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## DIAMOND TRIUMPHS IN ROSENBLUM



WBF President José Damiani and Joan Gerard, at left, congratulate the winners of the Rosenblum Cup: Eric Greco, John Diamond, Fred Gitelman, Geoff Hampson, Brian Platnick and Brad Moss

The team captained by John Diamond, trailing after the first quarter, pulled away in the second and went on to an impressive I21-78 win over the Nick Nickell team. The winners are Diamond, Brian Platnick, Fred Gitelman, Brad Moss, Geoff Hampson and Eric Greco.
It was the second big win for Diamond this year.The team won the Spingold Knockout Teams at the ACBL's Summer NABC in New Orleans.
Nickell, reigning Bermuda Bowl champs, played with Ralph Katz, Jeff Meckstroth, Eric Rodwell, Bob Hamman and Zia Mahmood.
The Pierre Zimmermann team won the playoff for the bronze medal over the Jeff Wolfson squad.
In the Young Ladies Teams, Poland defeated France I3I-97.

The final stages of four pairs events begin today: the Generali Open and Women's Pairs, IMP Pairs and Hiron Trophy Senior Pairs. The leaders: Cezary Balicki and Jacek Pszczola, Open; Angela Dossena and Luigina Gentili, Women's; Peter Bertheau and Gunnar Hallberg, IMP, and Dan Morse and John Sutherlin, Seniors.
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## ROSENBLUM CUP

| Finals / Play Offs (results subject to confirmation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ты |  | Session I | Session 2 | Session 3 | Session 4 | Total |
| 1 | Diamond | 34 | 42 | 14 | 31 | 121 |
| 1 | Nickell | 39 | 17 | 13 | 9 | 78 |
| 2 | Zimmermann | 61 | 37 | - | - | 98 |
| 2 | Wolfson | 12 | 34 | - | - | 46 |

## Last Chance to Sign Up! <br> Prize Giving and Closing Ceremony

Saturday October 16th<br>5 pm

Grand Ballroom - 5th floor Marriott


WORLD EVENT PLAYERS must RSVP by 3 pm on Thursday October 14th at the 5th floor registration area.
Please show your badge for entry to the Ceremony

REGIONAL PLAYERS are invited to attend for $\$ 25$ cash only. Tickets are available for purchase from 5th floor registration at the Marriott Hotel, starting on Tuesday October 12th.

## Lost iPhone

An iPhone was lost on Monday. It has a black skin cover. The owner will give a reward for more than the value of the phone. In about two days, it will no longer have service. If you find it, deliver it to the Daily Bulletin office on the third floor at the Marriott.

## VUGRAPH MATCHES

Morning Match (10.00)
BBO.I-VG: Table I Israel - USA I
(Juniors)

## SCHEDULE OF EVENTS


(Today)
Mixed Swiss Teams
Qualifying sessions begin at $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{0 . 3 0}$
Ortiz-Patiño Trophy / Damiani Cup
Semi Final sessions at
I 0.00, I 3.00, I 5.30, I 8.00
GENERALI World Open / Women's Pairs
Final sessions I, 2 at II.00, I6.00
Hiron Trophy Senior Pairs
Final sessions I, 2 at II.00, $\mathbf{1 6 . 0 0}$
IMP Pairs
Final sessions I, 2 at II.00, I6.00
Youth Individual Contest
Qualifying sessions I, 2 at II.30, $\mathbf{1 6 . 0 0}$
(Tomorrow)
Mixed Swiss Teams
Qualifying sessions begin at $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{0 . 3 0}$
Ortiz-Patiño Trophy / Damiani Cup Final / Play Off sessions at IO.00, I3.10, I 5.50
GENERALI World Open / Women's Pairs
Final sessions 3, 4 at II.00, I6.00
Hiron Trophy Senior Pairs
Final sessions 3, 4 at II.00, $\mathbf{1 6 . 0 0}$
IMP Pairs
Final sessions 3, 4 at II.00, $\mathbf{1 6 . 0 0}$
Youth Individual Contest
Final sessions I, 2 at II.30, $\mathbf{1 6 . 0 0}$
Plate sessions I, 2 at II.30, $\mathbf{1 6 . 3 0}$

## Age Old Dealing Problem Solved

The developers of PlayBridgeDealer4 which uses optical recognition to read and deal standard playing cards, rather than relying on special bar coded cards, have introduced a new development at this tournament. The age-old problem of the cards being dealt into the wrong board has been resolved by the introduction of a sticker on the board, which is read by PlayBridgeDealer4.This is very simple technology but the developers of PlayBridgeDealer4 are the first to introduce it. If you would like to see the system in operation please ask for Terry Collier in room 404 or visit www.playbridgedealer4.com
We are sorry to say that we have sold all of the machines that have been used at this tournament but anyone that is interested in purchasing a new machine should have a chat with Terry - he is offering a great deal!

## World Championship Book Philadelphia 2010



The official book of these championships in Philadelphia will be available in March 201I, when the official price will be US\$34 plus postage (\$35 from some suppliers). Advance orders can be made through Jan Swaan in the Press Room on the third floor at the discounted price of US\$30, with free postage and packing.
The principal writers will again be Brian Senior, John Carruthers, Barry Rigal and Geo Tislevoll. There will also be guest contributors including Donna Compton on the Mixed (likely with substantial input from Fulvio Fantoni).
There will be a full listing of all participants in world championship events, a full results service and many photographs. Coverage will include every deal from the final and semi-finals of the Rosenblum plus the pick of the action from the earlier stages and the other championship events.

## Better To Defend

by Brian Senior

On this deal from the third session of the Rand Seniors Cup final it was better for West to defend INT than to play the hand himself, despite holding a $21-$ count.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

|  | -KJ 94 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢9842 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 5 |  |
|  | - A 105 |  |
| - A Q 652 | N | -1087 |
| $\bigcirc$ AK |  | $\bigcirc 1073$ |
| $\diamond$ A 98 | W E | $\diamond 1074$ |
| - KJ9 | S | ¢ 7643 |
|  | - 3 |  |
|  | QQJ 65 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KJ 632 |  |
|  | \& Q 82 |  |


| West | North | East <br> Holland | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hallberg |  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| I 1 | Dble | Pass | INT |

## All Pass

South opened light third in hand and Gunnar Hallberg doubled. North redoubled to show strength then penalty doubled Hallberg's Is run-out. South sheepishly rescued himself into INT and there the matter rested.
Hallberg led a low spade. Declarer put in the jack and led the queen of diamonds and, when that was ducked, a second diamond for the king and ace. Hallberg played a second low spade and declarer called for dummy's king. Now he tried a heart to the queen and king. Rather than simply cash his spades, Hallberg accurately underled to John Holland's ten and Holland led a club. The jack forced dummy's ace and declarer next guessed well by running the nine of hearts to the ace. But that still meant one down as Hallberg had two more spades and the king of clubs to cash. Nicely defended and +100 was worth 5 IMPs to East/West as West was allowed to open 2NT in the other room, where he went down two for another - 100 .

## GENERALI WORLD OPEN PAIRS

| Semi Final Results (subject to confirmation) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | N Names | Result | 55 | Meng KANG - Shaolin SUN | 51.38 |
| 1 | Cezary BALICKI - Jacek PSZCZOLA | 60.58 | 56 | Jimmy CAYNE - Michael SEAMON | 51.34 |
| 2 | Ricco van PROOIJEN - Louk VERHEES JR | 59.68 | 57 | Anna MALINOWSKI - Rune HAUGE | 51.26 |
| 3 | Xinli GAN - Qiang ZHANG | 57.87 | 58 | Neil ROSEN - Martin JONES | 51.19 |
| 4 | Vladimir MIHOV - Jerry STAMATOV | 56.18 | 59 | Jiang GU - Xiaodong SHI | 51.19 |
| 5 | Honey B PRABHAKAR - Rajeshwar TEWARI | 55.86 | 60 | Jose Roberto BRUM - Roberto De MELLO | 51.17 |
| 6 | Brian GLUBOK - Philip GORDON | 55.72 | 61 | Marianne HARDING - Sven Olai HOYLAND | 51.13 |
| 7 | Tom HANLON - Hugh MCGANN | 55.39 | 62 | David LINDOP - Nader HANNA | 51.13 |
| 8 | Gheorghe SERPOI - Calin STIRBU | 55.08 | 63 | Robert LEBI - Dan JACOB | 51.12 |
| 9 | Alexander DUBININ - Andrey GROMOV | 55.06 | 64 | Alon APTEKER - Craig GOWER | 51.11 |
| 10 | Piotr GAWRYS - Piotr TUSZYNSKI | 55.06 | 65 | Franky Steven KARWUR - Widi PANCONO | 51.08 |
| 11 | Josef PIEKAREK - Alexander SMIRNOV | 54.91 | 66 | Maija ROMANOVSKA - Karlis RUBINS | 51.08 |
| 12 | Vladislav Nikolov ISPORSKI - Valentin KOVACHEV | 54.73 | 67 | Boguslaw GIERULSKI - Jerzy SKRZYPCZAK | 51.02 |
| 13 | Alexander ALLFREY - Andrew ROBSON | 54.64 | 68 | Serge BERGHEIMER - Jean-Claude FOUASSIER | 51.02 |
| 14 | Marc BOMPIS - Jean-Christophe QUANTIN | 54.45 | 69 | Dario ATTANASIO - Guiseppe FAILLA | 51.01 |
| 15 | Hemant LALL - Justin LALL | 54.30 | 70 | Masayuki INO - Tadashi TERAMOTO | 51.00 |
| 16 | Jie ZHAO - Zhong FU | 54.28 | 71 | Ann Karin FUGLESTAD - Desislava B. POPOVA | 51.00 |
| 17 | Bill POLLACK - Jeff ROMAN | 54.14 | 72 | Michal KWIECIEN - Wlodzimierz STARKOWSKI | 50.98 |
| 18 | Dominik FILIPOWICZ - Michal NOWOSADZKI | 53.95 | 73 | Waldemar FRUKACZ - Krzysztof KOTOROWICZ | 50.87 |
| 19 | Jim FOSTER - Larry SEALY | 53.76 | 74 | Michal KOPECKY - Josef KURKA | 50.85 |
| 20 | Marian KUPNICKI - Leszek MAJDANSKI | 53.76 | 75 | Uttam GUPTA - Nr KIRUBAKARAMOORTHY | 50.78 |
| 21 | Sherif NOSHY - Ahmed YOUSRY | 53.73 | 76 | Morten BILDE - Jorgen HANSEN | 50.60 |
| 22 | Bart BRAMLEY - Nikolay DEMIREV | 53.68 | 77 | Ari David GREENBERG JR - Billy MILLER | 50.60 |
| 23 | David BAKHSHI - Tom TOWNSEND | 53.67 | 78 | Julien GAVIARD - Juan Carlos VENTIN | 50.59 |
| 24 | Jason FELDMAN - John KRANYAK | 53.59 | 79 | Ender AKSUYEK - Marco TER LAARE | 50.55 |
| 25 | Marius IONITA - Cornel TEODORESCU | 53.57 | 80 | Jingsheng BIAN - Yong LIAN | 50.54 |
| 26 | Krzysztof JASSEM - Krzysztof MARTENS | 53.51 | 81 | Andrew ROSENTHAL - Aaron SILVERSTEIN | 50.52 |
| 27 | Rui LI - Jiang TONG | 53.50 | 82 | Robert BLANCHARD - Shane BLANCHARD | 50.49 |
| 28 | Yury KHIUPPENEN - Vadim KHOLOMEEV | 53.40 | 83 | Bobby JONES - Jim KREKORIAN | 50.43 |
| 29 | Piotr WALCZAK - Jan ZADROGA | 53.27 | 84 | Kiran NADAR - Bachiraju SATYANARAYANA | 50.36 |
| 30 | Bjorn FALLENIUS - Peter FREDIN | 53.17 | 85 | Salvador ASSAEL - Ali YALMAN | 50.30 |
| 31 | Curtis CHEEK - Joe GRUE | 53.15 | 86 | Boguslaw PAZUR - Marek WOJCICKI | 50.28 |
| 32 | Hailong AO - Jian-Jian WANG | 53.11 | 87 | Daniel KORBEL - Darren WOLPERT | 50.27 |
| 33 | Stephen BURGESS - Michael COURTNEY | 53.04 | 88 | Radu MIHAI - Paul WEINSTOCK | 50.25 |
| 34 | Yuliy CHUMAK - Oleg ROVYSHYN | 52.91 | 89 | Greg BURCH - Rick ROWLAND | 50.19 |
| 35 | Pierre SAPORTA - Jean-Michel VOLDOIRE | 52.78 | 90 | Andrew STARK - Franco BASEGGIO | 50.13 |
| 36 | Janusz MAKARUK - Pawel NIEDZIELSKI | 52.76 | 91 | Patrick JOURDAIN - David KENDRICK | 50.09 |
| 37 | Jan JANSMA - Gert Jan PAULISSEN | 52.75 | 92 | Pamela GRANOVETTER - Matt GRANOVETTER | 49.99 |
| 38 | Xu HOU - Miao SHI | 52.72 | 93 | Thomas CHARLSEN - Thor Erik HOFTANISKA | 49.93 |
| 39 | Ashley BACH - Ishmael DELMONTE | 52.66 | 94 | Daniela von ARNIM - Sabine AUKEN | 49.81 |
| 40 | Taufik Gautama ASBI - Robert PARASIAN | 52.50 | 95 | Kevin BATHURST - Daniel ZAGORIN | 49.63 |
| 41 | Dominique PILON - Lionel SEBBANE | 52.46 | 96 | Steve BEATTY - Ronald GERARD | 49.57 |
| 42 | Tim COPE - Glen HOLMAN | 52.44 | 97 | Stephen CASTELLINO - Paul CORNELIUS | 49.43 |
| 43 | Dawei CHEN - Kazuo FURUTA | 52.27 | 98 | Ole BERSET - Geir-Olav TISLEVOLL | 49.30 |
| 44 | Lixin YANG - Ban Xiang ZHANG | 52.24 | 99 | Ajay KHARE - Raju TOLANI | 49.29 |
| 45 | Karl GOHL - Neil KIMELMAN | 52.14 | 100 | Ramavatar AGARWAL - Keshav S. SAMANT | 49.25 |
| 46 | John HURD - Joel WOOLDRIDGE | 52.01 | 101 | Andrew MCINTOSH - Nicklas SANDQVIST | 49.20 |
| 47 | Jouri KHOKHLOV - Georgi MATUSHKO | 51.99 | 102 | Joaquin PACAREU - Benjamin ROBLES | 49.20 |
| 48 | Sunit CHOKSHI - Subhash GUPTA | 51.87 | 103 | Mike KENNY - Jonathan STEINBERG | 49.17 |
| 49 | Siu-Kau Samuel WAN - Derek ZEN | 51.77 | 104 | Thierry De SAINTE MARIE - Philippe TOFFIER | 49.15 |
| 50 | Michael POLOWAN - Jacob MORGAN | 51.62 | 105 | Dominique GERIN - Ivan RUE | 49.14 |
| 51 | William JACOBS - Ben THOMPSON | 51.58 | 106 | Greg HINZE - David YANG | 49.06 |
| 52 | Aneurin GRIFFITHS - Bobby RICHMAN | 51.57 | 107 | Alain BENOIT - Olivier GIARD | 49.05 |
| 53 | Alejandro BIANCHEDI - Ernesto MUZZIO | 51.53 | 108 | Gabriel CHAGAS - Alain LEVY | 49.04 |
| 54 | Louis GLASTHAL - Michael MASSIMILLA | 51.43 | 109 | Tomasz GOTARD - Slawek HENCLIK | 49.01 |


