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# NOT SO SWEET 16 



WBF President José Damiani at the 50th anniversary dinner．

All teams in the round of 16 started the day with dreams of mak－ ing it to the championship round in their respective competitions－ Open，Women＇s and Seniors．First things first，of course，and it is not wise to look ahead．
For several teams，including some favored squads，championship hopes were dashed on the opening day of knockout play．In the Open，the veteran USA team was knocked out by Poland I27－I00 while Israel，leading qualifier from Group B，was routed by Romania， qualifiers by virtue of a tiebreaker with France．Perhaps buoyed by that turn of events，Romania prevailed over Israel 170－I02．
In the Women＇s，the victory by China over Poland was not as sur－ prising as the final score－176－40．Most of the favored teams in the Seniors made it through to the quarterfinal round，which begins today．
Quarterfinalists will play three sets today and another three on Monday．


II． 00 Open－Women－Senior Teams，Q－Final，Ist Session
14．20 Open－Women－Senior Teams，Q－Final，2nd Session
17．10 Open－Women－Senior Teams，Round of 16，3rd Session
20．00 Transnational Mixed Teams， Swiss Match I
22．00 Transnational Mixed Teams， Swiss Match 2


## OPEN TEAMS RESULTS - ROUND OF 16

| Match |  | Ist Session | 2nd Session | 3rd Session | 4th Session | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I Italy | India | $37-21$ | $40-12$ | $34-12$ | $24-24$ | $135-69$ |
| 2 Poland | USA | $27-25$ | $45-30$ | $37-17$ | $18-28$ | $127-100$ |
| 3 Brazil | China | $26-40$ | $1-67$ | $59-14$ | $39-13$ | $125-134$ |
| 4 Norway | Turkey | $26-15$ | $36-40$ | $49-18$ | $34-11$ | $145-84$ |
| 5 Israel | Romania | $21-12$ | $33-61$ | $12-51$ | $36-46$ | $102-170$ |
| 6 England | Bulgaria | $34-15$ | $30-4 I$ | $66-24$ | $13-18$ | $143-98$ |
| 7 Netherlands Estonia | $36-14$ | $32-40$ | $29-17$ | $2-18$ | $99-89$ |  |
| 8 Germany | Belgium | $20-42$ | $61-28$ | $79-0$ | $50-19$ | $210-89$ |

## WOMENTEAMS RESULTS - ROUND OF 16

| Match |  | I st Session | 2nd Session | 3rd Session | 4th Session | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I Germany | Brazil | 21-12 | 76-3 | 17-17 | 44-0 | 158-32 |
| 2 Poland | China | 11-28 | 5-72 | 20-58 | 4-18 | 40-176 |
| 3 USA | Spain | 30-7 | 44-16 | 38-36 | 49-14 | 161-73 |
| 4 Netherlands | Denmark | 24-43 | 15-18 | 24-20 | 23-17 | 86-98 |
| 5 England | Singapore | 45-27 | 67-51 | 32-34 | 31-10 | 175-122 |
| 6 France | Sweden | 70-15 | 1-55 | 45-12 | 6-24 | 122-106 |
| 7 Finland | Turkey | 21-16 | 34-27 | 40-69 | 31-32 | 126-144 |
| 8 Russia | Italy | 40-9 | 4I-36 | 32-21 | 5-31 | 118-97 |

## SENIOR TEAMS RESULTS - ROUND OF 16

| Match | Ist Session | 2nd Session | 3rd Session | 4th Session | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I USA | Belgium | $32-0$ | $57-26$ | $23-34$ | $27-22$ | $139-82$ |
| 2 Canada | Hungary | $4 I-9$ | $18-37$ | $13-45$ | $25-59$ | $97-150$ |
| 3 Australia | Pakistan | $48-8$ | $34-36$ | $30-22$ | $25-54$ | $137-120$ |
| 4 France | Egypt | $32-39$ | $17-53$ | $4 I-25$ | $45-19$ | $135-136$ |
| 5 Indonesia | China Hong Kong | $30-3$ | $63-36$ | $5 I-19$ |  | $144-58$ |
| 6 Chinese Taipei | Netherlands | $23-52$ | $6-61$ | $2 I-26$ |  | $50-139$ |
| 7 Japan | Germany | $34-20$ | $44-47$ | $39-28$ | $35-34$ | $152-129$ |
| 8 Poland | England | $19-14$ | $22-21$ | $7-30$ | $9-42$ | $57-107$ |

