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## CHAMPIONSHIPS IN FULL SWING



Young players at the opening celebration of the Junior Teams. Starting today, teams will play for the Ortiz-Patiño Trophy, the Damiani Cup and the Rona Cup

As of today, the 2010 World Bridge Series has a nearly full slate of competitions going, from the Rosenblum knockout to the Junior Teams. There was plenty of action yesterday.
In the Rosenblum, the top two seeds - Pierre Zimmermann and Nick Nickell - cruised, but Rose Meltzer, captain of the winning Rosenblum team from 2006, is now on the sidelines after losing to fellow American Lou Ann O'Rourke in the round of 32 .
In the McConnell, Phyllis Fireman came from behind to knock out the French-Russian Eisenberg squad. The Indonesian team, Gabrial UI, led the Rand Cup Senior Teams qualifiers.
In the Generali World Open Pairs, Mike Kenny and Jonathan Steinberg were leading after two qualifying sessions. In the Women's Pairs, Ping Wang and Shaohong Wu topped qualifiers on day one.
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## Important Notices



## Screen Regulations

Copies of the latest Screen regulations are available from hospitality, so please familiarise yourself with them.

## Rulings and Appeals

All players and captains are reminded that the tournament directors consult among themselves and solicit the opinions of expert players before giving a judgemental ruling. For this reason, the Appeals Committee, in accordance with the Code of Practice, presumes initially that the director's ruling is correct. The ruling will be overturned only if the evidence presented is strong enough to persuade the committee to the contrary. Copies of the Code of Practice may be obtained from the Hospitality Desk.


#### Abstract

Alerts TheWBF Alerting Policy applies. No announcements are made. Copies of the Policy are available from Hospitality.

\section*{Boards}

When the Boards come to the table DO NOT deal them. They are pre-dealt boards.


## Restrictions:

No smoking is permitted in any part of the building No mobile phones / electronic equipment may be taken into the playing area including the designated restrooms
Any player or team official bringing such an item into these areas will result in his team being fined 2 VP (or 6 IMPs in a knockout match), or in the case of pairs events, will result in his partnership being fined $25 \%$ of the match points available on a board during the session. The penalty is mandatory. Constant violation of this regulation will result in the player being prohibited from playing and in the team official not being admitted into the playing area.
The Chief Tournament Director may, at his discretion, prohibit a player from bringing other equipment into the playing area.
Hospitality may consent to keep these devices during play, but it must be clearly understood that no responsibility can be taken for any loss or damage.
No alcohol is permitted in the playing area.

## Dress Code

Players are reminded that the WBF is recognised by the IOC as a sports federation and are asked to respect this by dressing appropriately.


## SCHEDULE OF EVENTS

(Today)
Rosenblum Cup Round of 16 sesssions at I 0.00, I 3.00, I 5.30, I 8.00

McConnell Cup Quarter Final sesssions at 10.00, I 3.00, I 5.30, 18.00

Rand Cup Senior Teams Quarter Final sesssions at 10.00, I3.00, I 5.30, I8.00

Ortiz-Patiño Trophy / Damiani Cup
Round Robin sessions at I O.00, I 2.00, I4.30, I 6.30, I 8.30
GENERALI World Open / Women's Pairs
Qualifying sessions at II.00, I6.00
(Tomorrow)
Rosenblum Cup
Quarter Final sesssions at
10.00, I 3.00, I 5.30, 18.00

McConnell Cup Semi Final sesssions at I O.00, I 3.00, I 5.30, I 8.00
Rand Cup Senior Teams
Semi Final sesssions at
IO.00, I 3.00, I 5.30, I 8.00
Ortiz-Patiño Trophy / Damiani Cup
Round Robin sessions at
I 0.00, I 2.00, I 4.30, I 6.30, I 8.30
Rona Cup
Round Robin session at $\mathbf{I} 5.30$
GENERALI World Open / Women's Pairs Qualifying sessions at 10.00
Semi Final session at 16.30

## Calling All Journalists

A press conference with Shuttle Astronaut Col. Gregory H . Johnson is scheduled for Monday at 8:30 a.m. in Independence Suites 2 and 3 at the Marriott. Johnson, a bridge player, will be joined in the press conference by poker star Phil Gordon, winner of two ACBL national championships.
Johnson is an avid player who took the with him on a 6.6 million-mile shuttle flight in March 2008. Gordon, winner of the Bean Red Ribbon Pairs and the Roth Open Swiss Teams, has been a strong supporter of youth bridge in North America.
After the press conference, the Annual General Meeting of the International Bridge Press Association will take place in the same rooms. Annual awards will be presented a 9 a.m. on Tuesday, Oct. I2, in the same location.

## Duplimate Discounts



The Duplimate dealing machines used at these championships will be sold at the end of the event with a $20 \%$ discount.

## Computers set to do battle

The 14th annual World Computer-Bridge Championship will start today. Seven robots from around the world will compete. The field includes last year's winner, Jack, developed by Hans Kuijf from the Netherlands.
Also competing will be the 2008 champion, Wbridge5, developed by Yves Costel of France.
Other top competitors are Micro Bridge, Tomio Uchida, Japan; Shark Bridge, John Norris, Netherlands; Bridge Baron, Stephen Smith, USA; Q-Plus Bridge, Hans Leber, Germany, and newcomer Moose Bridge, Pete Boton, USA.
The 32-board round robin starts at II a.m. in Room 50I at the Marriott and continues through Oct. I2.The top four robots qualify for the semifinal knockout stage.
Kibitzers are welcome.

## 

## Rand Cup for Senior Teams

The qualification will be followed by a knockout stage, with the Quarter and Semi Final on Sunday 10th October, each of 24 boards. The Final and playoff will be played on Monday Ilth over 3 rounds of 16 boards each. The non-qualified teams will have the opportunity to play free of charge in the Seniors Plate, the format of which will be decided when final numbers are known.


## Vugraph Begins Today

Vugraph will be shown in the Liberty Ballroom starting today at 15.30 . The ballroom is located in the Deluxe Tower of the Marriott across the bridge on level three.

## World Championship Book Philadelphia 2010



The official book of these championships in Philadelphia will be available in March 201I, when the official price will be US $\$ 34$ plus postage ( $\$ 35$ from some suppliers). Advance orders can be made through Jan Swaan in the Press Room on the third floor at the discounted price of US\$30, with free postage and packing.
The principal writers will again be Brian Senior, John Carruthers, Barry Rigal and Geo Tislevoll. There will also be guest contributors including Donna Compton on the Mixed (likely with substantial input from Fulvio Fantoni).
There will be a full listing of all participants in world championship events, a full results service and many photographs. Coverage will include every deal from the final and semi-finals of the Rosenblum plus the pick of the action from the earlier stages and the other championship events.

## ROSENBLUM CUP

Round of 32 (results subject to confirmation)

| ты |  | Session I | Session 2 | Session 3 | Session 4 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Zimmermann | 17 | 56 | 24 | 34 | 131 |
|  | Camberos | 23 | 19 | 12 | 18 | 72 |
| 2 | O'Rourke | 17 | 44 | 47 | 31 | 139 |
|  | Meltzer | 46 | 19 | 32 | 18 | 115 |
| 3 | Berg | 30 | 43 | 11 | 16 | 100 |
|  | Consus Red Poland | 19 | 40 | 47 | 41 | 147 |
| 4 | Green Machine | 14 | 35 | 11 | 0 | 60 |
|  | Strul | 47 | 41 | 42 | 0 | 130 |
| 5 | Mahaffey | 9 | 42 | 14 | 21 | 86 |
|  | China Open | 13 | 23 | 44 | 28 | 108 |
| 6 | Vito | 28 | 28 | 30 | 40 | 126 |
|  | Josef and cards | 31 | 48 | 29 | 14 | 122 |
| 7 | Diamond | 22 | 70 | 57 | 22 | 171 |
|  | Klinger | 30 | 30 | 6 | 24 | 90 |
| 8 | Coldea | 29 | 42 | 7 | 17 | 95 |
|  | Lavazza | 7 | 67 | 45 | 39 | 158 |
| 9 | Cayne | 25 | 48 | 52 | 63 | 188 |
|  | Team Pharmaservice | 31 | 34 | 22 | 24 | 111 |
| 10 | Apteker | 16 | 28 | 29 | 14 | 87 |
|  | Wolfson | 38 | 50 | 50 | 64 | 202 |
| 11 | Alizee | 35 | 22 | 35 | 33 | 125 |
|  | Zaleski | 8 | 34 | 26 | 32 | 100 |
| 12 | Koneru | 8 | 22 | 27 | 20 | 77 |
|  | Fleisher | 10 | 37 | 30 | 25 | 102 |
| 13 | Parimatch | 7 | 43 | 20 | 26 | 96 |
|  | Robinson | 59 | 28 | 33 | 38 | 158 |
| 14 | Rayner | 11 | 27 | 29 | 11 | 78 |
|  | Fredin | 22 | 46 | 32 | 23 | 123 |
| 15 | Martens | 20 | 47 | 22 | 18 | 107 |
|  | Beijing Shouchuang | 18 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 99 |
| 16 | Allfrey | 15 | 16 | 30 | 20 | 81 |
|  | Nickell | 19 | 58 | 72 | 27 | 176 |