| II 1 Gordon CAMPBELL - Piotr KLIMOWICZ | 49.0 I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| II I Raphael GUENOUN - Bernard LAUGIER | 48.97 |
| II2 Mike MCNAMARA - Elliot SHALITA | 48.95 |
| I I3 Iftikhar BAQAI - Mitch DUNITZ | 48.9 I |
| II4 Wafik ABDOU - Chris LARSEN | 48.83 |
| II5 Ricardo ANGELERI - Marcelo VILLEGAS | 48.80 |
| II6 Javier GRAUPERA - Juan PONT | 48.79 |
| II7 Nicholas FITZGIBBON - Adam MESBUR | 48.76 |
| II8 Jessica HAYMAN PIAFSKY - Nicolas L'ECUYER | 48.59 |
| II9 Abdellah ELGHRARI - Abdelkamal RERHAYE | 48.56 |
| I20 Eric DEBUS - Rutger VAN MECHELEN | 48.50 |
| I2I Lynn JOHANNESEN - Dale JOHANNESEN | 48.49 |
| I22 Jim BARROW - Don CATON | 48.42 |
| I23 Philippos KARAMANLI -Vassilis VROUSTIS | 48.37 |
| I24 Stanislaw GOLEBIOWSKI - Jacek KALITA | 48.35 |
| I25 Christopher Henry BOSENBERG - Neville EBER | 48.29 |
| I26 Chris COMPTON - Martin SELIGMAN | 48.29 |
| I27 David BECHER - Alan WATSON | 48.22 |
| I28 Shireen MOHANDES - Andy BOWLES | 48.18 |
| I29 Nikola BARANTIEV - Ivan PEICHEV | 48.16 |
| I30 Borislav BORISOV - Yordan GACHEV | 48.06 |
| I3I Walter JOHNSON - Doug SIMSON | 48.03 |
| I32 Allan FALK - Peter FRIEDLAND | 47.92 |
| I33 Richard SCHWARTZ - Peter WEICHSEL | 47.91 |
| I34 Dennis BILDE - Hans Christian GRAVERSEN | 47.88 |
| I35 Atanas IVANOV - Antony Ivanov NIKOLOV | 47.79 |
| I36 Weimin WANG - Zejun ZHUANG | 47.78 |
| I37 Kenneth EICHENBAUM - Kenneth J. REXFORD | 47.69 |
| I38 Mark COHEN - Milton ROSENBERG | 47.66 |
| I39 Niccolo FOSSI - Peter PAUNCZ | 47.62 |
| I40 Ai-Tai LO - Alan SCHWARTZ | 47.59 |
| I4I Elly SCHIPPERS-BOSKLOPPER - Rene STIENEN | 47.56 |


| I42 Richard CHAN - Peter WONG | 47.04 |
| :--- | :--- |
| I43 R GOKHALE - Satya RAMI | 47.00 |
| I44 Veronique VENTOS - David FORGE | 46.96 |
| I45 Rajesh DALAL - Anil PADHYE | 46.94 |
| I46 Mark AQUINO - Richard BINDER | 46.90 |
| I47 Zdizilaw BELING - Gregor LEWACIAK | 46.90 |
| I48 John CARROLL - Tommy GARVEY | 46.89 |
| I49 Robert CAPPELLI - Robert KATZ | 46.88 |
| I50 Gulzar BILAL - Khaled MOHIUDDIN | 46.87 |
| I5I Jie LI - Jing LIU | 46.8 I |
| I52 Sam PUNCH - Tim REES | 46.80 |
| I53 Jens AUKEN - Jon SVEINDAL | 46.67 |
| I54 Pete PLUHTA - David WESTFALL | 46.58 |
| I55 Jean-Baptiste FANTUN - Godefroy De TESSIERES | 46.5 I |
| I56 Alexander PERLIN - Michael PRAHIN | 46.43 |
| I57 Bernard PASCAL - Baher RAMADAN | 46.24 |
| I58 Nikos DELIMPALTADAKIS - K. KONTOMITROS | 46.24 |
| I59 Ramratnam KRISHNAN - K. R.VENKATARAMAN | 46.15 |
| I60 lonut COLDEA - Iulian ROTARU | 46.12 |
| I6I Daniel NUSSBAUM - Mark OSPECK | 45.74 |
| I62 Dipak PODDAR - Jeetu SOLANI | 45.54 |
| I63 Olivie DAVID - Jean Claude LEVY | 45.34 |
| I64 Sheng Hong CHEN - Rui WANG | 45.23 |
| I65 Omer EKINCI - Ellis FEIGENBAUM | 44.87 |
| I66 Philippe CAPUTO - Guy VAN MIDDELEM | 44.36 |
| I67 Mehmet Ali KORDOV - Kutluhan UNAL | 44.09 |
| I68 Eva CAPLAN - Karin WENNING | 43.70 |
| I69 Ruth NAKANO - Dick YARINGTON | 43.34 |
| I70 Ethan LIU - Qing YANG | 43.29 |
| I7I George KRIZEL - Albert SHEKHTER | 40.98 |
| I72 Roeland MAAT - Mark De MEER | 40.06 |
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## GENERALI WORLD WOMEN's PAIRS

|  | Semi Final Results (subject to confirmation) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Rank | Rames | Result | 22 | Cynthia HINCKLEY - Diana SCHULD |

