on with a descriptive $5 \diamond$ bid and was left to play there, while Holeska had heard her partner double, Joanna Taczewska no doubt expecting greater high-card strength for the $4 \bigcirc$ bid, so passed.
Natalia Sakowska led a spade against 5 », so Chaugny won and drew trumps then knocked out the missing heart honours, losing two hearts and a club for down one; -I00.
Taczewska led a diamond against 4s doubled and Holeska won the ace and continued with the queen, ruffed. Marion Cannone led a spade to the king then a second spade to her jack. When Taczewska ducked again, Cannone switched her attention to clubs, allowing Taczewska to make two trump tricks but retaining control of the hand; +590 and 10 IMPs to France.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

- 95

PAK 84
$\checkmark 1094$

* 9532


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Thizy | Holeksa | Cannone | Taczewska |
| Grabowska | Chaugny | Sakowska | Puillet |
| 4@ | All Pass | Pass | Pass |

Both Wests opened 44 and played there, and both Norths led the ace of hearts. Holeska switched to the nine of diamonds at trick two. With the clubs blocked,Aurelie Thizy could only duck that to the queen and hope for a defensive error. There was none forthcoming. Taczewska switched back to hearts and Holeska played a second diamond through; down one for -I00.
Chaugny continued with the king of hearts at trick two then switched to a trump. Ewa Grabowska could draw those, unblock the club and cross to the ace of diamonds to take two more club winners; +650 and 13 IMPs to Poland.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.



Joanna Taczewska, Poland

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grabowska | Chaugny | Sakowska | Puillet |
|  | 19 | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | $2 \triangleq$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Rdbl |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | Pass | $4 N T$ |

All Pass
Chaugny opened a natural 18 and rebid a slightly pushy 24. She declined Carole Puillet's 4NT invitation. After a diamond lead to the king and a second round to her queen, Chaugny cleared the clubs and soon had eleven tricks for +460 .
Holeska opened a Polish Club and showed the strong variety with her natural 2 rebid. Eventually, Taczewska raised to the club slam and Cannone led a diamond, ducked to the queen. With the second diamond trick established, Holeska must have had high hopes for her slam. Alas, not having second sight, she played clubs from the top so was one down for -50 and France had II badlyneeded IMPs.

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.

|  | - J 98 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{Q}^{1}$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ 5 |  |
|  | * AJ932 |  |
| - A | N | -10654 |
| ¢ J 83 |  | $\bigcirc$ A 109765 |
| $\diamond$ Q 107643 |  | $\checkmark 2$ |
| \& Q 107 | S | \% 64 |
|  | - KQ 732 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 4$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 98 |  |
|  | \& K 85 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Thizy | Holeksa | Cannone | Taczewska |
| Grabowska | Chaugny | Sakowska | Puillet |
|  |  |  | 1 Pass |
| Pass | 2 | Pass |  |
| Pass | 4 | All Pass |  |

Identical auctions saw the two Souths declare 49 on the lead of a low diamond. Both won in hand and tried the queen of spades, losing to West's bare ace, and both conceded a diamond ruff at trick three.
Sakowska switched to ace and another heart after taking her diamond ruff. Puillet won, drew trumps and ran all
her winners, eventually taking the club finesse for her contract; +420 .
Cannone switched to the nine of hearts instead of the ace. That ran to dummy's queen and now Taczewska ran her spade and diamond winners, coming down to four clubs in dummy and three clubs and the 8 K in hand. She now cashed the king of clubs and, after some thought, exited with the heart, hoping to endplay East. Cannone had a second heart to cash, however, and that meant one down for -50 and IO IMPs to France.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

$$
\uparrow K Q
$$

คA954
$\diamond$ J 94
\& A932
10764
$\vee J 6$
$\diamond A 7532$

| N | - 1932 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | -K 87 |
| W E | $\diamond$ K 86 |
| S | Q Q 85 |
| - A 85 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 1032 |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q 10 |  |
| \& KJ7 4 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thizy | Holeksa | Cannone | Taczewska |
|  | 1\% | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 28 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3\% | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Grabowska | Chaugny | Sakowska | Puillet |
|  | 1\% | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Different routes led to identical contracts. Thizy led the seven of spades. Taczewska won the queen and cashed the ace then led a diamond to the queen and ace. Thizy returned a diamond so Cannone won and played a third round, Taczewska throwing a club from hand. She now played ace and another heart and, when Cannone played low, put up the queen, holding herself to just one trump loser; +620
Grabowska led the ten of clubs. Puillet won dummy's ace and led ace and another heart. Sakowska ducked in tempo and Puillet got it wrong, putting in the ten and los-
ing to the jack. There was no way to avoid two diamond losers so Puillet was one down for - 100 and 12 IMPs to Poland.