## OPEN TEAMS ROUND OF 8

| I | Italy | Poland |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | China | Norway |
| 3 | Romania | England |
| 4 | Netherlands | Germany |

## WOMEN TEAMS ROUND OF 8

SENIOR TEAMS ROUND OF 8

| I | Germany | China |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | USA | Denmark |
| 3 | England | France |
| 4 | Turkey | Russia |

## IBPA Annual General Meeting

The AGM will be held today 12 th October at 10 a.m. Please note this is different to the announcement in the Bulletin. It will be held in the WBF Meeting room.

## WOMEN TEAMS RT5

# Tough at the Top 

by Mark Horton

Denmark recognized the People's Republic of China on January 9, 1950, and the two countries established diplomatic relations on May II, 1950. Denmark was the second Western country after Sweden to establish diplomatic ties with China.

Both teams faced a potentially difficult final day with matches against other contenders, but China enjoyed a healthy lead at the top of the table.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/WV Vul.

- 873
•J7
$\checkmark 8763$
\& 187

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { QJ } 62 \\ & \text { P } 105 \end{aligned}$ |  | ( AK 105 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N |  |
|  |  | PAK9862 |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 2 |  | $\diamond{ }^{\text {J }} 4$ |
| - AKQ 2 | S | \& - |
|  | ¢ 94 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 3 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 109 |  |
|  | 2 1096 |  |


| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Houmoller | Zhang | Ege | Gu |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 2** | Pass | $2 \diamond *$ | Pass |
| 2)* | Pass | 24* | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | $3{ }^{*}$ | Pass |
| 30* | Pass | 34* | Pass |
| 49* | Pass | 49* | Pass |
| 4NT* | Pass | 5NT* | Pass |
| 68 | All Pass |  |  |

2e 18-19NT or 22-23 or 26-27 or strong 8 .
$2 \diamond$ Relay or $5+\rho$.
29 Relay.
2. Relay.

2NT 18-I9.
$3 \diamond$ Transfer.

The Danish auction started well, but it's not clear what was going on after that, as the spade fit was not located. North led the three of spades and declarer won with dummy's ace and cashed the top hearts, claiming when the suit divided, +1430.

Closed Room

| West Sun | North <br> Farholt | East <br> Wang | South <br> Rahelt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 19** | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| INT | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| 3\%* | Pass | 3)* | Pass |
| 4 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass | 4NT* | Pass |
| 54* | Pass | 5NT* | Pass |
| 69** | Pass | $7{ }^{\text {7 }}$ | All Pass |

It's clear that Three Clubs agreed spades and was followed by a couple of cue bids then some key card asks that confirmed West had the missing aces, the queen of spades and the king of clubs.
Declarer won the club lead with dummy's ace, drew trumps and played three rounds of hearts, claiming +2210 (the grand slam was missed at many tables) and deservedly gave China 13 IMPs.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- Q 4

Q 543
$\diamond$ A Q 10
\& AQ9 74


## 2e= Majors

I guess East wanted to bid around two and a half hearts, but with only a non vulnerable game at stake she settled for a mildly conservative Two Hearts.
South led the queen of hearts and declarer won and cashed dummy's top spades. When the queen appeared she ruffed a spade high and drew trumps, +170 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sun | Farholt | Wang | Rahelt |
|  | Pass | $4\ulcorner$ | All Pass |

I don't believe for a moment that was the auction, but the BBO operator must have missed a bid or two along the way - maybe North opened INT and West showed the majors and East jumped to Three Hearts raised to game.
Whatever, the contract could not be defeated, although South did well to lead a diamond, which might have been enough on another day. +420 gave China 6 IMPs.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

- AK 986

Q Q 542
$\diamond 8$
842

| - Q J <br> A J 109876 <br> $\diamond 6$ <br> - A Q 10 | N | -1052 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\bigcirc 3$ |
|  |  | $\diamond$ A 10742 |
|  | S | \& K 765 |
|  | - 743 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KQJ953 |  |
|  | - 」93 |  |
| Open Room |  |  |
| West | North East | South |
| Houmoller | Zhang Ege | Gu |
| 18 | 14 Dble* | 2 |
| $4 \bigcirc \quad$ Al | All Pass |  |