## IMP PAIRS

| Final Qualifying Results (subject to confirmation) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Names | Result | 48 | Jim RUSSELL - Colby VERNAY | 1385.7 |
| 1 | Peter BERTHEAU - Gunnar HALLBERG | 10553.6 | 49 | Robert HEITZMAN - Stan TULIN | 1315.9 |
| 2 | Joao-Paulo CAMPOS - Miguel VILLAS-BOAS | 8876.8 | 50 | John RAYNER - Michael ROCHE | 1272.1 |
| 3 | Willem van EIJCK - Nicolas HAMMOND | 8447.3 | 51 | Craig GANZER - R POPPER | 271.4 |
| 4 | Jaroslaw CIESLAK - Piotr ZAK | 8167.6 | 52 | Maurice DE LA SALLE - Michael YUEN | 1218.1 |
| 5 | Claire TORNAY - George TORNAY | 8097.7 | 53 | David WALKER - Kevin WILSON | 1162.1 |
| 6 | R Jay BECKER - Robert SARTORIUS | 7375.8 | 54 | Syarifah Nina Tirta AYU - Gemma TAN | 1068.4 |
| 7 | Marjorie MICHELIN - Carlos PELLEGRINI | 7192.3 | 55 | Debbie BENNER - Arthur CRYSTAL | 1025.8 |
| 8 | Isabella VARGAS DE ANDRADE - Stanley BARG | 6881.8 | 56 | Linda GORDON - Robb GORDON | 876.5 |
| 9 | Bob ETTER - Bob MORRIS | 6395.1 | 57 | Stephen TU - Tien-Chun YANG | 796.6 |
| 10 | Paul THURSTON - Mike RIPPEY | 5789.5 | 58 | Diana WYLIE - Wendell WYLIE | 734.8 |
| 11 | Richard COREN - Barnet J SHENKIN | 5597.8 | 59 | Veronel LUNGU - Viorel MICESCU | 627.0 |
| 12 | Odette ZIGHELBOIM - Steve HAMAOUI | 5580.5 | 60 | COQUILLETTE - MOSCOW | 608.3 |
| 13 | Alex GIPSON - Paul GIPSON | 5399.3 | 61 | Roman GRZELAK - Jacek ROMANSKI | 564.3 |
| 14 | Anna ST CLAIR - Dee HARLEY | 5363.8 | 62 | Erick HERDOIZA - Carlos RENDON | 428.0 |
| 15 | Catherine CAPLAN - Paul CAPLAN | 5362.8 | 63 | Ranald DAVIDSON - Marc-Andre FOURCA | 419.5 |
| 16 | Andrew HOSKINS - Jason ROSENFELD | 5358.2 | 64 | Harley BRESS - Garth YETTICK | 314.3 |
| 17 | Judith GARTAGANIS - Nicholas GARTAGANIS | 5145.1 | 65 | Jacqueline PAVEL - Horia GEORGESCU | 186.5 |
| 18 | Kelley HWANG - John ZILIC | 4995.3 | 66 | Shelley LAPKOFF - Vandana VIDWANS | 58.8 |
| 19 | Pedro Leonel loklon IEONG - Samuel IEONG | 4855.3 | 67 | Grazyna BREWIAK - Janoslaw PIASECKI | 50.2 |
| 20 | Malcolm EWASHKIW - Robert HOLLOW | 4547.5 | 68 | Ralph BUCHALTER - Alexander ORNSTEIN | 44.2 |
| 21 | Onno ESKES - Vincent KROES | 4484.6 | 69 | Prakash BHANDARI - Ashok Kumar GOEL | -49.5 |
| 22 | Bruce FERGUSON - Robert HOLLMAN | 4324.9 | 70 | Hans FRERICHS - Ulrich WENNING | -74.7 |
| 23 | Karen Lee BARRETT - Carol Ann CLIFFORD | 4261.3 | 71 | Wolfe THOMPSON - Marc ZWERLING | -78.3 |
| 24 | Philippe SOULET - Maurice TCHENIO | 4041.7 | 72 | Constance MCAVOY - James MCAVOY | -232.8 |
| 25 | Kaustubh BENDRE - Sandeep THAKRAL | 3957.0 | 73 | Virginia LIFTON - Jerry CLERKIN | -363.5 |
| 26 | Gary COHLER - Eric ROBINSON | 3953.8 | 74 | Franco GUSSO - Alfredo VERSACE | -577.9 |
| 27 | Rajeev GUPTA - Joyjit SENSARMA | 3483.8 | 75 | Mike ASH - Alan GOODMAN | -700.1 |
| 28 | Adam PARRISH - Randall RUBINSTEIN | 3467.3 | 76 | Anne DAWSON - Geoffrey S Jade BARRETT | -713.8 |
| 29 | Barry SPECTOR - Randy THOMPSON | 3381.8 | 77 | Linda Jane BALL - Meyer KOTKIN | -835.0 |
| 30 | Mehmet GUNEL - Naci YUKSEL | 3364.4 | 78 | Luis GAMIO KLAPIC - Tariq LATIF | -947.3 |
| 31 | Makiko SATO - Kyoko SHIMAMURA | 3190.7 | 79 | Ron BISHOP - John DUQUETTE | - 1179.3 |
| 32 | Richard MORGEN - Andy MUENZ | 3113.9 | 80 | Saul AGRANOFF - Shekhar SENGUPTA | -1281.1 |
| 33 | Roberto BARBOSA - Paulo Roberto BRUM | 3095.9 | 81 | Thomas BANDY - Scott STEARNS | -1295.2 |
| 34 | Irving LITVACK - William Ferguson TUCKER | 3054.5 | 82 | Claudia Valerie GAMIO - Miguel REYGADAS | -1325.8 |
| 35 | Mckenzie MYERS - Robert TODD | 3042.4 | 83 | Henry LORTZ - Wayne OHLRICH | -1439.3 |
| 36 | Fred HOFFER - Don PIAFSKY | 3020.3 | 84 | David OLSON - James OLSON | -1535.1 |
| 37 | Mine BABAC - Lale GUMRUKCUOGLU | 2890.3 | 85 | Joel DATLOFF - Paul OBRIEN | -1617.6 |
| 38 | Daniel WILDERMAN - Kenneth ZUCKERBERG | 2847.2 | 86 | Irene ELKIN - Maria Elena SUAREZ | -2027.8 |
| 39 | Sharon JABBOUR - Shirley PRESBERG | 2827.5 | 87 | Gail BELL - Gila GUTTMANN | -2056.5 |
| 40 | Guilherme JUNQUEIRA - Ernesto D'ORSI | 2558.7 | 88 | Jeroo MANGO - B.N. PARASRAMPURIA | -2105.3 |
| 41 | Volodymyr DANYLYUK - Vladimir PORHUN | 2272.8 | 89 | Stephannie RUSSO - Maria TSOUKALAS | -2245.6 |
| 42 | Maritha POTTENGER - Judy RIMER | 2101.7 | 90 | Naveed ATHER - Saleh FETOUH | -2408.4 |
| 43 | Doug FISHER - Bob TODD | 2052.4 | 91 | Dave BLACKMAN - Tony WATKINS | -2454.7 |
| 44 | Donna MORGEN - Howard KAHLENBERG | 1903.8 | 92 | Marshall LEWIS - James MATES | -2519.8 |
| 45 | Igor MILMAN - Oleg RUBINCHIK | 1858.5 | 93 | Jacqueline SINCOFF - Roger LORD | -2570.6 |
| 46 | Stanley DUB - Greg MICHAELS | 1769.8 | 94 | Alan APPLEBAUM - Victor KING | -2580.9 |
| 47 | Jay APFELBAUM - Andy KAUFMAN | 1628.3 | 95 | Manol ILIEV - Stefan STEFANOV | -2661.3 |


| 96 | David COHEN - Ken COLLINS | -2800.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 97 | Barbara KASLE - Howard PARKER III | -2900.2 |
| 98 | Mary Lou DENISON - Daniel DENISON | -2920.8 |
| 99 Kathy BENJAMIN - Edward SCOLNICK | -2958.5 |  |
| I00 Lino D'SOUZA - Edgar DE SOUZA | -2991.5 |  |
| IOI Leora DUBROVSKY - Donna RODWELL | -3068.5 |  |
| I02 Kathleen FORTNEY - Charles FORTNEY | -3160.3 |  |
| I03 Nicole STRASSER - George BILSKI | -3189.8 |  |
| I04 Radu Mihai CUCUIU - Michael MYERS | -3340.9 |  |
| I05 Chris COFFMAN - Roger COFFMAN | -3632.6 |  |
| I06 Bryan DELFS - Todd FISHER | -3693.1 |  |
| I07 Rachael MOLLER - David GURVICH | -3947.6 |  |
| I08 Rupa BAKERI - Ashish PATEL | -4082.5 |  |
| I09 Fabrizio BIASIOLO - Paul SIDIKMAN | -4335.7 |  |

## HIRON TROPHY SENIOR PAIRS

## Final Qualifying Results (subject to confirmation)

\left.| Rank | Names | Result | 34 | Pierre BEAUREGARD - David MEYER |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\right] 4.49 .96$

## Final - Session McConnell Cup <br> High-Level Competition

The semifinals had been one-sided, bringing the topseeded Chinese team (Gu Ling-Lu Yan, Sun Ming-Wang Hongli and Feng Xuefeng-Sun Yanhui) against the Netherlands (Carla Arnolds-Bep Vriend, Jet Pasman-Anneke Simons and Martine Verbeek-Wietske van Zwol) for the 64-board final.
The match started well for the Dutch.
Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | - J 1092 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PAJ 76 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 6 |  |  |
|  | \& Q 63 |  |  |
| - K 85 | N |  | - Q 3 |
| ¢K532 | W E |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 98 |
| $\checkmark$ J 9 |  | E | $\checkmark$ A Q 73 |
| ¢ 11042 | S |  | 2 AK97 |
|  | - A 764 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 104$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 108542$ |  |  |
|  | 9 85 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Simons | Sun | Pasman | $n$ Wang |
|  | $1 \diamond(1)$ | INT | Pass |
| 2\% | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

(I) Precision: II-I5 points with at least zero diamonds!

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lu | Arnolds | $G u$ | Vriend |
|  | Pass | $1 \&(1)$ | Pass |
| INT (2) | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

(I) Precision: I6-plus points
(2) Balanced 8-I3 or I6-plus

In the Open Room, Wang led the diamond four. Pasman inserted dummy's nine, took North's king with her ace, cashed the A, played a diamond to dummy's jack, and ran the l . When the finesse worked, East played a heart to her queen, then led the Q . South won with her ace and returned a spade, but declarer had nine tricks: one spade, one heart, three diamonds and four clubs.

The auction in the Closed Room shows why many strong-club pairs use transfer responses to l\$, trying to get the big hand to be the declarer.
Arnolds led the declarer taking the third round. With no chance doubledummy, West played a heart to dummy's queen and ducked a heart. North won with her $\vee \mathrm{J}$, cashed the $\vee \mathrm{A}$, and led her last spade, the two. South now carefully returned a diamond to endplay the dummy. North had to score the Q for down one.
Plus 400 and plus 50 gave the Netherlands 10 IMPs. The second deal featured another swing.

Board 2. Dealer North. North-South Vul.


In the Closed Room, 4e could not be defeated. Declarer used dummy's heart spots to establish the $\vee 7$, but she always had ten tricks via four spades, four diamonds and two club ruffs in the dummy.
The swing would depend upon the result in $5 \bigcirc$ doubled. The defenders began with three rounds of diamonds, East
ruffing the last. Declarer cashed her $\vee A$ to get the bad news, played a club to dummy's king, and called for a club, North discarding (ruffing would not have helped). East took her A, played a spade to dummy's ace, ruffed a spade in her hand, and cast adrift with a club. That was six tricks in and she had to get two more trump tricks with her king-jack for down three.
Minus 500 and plus 620 gave the Netherlands 3 IMPs.
Did you notice that the defense could have got 800 ? North had to overtake the $\triangleleft \mathrm{K}$ at trick one and shift to her singleton club. That would have disrupted declarer's timing.
On the third deal, both East-West pairs went down one in a hopeless 3 NT with 8 points opposite 18.Then:

Board 4. Dealer West. Both Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad K J 96 \\
& \vee Q 10 \\
& \diamond \text { Q } 10963 \\
& \text { K } 8
\end{aligned}
$$

| - 5 N |  |  |  | - AQ 10832 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AK 94 |  |  |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{J} 8$ |
| $\checkmark$ K 8 |  |  | E | $\checkmark$ A 5 |
| * A Q 6542 |  | S |  | 2 J 103 |
| - 74 |  |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 76532$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 1742$ |  |  |  |  |
| -97 |  |  |  |  |
| West | No | th | East | South |
| Simons | Sun |  | Pasman | $n$ Wang |
| 1\% | $1\rangle$ |  | $2 \vee(1)$ | Pass |
| 3\% | Pass |  | 3 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass |  | Pass | Pass |

(I) 6-plus spades, 5 -plus points (I $\oslash$ would have shown 4 or 5 spades)

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lu | Arnolds | Gu | Vriend |
| 1\% (1) | Pass | $1{ }^{1}(2)$ | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| 3 - | Pass | 31 | Pass |
| $4{ }^{2}$ | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | $5 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 68 | Pass | Pass | Pass |

(I) 16-plus points
(2) 5 -plus spades, 8 -plus points

The Dutch pre-empted their own auction, and West never seems to have showed the strength of her hand. Declarer won the diamond lead in the dummy, ran the took the next diamond, and cashed her clubs, on which South discarded some hearts. So West took 12 tricks: one spade, four hearts, two diamonds and five clubs.
The Chinese benefited from the extra space afforded by the strong-club opening and Lu's careful 2NT bid that left Gu room to show her secondary club support. Then con-trol-bidding (cue-bidding) and Roman Key Card Blackwood completed the job.
North led a sneaky 6, but declarer won with dummy's ace, took her two top hearts, dropping North's ten and queen, ruffed the 84 in the dummy, and ran the When it lost, West claimed.
Minus 690 and plus 1370 gave China 12 IMPs on the board.
The Netherlands gained an overtrick IMP; then:
Board 6. Dealer East. East-West Vul.