## McCONNELL CUP

| Round of 16 (results subject to confirmation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Tbl } \\ 33 \end{gathered}$ |  | Session I | Session 2 | Session 3 | Session 4 | Total |
|  | China Ladies Team | 41 | 19 | 35 | 68 | 163 |
|  | Sombra e agua fresca | 9 | 14 | 11 | 20 | 54 |
| 34 | Moss | 52 | 40 | 23 | 18 | 133 |
|  | Glasson | 4 | 14 | 52 | 49 | 119 |
| 35 | Westheimer | 6 | 56 | 42 | 56 | 160 |
|  | CanUSA | 22 | 11 | 19 | 15 | 67 |
| 36 | Eisenberg | 22 | 71 | 16 | 19 | 128 |
|  | Fireman | 12 | 30 | 52 | 52 | 146 |
| 37 | Joel | 35 | 69 | 20 | 31 | 155 |
|  | Indonesia Djarum | 17 | 39 | 43 | 15 | 114 |
| 38 | Sweden | 19 | 58 | 19 | 7 | 103 |
|  | Hampton | 6 | 38 | 55 | 40 | 139 |
| 39 | Netherlands | 23 | 36 | 42 | 31 | 132 |
|  | Kranyak | 14 | 36 | 21 | 8 | 79 |
| 40 | Italia | 17 | 37.5 | 17 | 22 | 93.5 |
|  | Full Spectrum Auctions | 56 | 41.5 | 54 | 8 | 159.5 |

## RAND CUP SENIOR TEAMS

| Final Qualifying Standings (subject to confirmation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I Gabrial UI | 194 | 10 True Blue 161.01 | 18 Mango Mishra | 146 | Shane | 139 |
| 2 Japan Yamada | 179 | II Carolyn Lynch 158 | 19 DeMartino | 144 | 28 Brasil | 138 |
| 3 Capital gang | 176 | 12 Raiola 157 | Dovell | 144 | 29 Tornay | 137 |
| 4 Team Markowicz | 174 | 13 Schaffer 153 | Kostal | 144 | 30 Cassini | 130 |
| 5 Tulin | 167 |  | 22 Dreds | 143 |  |  |
| 6 Cohen | 164 | Sternberg 153 | 23 Bermuda | 142 | 31 Jones | 126 |
| 7 McGowan | 162 | 15 CanMasterPointPress 151 | 24 PRI Investments | 141 | 32 Wood | 121 |
| 8 Hackett | 161.21 | Simpson 151 | 25 Egypt | 140 | 33 Wolff | 117 |
| 9 Kaminski | 161.06 | 17 Lavazza 150 | 26 Reunion Island | 139 | 34 Humphreys | 91 |

## GENERALI WORLD WOMEN's PAIRS

## Qualifying Results after 2 sessions (subject to confirmation)

| Rank | Names | Result | 30 | Maria PANADERO - Agata PIDAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| I | Ping WANG - Shaohong WU | 59.03 | 31 | Marguerite HOMSY - Lily KHALIL |
| 2 | Valerie BLOOM - Ora LOURIE | 58.74 | 32 | Layla BADAWI - Nadia TAYMOUR ARABI |

## GENERALI WORLD OPEN PAIRS

## Qualifying Results after 2 sessions (subject to confirmation)

| Rank | Names | Result | 61 | Win ALLEGAERT - Jaggy SHIVDASANI | 53.52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Mike KENNY - Jonathan STEINBERG | 60.89 | 62 | Walter JOHNSON - Doug SIMSON | 53.49 |
| 2 | Rajesh DALAL - Anil PADHYE | 59.98 | 63 | Salvador ASSAEL - Ali YALMAN | 53.38 |
| 3 | Manol ILIEV - Stefan STEFANOV | 58.92 | 63 | Darrian Bogdan COTESCU - Dragos IORDACHE | 53.38 |
| 4 | Thomas CHARLSEN - Thor Erik HOFTANISKA | 58.82 | 65 | Luis GAMIO KLAPIC - Tariq LATIF | 53.37 |
| 5 | Boguslaw GIERULSKI - Jerzy SKRZYPCZAK | 58.64 | 66 | Craig GANZER - R POPPER | 53.35 |
| 6 | Kiran NADAR - Bachiraju SATYANARAYANA | 58.54 | 67 | Marshall LEWIS - James MATES | 53.34 |
| 7 | Jessica HAYMAN PIAFSKY - Nicolas L'ECUYER | 58.26 | 68 | Mike MCNAMARA - Elliot SHALITA | 53.32 |
| 8 | Neil ROSEN - Martin JONES | 57.87 | 69 | Nikos DELIMPALTADAKIS - K. KONTOMITROS | 53.23 |
| 9 | Joaquin PACAREU - Benjamin ROBLES | 57.71 | 70 | Gulzar BILAL - Sarfaraz Ahmed KHAN | 53.15 |
| 10 | Borislav BORISOV - Yordan GACHEV | 57.66 | 71 | Brian GLUBOK - Philip GORDON | 53.12 |
| 11 | Yuwei WU - Shangjie WU | 57.60 | 72 | Vladislav ISPORSKI - Valentin KOVACHEV | 53.11 |
| 12 | Andrew STARK - Franco BASEGGIO | 57.38 | 73 | Serge BERGHEIMER - Jean-Claude FOUASSIER | 53.05 |
| 13 | Veronique VENTOS - David FORGE | 57.32 | 74 | Ramavatar AGARWAL - Keshav SAMANT | 52.97 |
| 14 | Boguslaw PAZUR - Marek WOJCICKI | 57.30 | 75 | Stephen CASTELLINO - Paul CORNELIUS | 52.92 |
| 15 | Philippos KARAMANLIS - Vassilis VROUSTIS | 57.08 | 76 | Jens AUKEN - Jon SVEINDAL | 52.92 |
| 16 | Curtis CHEEK - Joe GRUE | 57.02 | 77 | Rajeev GUPTA - Joyjit SENSARMA | 52.89 |
| 17 | Stephen BURGESS - Michael COURTNEY | 56.72 | 78 | Steve BEATTY - Ronald GERARD | 52.82 |
| 18 | Allan FALK - Peter FRIEDLAND | 56.69 | 79 | Ethan LIU - Qing YANG | 52.76 |
| 19 | Pierre SAPORTA - Jean-Michel VOLDOIRE | 56.68 | 80 | George MITTELMAN - Mike MOSS | 52.75 |
| 20 | Vladimir MIHOV - Jerry STAMATOV | 56.67 | 81 | Bart BRAMLEY - Nikolay DEMIREV | 52.75 |
| 21 | Hemant LALL - Justin LALL | 56.66 | 82 | Greg BURCH - Rick ROWLAND | 52.70 |
| 22 | Philippe CAPUTO - Guy VAN MIDDELEM | 56.60 | 83 | Richard SCHWARTZ - Peter WEICHSEL | 52.66 |
| 23 | Raphael GUENOUN - Bernard LAUGIER | 56.46 | 84 | Mark AQUINO - Richard BINDER | 52.66 |
| 24 | Taufik Gautama ASBI - Robert PARASIAN | 56.46 | 85 | Ajay KHARE - Raju TOLANI | 52.58 |
| 25 | Jean-Baptiste FANTUN - Godefroy De TESSIERES | 56.35 | 86 | Mehmet GUNEL - Naci YUKSEL | 52.45 |
| 26 | Shengyue GUI - Jiaxiang SHEN | 56.07 | 87 | Ari David GREENBERG JR - Billy MILLER | 52.35 |
| 27 | Ashley BACH - İshmael DELMONTE | 55.94 | 88 | Serge CHEVALIER - Jacques CLOUTIER | 52.33 |
| 28 | David BECHER - Alan WATSON | 55.89 | 89 | Chris COMPTON - Martin SELIGMAN | 52.27 |
| 29 | John HURD - Joel WOOLDRIDGE | 55.79 | 90 | Bruce FERGUSON - Robert HOLLMAN | 52.26 |
| 30 | Thierry De SAINTE MARIE - Philippe TOFFIER | 55.69 | 91 | Omer EKINCI - Ellis FEIGENBAUM | 52.14 |
| 31 | Sam PUNCH - Tim REES | 55.62 | 92 | Bernard PASCAL - Baher RAMADAN | 52.05 |
| 32 | Franky Steven KARWUR - Widi PANCONO | 55.40 | 93 | Sherif NOSHY - Ahmed YOUSRY | 52.00 |
| 33 | Ann Karin FUGLESTAD - Desislava POPOVA | 55.30 | 94 | Bobby JONES - Jim KREKORIAN | 51.96 |
| 34 | Jason FELDMAN - John KRANYAK | 55.29 | 95 | Aneurin GRIFFITHS - Bobby RICHMAN | 51.88 |
| 35 | Dawei CHEN - Kazuo FURUTA | 55.14 | 96 | Olivie DAVID - Jean Claude LEVY | 51.87 |
| 36 | Jim FOSTER - Larry SEALY | 54.80 | 97 | Janusz MAKARUK - Pawel NIEDZIELSKI | 51.84 |
| 37 | Judith GARTAGANIS - Nicholas GARTAGANIS | 54.77 | 98 | Roberto BARBOSA - Paulo Roberto BRUM | 51.80 |
| 38 | Jingsheng BIAN - Yong LIAN | 54.55 | 99 | COQUILLETTE - MOSCOW | 51.67 |
| 39 | Pamela GRANOVETTER - Matt GRANOVETTER | 54.54 | 100 | Waldemar FRUKACZ - Krzysztof KOTOROWICZ | 51.64 |
| 40 | Uttam GUPTA - Nr KIRUBAKARAMOORTHY | 54.53 | 101 | Michal KWIECIEN - Wlodzimierz STARKOWSKI | 51.61 |
| 41 | Ya Fu LIN - Jian WANG (2) | 54.50 | 102 | Richard CHAN - Peter WONG | 51.51 |
| 42 | Greg HINZE - David YANG | 54.49 | 103 | Javier GRAUPERA - Juan PONT | 51.47 |
| 43 | Ai-Tai LO - Alan SCHWARTZ | 54.43 | 104 | Louis GLASTHAL - Michael MASSIMILLA | 51.42 |
| 44 | Stanislaw GOLEBIOWSKI - Jacek KALITA | 54.42 | 105 | Andrew ROSENTHAL - Aaron SILVERSTEIN | 51.32 |
| 45 | Robert LEBI - Dan JACOB | 54.42 | 106 | Alejandro BIANCHEDI - Ernesto MUZZIO | 51.31 |
| 46 | David BAKHSHI - Tom TOWNSEND | 54.32 | 107 | Edgar DE SOUZA - Anil TIKARE | 51.28 |
| 47 | Leslie AMOILS - Jeff SAPIRE | 54.28 | 108 | Elly SCHIPPERS-BOSKLOPPER - Rene STIENEN | 51.27 |
| 48 | Lixin YANG - Ban Xiang ZHANG | 54.14 | 109 | Marian KUPNICKI - Leszek MAJDANSKI | 51.24 |
| 49 | Daniel NUSSBAUM - Mark OSPECK | 54.07 | 110 | Dominique GERIN - Ivan RUE | 51.12 |
| 50 | Julien GAVIARD - Juan Carlos VENTIN | 54.06 | 111 | Jiang GU - Xiaodong SHI | 51.03 |
| 51 | Andrew MCINTOSH - Nicklas SANDQVIST | 53.99 | 111 | Michael POLOWAN - Jacob MORGAN | 51.03 |
| 52 | Robert CAPPELLI - Robert KATZ | 53.97 | 113 | Kenneth EICHENBAUM - Kenneth J. REXFORD | 51.02 |
| 53 | Jim BARROW - Don CATON | 53.88 | 113 | Alain BENOIT - Olivier GIARD | 51.02 |
| 54 | Xinli GAN - Qiang ZHANG | 53.85 | 115 | Stephen TU - Tien-Chun YANG | 50.92 |
| 55 | Jaroslaw CIESLAK - Piotr ZAK | 53.78 | 116 | Ramratnam KRISHNAN - K.VENKATARAMAN | 50.88 |
| 56 | Sunit CHOKSHI - Subhash GUPTA | 53.76 | 117 | Maija ROMANOVSKA - Karlis RUBINS | 50.83 |
| 57 | Eric DEBUS - Rutger VAN MECHELEN | 53.68 | 118 | Dave BLACKMAN - Tony WATKINS | 50.80 |
| 58 | Wafik ABDOU - Chris LARSEN | 53.66 | 119 | Hailong AO - Jian-Jian WANG | 50.78 |
| 59 | Bill POLLACK - Jeff ROMAN | 53.62 | 120 | Ole BERSET - Geir-Olav TISLEVOLL | 50.78 |
| 60 | Tomasz GOTARD - Slawek HENCLIK | 53.60 | 121 | Seth COHEN - Jeff RUBEN | 50.76 |