North cashed the ace of spades, South playing the three, and switched to her singleton diamond. Declarer put up dummy's ace and went after the trump suit. North held up the queen to see some discards from South - they were the nine and five of diamonds - and North decided to underlead in spades, giving declarer an eleventh trick, +450 .
Closed Room


Ming Sun, China

East's silence allowed North/South to steal the pot.
East led her heart and West won and cashed the ace of clubs. The defenders continued with two more rounds of clubs and then played a diamond to the ace and a diamond, West ruffing in front of declarer with the jack. That ensured two down, +100, but Denmark picked up 8 IMPs.

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.
© J 7
คA106532
$\diamond \mathrm{J} 2$
4 1082


| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Open Room } \\ \text { West }\end{array}$ | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Houmoller | Zhang | Ege | $G u$ |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \mathbf{2 N}$ | Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass |
| $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |  |

Declarer won the spade lead with dummy's ace and played a club to the jack, South winning with the king and playing another spade. Declarer won in hand, unblocked the ace of clubs and played a diamond to the king. When that held she cashed her clubs, discarding a spade, a heart and a diamond. North won the heart exit and played the jack of diamonds, +430 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sun | Farholt | Wang | Rahelt |
|  |  | INT | Pass |
| 3 ® $^{*}$ | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{s}$ | Pass |
| $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ | All Pass |  |  |

If I read the convention card correctly, Three Hearts promised a 4-I-4-4 distribution.
South led the four of hearts and North won and slightly woodenly decided to return a heart - a club is better as the cards lie.
Declarer won, discarding a diamond, and crossed to the ace of spades to play a club to the jack - the natural, but fatal line. South won and had to come to a trump and the ace of diamonds for one down, +50 and 10 IMPs.

In a low scoring match China just had the better of things, winning 29-24 IMPs, I6-I4VP. That kept Denmark in touch with a qualification spot.

## by Phillip Alder

Before the start of this round, Denmark was third in Group A, 13 victory points ahead of fifth. Brazil was doing worse than expected, down in eighth, 12 victory points behind fourth, the last qualifying spot. This would be a good moment for Brazil to have an emphatic victory.
I went into the Open Room, where MiguelVillas-Boas and the mercurial Gabriel Chagas were taking on Morten Bilde and Jorgen Hansen. One advantage of watching Chagas is that play proceeds at a respectable pace. We were through the 16 boards in 90 minutes.
At the other table, Marcelo Branco and Diego Brenner faced Michael Askgard and Gregers Bjarnarson.
First, think about a bidding problem. With only your side vulnerable, you hold:

## - 98653

ค-
$\diamond$ AKJ9 84
\& 52
It goes two passes to you. What would you do?
The match started quietly, with one overtrick IMP to Brazil.Then came the first big swing:


In the Open Room, Bilde led the spade seven, which ran to declarer's queen. Chagas led the heart king from his hand. West took his ace and returned a spade, declarer ducking this round and taking the next, East deceptively leading the jack, not the king.
Now South had to take a heart finesse, running the ten. But trying to keep East off the lead, Chagas fatally played a heart to his queen and another heart. West won with his jack and, suitably deceived, shifted to a low club. It did not matter, though, because declarer had only eight tricks: two spades, two hearts, one diamond and three clubs. East had to get in with his diamond king to cash the spade king for down one.
At the other table, a spade lead would have been fatal, but West understandably selected the heart six. Bjarnarson rose with dummy's king, played a diamond to his queen, and ducked a diamond to East's eight.
A heart to West's ace and a heart to dummy's queen was followed by the diamond ace (no luck) and a diamond, putting East on lead,West having discarded two clubs and South having pitched his last heart. That left this position:


East's best play now was a spade, then, after declarer wins with dummy's queen and plays another spade, putting in the jack or king. Perhaps South would have read East for only three spades and tried another endplay. However, holding the king-jack, looking at the queen in the dummy and knowing declarer had the ace, did not make that look too clever. But when East returned a club, declarer took West's queen with dummy's ace, played a club to his jack and cashed the club king, West discarding the spade two.
Having lost three tricks already, South had to assume East held the spade king. Declarer led a low spade, putting in dummy's nine when West played low. East took the jack and returned the seven, but declarer played low from his hand to make his contract. Plus 50 and plus 400 gave Denmark 10 IMPs.
If you look at the deal using Deep Finesse, it will tell you that North can win nine tricks in notrump, but South can be held to eight. Here, though, North took eight and South nine. Humans will be humans.
Momentarily back to the initial bidding problem. If you open one diamond, lefty overcalls one heart, partner makes
a negative double to show four spades, and righty cue-bids two diamonds to indicate a game-invitational hand with heart support. What would you do now?
Board 4 was flat, unlike...
Board 5. Dealer North. North-South vul.

|  | \& AK 102 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢ Q 97 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 1052$ |  |  |
|  | 9 1087 |  |  |
| ¢ 74 | N |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A 108543 | 3 W | 8 | J 62 |
| $\diamond 7$ |  | E $\diamond$ | 63 |
| \& AKJ3 | - S | 9 | 964 |
|  | Q 98653 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ - |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AKJ 984 |  |  |
|  | \& 52 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Hanson | Chagas | Bilde | Villas-Boas |
|  | Pass | Pass | I $\diamond$ |
| 18 | Dble | $2 \triangleleft$ | 24 |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | Pass | 49 |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Branco A | Askgaard | Brenner | Bjarnarson |
|  | Pass | Pass | 3 |
| 38 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |

I was sitting behind Hanson. When South bid two spades, I thought it would be a good idea to rebid three clubs in case the opposition went to four spades. But he jumped to four hearts and Chagas passed. Ah, I thought, no problem. Wrong! It went back to Villas-Boas, who surprised everyone by now bidding four spades. How could he bid only two spades, then, vulnerable against not, bid four spades? It seemed IMPossible -- until we saw his hand a moment later. Against four spades doubled, West began with three rounds of clubs. Declarer ruffed and played a spade to dummy's ace, East dropping the queen. Thinking that West had started with three spades and therefore short diamonds, South now played a diamond to his jack. When that held, declarer played a spade to dummy's ten. It lost to East's jack, but Villas-Boas claimed his contract.
IfVillas-Boas had jumped straight to four spades over two diamonds, surely West would have bid five hearts and the big score would have been lost.
In the Closed Room, Bjarnarson opened three diamonds, as I suspect would many modern players. But when it went three hearts - pass - four hearts, he did not have the courage to bid four spades.
North cashed his two top spades, then, thinking his partner had seven diamonds for his unfavorable-vulnerability pre-empt, and never guessing he could also have five spades, played a third spade. Branco ruffed in the dummy and discarded his diamond loser. And guessing what had happened, declarer played a low heart from the dummy, immediately claiming II tricks when South discarded. Plus

790 and plus 450 gave Brazil I5 IMPs.
This board also had its weird side.
Board 9. Dealer North. East-West vul.

|  | - K 93 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 93$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A 94 |  |  |
|  | \& Q 9652 |  |  |
| ¢ A 65 | N | - | J 1072 |
| $\bigcirc 8764$ |  | E | Q J 102 |
| $\diamond 765$ |  | E |  |
| \% 1073 | S | \& J 8 |  |
|  | ¢ 84 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 5 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ QJIO 832 |  |  |
|  | \& AK 4 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Hanson | Chagas | Bilde | Villas-Boas |
|  | Pass | 19 | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Branco | Askgaard | Brenner | Bjarnarson |
|  |  | 19 | 2 |
| 24 | 3\% | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

The bidding in the Closed Room was surprising. Once East heard of a spade fit, it looks strange not to have bid again. And one would have expected South to have made some sort of game-try, once his partner showed club length with, presumably, some diamond fit.
West led a trump against three diamonds, East winning with his king and shifting to the heart king. Declarer took his II tricks: one heart, five diamonds and five clubs.
When Chagas tried for game in notrump because of his diamond fit, East was happy to go quietly. Then he made the dirty-trick lead of the heart king.
Declarer took the second heart in the dummy and ran the diamond queen, so went down two.


Marcelo Branco, Brazil

There is something unsporting about bidding one suit and defeating the opponents' contract in a suit you did not mention. Plus 150 and plus 100 gave Denmark 6 IMPs.
The next sizable swing was an echo of Board 5 and that last deal.