- A 1032

คAK 76
$\diamond$ A 9

- 632
- Q 7654
$\stackrel{\perp}{ } 2$
$\diamond 5$
\& K Q 1085

- 

Q Q 85
$\diamond$ JI0 876432

- 94
- KJ 98
- 10943
$\diamond \mathrm{K}$ Q
AJ7


Wang Hongli, China

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Simons | Sun | Pasman <br> $3 \diamond$ | Wang |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 N T(1)$ | Pass | $5 \%(2)$ |
| Pass | $5 \triangleleft(3)$ | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | $6 \triangleleft$ | Dble | All Pass |

(I) Roman Key Card Blackwood
(2) One key card
(3) Do you have $\triangle$ Q?
(4) No

| West | North <br> Arnolds | East <br> Gu | South <br> Vriend |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Pass | $18(1)$ |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | $2(2)$ | Pass | 3NT (3) |
| Pass | $4 \$$ | All Pass |  |

(I) 2-plus clubs ( $\mathrm{I} \diamond$ guarantees at least four)
(2) Relay
(3) Maximum balanced hand with four hearts

The adverse vulnerability had an effect on each East player, but less on Pasman than on Gu.
Pasman made a Lightner double and got the spade lead she wanted. Of course, she also noticed that the ruff was


Jet Pasman, Netherlands
with her natural trump trick! However, partner had her covered with two club tricks for down two.
Against $4 \oslash$, Gu led the $\diamond$ J. Declarer won with dummy's queen and played a trump, Wu falsecarding with her jack. Now Gu's silence worked to her benefit. North, never guessing that the diamonds were $7-1$ and worried about hearts 4-I, tried to cash her $\diamond A$. Lu ruffed with her $\vee 2$ and shifted to the K . When allowed to hold the trick, West led a spade, ruffed by East. With West still to get a club trick, that was down one.
Plus 300 and minus 50 gained the Netherlands 6 IMPs, but it could easily have been 12 .
Over the next five boards each side gained I IMP.Then:
Board I2. Dealer West. North-South Vul.


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Simons | Sun | Pasman | Wang |
| Pass | $1 \diamond(1)$ | Pass | $\mathbf{2} \diamond(2)$ |
| Dble | Pass | $\mathbf{2}$ | $2 \Delta$ |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

(I) Precision with at least zero diamonds!
(2) Game-forcing; like a two-over-one $2 \triangleleft$ response

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lu | Arnolds | Gu | Vriend |
| $1 \diamond(1)$ | I $\$$ | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

(I) Precision with at least zero diamonds!

Vriend's raise to 2NT looks cautious to me.When you know where the opposing high cards lie, you play the cards more accurately. And she was vulnerable at IMPs.
Of course, she can argue that she was right because 3NT could have been defeated, but it wasn't at either table.

Against Sun, Pasman led the 10 . North called for a low spade, and Simons made a fatal error: she won the trick. This gave declarer three spade tricks when she was due only two.
West shifted to a low heart, North winning with her king and ducking a diamond. East returned the §J, which did not pain declarer. West won with her ace and tried a low club. North put in her queen and had ten tricks: three spades, three hearts, two diamonds and two clubs.
Against 2NT, Gu led the $\diamond$ Q.Arnolds won with dummy's ace and ducked a diamond. East shifted to the 10 , and again West erred by taking the trick. But West now led a club, holding declarer to nine tricks. However, plus 630 and minus 150 gave China 10 IMPs and a I-point lead.
The next board was flat; then China gained an overtrick IMP. Then:

Board I5. Dealer South. North-South Vul.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q K | N | - 5 |
| -J763 |  | $\bigcirc 10984$ |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 8643 | W E | $\diamond$ J 1095 |
| ¢ 75 | S | -6432 |
|  | - AJ987 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK 52 |  |
|  | $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ |  |
|  | * 198 |  |



Sun Ming, China

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simons | Sun | Pasman | Wang |
|  |  |  | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| $2 \checkmark$ | $3 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond$ | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 61 |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lu | Arnolds | Gu | Vriend |
|  |  |  | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| Pass | 29 | Pass | 28 |
| Pass | $3{ }^{1}$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ (1) |
| Dble | 4NT (2) | Pass | $5 \bigcirc(3)$ |
| Pass | 54 | All Pass |  |

(I) Singleton or void diamond
(2) Roman Key Card Blackwood
(3) Two key cards but no Q

Although Wang had shown some slam interest with her $4 \checkmark$ control-bid, if Sun's jump to 5asked only for a diamond control, she could have reached a no-chance slam. But here everything was all right when Wang, following the percentages, played a spade to her ace. Interestingly she did not even lead the queen from the dummy to tempt a cover.
Edgar Kaplan was not a fan of Roman Key Card Blackwood because, as he used to say, a king is not an ace. Arnolds could not bid 6e because they could have been missing two aces. Perhaps she should have passed over West's double, hoping South could wheel out RKCB.
Whatever, it was 13 IMPs to China.
The Netherlands took a "forced" overtrick IMP on the last board. Gu was able to play peacefully in 4s and take her ten top tricks. At the other table, Wang sacrificed in $5 \%$, which would have cost only 300 with best defense. But Simons insisted on 54, which would have required declarer to take the successful heart finesse with a singleton opposite ace-queen-third - except that the opening lead was a heart into the ace-queen.
This all left China ahead 37-23.



WBF President-elect Gianarrigo Rona, far left, and WBF President José Damiani, second from left, pose with the Paul Hackett squad, winners of the Rand Cup for Senior Teams. At far right is former WBF President Ernesto D'Orsi


Second place in the Rand Cup went to Team Markowicz


This squad from Indonesia competed as Gabrial UI and earned the bronze medal in the Rand Cup for Senior Teams


The Netherlands team poses with the silver medals they earned in the McConnell Cup (women's teams)


This team, with pairs from the USA, France and Russia, earned the bronze medal in the McConnell Cup

## Playing Cards

Decks of playing cards from WBF Championships are available from the Jannersten Stand on the 4th floor. New deck IUS\$ per deck. Used deck 0.70 US\$ per deck.

## Marriott Internet use

Players who wish to use the Internet in their hotel rooms are entitled to a $20 \%$ discount off of the hotel's published rate. To get the discount, dial 0 to request that your room be joined to the discount bridge rate and your hotel bill will show the discounted amount even though when you activate it daily (noon to noon) it asks you to sign up at $\$ 12.95$ per day.

## No Spades, partner?

In WBF Championship tournaments the law on enquiring about revokes is applied as written.Viz:
I. Declarer may ask a defender who has failed to follow suit whether he/she has a card of the suit led.
2. (a) Dummy may ask declarer (subject to no loss of rights under Law 43B2[b].)
(b) Dummy may not ask a defender.
3. Defenders may ask declarer and (at the risk of creating Unauthorized information) may ask one another.

## Semi Finals - Session 2 <br> Rosenblum Cup <br> Diamond Polishes Off Zimmermann by Mark Horton

Zimmermann trailed 37-40 IMPs as the second set of their semi-final against Diamond got under way. Things soon got worse:

Board 20. Dealer West.All Vul.

|  | - A98632 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 952$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 8 |  |
|  | \% K 5 |  |
| ¢ Q 105 | N | ¢ KJ7 |
| $\bigcirc 86$ | $W^{N}$ | $\bigcirc$ AKQ 104 |
| $\checkmark$ J1043 |  | $\checkmark$ A 765 |
| - A 643 | S | - 10 |
|  | - 4 |  |
|  | ¢ J 73 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 92 |  |
|  | - QJ9 872 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diamond | Multon | Platnick | Zimmermann |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \mathbf{Q}^{*}$ | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Dble* | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | 3 | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

North led the king of clubs and South played the queen. Declarer ducked, won the next club, pitching a diamond from dummy, and played a spade to the king, making exactly nine tricks when the ace was with the man who bid the suit, +600 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Helgemo | Hampson | Helness | Greco |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \otimes$ | Pass |
| INT | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | 2 | Dble | 32 |
| $3 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

South led his spade and North won and returned the two for South to ruff. Declarer took the club switch with dummy's ace and played a diamond to the ace and a diamond. That was +130 , but 10 IMPs to Diamond.

Board 2I. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- Q 1064
$\bigcirc$ K Q
$\diamond$ AK 5
* 7632

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diamond | Multon | Platnick | Zimmermann |
|  | Pass | I $\langle *$ | INT |
| Dble | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | $2 \oslash$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \oslash$ | Pass |
| 3 NT | All Pass |  |  |

East's wafer-thin opening bid saw his side reach a game that could not be defeated.
North led the four of diamonds and declarer ducked South's queen. He won the next diamond and played the top hearts, South ducking both of them. Knowing where all the high cards were located, declarer cashed the king


John Diamond, USA
of diamonds discarding a club and played a spade to the king and ace. South exited with the jack of spades and declarer won, cashed two more spades, played a club to the ace and exited with a heart. South, down to KJ and $\vee \mathrm{A}$ was endplayed, +400 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Helgemo | Hampson | Helness | Greco |
|  | Pass | Pass | INT |
| Pass | $22^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Declarer could not avoid the loss of six tricks, two spades, two hearts and two trumps, but -200 wasstill good for 5 IMPs.

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.

|  | - Q 108 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QAJ1053 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 652 |  |
|  | \& 5 |  |
| - 542 | N | ¢ 9763 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ Q 2 |  | $\bigcirc 84$ |
| $\diamond$ A 987 | W E | $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ |
| - J 94 | S | \% AK 10832 |
|  | - AKJ |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 976$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 1043 |  |
|  | \& Q 76 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diamond | Multon | Platnick | Zimmermann |
| Pass | Pass | $22 *$ | Pass |
| $3 \dot{2 \&}$ | Dble | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

West led the four of clubs and East won with the king and switched to the seven of spades. Declarer won with the ace, ruffed a club and played the ten of diamonds. East won with the king and elected to force dummy with the ace of clubs. After ruffing, declarer played a diamond to the jack and ace and West exited with a club. Declarer had to lose a heart and a diamond, down one, -50 and 5 more IMPs for Diamond.
How unlucky was declarer, who might have run the spade switch to dummy and played a low diamond?

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Helgemo | Hampson | Helness | Greco |
| Pass | Pass | 19 | Pass |
| INT | $2 \vee$ | Pass | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{e}$ | $3 \vee$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

East cashed the ace of clubs, switched to the king of diamonds and then played the six of spades. South won and ran the nine of hearts. When that held he played a heart to the queen and ace and claimed nine tricks, +140 and 5 IMPs.

Board 3I. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- K 86
$\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$
$\diamond$ QJ 5
AJIO854
- 92
คA98762
$\diamond$ A 1083
-2



## Open Room

| West <br> Diamond | North <br> Multon | East <br> Platnick | South <br> Zimmermann <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20^{*}$ | $3 *$ | All Pass |  |

I doubt East gave any thought to doubling 3e. I will make two observations.A lot has been written about not doubling a contract because the opponents might find a better spot. In my experience they don't run very often so I am all for doubling what is under your nose. Here I don't think East is worth a double because he has little defence outside the club suit. However, there is a case for West to double. Then South would have to be inspired to look for a better spot.
East led the queen of hearts and West took the ace and returned the two of hearts, declarer discarding a diamond from hand and winning with the five. He played the jack of hearts, pitching a second diamond as East ruffed.A diamond went to West's ace and he exited with a heart, ruffed with the four and overruffed. Declarer ruffed the
diamond exit, cashed the ace of clubs and played the jack of clubs. He was two down, -200 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Helgemo | Nampson <br> Helness | Greco <br> Pass |  |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2 *}$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| Dble | $3 \boldsymbol{i}$ | All Pass |  |

Declarer won the club lead with dummy's ace and played the king of hearts. West won and switched to the ace of diamonds, diamond, East winning and playing a third round. Declarer won in dummy, played king of spades, spade, ruffed a heart felling the queen and had ten tricks, +170 and 9 IMPs.
Diamond had won the set 42-8 and led 82-45.