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- J 108
© J
$\diamond$ J 7654
ค A 982


4 AK 32

$\diamond$ AKQ 109

- 975

คA Q 98732
$\diamond 82$
\& K

| West <br> Thizy | North <br> Holeksa | East <br> Cannone | South <br> Taczewska |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $2 \vee$ | Dble | $2 \triangleleft$ <br> Pass |
| $3 \dot{3 ¢}$ | Pass | $3 \triangleleft$ | Dble |


| West <br> Grabowska | North <br> Chaugny | East <br> Sakowska | South <br> Puillet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | $3 \varnothing$ <br> 42 |
| Pass |  |  |  |

Taczewska opened with a multi $2 \diamond$ and Cannone doubled the pass or correct $2 \triangleleft$ response for take-out then cuebid to ask for a heart stopper. Thizy bid 3NT, ending


Claire Chaugny, France
the auction. Holeska led the jack of hearts and Taczewska overtook with the queen, Thizy ducking. Now Taczewska switched to a spade, placing declarer with the club ace and so not imagining that her club king was an entry. Thizy won in dummy and played the Q to the bare king. Taczewska continued with a second spade, again won in dummy. Thizy played the jack of clubs next and Holeska won and played a third spade. Thizy won the Q and led a diamond to the nine. When that won she cashed three top diamonds followed by the thirteenth spade and that squeezed Holeska in the minors for the overtrick; nicely done for +430 .
Had Taczewska cleared the hearts at the beginning of the play, declarer would have had four diamonds, four spades and a heart without having to touch the club suit.

In the other room, Puillet opened a level higher and again East doubled for take-out. Grabowska responded $4 \%$ and Sakowska guessed to raise to game. As is often the case, the full-blooded pre-empt had worked out better than the easy option of opening at the two level. Five Clubs lost a heart and three club tricks for - 100 and II IMPs to France.
Though France had the edge on our featured deals, over the set as a whole Poland came out on top by 59-48 IMPs, giving an overall margin of I3I-97 and making Poland the first winners of the Rona Cup and the World Young Ladies Team Championship.
Meanwhile, the third set of the bronze medal play-off finished level at 33-33, leaving China as winners by II497.

## Only in the Juniors <br> by Brian Senior

Only in a Youth event could a team proudly present us with a story where one of their pairs defended correctly, in their words, by holding 5 doubled to eleven tricks for -850. In the Open, that sort of triumph would never see the light of day. The story comes from the last round of qualifying in the Juniors. Our heroes were playing for China Hong Kong against Italy.

Round I7. Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.


For China Hong Kong, Pui Tsang led the king of hearts and, having seen his partner's signal, showing an even
number, defended correctly by cashing the queen of hearts when he got in with the ace of diamonds to avoid conceding the overtrick.
There was more action in the other room...

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tsang |  | Chiu |
| Pass | 1\% | $3 \diamond$ | Dble |
| 4 | 4NT | Pass | 52 |
| 51 | Pass | Pass | 68 |
| 64 | Dble | All Pas |  |

Abby Chiu decided that now was the time to allow his imagination to express itself and so passed the big spade suit. Hing Lun Tsang made a pre-emptive overcall of $3 \diamond$ and his diamond length helped to convince Chiu that he should keep on competing until his opponents gave up.As it happens, 6 is due to fail by a trick as there are two cashing aces, but 64 had to be very cheap - and collecting +100 or +200 was not going to cover team-mates -850 .
The music stopped in 64 doubled and again the opening lead was the king of hearts. Chiu won the ace and South signalled with the eight. Chiu drew two rounds of trumps then led the two of diamonds. North took his ace and attempted to cash the ace of clubs, and that was + 1660 and 13 IMPs to China Hong Kong.
Alas, this triumph was not sufficient to see them qualify for the knockouts, but it wasn't a bad way to finish the event.