## ORTIZ-PATIÑOTROPHY

(Today's Match Schedule)

|  |  | ROUND 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | USA 2 | Norway |
| 2 | China | China Hong Kong |
| 3 | Israel | India |
| 4 | Australia | Argentina |
| 5 | Brazil | France |
| 6 | Netherlands | Canada |
| 7 | Italy | Japan |
| 8 | Germany | USA I |
| 9 | Sweden | Russia |


|  | ROUND 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | USA I | USA 2 |
| 2 | Sweden | China |
| 3 | Germany | Israel |
| 4 | Norway | Australia |
| 5 | China Hong Kong | Brazil |
| 6 | India | Netherlands |
| 7 | Argentina | Italy |
| 8 | France | Japan |
| 9 | Russia | Canada |

## ROUND 3

| 1 | USA 2 | Sweden |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | China | Germany |
| 3 | Israel | Norway |
| 4 | Australia | China Hong Kong |
| 5 | Brazil | India |
| 6 | Netherlands | Argentina |
| 7 | Italy | France |
| 8 | Japan | Canada |
| 9 | USA I | Russia |


|  | ROUND 4 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Germany | USA 2 |
| 2 | Norway | China |
| 3 | China Hong Kong | Israel |
| 4 | India | Australia |
| 5 | Argentina | Brazil |
| 6 | France | Netherlands |
| 7 | Canada | Italy |
| 8 | Russia | Japan |
| 9 | USA I | Sweden |

## ROUND 5

1 USA 2
2 China
3 Israel
4 Australia
5 Brazil
6 Netherlands
7 Italy
8 Japan
9 Canada

Russia
USA I
Sweden
Germany
Norway
China Hong Kong
India
Argentina
France

## IBPA Dinner

The International Bridge Press Association dinner is scheduled for Monday, Oct. II, at 8 p.m. at Maggiano's, opposite the Marriott's main entrance on Filbert Street.
A multi-course dinner will feature Farfalle Aglio with herb chicken, spinach and asparagus; veal and mushroom raviloli al forno; salmon with herbs, and beef cannelloni.
The price with non-alcoholic drinks is $\$ 50$, tax and service included; with an unlimited amount of wine, the price is $\$ 78.50$. Registration is in the Press Room.

## DAMIANI CUP

(Today's Match Schedule)

|  |  | ROUND 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | France | Poland |
| 2 | Hungary | Australia |
| 3 | Canada | Israel |
| 4 | USA 2 | USA I |
| 5 | China | Norway |
| 6 | Uruguay | Chinese Taipei |
| 7 | Netherlands | Germany |
| 8 | Sweden | England |


|  |  | ROUND 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Australia | France |
| 2 | Israel | Hungary |
| 3 | USA I | Canada |
| 4 | Norway | USA 2 |
| 5 | Chinese Taipei | China |
| 6 | Germany | Uruguay |
| 7 | England | Netherlands |
| 8 | Poland | Sweden |

## ROUND 3

| I | France | Israel |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Hungary | USA I |
| 3 | Canada | Norway |
| 4 | USA 2 | Chinese Taipei |
| 5 | China | Germany |
| 6 | Uruguay | England |
| 7 | Netherlands | Sweden |
| 8 | Australia | Poland |

## ROUND 5

| I | France | Norway |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Hungary | Chinese Taipei |
| 3 | Canada | Germany |
| 4 | USA 2 | England |
| 5 | China | Sweden |
| 6 | Uruguay | Netherlands |
| 7 | USA I | Australia |
| 8 | Israel | Poland |

## ROUND 4

| I | USA I | France |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Norway | Hungary |
| 3 | Chinese Taipei | Canada |
| 4 | Germany | USA 2 |
| 5 | England | China |
| 6 | Sweden | Uruguay |
| 7 | Poland | Netherlands |
| 8 | Australia | Israel |

## VUGRAPH MATCHES

Morning Match (10.00)
Rosenblum Cup


BBO I: Table 4 Diamond - Lavazza
BBO 2: Table 5 Cayne - Wolfson
BBO 3: Table 8 Nickell - Martens
OurGame: Table 3 China Open - Vito

## One More Unto the Mixed Dear Friends by Mark Horton

By popular demand we are abandoning our coverage of the team events to return to the Mixed Pairs Final. (For the last time - promise.)
It features a deal from the third session of the final that illustrates just how difficult the simplest looking defensive problem can be:

Board 26. Dealer East.All Vul.