## DISTRICT 3 <br> AUTUMN LEAF REGIONAL <br> October 25-31,20IO <br> Fall Foliage and Bridge go together! <br> Danbury Hotel \& Conference Center <br> Danbury CT 203-794-0600 <br> Bridge Rate: \$94 <br> (Reservation Deadline: October II) <br> New: Golden Opportunity Pairs 0-750 MPs, Friday, Oct. 29 <br> Bracketed KOs, Bracketed Round Robin Teams; Swiss Teams <br> Senior Pairs; Stratified Open Pairs, Newplicate one-session games <br> For complete information: www.bridge-district3.org

# It's a Single 

by Phillip Alder

There was only one sizable swing in the second final session of the McConnell Cup match between the China Ladies Team and the Netherlands. It occurred on this deal:

Board 7. Dealer South. Both Vul.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \perp \text { A QJ } 7643 \\ & >3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\diamond-$ |  |  |
|  | * A Q J 74 |  |  |
| - 952 | N |  | - |
| $\bigcirc 109852$ |  |  | ® K 4 |
| $\checkmark 94$ |  | E | Q 107632 |
| * 1082 |  |  | -K965 |
|  | $\text { K } 108$ |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \text { A Q J } 76$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond A J 85$ |  |  |
|  | 93 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Simons | Sun | Pasman | Wang |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| Pass | 14 | 3 | Dble |
| Pass | 4 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lu | Verbeek | Gu | van Zwol |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| Pass | 14 | 3 - | Dble |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 58 |
| Pass | 5NT | Pass | 6 |
| Pass | 74 | All Pass |  |

The Dutch auction was confused. Both players knew that $5 \diamond$ was Voidwood - Exclusion Key Card Blackwood. Martine Verbeek (North) was hoping it would be read as for spades, but Wietske van Zwol (South) took it as hearts, showing one key card (excluding the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ ). She also wondered if partner was thinking about a club contract because her second-round double would usually have been with a hand shorter in diamonds and longer in clubs.
North continued with 5NT, not willing to give up on a grand slam and wanting to know which suit partner be-
lieved to be trumps. South, on the same wavelength at this point, bid 6 『.
Now North should have bid 64, which South would have raised to $7 \Phi$ with the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$, a second key card. But North jumped to 7. hoping for the best and forgetting that partner's key card could have been the $\oslash K$, not the QA!
The play was identical at both tables. East led the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$. Declarer discarded a club on dummy's $\diamond$ A, played a club to the ace, crossruffed three clubs and three diamonds, drew trumps, and claimed.
That gave a not-unfortunate I3 IMPs to the Netherlands.
The other 15 boards went 16-7 in favor of the Netherlands to leave the halftime match score at Netherlands 52 China 44. If China had won by I IMP, Gu would have received the praise. She was in 6NT with a diamond suit of A-8-6-3-2 opposite K-Q-9. Needing four tricks from this suit, she cashed her king. When lefty dropped the jack, Gu went to the dummy in another suit and played a diamond to her nine. This garnered five diamond tricks and turned a 2 -IMP gain (the Dutch were in 6$\rangle$ just made) into a 3point plus.


Wietske van Zwol, Netherlands

## Matching Set <br> by Ron Klinger

Bulletin IO, page 26, featured a deal where Marshall Lewis in 3NT held:
\& 109874 opposite A 6 .
He led dummy's and ran it successfully when LHO did not cover with K-J-2.
Marshall was involved in two other deals where the key holding was A-x.
The following deal is rotated to make South declarer.
Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | - A Q 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PK8652 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A 1093 |  |
|  | - 8 |  |
| -1098542 | N | - K63 |
| $\bigcirc 9$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A 7 |
| $\checkmark$ K 6 | W E | $\checkmark$ J 852 |
| \& 4765 | S | -10932 |
|  | Q J |  |
|  | QQJ 1043 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 74 |  |
|  | \& K Q J 4 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Russo |  | Lewis |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | 24 (1) | Dble | 30 |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | 31 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 5\% (2) |
| Pass | $5 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |

(I) Limit heart raise or better
(2) 0 or 3 key cards

Lead: 10 .
With East's double strongly indicating the K , South rose with the $\uparrow$ and led the $\vee 2$. East unwisely played the $\bigcirc 7$ and South's $\vee Q$ won. As the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ was with East, the A and the $\triangleleft K$ figured to be with West, so South continued with the . West took the and continued with the 99 : queen - king - $\wp 3$.
The 4 was ruffed in dummy and South ruffed the $\$ 7$. Next came the K , leaving this ending:


South exited with the $8 J$ and picked the position on the forced diamond exit.
Fast forward to the Open Pairs Qualifying Session 3 and this time Marshall, playing with James Mates, was on the receiving end. The opponents were Dessy Popova and Ann Fuglestad.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/WVul.


East led the S to the ace and North played the 8 Q at trick two. East was not going to cover and set up three tricks for North's potential ©Q JIO 9. When West followed low, North had her slam.

## PHILADELPHIA REGIONAL RESULTS

## 2nd MONDAY - TUESDAY KO, BKT\#I

| 9 Tables |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 29.85 I | Mary Ann Berg, Atherton CA; John Mohan, Huixquilucan Mexico; Huub Bertens, Tilburg 50 Netherlands; Ton Bakkeren, Oisterwijk Netherlands; Simon De Wijs, Doorn 304G Netherlands; Bauke Muller, Hoorn Netherlands |
| 20.902 | Rose Meltzer, Los Gatos CA; Kyle Larsen, San Francisco CA; Alan Sontag, Gaithersburg MD; David Berkowitz - Lisa Berkowitz, Boca Raton FL |
| 11.94 3/4 | Renate Hansen - Andreas Babsch - Alexander Wernle - Jorankca Smederevac, Vienna Austria |
| 11.94 3/4 | Lou Ann O'Rourke, Portola Valley CA; Eddie Wold, Houston TX; Roger Bates, Mesa AZ; Marc Jacobus, Las Vegas NV; Sjoert Brink, Rotterdam 3 Netherlands; Bas Drijver, Capelle Aan Den Netherlands |

9 Tables
II.I5 I Charan Garg - Uma Garg, Voorhees NJ; George Subt - Robert Wilke, Southampton NJ
7.81 2 Elaine Weintraub - Arlyne Shockman, Philadelphia PA; Linda Censits, Naples FL; Irene Oppenheim, Midland TX
4.46 3/4 Carol Shannon, Ft Washington PA; Julie Hough, Horsham PA; F Rudnick, Oreland PA; Adele Lubowitz, Elkins Park PA
4.46 3/4 Dan Parlin - Audrey Parlin, Media PA; Michele Saland - Stephen Kalstein, Philadelphia PA; Estelle Bogart, Glen Mills PA

## 2nd MONDAY - TUESDAY KO, BKT\#3

12 Tables
8.53 I
5.972 Lynn Lonker, Philadelphia PA; Sheila Goodman, Narberth PA; Anita Kaufman, Gladwyne PA; Siham Mamo, Bala Cynwyd PA
3.84 3/4 Preston Kuptsow, Lansdale PA; Helen Mintzes - Howard Schneier, Langhorne PA; Allan Rubin, Newtown PA

## 2nd TUESDAY OPEN PAIRS

28.0 Tables

| A | B | C |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13.30 I |  |  | Ed Lazarus, Baltimore MD; Warren Oberfield, Pittsburgh PA | 66.19\% |
| 9.982 |  |  | Daniel Boye, Farmington NY; John Marks, Langhorne PA | 62.50\% |
| 7.483 |  |  | Susan Kestenbaum, Huntingdon Vy PA; Jack Mendelsohn, Erdenheim PA | 60.82\% |
| 5.614 |  |  | Jerzy Stepka, Philadelphia PA; Janusz Lysko, Crum Lynne PA | 57.05\% |
| $4.125 / 6$ |  |  | Justine Cushing - Melih Ozdil, New York NY | 56.73\% |
| $4.125 / 6$ |  |  | William Allison, Decatur GA; Charles Galloway, Thornhill ON | 56.73\% |
| 3.337 |  |  | Martin Rabinowitz, Narberth PA; Gilbert Sacks, Bala Cynwyd PA | 56.25\% |
| 2.968 |  |  | Alan Lechner - Elliot Sternlicht, New York NY | 55.93\% |
| 7.38 | I | 1 | Diana Stein, Boca Raton FL; Harold Mathews, Wynnewood PA | 55.45\% |
| 5.54 | 2 |  | Edward Bauman,Verona NJ; Ralph Croskey, Brigantine NJ | 55.37\% |
| 4.15 | 3 | 2 | Miles Ettman, West Windsor Nj; Sidney Friedenberg, Princeton Jct NJ | 54.81\% |
| 3.11 | 4 |  | Corey Krantz, Drexel Hill PA; Jeremy Siegel, Philadelphia PA | 54.73\% |
| 2.78 | 5 | 3 | Daryl Drew, Homosassa FL; Amanda Carter, Arlington VA | 54.09\% |
| 3.13 | 6 |  | Karen Barrett, Norwalk CT; Douglas Thompson, Acton MA | 53.93\% |
| 2.09 |  | 4 | Geoff Chichester, Metairie LA;Tilghman Moore, Gretna LA | 53.77\% |
| 1.77 |  | 5 | Anne Levin, Bryn Mawr PA; Janet Kronfeld, Haverford PA | 50.24\% |
| 2.11 |  | 6 | Theodore Levy - Christina Van Leeuwen, Cherry Hill NJ | 49.52\% |

Complete Regional Event Results and Hand records are available at http://web2.acbl.org/hosted/districts/d4web/tournamentcalendar.htm

## Building Their Lead <br> by Phillip Alder

The third final session of the McConnell Cup match between the Netherlands and the China Ladies Team had a wild first deal:

| Board I. Dealer North. None Vul. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - AKQ 962 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 109653$ |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 2$ |  |  |  |
| ¢ 8 |  |  |  |
| - J 853 | N |  | -1074 |
| $\bigcirc{ }^{1} 2$ | W |  | $\bigcirc$ A 874 |
| $\diamond$ Q 109 |  |  | $\diamond$ AJ 74 |
| \& J 32 | S |  | + K 7 |
| Q - |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{KQ}$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 8653 |  |  |
|  | * Q 109654 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Vriend | Sun | Arnolds | s Wang |
|  | 34 | Dble | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lu | Verbeek | Gu | van Zwol |
|  | 14 | Dble | 2\% |
| Dble | 2 | Pass | 320 |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Many players would have opened that North hand with 44. Then it might have gone double-all pass, probably down one. To beat it two, East must lead a low heart or the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ and another heart.
When Sun Ming opened 34, Carla Arnolds made a slightly dangerous takeout double, and Bep Vriend did well to bid 3NT, not pass.
North cashed her top spades, then shifted to a club. West, knowing that South had all of the missing honors, should have won with dummy's king and played a diamond. Assuming South took her king, she would have had to return a club to break up the potential squeeze. If, for example, she shifted to her 9 K , declarer would win with dummy's ace, play a diamond to her hand, cash the discarding a heart from the dummy, and take her last two diamonds. When the last one was led, everyone would be
down to four cards. West would have the $\vee \mathrm{J}$ and $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{J}-3$. Dummy would contain two hearts, one diamond and one club. And South would be keeping the $\vee \mathrm{Q}$ and three clubs. But the last diamond would squeeze her.
However, declarer immediately played a club to her jack, cashed the J , and took the losing diamond finesse. South returned the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$, and West conceded down one.
Wietske van Zwol (South) surely should have passed over 2 - no double, no trouble.
Against 3e doubled, Lu Yan (West) led the §J. Gu Ling (East) won with her ace and shifted to her low trump. West won with her jack and switched to the $\diamond 9$. East won with her ace and returned a heart to South's king. The next round of trumps was won by East, who went back to diamonds, declarer ducking this trick, taking the next diamond, and leading the Q . West correctly won with her ace, but instead of endplaying declarer with a trump to give East the $\diamond$ J, West strangely led a spade.
Still, down two, plus 300, and plus 50 gave China 8 IMPs, tying up the match... or so it seemed. It transpired that