Board I9. Dealer East. Both vul.
, K 84
คA953
$\diamond 93$
\& 7654

| - AJ 65 | N | -109732 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QQ 64 |  | $\bigcirc 10$ |
| $\checkmark$ J 82 | W E | $\checkmark$ AK 107654 |
| - KJ2 | S | 2- |
|  | - Q |  |

〉K J 872
$\checkmark \mathrm{Q}$
\& A Q 10983

| West <br> Hanson | North Chagas | East <br> Bilde | South Villas-Boas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I $\diamond$ | 2\% |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Branco | Askgaard | Brenner | Bjarnarson |
|  |  | 3 | 3 |
| 3NT | $4 \bigcirc$ | 49 | 5\% |
| Dble | 5 | Dble | All Pass |

Bilde thought for ages over three notrump, as who would not? Eventually he passed. If East had passed quickly, VillasBoas might have been there with four hearts. But now he decided to remain silent.
North led a club, South smoothly winning with his ace and shifting to the heart jack. Five heart tricks later, that was down two with both five diamonds and five spades no sweat. Once again three notrump had been defeated with a suit the opposition did not have the courtesy to mention in the auction.
In the other room, Brenner maintained the table style by pre-empting three diamonds.After that, an exciting auction ended in five hearts doubled, losing one spade, one diamond and two clubs.
Plus 200 and plus 500 gave Brazil 12 IMPs and the lead by 31 -I 8.
With only the opponents vulnerable, you pick up:


Q Q 832
$\checkmark 9874$
\& K Q 543
The auction starts like this:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | 10 | Pass |
| INT | Pass | $2 \boxtimes$ | Pass |
| ? |  |  |  |

What would you do?
This was a good deal for the Bilde-Hansen methods, which I noticed Duboin and Sementa are also using. Bilde's two-heart rebid showed a limited opening bid, because with a good hand and both majors he would have rebid two clubs. Now Hansen had no inclination to bid again.
The defense was accurate, holding declarer to eight tricks.
In the other room, Branco raised to three hearts, and Brenner went on to four. The defense was less than perfect, but it is still took four tricks for down one.
Plus IIO and plus 50 gave Denmark 4 IMPs.
This was the only other exciting deal:
Board I4. Dealer East. None vul.

$\bigcirc 75$
$\diamond$ KJ 105
\& AKJIO 98

| West <br> Hanson | North <br> Chagas | East <br> Bilde | South Villas-Boas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 | 29 |
| $2 \bigcirc$ | 5\% | Dble | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Branco | Askgaard | Brenner | Bjarnarson |
|  |  | 18 | 29 |
| 38 | 5\% | 68 | 78 |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

In the Open Room, the Danes use Acol, so one heart promised only four. This dissuaded West from jumping to three hearts. And East, despite his club void, went for the plus score.
West led the heart two. East took two tricks in the suit and declarer conceded a spade for down one.
Brenner, after hearing that his partner had four-card heart support, leapt to six hearts, which would have been one too high. But Bjarnarson wasn't going to risk that at IMP scoring, sacrificing in seven clubs.
West led a heart, so the contract went down three.
Minus 100 and plus 500 gave Brazil 9 IMPs.
The final margin was 43-23 to Brazil, or 20-10 in victory points. However, neither team changed places in the table, Denmark remaining third, but now only 9 victory points ahead of fifth, and Brazilian staying eighth, but only 5 victory points behind fourth.

## The Right Strong Jump Shift

by Phillip Alder

This was the first deal in the Round of 16 matches on Saturday:

| Board I. Dealer North. None vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , Q 65 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AKQ 92 |  |  |
| $\diamond$ K 982 |  |  |
| - 2 |  |  |
| - 98 | N | - 43 |
| Q J6 |  | $\bigcirc 874$ |
| $\checkmark 10753$ |  | $\diamond$ QJ4 |
| \& A Q 1086 | S | 2K7543 |
|  |  |  |
| \& AKJ1072$\bigcirc 1053$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 6 |  |  |
| d. 9 |  |  |

You can see that six of either major is laydown. But you would want to be in six spades because that makes even when East has jack-fourth of hearts.
This deal was played at 48 tables. Only 16 got to slam.
This was one auction, by a Danish women's pair:

| West | North <br> Binderkrantz | East | South <br> Bekkouche |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $1 \boxtimes$ | Pass | $1 \mathbf{4}$ |
| All Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $4 \Omega$ |