Gert Jan Paulissen, Netherlands

Barbara Travis described her bid of $3 \vee$ as stupid, preferring pass or a scrambling 2NT, but I prefer to consider it as macho.
South led a spade and North won with the ace and helpfully returned the suit, declarer putting in the ten and discarding a diamond from dummy. A club to the queen lost to the king and now North made an error, playing a low diamond for the jack and dummy's queen.
Declarer cashed the ace of clubs, ruffed a club and cashed the queen of spades, pitching a diamond.
These cards remained:


Which heart should declarer play ?
With North marked with the $\Phi \mathrm{AJ} \diamond \mathrm{A}$ and e K it seems reasonable to play South for a heart suit headed by the QJIO. (And if North has one of those cards you have no winning line.) When you play the nine of hearts South must cover. Declarer wins in dummy, ruffs a club and plays the nine of spades, which ensures two more trump tricks for +730 .
If North had switched to the ace of diamonds at trick two South will score two ruffs, and still have $\triangle$ QJlO left to ensure a two trick defeat. Even after the spade return a switch to the ace of diamonds would produce one down.
Easiest of all might be for South to lead her singleton diamond at trick one as even if North does not go up with the ace the contract is almost certain to go one down.


## Suicide is not Painless

by David Stern

The theme from the Movie and later hit TV show MASH suggests that "suicide is painless". However in bridge this is certainly not the case as evidenced on board 15 in the last round of the Rosenblum qualifying.

| Dealer South, None N/S |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - KJ107643 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ Q |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ J 9 |  |  |
| - 52 |  |  |
| - 5 | N | ¢ A 98 |
| $\bigcirc 2$ | $W^{\text {N }}$ | ¢AJ10865 |
| $\checkmark 108752$ | W E | $\checkmark$ K Q 4 |
| * K Q J 863 | S | +9 |
| - Q 2 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 9743$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 63 |  |  |
| \& A 1074 |  |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leibowitz | Bilde | Dyke | Hansen |
| 3\% | 31 | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | Pass |
| Pass | $4{ }^{4}$ | Dble | Pass |
| Pass | Pass |  |  |

East's actions were seeking some action possibly hoping the opponents may run from a cold 3NT to a shaky 44. As you will shortly see there was action aplenty!
Keen observers will note that ace of hearts and a heart ruff will quickly sink this contract, however East, Kieran Dyke of Australia, not unreasonably led partner's suit, the 9 where a ruff certainly seemed possible especially given his control of the trump suit.
Declarer won the A and started on the trump suit East winning the ace. Correctly, or as it later turns out incorrectly, assessing that the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ and another heart could cost only if partner held the bare heart queen and no second trump while it would in fact pay off on the actual layout if West held a second trump. It was very hard this early to realise just how fatal this play would be.
Declarer won the second heart and cashed all his trumps reaching the following ending.


West quite reasonably defended on the basis that as long as she kept equal club length with dummy the defence would prevail. Wrong! Declarer fully appreciating the exact layout played his second club squeezing East between his $\diamond \mathrm{K} \mathrm{Q}$ and $\vee 10$ and guessing correctly when West exited with a low diamond - making 40x for +790 gaining 14 IMPs when his teammates were allowed to play in 4 making +130 at the other table.


Jorgen Hansen, Denmark

## Back at the Table

by Barnet Shenkin

For the last couple of years I have not been played in any of the main tournaments, and have concentrated on building a web site with interest for all bridge players. Extraordinary bridge tales are told in detail. To have a look, visit http://www.shenkinbridge.com/Entertainment/Default.aspx?categorylD=2.
I was given the opportunity to play in the Rosenblum in the European Jens Auken team, containing mainly IBPA members, in partnership with Jan van Cleef of the Netherlands. Here are a couple of interesting deals.

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.

| - K |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1632 |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q 52 |  |  |  |  |
| Q QJ 862 |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ 53 |  | N |  | -1098764 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 95$ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc 108$ |
| $\checkmark$ AKJ | 43 |  | E | $\diamond 109$ |
| 29 |  | S |  | \& 1075 |
| ( A Q J 2 |  |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q 74 |  |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 6$ |  |  |  |  |
| 9 AK 43 |  |  |  |  |
| West | North |  | East | South |
|  | Van Cleeff |  |  | Shenkin |
|  |  |  |  | 19 |
|  | 18 |  | Pass | 21 |
| Pass | 30 |  | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 5\% |  | All P |  |

I thought I would show a better hand by bidding 2 $\mathbf{~}$, then jumping in hearts rather than bidding an immediate $4 \diamond$. However, Jan was not sure I had shown four hearts. The K was the key card and 6 would have been a better contract. Our opponents in fact bid to the excellent 6\% but then lost their way in the play, East winning a trump trick with the 10 . We were fortunate to gain a swing.
The play in 68 is not so clear after a top diamond lead and a spade switch. It is easy to mis-time the play.
I would suggest the following line: e Q . If trumps split, you are home. If not, top three spades, pitching three hearts from dummy. Now play the $\vee$ A. If the king falls, that
is enough. If not, ruff a heart low in dummy. If hearts split $3-2$ you can draw the last trump and ruff your last heart good. If your right-hand opponent has four hearts, you can instead ruff a diamond low, ruff a heart low and make your $\boldsymbol{k}$, and separately with ruffs. Dummy's wins the last trick with East having to play the 10 . The combination of these chances is very high and would be most unlucky to fail.
In the seventh round, we played the formidable Cayne team. We played Lauria and Versace while Jens Auken and Jon Sveindal played Balicki-Zmudzinski. After seven boards our team trailed 27-0. Then we started a comeback with the following deal.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.


## 3 Two of the top three honors.

As East had shown a strong hand, Van Cleef found a reasoned lead of the 2 . Without X -ray vision, Versace misguessed and the Q won the first trick. A club to the ace, and another club was ruffed with the $\bigcirc 8$. Versace overruffed, crossed to a spade, to lead a heart to the queen.
North won this and produced a fourth club ruffed with the 9 . Now North had two more trump tricks, with the QIO and the lowly trump 5. In the other room, Auken/Sveindal bid and made 3NT for a gain of II IMPs. The comeback that started with this board helped Auken to win the match 4I-30.

## The Card to be Named Later by Mark Horton

The concept of the player to be named later is most often associated with Major League Baseball and Minor League Baseball trades. The player to be named later (PTBNL) is generally used to postpone a trade's final conditions or terms. This is often done for two reasons. First, the team receiving the PTBNL might not be certain which position they want to fill, so this type of deal gives them more time to figure it out. Second, this type of arrangement gives the team receiving the PTBNL more time to evaluate the available talent on the other team. The deal must close within six months of the conclusion of the rest of the trade, and the player must change leagues.
After Harry Chiti was traded to the 1962 New York Mets from the Cleveland Indians for a PTBNL and the teams could not agree on a final deal, the Mets traded him back to Cleveland for himself.
In the movie Bull Durham, Kevin Costner's oft-traded Crash Davis introduces himself to his new manager as "I'm the player to be named later."

Try your declarer play skills on this deal from Round 9 of the Rosenblum.


After a IS opening bid by West North finishes up in $4 \checkmark$. When East leads the ten of spades it looks right to cover the ten of spades with the jack. West wins and switches to a low club, ducked to East, who wins with the ten and returns a club for the queen and ace. Declarer wins and leads a trump to the ace, felling West's queen.

It looks as if West is something like 6I24; drawing trumps will leave you a trick short, but if you duck a diamond you can arrange a ruff in each minor.
However, when declarer ducked a diamond disaster ensued, as this was the full deal:


East won with the ten of diamonds and a third club allowed West to score the nine of hearts.
Had West routinely followed with the nine of hearts on the first round of the suit, the queen of hearts (the card to be named later) would have had no role to play.


## No Spades, partner?

In WBF Championship tournaments the law on enquiring about revokes is applied as written. Viz:
I. Declarer may ask a defender who has failed to follow suit whether he/she has a card of the suit led.
2. (a) Dummy may ask declarer (subject to no loss of rights under Law 43B2[b].)
(b) Dummy may not ask a defender.
3. Defenders may ask declarer and (at the risk of creating Unauthorized information) may ask one another.

## Round of 64 - Sessions I-2 Rosenblum Cup Fourth-Quarter Blues

After one round of their match with the Lavazza team, the American squad captained by Bart Bramley held a 28 24 lead.The Americans increased the lead early on, thanks to this deal:

Board I9. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

$$
\text { Q } 5
$$

คA43
$\diamond 987$

* A Q 762

- AJIO9632

$\diamond \mathrm{Q}$
\& 94
- 84
© KJ 75
$\diamond$ A 543
-K 83

| West | North | East |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ferraro | Morgan | Bocchi | South <br> Polowan |
| Pass | 18 | 34 | Pass <br> Dble |
| 44 | Pass | Pass | Dble |

All Pass
Norberto's Bocchi's bold 3s at unfavourable vulnerability did not pay off when his partner, Guido Ferraro, took


Guido Ferraro, Italy
him seriously and raised to game, and it could have been worse than it turned out. Michael Polowan led a spade, taken in hand by Bocchi.The $\triangleleft \mathrm{Q}$ was ducked by Polowan and overtaken in dummy with the king. Jacob Morgan played the A when Bocchi called for dumm's jack. The defense dropped no more tricks from that point, collecting three heart tricks and another club for two down and plus 500.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feldman | Sementa | Weichsel | Duboin |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | 1980 | 21 | Dble |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 34 | All Pass |

Weichsel was more conservative, landing in a spot that made it more difficult to for Antonio Sementa and Giorgio Duboin to double. The defenders got all their tricks, but it was only plus 200, a 7-IMP gain for Bramley.
Three boards later, the Italians earned a slam swing on good bidding and expert play.