Bep Vriend, Netherlands

North was told the double of 2 was for penalty and South was told it was takeout. So North, thinking her partner had bid 3e into the face of a penalty double, had no reason to run. But if she had known her partner was told the double of 2 was takeout, North would have run to $3 \bigcirc$ (or 3ه).
The tournament director, Sol Weinstein, ruled that South should suffer for her 3id but that North be permitted to run, Since both $3 \checkmark$ doubled and 34 doubled go down one, the score was corrected from minus 300 to minus 100 . So China gained only 4 IMPs , not 8 .
Four more boards saw China gain I IMP; then:
Board 6. Dealer East. East-West Vul.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \wedge Q 76542 \\ & \diamond \text { K } 3 \\ & \diamond A Q 107 \\ & >7 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -9 | N | - KJ 83 |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q 10742 |  | ¢J985 |
| $\checkmark$ J94 | W E | $\checkmark 8$ |
| \& A Q 3 | S | -10642 |
|  | - A 10 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 6$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 6532 |  |
|  | \& KJ985 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vriend | Sun | Arnolds | Wang |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \diamond(1)$ |
| 18 | 14 | 38 | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | 4\% |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 49 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

(I) Precision: zero or more diamonds

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lu | Verbeek | Gu | van Zwol |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| 18 | $2 \checkmark$ (1) | 38 | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Dble | All Pass |  |

(I) 6-plus spades, 7 -plus points

This deal has an interesting symmetry. Can you see it? In the Open Room, Vriend should have raised to $4 \bigcirc$ : with a ten-card fit, bid to the ten-trick level. North would surely have doubled, and South would probably have passed. Yes, South might have continued with 4NT and reached $5 \diamond$, but that would have failed.

When Vriend left her opponents extra bidding room, they conducted a sensible sequence.
Against 49, East led the $¢ 8$. West won with her ace and returned a heart. Declarer won and played her club to dummy's king and West's ace. Back came another heart. North ruffed in her hand, led a spade to dummy's ten, and cashed the $\boldsymbol{4}$ A. Then she conceded down one, losing two spades, one heart and one club.
Against $4 \bigcirc$ doubled, North led a spade. South took dummy's jack with her ace and shifted to her trump. West won with her ace and conceded a diamond, North winning to cash the $\vee \mathrm{A}$. But when the club finesse worked, declarer had ten tricks: one spade, five hearts, two clubs and two diamond ruffs in the dummy.
Minus 50 and plus 790 gave China 12 IMPs and the lead by 9 .
What was the symmetry? Each defense could have done a trick better if the opening leader had chosen her singleton. Then the Netherlands probably would have gained 7 IMPs.
The boards went back to being quiet. The score over the next six deals was 2-2. Then China gained 6 IMPs when Sun-Wang stopped in 31 butVerbeek-van Zwol stretched to a hopeless 44. They bid $2 \triangleleft$ Multi-2NT (ask)-3 $\diamond$ (bad weak two in spades)-3s (to play)-3NT-4@-Pass. North's 3NT was imaginative with six spades to the queen and king-queen-jack-tripleton of clubs, but she would have had only eight tricks.
With the opponents vulnerable, you, West, pick up:

```
&Q IO985 \diamondKJ3 \diamondJ &J752
```

It goes three passes to your partner, who opens INT either 14-16 or 15-17.You transfer with 28 and partner rebids 24. What would you do now, if anything?
Understandably Lu, opposite the weaker notrump, passed. But Vriend continued with 2NT.
Partner had:

## \& A 7 - $10972 \diamond$ AK 3 K 109

At both tables, the opening lead was a low diamond, dummy's jack scoring. Arnolds played a spade to her ace and a spade, South contributing the jack. A moment later South got in with the and shifted to a low heart, but declarer got that right to get home with an overtrick: four spades, one heart, three diamonds and three clubs.
Gu also won ten tricks, losing one spade, one heart and one club. But that was 6 IMPs to the Netherlands.
The last board was flat, leaving the set at 27-9 in favor of China, who now led by 10 IMPs with 16 boards to go.

## Semi Finals - Session 2 Rosenblum Cup A Close Run Thing <br> by Mark Horton

The Battle of Waterloo was fought on Sunday I8 June 1815 near Waterloo in present-day Belgium, then part of the United Kingdom of the Netherlands. An Imperial French army under the command of Emperor Napoleon was defeated by combined armies of the Seventh Coalition, an Anglo-Allied army under the command of the Duke ofWellington combined with a Prussian army under the command of Gebhard von Blücher. It was the culminating battle of the Waterloo Campaign and Napoleon's last. The defeat at Waterloo put an end to Napoleon's rule as Emperor of the French and marked the end of his Hundred Days' return from exile.
Describing the battle,Arthur Wellesley, the Ist Duke of Wellington is famously misquoted as saying it was ' $A$ damn close run thing'.
He actually said 'It has been a damn nice thing-the nearest run thing you ever saw..., where he used nice in the archaic meaning of 'careful or precise' and not the modern 'attractive or agreeable' or the even more archaic meaning of 'foolish.'
The Rosenblum semi-final between Nickell and Wolfson was a titanic struggle, with no quarter asked or given. With 15 deals to go Nickell led 89-75 IMPs.


Jeff Meckstroth, USA

Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \& K \text { K } 963 \\ & \& 10 \\ & \diamond J 1074 \\ & \& \operatorname{AJ} 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - A 42 | N | -1075 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 52 |  | QKJ7643 |
| $\checkmark$ Q 83 |  | $\diamond 9$ |
| Q Q 654 | S | ¢K 87 |
|  | - J 8 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 98 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AK 652 |  |
|  | \% 1092 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ginossar | Hamman | Pachtman | Zia |
|  | 14 | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 3 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 5 |

3 Splinter
I'm not quite sure what was happening after North splintered (there may not be time to ask) $4 \bigcirc$ might have been for key cards.
West led the two of hearts and declarer took the jack with the ace, cashed the ace of diamonds and led the jack of spades. West took the ace and switched to the four of clubs. Too late! Declarer went up with dummy's ace of clubs, played a diamond to the king and played spades, discarding his losing clubs. That was eleven tricks, +400 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rodwell | Rosenberg | Meckstroth | Willenken |
|  | 14 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $3 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

3 Splinter
West led the five of clubs and declarer was doomed. East won with the king and switched to the six of hearts.

Declarer had to lose a trump and a spade, -50 and 10 IMPs for Nickell, 99-75 IMPs.

Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

|  | - 1762 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 976$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q |  |
|  | * 1952 |  |
| 1. K 9 | N | - A 1083 |
| QJ1054 |  | $\bigcirc 832$ |
| $\checkmark$ AJ 543 |  | $\checkmark 10982$ |
| - 76 | S | * KQ |
|  | - Q 54 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AQ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 76 |  |
|  | - A 10843 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ginossar |  |  |  | | Hamman |
| :--- | | Pachtman |
| :--- |
| Zass |

West led the seven of clubs and declarer won with the ace, cashed the queen of hearts and played a diamond. West went in with the ace and switched to the king of spades. When that held he played another spade and East took the ace and played a third spade.
South won with the queen, played a club and could claim, +110 .
As it happens, switching to the king of spades was a mistake. IfWest plays a diamond the defenders will be in control. Say declarer wins and plays a club. East wins and now plays a spade. Three rounds of spades will ensure one down.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rodwell | Rosenberg | Meckstroth | Willenken |
|  |  | Pass | INT |

All Pass
West led the four of diamonds and the defenders made no mistake, cashing their tricks in the pointed suits for one down and 5 IMPs.

Over the next ten deals Wolfson outscored Nickell 6-3, so with three deals to go the score was 107-81. Things were looking bleak for Wolfson - but as Wellington said, 'Night or the Prussians must come.'

Board 29. Dealer North. All Vul.

|  | - 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 A Q 42 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 105 |  |
|  | \& AQ 1054 |  |
| Q Q J | N | -109764 |
| ¢J9765 |  | $\bigcirc 108$ |
| $\checkmark 63$ |  | $\diamond$ K Q 92 |
| 29876 | S | +32 |
|  | 4. AK85 3 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 3$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 874 |  |
|  | \% KJ |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ginossar | Hamman | Pachtman | Zia |
|  | 120 | Pass | $1{ }_{1}$ |
| Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 4NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

There are only eleven tricks in no trumps, so it was just as well North/South stopped short. +660 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rodwell | Rosenberg | Meckstroth | Willenken |

That was very well done indeed. East led the king of diamonds and declarer won, unblocked dummy's clubs, came to hand with a heart, drew trumps and played a diamond. That was +1370 and 12 IMPs. 107-93 Nickell.

Board 30. Dealer East. None Vul.

- AK 62

ค J 10965
$\diamond 96$
\& 75
883
$\diamond-$
$\diamond J 854$
QKQJ1062

\& QJ 109
$\bigcirc$ AQ74
$\diamond A Q$
\& 843
. 54
-K 832
$\diamond$ K 10732
\& A 9

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ginossar | Hamman | Pachtman | Zia |
|  |  | INT | Pass |
| 2** | Pass | 30 | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |
| 24. Clubs |  |  |  |
| 3 Short |  |  |  |

I know some players who would have come in over East's INT - 2\% to show hearts and another suit is one option - but it's a very pushy action.
With a free run East/West reached a dreadful contract that appeared to have two chances, slim and none. Still, at the probable state of the match you cannot blame them.


Michael Rosenberg, USA

At least the defenders could not hold up the ace of clubs twice.
South led the two of diamonds and declarer won with the queen, played a club to the king, a diamond to the ace and a club. South took the ace and had to find a spade switch. Had he done so North would have had to find a heart switch. However, South elected to cash the king of diamonds before playing a spade and that presented declarer with a ninth trick, +400 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rodwell | Rosenberg | Meckstroth | Willenken |
|  |  | INT | Pass |
| $2 \mathbf{2 世}^{*}$ | Pass | $3 \mathbf{N}^{*}$ | All Pass |

## 2s invitational in NT or clubs <br> 3\% maximum, accept NT invitation

South led the five of spades and North won with the ace and switched to the nine of diamonds. Declarer put up the ace and played a trump. South took the ace, cashed the king of diamonds and, taken in by his partner's false card in spades, played the ten of diamonds. North ruffed with the seven but declarer could overuff with the eight. An eight, an eight, my kingdom for an eight. + I 10 held the loss to 7 IMPs, one down would have been 10 IMPs. Nickell I07-100.
If North had won the first spade with the king declarer would have been alive to the possibility of a ruff, and might have pitched a spade on the ace of hearts after taking the ace of diamonds. As the cards lie that would have ensured the contract. So, we should credit North with creating a possibility - it was simply too difficult for South to work it out.

Board 3I. Dealer South. N/S Vul.
K 10765
$\checkmark$ Q 93
$\diamond$ J 76
2 64

- 1942

8 K J 72
$\diamond 8$
9 J 852


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \& - } 10865 \\
& \diamond \text { Q } 9542 \\
& \& \text { K } 109
\end{aligned}
$$

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ginossar | Hamman | Pachtman | Zia |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 14 | 28 | 4 ${ }^{*}$ |
| 5 | Pass | Pass | Db |

All Pass

## Splinter

South cashed the ace of diamonds and switched to his trump.Declarer won with dummy's jack and played a club to the ten and queen. He ruffed South's low spade continuation and played the king of clubs. South took the ace and returned a club for North to ruff. He exited with a heart and there was still a diamond to lose, -500 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rodwell | Rosenberg | Meckstroth | Willenken |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $1 \$$ | 2 | $49^{*}$ |
| Dbl | 4 | All Pass |  |

## 4 Splinter

To win North/South would have to bid and make 64, if they happened to collect 800 the match would go into overtime.
However, the 4-0 trump split meant 61 had little play East would have to lead the ace of hearts and then switch to a low diamond.
Stopping in game meant the match was over. Declarer scored ten tricks, +620, 3 IMPs leaving Nickell ahead I07103 IMPs.
As the dummy appeared Willenken remarked 'nice match.' Indeed it had been.
Nickell had prevailed, but it had been a damn close run thing.


## Small But Tasty

by Brian Senior

Often, it is the smaller examples of various foodstuffs that have the best flavour, and that can also hold true for low-level contracts at bridge. Certainly, new Rand Seniors Cup World Champion John Holland found this little morsel tasty enough.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

- K 5

ค 1053
$\diamond$ A Q 1095
762

| ¢ 98742 | N | - Q 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 94$ |  | $\bigcirc$ AKQ 82 |
| $\checkmark 632$ | W E | $\diamond$ K 87 |
| \& 1054 | S | 2K 83 |
|  | AJ6 3 |  |
|  | ¢J76 |  |
|  | $\diamond \mathrm{J} 4$ |  |
|  | * A Q J 9 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hallberg |  | Holland |  |
|  | Pass | INT | Pass |
| $2 \vee$ | Pass | 2 | All Pass |

Holland opened a heavy INT and Gunnar Hallberg transferred him into a rather unappetising-looking 24 contract.

North led a heart, so Holland cashed three of those, pitching a diamond from dummy. He continued with the queen of trumps, which ran to North's king. Back came a club, which Holland ducked to the jack. South had a number of winning options now but he got greedy, leading his low spade in hope of finding partner with the ten. That put Holland in hand. He led a heart and South discarded a club. Away went a club from dummy. The fifth heart saw the same action, club discards from both South and West.

Holland ruffed a club now and led a diamond up. When North ducked, Holland won the king and led his last club, making another small trump in dummy en passant. That was the ninth trick for a delicious +140 and 6 IMPs as 2 was defeated in the other room.

## ORTIZ-PATIÑO TROPHY <br> World Junior Championship

|  |  | ROUND 16 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| I | Israel | USA 2 | $43-39$ | $16-14$ |
| 2 | Russia | China | $15-22$ | $13-17$ |
| 3 | USA I | Australia | $14-30$ | $10-20$ |
| 4 | Sweden | Bye | $9.5-0$ | $18-0$ |
| 5 | Germany | Netherlands | $19-3$ | $20-10$ |
| 6 | Norway | Italy | $29-32$ | $14-16$ |
| 7 | China Hong Kong | Japan | $3-16$ | $11-19$ |
| 8 | India | Canada | $17-35$ | $10-20$ |
| 9 | Argentina | France | $10-46$ | $5-25$ |


|  | ROUND 17 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| I | USA 2 | China | $24-30$ | $14-16$ |
| 2 | Israel | USA I | $59-1$ | $25-0$ |
| 3 | Australia | Sweden | $19-22$ | $14-16$ |
| 4 | Germany | Bye | $9.5-0$ | $18-0$ |
| 5 | Netherlands | Norway | $15-25$ | $12-18$ |
| 6 | Italy | China Hong Kong | $15-32$ | $10-20$ |
| 7 | Japan | India | $76-5$ | $25-0$ |
| 8 | Canada | Argentina | $25-26$ | $15-15$ |
| 9 | France | Russia | $31-27$ | $16-14$ |

FINAL QUALIFYING RANKING

| I | Israel | $\mathbf{3 0 7 . 5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | France | $\mathbf{2 8 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | USA I | $\mathbf{2 6 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | China | $\mathbf{2 6 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Germany | $\mathbf{2 6 1}$ |
|  | Russia | $\mathbf{2 6 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Sweden | $\mathbf{2 5 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Australia | 257.5 |
| 9 | Canada | 257 |
|  | Netherlands | 257 |
| 11 | Italy | 248.5 |
| 12 | USA 2 | 247 |
| 13 | Japan | 246 |
| 14 | India | 245 |
| 15 | Norway | 239 |
| 16 | China Hong Kong | 219.5 |
| 17 | Argentina | 211 |


| QUARTER FINALS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TbI |  | Ses I | Ses2 | Total |
| I | Israel | 74 | 34 | $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ |
|  | Russia | 37 | 18 | 55 |
| 2 | Sweden | 41 | 31 | 72 |
| 3 | USA I | 70 | 28 | $\mathbf{9 8}$ |
| 3 | China | 66 | 39 | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ |
| 4 | Australia | 25 | 39 | 64 |
|  | Germany | 27 | 4 | 31 |
|  | France | 19 | 34 | $\mathbf{5 3}$ |

## DAMIANI CUP <br> World Youngsters Championship

|  | ROUND 14 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| II | Canada | France | $16-25$ | $13-17$ |
| I2 | Poland | Hungary | $16-25$ | $13-17$ |
| I3 | Australia | USA 2 | $32-14$ | $20-10$ |
| I4 | Israel | China | $19-23$ | $14-16$ |
| I5 | USA I | Uruguay | $48-25$ | $22-8$ |
| I6 | Norway | Netherlands | $18-45$ | $7-23$ |
| I7 | Chinese Taipei | Sweden | $2-21$ | $9-21$ |
| I8 | Germany | England | $27-43$ | $10-20$ |


|  |  | ROUND 15 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| II | France | Hungary | $40-25$ | $19-11$ |
| I2 | Canada | Australia | $7-68$ | $0-25$ |
| I3 | USA 2 | Israel | $10-52$ | $3-25$ |
| I4 | China | USA I | $47-8$ | $25-4$ |
| I5 | Uruguay | Norway | $0-48$ | $2-25$ |
| I6 | Netherlands | Chinese Taipei | $34-27$ | $17-13$ |
| I7 | Sweden | Germany | $42-1$ | $25-4$ |
| I8 | England | Poland | $38-26$ | $18-12$ |

## FINAL QUALIFYING RANKING

| I | England | $\mathbf{2 8 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Sweden | $\mathbf{2 6 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Israel | $\mathbf{2 5 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | France | $\mathbf{2 3 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Poland | $\mathbf{2 3 8}$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | China | $\mathbf{2 3 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Netherlands | $\mathbf{2 3 3 . 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Hungary | 229.5 |
| 9 | USA 1 | 229 |
| 10 | Germany | 219 |
| 11 | Norway | 216 |
| 12 | Canada | 196 |
| 13 | Chinese Taipei | 195 |
| 14 | Australia | 189 |
| 15 | USA 2 | 177 |
| 16 | Uruguay | 169 |


| QUARTER FINALS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Tb } \\ \text { l } \end{gathered}$ |  | Ses I | Ses2 | Total |
|  | England | 54 | 65 | 119 |
|  | Hungary | 34 | 28 | 62 |
| 12 | Netherlands | 37 | 74 | 111 |
|  | Sweden | 57 | 23 | 80 |
| 13 | Israel | 19 | 71 | 90 |
|  | China | 33 | 2 | 35 |
| 14 | Poland | 52 | 62 | 114 |
|  | France | 24 | 33 | 57 |

## ORTIZ-PATIÑO TROPHY

World Junior Championship
SEMIFINALS (I0.00, I3.10, 15.50 )
Israel China

USA I France

## DAMIANI CUP <br> World Youngsters Championship

SEMIIFINALS (I0.00, I3.IO, I5.50)
11
12
England
Israel
Netherlands
Poland

## World Youth Individual Contest (Qualifying sessions II.30, 16.00)

Poland is the first winner of the Rona Cup and the World Young Ladies Team Championship. The Poles defeated France in yesterday's 48 -board final by I3I-97 IMPs, having led for most of the match. Congratulations to Ewa Agnieszka Grabowska, Magdalena Holeska, Danuta Kazmucha, Natalia Sakowska, Joanna Taczewska, Justyna Zmuda, npc Miroslaw Cichocki and coach Rudolf Borusiewicz.
The French runners-up were Marion Cannone, Jessie Carbonneaux, Aurelie Thizy, Carole Puillet, Clairs Chaugny and npc Jerome Rombaut.
China - Xiufen Chang, Xing Li, Yanjiao Liu, Wei Meng, Lulu Peng, Xingxing Shan, npc Li Xuan Li and coach Honghi Lu, won the third-place play-off, beating USA by |l4-97.

| RONA CUP <br> World Young Ladies Championship |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RESULTS FROM FINALS |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ты } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ |  | SesI | Ses2 | Ses3 | Total |
|  | Poland | 41 | 31 | 59 | 131 |
|  | France | 21 | 28 | 48 | 97 |
| RESULTS FROM PLAY OFFs |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { ты } \\ 22 \end{array}$ |  | Ses I | Ses2 | Ses3 | Total |
|  | China | 34 | 47 | 33 | 114 |
|  | USA | 28 | 36 | 33 | 97 |



Gold: Poland


Silver: France


Bronze: China

## Junior Teams Quarter-final Set One <br> by Brian Senior

Israel qualified first in the Juniors Championship, clinching that with a 25-0 VP demolition of USAI in the last qualifying round. Despite this result, USAI qualified in third behind France, with China taking fourth spot. The remaining qualifiers were Russia, Germany, Sweden and Australia. Canada and the Netherlands missed out by just half a VP. For me, the big surprise was the failure of the Dutch team to make it into the knock-outs.
Israel chose to play Russia in the quarter-finals, the remaining pairings being Sweden v USAI, China v Australia and Germany v France.
For Set One, we take a look at the Israel v Russia match.
Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- $\int 42$
$\bigcirc 842$
$\diamond$ A Q 974
K K 7

| - K Q 106 | N | - 95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ A 1097 |  | $\bigcirc$ K Q J 53 |
| $\diamond$ K 108 | W E | $\checkmark 52$ |
| ¢ A 5 | S | 2 Q J 83 |
|  | - A 873 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 6$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 163$ |  |
|  | \% 109642 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argelazi | Volozhenin | Birman | Nekrasova |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| INT | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 38 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Veselov | Tarnovski | Dobrin | Fisher |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| INT | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 28 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |  |

Both West players opened INT and both Easts transferred to hearts, Eliran Argelazi, for Israel, jumping to $3 \vee$ while Russia's Ivan Veselov simply completed with $2 \triangle$. Both Wests, however, soon found themselves in the heart game and both received a heart lead.

Argelazi won in dummy and led a spade to his king then crossed to dummy in trumps in order to lead a second spade, Ksenia Nekrasova pitching a club. Nekrasova took her ace of spades, Ivan Volozhenin dropping the jack, and switched to the jack of diamonds for the king and ace. Volozhenin cashed the queen of diamonds before exiting with a trump and Argelazi had three pitches on the $\$ \mathrm{Q} 10$ and $\diamond 10$ for dummy's clubs, just making for +420 .
Veselov also won the trump in dummy and led a spade to the king then a heart to dummy and a second spade up. Lotan Fisher took his ace but Bar Tarnovski retained his jack. Fisher switched to the six of diamonds and Veselov put up the king. Tarnovski took the ace and queen of diamonds then exited safely with a trump and waited to make his club king for the setting trick; -50 and 10 IMPs to Israel.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argelazi | Volozhenin | Birman | Nekrasova |
|  | Pass | 19 | I $\diamond$ |
| 18 | Dble | Rdbl | $2 \diamond$ |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 4 $\bigcirc$ | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Veselov | Tarnovski | Dobrin | Fisher |
|  | Pass | 190 | $2 \diamond$ |
| $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |  |

Nekrasova made a simple overcall and Argelazi bid a quiet $I \oslash$. When Alon Birman redoubled to show threecard heart support,Argelazi splintered then accepted Birman's sign-off.