Board 22. Dealer East. E/WVul.

- 1093

PQ86
$\diamond$ QJ 2
\& 9876

| - A 87 |  |  | - K Q 42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ A973 |  |  | $\bigcirc 2$ |
| $\diamond$ A 985 | W | E | $\diamond$ K 63 |
| \% Q |  |  | \& 1032 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 054 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Ferraro | Morgan | Bocchi | Polowan |
|  |  | $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass |
| 23 | Pass | 30 | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | 4\% | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 54 | Pass |
| 5NT | Pass | 6 | Pass |
| 64 | All Pass |  |  |

Polowan started with a heart, taken in dummy with the ace. The went to South's king and a second heart was ruffed by declarer. A club to the Queen was followed by a spade to the Queen. Bocchi cashed the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ and $\vee \mathrm{K}$, then played the 10 , discarding a diamond from dummy, and the A, pitching dumm's last diamond North followed helplessly. Bocchi then ruffed a diamond and claimed for a well-done plus 1430 .
At the other table, PeterWeichsel played the spade contract essentially the same way, but he was in 44. Plus 680 meant a I3-IMP loss.
Bramley gained 2 IMPs on this deal
Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.

- K 63
$\bigcirc$ K Q J
$\triangleleft 93$
\& K 10973


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ferraro | Morgan <br> Bocchi | Polowan <br> INT | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \otimes$ | Dble |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Polowan led a low heart to the 9, 10 and king. A diamond to the queen held the trick, and Morgan followed with the to the king and the 10 to the queen and ace. Another diamond finesse led to plus 660 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feldman | Sementa | Weichsel | Duboin |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Dble |
| $3 \bigcirc$ | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Weichsel also led a low heart, and after winning, Sementa led a diamond to the queen, then played the to the king and a second diamond to the jack. Feldman's play of the $\diamond I O$ apparently gave Sementa concern because he continued with the 8 from dummy. Weichsel won the queen and played another club. Sementa won in hand and
played another club to dummy. The $\forall A$ revealed the 3-3 split, but Sementa had to settle for nine tricks: five diamonds, three clubs and a heart.
A no-play game at one table and an accident at the other on the penultimate board of the set was costly to Bramley.

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.


Bocchi started with the $\wp$ J, taken in dummy with the queen. Morgan had to hope West could not get in - or started with a singleton heart - but when he played a spade Ferraro hopped up with the ace and returned a heart. That was three down for minus 150 .


Mark Feldman, USA

| West <br> Feldman | North <br> Sementa | East <br> Weichsel | South <br> Duboin <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | INT | $2 \diamond$ | All Pass |

Weichsel obviously meant his $2 \diamond$ bid to be a transfer to hearts, but Feldman did not see it that way. Duboin led a diamond and it was over quickly, Weichsel going five down for minus 250 and a 9-IMP loss.
The set ended $30-11$ for Lavazza, now leading by 15 . Lavazza earned a game swing early in the third quarter.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/WVul.


Tarek Sadek started with a low club to declarer's singleton king. Polowan led a low heart to dummy and cashed the A, pitching a diamond from hand. He then played a spade to his queen and Sadek's ace. The $\diamond$ A picked up South's king, and dummy took the heart exit with the queen. Polowan played a spade from dummy, andWalid ElAhmady went up with the king and returned a third round, depriving Polowan of a heart ruff. The result was one down for minus 50 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demirev | Sementa | Bramley | Duboin |
|  |  |  | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| $2 \diamond$ | 2NT | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | 41 | All Pass |  |

Nikolay Demirev hit on the imaginative but disastrous lead of the Q . Duboin won in hand, played a heart to
dummy and threw both his diamonds on the top clubs. He cashed the $\vee \mathrm{Q}$ then played the $\$ 4$ from dummy, following with the 2 when Bramley played the 3 . The singleton ace won the trick, and Duboin ruffed the diamond continuation. He cashed the 8 A and ruffed a heart in dummy, then followed with a trump. Bramley played the 9 to guarantee two trump tricks, but Duboin had his 10 and plus 420 for a $10-1 \mathrm{MP}$ swing.
A few boards later, Bramley struck back.
Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.


- A 2

KJ 72

- Q 1073
- 652
- 196
- Q 8
$\diamond A 98652$
\& Q 7

| West | North <br> Sadek | East <br> Morgan | El-Ahmady |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pouth |  |  |  |
| Polowan |  |  |  |

All Pass
Sadek started with the jon taken bolowan with the queen. A spade to the king held, and El-Ahmady won the ©A perforce on the next trick.A club went to dummy's 9 ,


Tarek Sadek, Egypt
which was followed by a low heart, ducked by East, South winning the queen. Polowan had 10 tricks for plus 630.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demirev | Sementa | Bramley | Duboin |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 29 | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Demirev led the $\$ 7$, on which dummy and East played low, South winning the 9 . Duboin played the $\vee \mathbf{Q}$, taken by Bramley, who exited with a low diamond to the 8 and jack, dummy discarding a club. The $\triangleleft K$ was next, and Duboin threw a spade from dummy, winning with the $\diamond A$. He played a low heart to the 9, losing to Bramley's jack. Bramley now had two good diamonds and the to cash for two down. That was I3 IMPs to Bramley.
The Americans were leading 29-II when this deal, the penultimate of the set, came along.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

$$
\text { A6 }
$$

$\vee$ Q 8
$\diamond$ AJ 1097
10853
\& K 105
คAK 10652
$\triangleleft 63$
\& Q 7


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sadek | Morgan | El-Ahmady | Polowan |
|  | I | Pass | 30 |
| $3 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

South's 30 no doubt was a fit-showing bid. It did not deter Sadek, and El-Ahmady judged well not to bid on. The defenders had only four tricks - two diamonds and the black aces - and were minus 140 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Demirev | Sementa | Bramley | Duboin |
|  | I | 19 | $3 \&$ |
| $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |  |

The thin overcall and aggressive jump to game produced a swing, but not what the Bramley team had hoped for.
Duboin led his singleton heart, and there was nothing Bramley could do to avoid minus 200, losing two diamonds, a heart ruff, the trump ace and \&A. That worked out to an 8-IMP swing for Lavazza, leading by only 5 IMPs with a set to go.
The fourth quarter was nothing short of disastrous for Bramley, the first three boards being losses of I4, I2 and 10 , in that order.
This was the first
Board I5. Dealer North. None Vul.

- 74

คA543
$\diamond$ KJ8543

- 10


## - AJ9652

คK2
$\diamond 7$

- AJ 42


Q Q J 97
$\diamond$ A 9
2K K 98653


Waleed El Ahmady, Egypt

| West <br> Demirev | North <br> Sementa | East <br> Bramley | South <br> Duboin <br> 19 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | Dble | $4 \Phi$ | 58 |
| 5 | Dble | All Pass |  |

Demirev had to lose two hearts and a diamond for minus 200.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sadek | Morgan | El-Ahmady | Polowan |
|  |  |  | 1\% |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | Dble | $4{ }^{1}$ | 5\% |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Sadek started with the A, ruffed. Sadek ducked when Polowan played the K , but he took the Q with the ace and persisted in spades, ruffed again. Declarer's 9 drove out the jack, and Polowan was forced again in spades. He used his last trump to pick up Sadek's fourth club, then played the $\forall A$ and $\forall 9$ from hand. When Sadek showed out, Polowan's fate was sealed. He took only five clubs, two diamonds and a heart for minus 800 .
Had Polowan saved hearts in dummy instead of diamonds (he pitched hearts on clubs) and taken the right view in hearts, he could have made the contract with five club tricks, four hearts and two diamonds. As it was, Bramley started the fourth set with a I4-IMP loss.
Next came this calamity:
Board I6. Dealer West. E/W Vul.