Ivan Volozhenin led the jack of diamonds to the king and ace. To beat the hand, Nekrasova had to switch to a spade and Volozhenin win and return the suit. Perhaps, if you trust West's $4 \diamond$ bid, that is the indicated defence. In practice, Nekrasova tried the queen of diamonds. Argelazi ruffed, cashed two top trumps and passed the eight of clubs to the queen. He ruffed the diamond return, picked up the clubs and took a spade pitch on the fourth round. A heart to hand followed by a winning spade play meant $+420$
Fisher made a jump overcall of $2 \diamond$ and Veselov simply blasted $4 \boxtimes$. Tarnovski found the killing lead of the nine of spades. Veselov put in the jack, losing to the queen. Fisher switched to a trump. Veselov won, drew a second round and passed the eight of clubs to the queen. Fisher returned a spade to the ace and a diamond through meant down one for -50 and another 10 IMPs to Israel.

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.
¢K 9854

- A 953
$\diamond A J 85$
© -


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Argelazi | Volozhenin | Birman | Nekrasova <br> 19 |
| $I \odot$ | Dble (I) | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | $4 \%$ | Pass | 49 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

(I) Transfer to spades.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Veselov | Tarnovski | Dobrin | Fisher <br> $12 \%$ |
| Pass | 19 | Pass | $2 \varnothing$ |
| Pass | $3 \otimes$ | Pass | $5 \%$ |
| Pass | $6 N T$ | All Pass |  |

Argelazi overcalled I $\vee$ and Volozhenin doubled, transfer to spades. When Nekrasova just completed the transfer,

Volozhenin splintered and that did not please Nekrasova one little bit. She signed off in 44, ending the auction.
Nekrasova won the heart lead with the ace and ruffed a diamond. She continued with two top clubs, the second being ruffed and over-ruffed, ruffed another diamond, played another club, ruffed and over-ruffed, then a heart to her king and a fourth club, again ruffed and over-ruffed. Now she ruffed a heart with her last trump and played a club. Had she pitched the $\diamond$ J on this she would have made an overtrick, but she actually ruffed low and was overruffed. She still came to the ace of diamonds so had ten tricks for +420 .
Veselov did not overcall and Fisher had an awkward rebid over the Is response. He elected to invent a heart suit and reverse into $2 \oslash$. When Tarnovski supported hearts, he jumped to $5 \%$ to clarify that he had a huge club suit and fewer than four hearts, and Tarnovski ended the auction with a jump to 6NT.
While 6\% would have been at worst on a spade guess, 6NT was doomed by Denis Dobrin's diamond lead. That went to the queen and ace and Tarnovski crossed to the king of hearts and cashed all eight clubs, hoping for something good to happen. HadWest held everything, he might have been embarrassed, but not today. Tarnovski led a heart to his ace and had to concede the last two tricks for down one; -50 and 10 IMPs to Russia.

Board 14. Dealer East. None Vul.


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Veselov | Tarnovski | Dobrin | Fisher |
|  |  | I $\diamond$ | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 38 | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 5\% | Pass |
| 59 | Pass | 68 | All Pass |

Argelazi used FSF but then settled for game despite Alon Birman's jump response. In the other room, Veselov's GF $2 \triangleleft$ response allowed Dobrin to agree trumps then go on over Veselov's sign-off and the cold slam was reached.
Both declarers lost a trick to the ace of spades; +480 to Argelazi but +980 to Veselov and II IMPs to Russia.

| Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ K 96 |  |  |
| ®K 9864 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AK |  |  |
| \& A 85 |  |  |
| - A Q 3 | N | ¢ J 2 |
| $\bigcirc$ A 2 | $W^{\text {E }}$ | $\bigcirc$ Q J 10753 |
| $\diamond 7543$ |  | $\checkmark$ Q 8 |
| \& K 1092 | S | 2 764 |
| ¢ 108754 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ - |  |  |
| $\diamond$ JI0 962 |  |  |
| \& Q J 3 |  |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argelazi | Volozhenin | Birman | Nekrasova |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 1\% | INT | 28 | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Veselov | Tarnovski | Dobrin | Fisher |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \%$ | INT | 28 | 24 |
| Pass | 30 | Pass | 49 |

All Pass
After identical auctions as far as $2 \rrbracket$, Fisher competed with the weak but shapely South hand while Nekrasova went quietly. Nekrasova defended $2 \Omega$, which made exactly. The play began with the jack of diamonds to the king and Volozhenin cashed the second diamond then exited with a low heart to Birman's queen. When the jack of spades was run to the king, Volozhenin cashed the club ace, losing the sixth defensive trick; + I IO.

Fisher saw his partner invite a spade game and accepted, hoping for not too much heart wastage in the North hand. Veselov led the ace of hearts. Fisher ruffed and played the queen of clubs to the king and ace, cashed the top diamonds and threw his low club on the 8 K then led a club to the jack and a spade up. When the king won he played a second spade and had an overtrick for +650 and II IMPs to Israel.

Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.
AKK987643
$\triangleright 9$
$\diamond 83$
\& A 8


| N |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| W | E |
|  |  |

. -
AJ J 10863
$\diamond A$ Q 9
\& KJ 104

- 105

QQ 7542
$\checkmark 10764$
\& 62

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argelazi | Volozhenin | Birman | Nekrasova |
|  | $4 \diamond$ | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| Pass | 49 | 4NT | Pass |
| 5\% | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Veselov | Tarnovski | Dobrin | Fisher |
|  | 19, | 28 | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Volozhenin opened $4 \diamond$, showing a good 4 opener, and Birman overcalled $4 \mathrm{\rho}$. When that came back to him, Volozhenin bid 4s and now Birman bid 4NT for take-out, getting all three suits into the game.
Argelazi chose the obvious 5 and played there on the lead of a top spade. He ruffed and led dummy's king of clubs to the ace. There were twelve easy tricks for +420 .
Tarnovski opened with a quiet Is and Dobrin overcalled $2 \checkmark$. When Veselov leapt to 3NT, Tarnovski judged well to go quietly and defend. He led ace then three of spades and had six spades to cash when he got in with the ace of clubs; down four for -200 and I2 IMPs to Israel.
An action-packed set ended with Israel ahead by 74-37, with one more 20-board set to play.

## Tough Day

by Kees Tammens
Day Two saw the Dutch Youth team have a tough time of it with nothing coming easily.
How about this thriller against Australia in Round IO?

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| van der Bos |  | van Lankdveld |  |
|  | 19 | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 2 |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Rdbl |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

East, Joris van Lankveld, could accept $2 \triangleleft$ doubled making, but redoubled? His hand was:

```
&Q 10 8 7 3
\ J 9864
\diamond
& 10
```

What would you do?
Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

|  | - KJ4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 1075$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 74$ |  |
|  | \% AK 1082 |  |
| - A 9 | N | , Q 10873 |
| $\bigcirc 3$ |  | ¢J9864 |
| $\checkmark$ AJ 1086 |  | $\checkmark 9$ |
| \& Q 7543 | S | -96 |
|  | ¢ 652 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKQ 2 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K Q 532 |  |
|  | \& |  |

East passed and was rewarded with 200 points when the redoubled contract went down one. Yours truly is still thinking what he would have done himself. Still the second day of the qualification brought the Netherlands 66.5 VPs and a lot of work to be done in the next seven matches.


## The Only Ones

by Brian Senior

Everyone reached slam on this deal from Round 10 of the Juniors, with four pairs bidding to seven - one 7NT and three 7\%. Only one pair bid and made a grand slam, Raghavendra Rajkumar and Anurag Mohota of India against Germany.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

- 984
-K 10864
$\diamond$ QJ 97
\& 4

$\bigcirc 52$
$\diamond 865$
\& 8532

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rajkumar |  | Mohota |  |
| 1980 | 18 | 29 | Pass |
| $2\rangle$ | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| 40 | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | Pass | 5NT | Pass |
| $6\rangle$ | Pass | 68 | Pass |
| 70 | All Pass |  |  |

One Club was 17+ and 2e a natural positive, after which natural bidding saw Rajkumar agree clubs and Mohota take control asking for key cards. Five Diamonds showed zero or three key cards and 5NT was a grand slam try, $6 \diamond$ showing extras with the king of diamonds. Now Mohota counted seven club tricks, three diamonds by ruffing out the suit, and two majorsuit aces. Six Hearts asked Rajkumar to bid 7e with the king of hearts or extras and otherwise 6NT. Rajkumar liked his queen-jacks and bid the grand.
After a club lead, the play was straightforward. Where other declarers had a decision about which major-suit finesse to try, the $I \oslash$ overcall made it clear to Rajkumar that spades was the better bet. With the 4K onside, he had 13 tricks and India had 16 IMPs 7\% failed in the other room. This went a long way towards India's $22-8 \mathrm{VP}$ victory.

# $5^{\text {th }}$ EUROPEAN OPEN BRIDGE CHAMPIONSHIPS <br> MIEDZYNARODOWE TARGI POZNANSKIE (MTP) <br> POZNAN - POLAND <br> $17^{\text {th }}$ JUNE $-2^{\text {th }}$ JULY 2011 

RIGHT OF ENTRY Bridge players from all WBF zones are entitled to participate, provided they are a) members of and b) in good standing with their Federation. All events will be TRANSNATIONAL and there will be no restrictions on the number of entries per NBO.

## REGISTRATION

All entries to the Championships tournaments must be submitted electronically, (not by e-mail) starting from $1^{\text {st }}$ December $\underline{2010}$ at

> http://www.eurobridge.org/competitions/11Poznan/Information.htm
through the EBL Web-site by 20 April 2011. All entries will be automatically forwarded to the NBO of the applicant, and are subject to their approval. Applications submitted after the deadline of 20 April 2011 will be accepted only if technically convenient. Withdrawals of accepted entries must be acknowledged by e-mail to the EBL (ebl@federbridge.it) by the same deadline, to avoid payment of the entry fee.

## HOW TO REACH POZNAN

By plane: Poznan International Airport (direct fights from Warsaw, Frankfurt, Munchen, London, Milan and others)
By car: From Berlin: Motorway A2 approx. 300 km (part of the highway is under re building)
By train: From Berlin or from Warsaw approx. 3 hours

## HOTEL ACCOMMODATION - BOOKING

All details and reservations on the website: www.poznan2011.pl
ENTRY FEES To be paid in cash (Euros or Polish Zloties) or by Credit Card at the Tournament Reception and Registration Desk at the venue:

Mixed Teams: $€ 800$ - Mixed Pairs: $€ 270$ - Open/Women/Senior Teams: $€ 950$
Open, Women \& Senior Pairs: € 400
The entries are calculated on the basis of approximately 20 Euro per person per qualifying session (and semi-final in pairs). There is no extra cost for players reaching the Pairs Finals or the KO phase of the Team events. Players from the finals of the Teams can drop into the Final of the Pairs event of the same category free of charge.

A $25 \%$ reduction on the entry fees will be recognized to all the players entering the four events. A personalized identification badge will certify this agreement.

AWARDS European Bridge League Gold, Silver and Bronze Medals will be awarded. The winners in each category will receive the title of European Open Champions. Honour prizes will be presented to the best ranking pairs and teams in each category. WBF, EBL and ACBL Master Points will also be awarded.

CHAMPIONS' PRIZES The Teams and Pairs winning the European title in Poznan will be hosted by the EBL at the 6th European Open Championship to be held in 2013 for one week (the winners of the Mixed events will be invited to the Mixed playing week, the winners of the Open / Women's and Seniors events will be invited to the O/W/S playing week) with free entry and B\&B Hotel accommodation in a double room.

CASH PRIZES

|  |  | OPEN | WOMEN | SENIOR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1st | 4000 | 2500 | 2500 |  |
| 2nd | 2000 | 1500 | 1500 |  |
| 3rd | 1250 | 1000 | 1000 |  |
| 4th | 1000 | 750 | 750 |  |
| 5th | 750 | 500 | 500 |  |
| 6th to 10th | 500 | 6th to 10th | 400 | 6th to 10th |
| 11th to 15th | 400 |  |  | 400 |