- J 7654
$\bigcirc 542$
$\triangleleft$ K 1073
2

| , KQ | N | -10932 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AKJ 7 |  | $\bigcirc 103$ |
| $\diamond A$ Q 5 | W E | $\diamond 9862$ |
| * AQ 74 | S | - J 105 |
|  | - A 8 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 986 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 4 |  |
|  | \& K 9863 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Demirev | Sementa | Bramley | Duboin |
| 3NT | Pass | Pass | Pass |

Sementa started with a low spade to Duboin's ace. A spade was returned to Demirev's king. He played a low club from hand to dummy's jack, taken by Duboin with
the king. Duboin returned a club, won by Demirev with the ace. Now a club to the 10 was followed by the 10 , and when Sementa got in with the jack, he switched to a heart to the 10 , queen and ace. The Q and two heart winners were cashed, and Demirev tried for an endplay by exiting a heart, but Duboin won and played a diamond. When the finesse lost, Demirev was one down.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sadek | Morgan | El-Ahmady | Polowan |
| 2\% | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| 28 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| 3 - | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Morgan also started with a spade, and after Sadek won the spade continuation, he also led a low club to dummy's jack. In with the N , Poloway switched to the $\diamond 4$, ducked by Sadek to Morgan's IO. Polowan's low diamond apparently convinced Morgan that a continuation of the suit was called for, so he returned a diamond to the jack and declarer's queen. Sadek now had three clubs, two diamonds and one spade, so he entered dummy with a club to the 10 and played a heart to his jack. When it held, he had nine tricks for plus 600 and a $12-1 \mathrm{MP}$ gain.
Through the first five deals of the fourth quarter, Lavazza had run off 42 unanswered IMPs. A close match had turned into a rout, and Lavazza ended up cruising into the round of 32 with a $134-79$ victory.


Michael Polowan, USA

## My Type of Slam <br> by Banzai Jackson

There are certain hands that we can all play just as well as a world champion. But getting to the right contract can be a problem if we have to do much more than count our high-card points. Board 19 in the second match of the Rosenblum RR was one of those.

Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.
AKJ
คA1073
$\diamond$ J 82
ck 54


Please, please let me play these N/S cards in 6NT. Not even a $5 / 0$ break in diamonds is going to beat me. This is my type of slam. I can win the opening lead and just bang down the $\diamond K$ and eventually drive out the ace. A world champion could claim when the dummy hit the table. Next board, please.
So what is the point of the board? The point is that only 13 pairs of the 130 pairs who played this board in RR2 managed to bid a small slam. Only one in 10 bidding a slam that doesn't require even a finesse or a good break is just awful on these cards, although in a Group A match, Carrera/Barrera for Café de Colombia and Friedland/Falk for Granovetter both bid the slam, the only match in which a slam was bid at both tables.
Basically here are two balanced (or semi-balanced) hands with 12 tricks there to be taken. 6NT is obviously better than $6 \diamond$ as there is a possibility of a ruff in the suit contract. $6 \diamond$ was actually bid more often than 6NT.Among the handful who bid 6NT were Gierulski/ Skrzypczak for Siwik BT, Zen/Wan for Zen, and Rees/Punch for the British Lions.
What went wrong? How to value the honour cards is not really an issue. Whether, as I do, you think aces and kings in balanced hands are overvalued relative to jacks and tens, or whether you believe the reverse to be true, is not a factor here as the combined hands contain a good mixture of all
the different honour cards and any errors associated with a particular point count will tend to cancel out (compensating errors). The North hand is a standard strong INT so nothing much can go wrong there. What is a big issue however, is how to value that excellent 5-card diamond suit in the South hand.
Clearly, the five-card suit is what makes this a laydown slam. Give South the $\vee 3$ instead of the $\diamond 3$ and there are only II tricks with practically no chance of a 12 th unless the defenders come to your rescue. That fifth card is worth a full extra trick and on this hand. I don't think you need to be a super-optimist to realise that. If partner has the $\diamond A$ then five tricks are likely in the suit. Without the ace you can hope for 4 tricks, especially if you find out that North has a diamond fit.
With 40 points in the deck, it takes on average around 3 high-card points to produce one trick ( 26 points gives you a shot at nine tricks in notrump) so in this example that fifth card in diamonds is worth 3 points, the equivalent of an extra king in a balanced hand. You can't know that for certain when you make the initial valuation of the South hand but adding two points to the basic honour card count (14 points) for that five-card suit which equates to about a $2 / 3$ chance that it will produce an extra trick seems right. What should be clear is that the South hand is much better than the equivalent of a semi-balanced I2-I4 weak INT.
Adding two points for the five-card suit upgrades the hand to the equivalent of a strong INT and when you consider that South has an extra ten (a hand contains on average one ten but this hand has two) then it is really a maximum strong INT. Unfortunately, the vast majority of this field treated the South hand as a minimum opening bid, either opening a weak INT as Nunes/Fantoni did for Zimmerman, or showing a balanced minimum after a $\ \diamond$ opening as Ferraro/Bocchi did for Lavazza. For these pairs, 3NT was the usual outcome. Some Souths who play a 14-16 INT range managed to reach $4 N T$ but passed a quantitative raise from North.
The full bidding records for matches not on BBO are so far not available to me but one can assume than those who, because of that excellent five-card suit, treated the South hand as much better than a minimum opening bid had a shot at reaching this slam. Those Souths who thought they had just a goodish but still minimum-range opener had absolutely no chance of getting to this $100 \%$ slam.

## Round Robin 9 Rosenblum Cup <br> On The Edge <br> by Phillip Alder

When the last qualifying round began for Group D in the Rosenblum, the leading standings were:
I. China Open I53
2. Rayner (Canada) 151
3. Alizee (Germany) 137
4. Lavazza (Italy-Egypt) I36
5. D'Orsi (Brazil) I26

Kahn (U.S.A.) 126
7. Cortex (France) 121

The last three teams could not qualify. Lavazza was playing China Open, so a loss could have resulted in their not qualifying.
The first board was flat. Then Lavazza did well in the auction:

Board 2. Dealer East. North-South Vul.

|  | - AK 42 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A Q J 3 |  |
|  | ¢ 1085 |  |
| - Q 86 | N | - J 975 |
| $\bigcirc 1084$ |  | - Q 95 |
| $\checkmark 10986$ |  | $\diamond$ K 42 |
| -763 | S | \% K Q J |
|  | -103 |  |
|  | ¢17632 |  |
|  | $\diamond 75$ |  |
|  | - A 942 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sadek | Wang | el Ahmady | Zhuang |
|  |  | 1\% | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 32 |
| Pass | 31 | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Liu | Sementa | Li | Duboin |
|  |  | INT | Pass |
| 29 | Dble | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 30 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

The 3NT contract by Wang Weimin had no chance. He probably considered removing to $4 \diamond$ because he could envision not being able both to establish and to run South's heart suit. But that contract would have failed. Walid el Ahmady led the club queen, then shifted to a heart. Declarer took that and ducked a round of clubs. North won the next heart and benefited from the 3-3 club split, but he eventually lost one spade, one heart, one diamond and two clubs.
In the other room, it was hard for Giorgio Duboin (South) to pass over his partner's double. But as you can see, wherever East-West rested, it would have been expensive.
Then Antonio Sementa thought for a long time over 3e before coming up with the winning decision to pass.
With the friendly suit splits, Duboin took ten tricks to gain 5 IMPs.
Before moving on, Pierre Zimmermann did something meritorious on this deal. He was in 3NT. He ducked two rounds of clubs, got to dummy with the cashed the long club, then took a losing diamond finesse and claimed nine tricks! The opponents took this for granted, writing down minus 400 .
Later in the set when he was the dummy, Zimmermann went back over the play in his mind and called for the tournament director to point out his error, that he had only eight tricks. And since there was no sensible way for declarer to gain a ninth winner, the concession was rescinded and the board scored as down one.
Now back to the China-Lavazza match. This was an interesting declarer-play problem:


Giorgio Duboin, Italy

Board 3. Dealer South. East-West Vul.


At both tables, East led the 10 .What should the declarers have done?
The risk is losing one trick in each suit. If you can find the heart queen, you should be all right; but if you do not, you will need diamonds $3-3$ so that you can discard dummy's club loser. What you do not want is a trick-two club shift. And who might find that shift, East or West?
Only East. Even if East discourages at trick one, it is highly unlikely West will switch to clubs. So you should leave West on lead at trick one.
That is exactly what Wang did. East signaled with the $\$ 8$ playing standard methods, and West continued with his second spade. Declarer ruffed, took his top trumps, and conceded a diamond. When hearts were 2-2 and diamonds 3-3, he collected an overtrick.
In the other room, Sementa made the error of covering the 10 with dummy's $\$$. Jie Li (East) took the trick with his queen and, of course, shifted to a club, selecting the four in case South had a king-jack holding. Now declarer had to guess the hearts correctly, which he did not do. He cashed his king, then ran the jack. East won with his
queen, cashed the Q and awaited a diamond trick for down one. Plus 450 and plus 50 gave the China Open team II IMPs on the board.
The next interesting deal was this one:
Board 6. Dealer East. East-West Vul.

|  | - AK 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QJ64 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 76 |  |
|  | ¢ 10765 |  |
| ¢ J | N | -10932 |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q 1072 |  | $\bigcirc 85$ |
| $\diamond$ AKJ982 | W E | $\checkmark 1043$ |
| ¢ 9 | S | \& A 842 |
|  | - Q 8764 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 93$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 5$ |  |
|  | * K Q J 3 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sadek | Wang | el Ahmady | Zhuang |
|  |  | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| 24) | 34 | Pass | Pass |
| Dble (2) | Pass | $4 \diamond$ (3) | All Pass |

(I) Michaels: at least 5-5 in hearts and a minor
(2) Strong hand
(3) Pass or correct

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liu | Sementa | Li | Duboin |
|  |  | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| 30 (1) | Dble | Redble | Pass |
| 3 - | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | 31 | All Pass |  |

(I) Ghestem: at least 5-5 in the red suits

With the friendly lie in the heart suit, el Ahmady took II tricks, losing one spade and one diamond.
I do not know what Li's redouble meant; presumably a bad hand given Liu Jing's subsequent passivity.
The defense was accurate: $\diamond$ A, club to East's ace, heart to the queen, $\vee A$, heart ruff, club ruff for down two. So that was 2 IMPs to Lavazza.
The other 10 boards were bidding exercises with only 21 IMPs changing hands. The final score was 22-19 for Lavazza, which converted to 16-I4 in victory points. Both teams were through, along with Rayner (who won the group) and Alizee.

## Round of 32 - Session I Rosenblum Cup Opportunity Knocks <br> by Mark Horton

Opportunity Knocks was a British television talent show originally hosted by Hughie Green.
Unlike its rival New Faces, the winning acts on were decided not by a panel of experts but by the viewing public. In the ITV version this took the form of a postal vote, the winner of which was announced the following week. The BBC revival was notable for being the first TV show to decide its winner using the now-standard method of a telephone vote. In both versions the studio audience reaction to each act was measured by a clapometer, but this did not count towards the final result.
Although it did produce a number of talented acts, the method of putting the contest to a public vote sometimes resulted in victories for novelty acts, in particular those involving children or animals. On one notorious occasion the young Su Pollard was beaten into second place by a singing dog.
In the round of 32 in the Rosenblum the sole surving English team had the opportunity to take a significant scalp when they faced the pride of America, Nick Nickell's Bermuda Bowl Champions. There was no chance of a public vote deciding this match.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- K 943
- KJ 75
$\triangleleft$ K 105
\& 86
- AJ 105

คA 109
$\diamond$ A 974
-9 9

| N | Q Q 86 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 83 |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 83 |
| S | \& AJ75 |
| - 72 |  |
| $\bigcirc 642$ |  |
| $\diamond$ J 62 |  |
| \% K Q 1032 |  |



## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kendrick | Hamman <br> Senior <br> Zaia |  |  |
| 14 | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{e}$ | Pass |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

East could have bid a natural 2 NT , but was mindful that West might have a very modest hand for a third in hand opening. Facing a passed partner West was unwilling to rebid $2 N T$.
2. was a poor spot. South led the seven of spades and North won with the king and returned the four, which ran to dummy's ten. As the cards lie if declarer had played on a red suit at this point he might have escaped for one down, but he tried the effect of running the nine of clubs. South won with a cunning queen and played a diamond, North winning with the king and returning a spade for South to ruff. Declarer won the heat switch with dummy's ace and played a club to the ace and the jack of clubs. That was two down, - 100 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nickell | Allrey | Katz | Robson |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | INT | All Pass |

South led the two of clubs and declarer won with the jack and played a spade to the jack and king. It was just a question of overtricks and declarer managed two of those, +150 and first blood to Nickell, 6-0.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

```
4-
@J654
\(\diamond\) Q 9632
\& f 74
```

| 4 KJ98532 | N | - A Q 76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |  | QQ 872 |
| $\diamond$ KJ 7 | W E | $\diamond$ A 108 |
| * Q 3 | S | ¢96 |
|  | -104 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 1093 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 54$ |  |
|  | A AK 1082 |  |

Open Room

| West | North <br> Kendrick | East <br> Hamman | South <br> Senior |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Zia |
| :--- |
| 1\% |

North led the four of clubs and South cashed two tricks in the suit, whereupon declarer claimed ten tricks, +620 .

## Closed Room

| West | North <br> Allrey | East <br> Katz | South <br> Robson |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nickell |  |  | $1 \$$ |
| 19 | Dble* | $4 \dot{5}$ | 5 |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

West led the two of spades and declarer ruffed in dummy, played a club to the ace and ruffed another spade. He then played a club to the ten, losing to West's queen. Any red card now from West should lead to four down, and he chose the king of hearts. Declarer took the ace and played the king of clubs, ruffed by East as West pitched a spade. East accurately lead a low diamond and West won with the king and returned the jack of diamonds. When that held he switched to the king of spades. Declarer discarded a club from dummy, ruffed and played a club, ruffing with the jack of hearts. If East discards the ace of spades on this trick declarer will have to lose two more tricks, but he overruffed and collected only +500 , to lose 3 IMPs instead of gaining 5 .


Ralph Katz, USA

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

- AJ5 4

QQ 752
$\diamond$ Q 3

- J 7
- K 10873
- K 104
$\diamond$ J 102
* K Q

- 

© A 963
$\diamond A K 76$
\& 109842
Q Q 96
ค J 8
$\diamond 9854$
A 65
Open Room

West<br>Kendrick

14
2NT

North
Hamman
Pass
All Pass

East
2.

South
Zia
Pass

You can understand why East did not go on to game facing a weak notrump type in a hand that might have only four spades, but as the cards lie nine tricks should always be available.
North led the two of hearts and declarer won with the ten and played a club. South won and switched to the nine of spades, covered by the ten and jack. The spade continuation went to the queen and declarer's king and even ten tricks were possible now. Declarer was quite happy to settle for nine, crossing to dummy with a diamond and knocking out the jack of clubs, +150 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nickell | Allrey | Katz | Robson |
| 1s | Pass | INT | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2NT | All Pass |

Here South led the five of diamonds for the jack, queen and ace, and declarer played on clubs, easily recording the same number of tricks made at the other table.

## OurGame Broadcasts

There will be one OurGame match per round.You can connect at http://worldbridge.ourgame.com

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kendrick | Hamman | Senior | Zia |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | 30 |
| $40^{*}$ | Pass | $6 \%$ | Pass |

East/West were not inconvenienced by South's intervention and quickly reached the unbeatable grand slam, +2140.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nickell | Allrey | Katz <br> Robson |  |
|  |  | $2 \boldsymbol{e}^{*}$ | $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ |
| Pass | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{e}$ | Pass |
| $5 \boldsymbol{e}^{*}$ | Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | Pass | $6 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $7 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

So, no swing, but I wonder what might have happened had North raised to 5 ? I I guess if East had bid $5 \diamond$ West would have pushed on to seven.


Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.


## Open Room

| West <br> Kendrick | North <br> Hamman | East <br> Senior | South <br> Zia <br> I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $2 \&$ | Dble | Rdbl |
| 3 2\& | Dble | All Pass |  |

East's double (which he himself described as borderline) turned out badly. North led the three of spades and South won and switched to the queen of hearts. Declarer won in dummy and played a spade. South won with the ace and now does best to cash the king of hearts. Then a diamond switch sets up a trick for North and also paves the way for a heart ruff.
However, South switched to the ten of diamonds and North put up the queen. If declarer ducks, the link for a possible heart ruff is lost but he took the ace and played a trump. Now if South goes in with the ace and cashes the king of hearts he can put North in with a diamond to get a heart ruff. No, he played low and North won and switched to the ten of hearts. Declarer made the final mistake when he covered, as that meant North had to score the nine of hearts. Down $3,-800$, in one sense it was lucky that North/South had a game available.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South <br> Robson |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nickell | Allrey | Katz | 19 |
| Pass | $20 *$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

$2 \vee 3$ card limit raise

Declarer won the diamond lead with dummy's king, drew trumps and ran the nine of diamonds, claiming when it lost, +620 holding the loss to 5 IMPs.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

|  | - 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 76 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K Q 104 |  |
|  | \& Q 10732 |  |
| ¢ KJ87 | N | - A Q 10 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q984 | N | ¢KJ1032 |
| $\diamond$ J 853 |  | $\diamond 76$ |
| -6 | S | \& 154 |
|  | -65432 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 5$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 92 |  |
|  | ¢ AK98 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kendrick | Hamman | Senior | Zia |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | 18 | 19 |
| 2NT* | Pass | $3 \varnothing$ | Dbl* |
| Pass | $4 \dot{e}$ | Pass | $5{ }^{*}$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

## 2NT Heart raise

Zia gave some though to doing more than bidding 5e he made six by finessing in diamonds, +420 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nickell | Allrey | Katz | Robson |
| Pass | $1 \%$ | 18 | Dble* |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | $3 \%^{*}$ |
| $4 \triangle$ | Pass | Pass | $5 \%$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

## 38 Shortness

When West bid $4 \checkmark$ did North, despite the modest nature of his hand, owe his partner a bid of 4@? Even then they might not have pushed on past game.
The play record is inaccurate, but declarer made twelve tricks for a flat board.
If you reach 6e one way home is to play to ruff two hearts in dummy and two spades in hand. Then you will end up squeezing West in spades and diamonds.

Missing that slam was understandable, missing the following one was less so:

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.
\& 84
Q Q 10
$\diamond$ Q 53
\& AK9873

| - AKQ 975 | N | - 62 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\triangle$ AK963 |  | -1852 |
| $\checkmark$ A 6 | W E | $\checkmark$ KJ 87 |
| - - | S | \& 105 |
|  | - J 103 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 74$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 10942$ |  |
|  | 2 Q 642 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kendrick | Hamman | Senior | Zia |
| $2 \Phi^{*}$ | Pass | $2 N T^{*}$ | Pass |
| 48 | All Pass |  |  |

2. Strong (fist raised on both sides of the screen!)

I am reliably informed that West could not rebid $3 \bigcirc$ as it would not have been forcing.
+5 I 0 was a dreadful result - could they do better in the other room?

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South <br> Nickell |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Allrey | Katz | Robson <br> Pass |  |
| 2\% * | 3\% | Dble* | 4\% |
| 4 | All Pass |  |  |

## Dble 0-I controls

Being a result merchant I can suggest that West might have ventured 5 at his second turn, but even then it's not clear you reach a slam - after $5 \diamond-5 \diamond$ is East supposed to go on to six? Maybe with four trumps and a king that is likely to be useful. Still, you have to credit North/South for making life awkward.
Declarer lost a trick to the queen of hearts, so it was a surprising IMP for Allfrey.
There had been a host of opportunities for both sides, but with most of them not being taken the score was 1910 (or 19-15 according to the web site).

## PHILADELPHIA REGIONAL RESULTS

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29.95 | I | Kimberly Whipple, Boca Grande FL; Kevin Dwyer, Fort Myers FL; Kenny Horneman, Charlottesville VA; Will Engel, Freeport IL; Charles Jeffrey Jr, Safety Harbor FL |
| 20.97 | 2 | Loretta Rivers, Monroe LA; Ken Gee, Regina SK; Hannah Moon, Prince Albert SK; Bill Parks, Macungie PA; Mike Cappelletti, Winter Park FL |
| 11.98 | 3/4 | Barbara Kasle, Boca Raton FL; Jo Morse, Palm Bch Gdns FL; Miguel Reygadas, Polanco Mexico; |
| 11.98 | 3/4 | Renate Hansen - Andreas Babsch - Alexander Wernle - Jovanka Smederevac,Vienna Austria |
|  |  | THURSDAY - FRIDAY KO - BRACKET 2 |
| 13 Tables I 5.78 | । | Susan E G Slusky, Highland Park Nj; Franklin Daringer, Cambridge MD; Ronnie Burns, Fort Smith AR; Maggie Shenkin, Boca Raton FL |
| 15.78 | 2 | Tom Kaufmann, Pine Brook Nj; Martin Lesh, Montville NJ; Ottilie Bello, Short Hills Nj; Joan Brody, Morristown NJ |
| 7.10 | 3 | Paul Fried, Monroe Twp Nj; Dolores Dodi Ballard, Brielle NJ; Carmen Di Toro, Philadelphia PA; Noel Kohn, Avalon NJ |
| 4.73 | 4/6 | Paul Harris - Cecilla Borras, Waltham MA; Diogo Teixeira, Wellesley MA; Christine Halkiotis, Wellesley Hills MA |
| 4.73 | 4/6 | Tom Komline, Easton PA; Barbara Schlosser, Lawrenceville NJ; Julia Zucker, East Brunswick NJ; Nat Zucker, E Brunswick NJ |
| 4.73 | 4/6 | Corey Krantz, Drexel Hill PA; Harold Mathews, Wynnewood PA; Nadine Gushner, Philadelphia PA; Diana Stein, Boca Raton FL |
|  |  | THURSDAY - FRIDAY KO - BRACKET 3 |
| 16 Tables II. 73 | I | Joan Beyer,Warminster PA; Ronald Streeper, Huntingdon Vy PA; Carol Shannon, Ft Washington PA; Julie Hough, Horsham PA |
| 8.21 | 2 | Michael Mocella, Chadds Ford PA; Colin Mackay, Newark DE; J Bruce Gwaltney - Judith Gwaltney, Wilmington DE |
| 4.69 | 3/4 | Beryl Halpern, Elkins Park PA; Linda Erman, Huntingdon Vy PA; Barbara Fox - Suzanne Fields, Meadowbrook PA |
| 4.69 | 3/4 | Gloria Hirsch, Boca Raton FL; Barbara Eisner, Springfield NJ; Sandra Tauber, Livingston NJ; Susan Dobriner, New Providence NJ |

## IST FRIDAY CKO - BKT I

| 14 Tables |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14.36 | 1 | Adam Parrish, Arlington MA; Randall Rubinstein, East Falmouth MA; Graham Osborne - Frances Hinden, England |
| 10.05 | 2 | Sonja Smith, North Granby CT; David Rock, Westfield MA; Mark Conner, Grantham NH; Bruce Downing New London NH |
| 6.46 | 3 | Peter Stein, Hillsborough Nj; Cho-Wei Sit, Watchung NJ;Thomas Hoi, East Brunswick Nj; |
| 5.03 | 4 | Stanley Barg, Washington DC; Justin Blanchard, New York NY; Isabella De Andrade - Sylvia Mello, Rio De Janeiro Brazil |
|  |  | IST FRIDAY CKO - BKT 2 |
| 14 Tables 6.56 | 1 | C. Buddy Carls, Huntington Bh CA; Alan Blake, East Brunswick NJ; Linda Green, Westport CT; David Blackburn, Fairfield CT |
| 4.59 | 2 | Sidney Friedenberg, Princeton Jct NJ; Harold Shane - Miles Ettman, West Windsor NJ;Arthur Frank, Pennington NJ |
| 2.95 | 3 | Gertrude Flor, Abington PA; Bob Wachs, Philadelpia PA; Joan Brandeis, Glenside PA; James Masterson, Jenkintown PA |
| 2.30 | 4 | Eleanor Macdonald, Marlton NJ;Theron Abrams, Mt Holly NJ; Connie Maloney, Mount Laurel NJ; Maxine Goodman, Philadelphia PA |

## IST FRIDAY CKO - BKT 3

| 14 Tables |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 4.26 | I | Herb Schulken, Pawleys Island SC; Andrew Jacknain, Washington DC; Roseann Romito, Lancaster PA; <br> Virginia Stewart, Richmond VA |
| 2.98 | 2 | Thomas Arnold - Carol Arnold, Basking Ridge Nj; James Scheirer - Mary Ann Scheirer, Princeton NJ |
| I.92 | 3 | Tom Piper - Karen Piper, N Huntingdon PA; Dennis Gibboney - Carol Gibboney, Latrobe PA |
| I.49 | 4 | Arlene Mahlberg - Benny Mahlberg, Discovery Bay CA;William Belanich, Sandston VA; Nancy Golden, Richmond VA |

Complete Regional Event Results and Hand records are available at
http://web2.acbl.org/hosted/districts/d4web/tournamentcalendar.htm

| ＂ITDIAVN I＂， |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  <br>  <br>  |  |  <br>  |  |  | 0057 | I |
|  |  | 005 7 | 11 |
|  |  | 0093 | 01 |
|  u오이 etpequol jo sassen pue pooy juadia <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0093 | 6 |
|  | 10g youes pue reg－ |  |  |  |  | 0063 Sgzrdd Tvoads |  | 0093 | 8 |
|  |  |  | 0027 | 0027 |  | $L$ |
|  |  | 0087 | 0てE\％ | 0083 |  | 9 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INVZIVISAy } \\ & \text { GHINAOSSAY:NOOHN } \end{aligned}$ | 00 ¢ 3 | 02ta | 00017 |  | S |
| （payooqaq0） INVEIVISTE TALOHHN |  |  | 00\＆ 3 | $09+3$ | 00sia | ＋ |
|  |  |  | 0827 | 0093 | 0002 \％ | $\varepsilon$ |
| 567 | glanca | 00\＆ 3 | OSt 3 | 0023 | 00083 | $\tau$ |
| 587 | IEENS | 008 7 a | 0093 | 0087 | 00059 | 1 |
| HeIN | 3dLliNoox | invalta 30 SNOLLOAS | $\bigcirc$ | g | V | SNYNT |
|  |  |  | NOLLEAS | NOLLES | Nolleas | Suta |
| IEOIAONV＇IIN HN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  <br>  <br>  |  |  <br>  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  <br>  |  | ```spunos-00'1z4- spumos-00't\| 4- <rpmpes - II 12quror(I) spumor-00'Iz 4- punos s&My - 0どち 4-``` |  |  |  |  |
|  <br> LVIZVLITYOAS WVAL NDIBYOA <br> ：ol əouespe uị 介 íqissod jurs eq ol suoṇdursquS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SNOILdİOSg ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | TWWV\％ワOษd |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| zxods exoz7es oueln ip әunuoว | LyOdS OTTV OLv＊Ossassv ou이！W ！p o！ju！iond |  | ！uo ем！̣ม | ejepossb eulldipsa |  |  |
| ：SO GDVNOULVC GHLL HLIM |  |  |  |  |  |  |