The Americans in the open event took longer to stop in game:

| West | North <br> Freeman | East | South <br> Nickell |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18 | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $3 \$$ |
| Pass | $3 \$$ | Pass | 4 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Nick Nickell probably wanted to bid four diamonds over three spades, but that would have sounded like primary diamond support.
Chagas and Villas-Boas from Brazil dabbled, but did not get beyond game.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chagas |  | Villas-Boas |
|  | 18 | Pass | $1 \mathrm{NT}^{(2)}$ |
| Pass | 319 | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 4. |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

## All Pass

(a) At least five spades.

Helgemo tried a diversion:

| West | North <br> Helness | East | South <br> Helgemo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18 | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | $2 \searrow$ | Pass | $3 \%$ |
| Dble | $3 \$$ | Pass | $4 \%$ |
| Pass | $4 \infty$ | All Pass |  |

One Turkish open pair was using relay, but still did not get there.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bedir |  | Goksu |
|  | $1{ }^{\left({ }^{(a)}\right.}$ | Pass | 209 ${ }^{(b)}$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ (c) | Pass | $2 \nabla^{(6)}$ |
| Pass | $3 \diamond^{(d)}$ | Pass | $4 \diamond^{(\mathrm{e})}$ |
| Pass | $4{ }^{(6)}$ | Pass | 4 ${ }^{(8)}$ |

All Pass
(a) II-15 points.
(b) Relay.
(c) Any 5-3-3-2 or four-plus diamonds.
(d) $3=5=4=1$.
(e) End signal.
(f) Forced.
(g) Here's where we play, partner.

| But some did get there. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
|  | Shi |  | Zhuang |
|  | 18 | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | 4 |
| Pass | 4 | Pass | 64 |

All Pass


## Today's Coverage

ROUND of 8 - II. 00
China - Norway (O)
VG
Poland - Italy (O)
BBO2
Germany - Netherlands (O)
BBO 3
Indonesia - Netherlands (S) BBO4
Germany - China (W)
England - Romania (O)
OurGames I
OurGames2
ROUND of 8 - 14.20
Poland - Italy (O)
VG
Germany - Netherlands (O)
BBO2
England - France (W)
Germany - China (W)
China - Norway (O)
Denmark - USA (W)
BBO3
BBO4
OurGames I
OurGames2
Round of 8 - 3rd Session to be decided

When North didn't bid four hearts, I thought the auction would end - wrong again! That was an II-IMP start for China.

| West | North <br> Martens | East | South <br> Jassem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $18^{(a)}$ | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 49 | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |

(a) Limited to 15 high-card points

That gave Poland II IMPs.
Here are the other successful pairs. First, the women's event: Liu-Wang from China (six spades for II IMPs); de Hérédia-Lévy from France (six hearts for II IMPs); Back-strom-Tuomi from Finland and Erdogan-Erbiz from Turkey (six spades for II IMPs). In the open series: Lau-ria-Versace from Italy (six spades for II IMPs); Coldealonita from Romania (six hearts for II IMPs); SandqvistMalinowski from England and Karakolev-Danailov from Bulgaria (six spades for a flat board); Wijs-Muller from the Netherlands(six spades for II IMPs); Dehaye-Engel from Belgium (six spades for 10 IMPs). And in the seniors: LevEisenberg from the United States (six spades for II IMPs); Lavings-Krochmalik from Australia (six spades for II IMPs); Janssens-Boegem from the Netherlands (six spades for 10 IMPs).
But now we get to the auction that really caught my eye. Some 50 years ago, Albert Morehead, the original bridge correspondent for The New York Times, wrote a book called Morehead on Bidding. It is still one of the best bridge books ever and does not get the credit it deserves. It was update 17 years ago by the previous NYT columnist and the present one, and retitled On Bidding. It was easy to update because it was so good. And the chapter on strong jump shifts was left almost untouched.
Morehead argued that a SJS should show game-going values (with either an excellent one-suiter, or a two-suiter: your suit and partner's) and let the opener judge how well the hands fit.

This style is perfect for this deal:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Arnolds |  | Vriend |
|  | 18 | Pass | 24 |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 \mathrm{NT}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | Pass | $5 \diamond^{(b)}$ |
| Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |

(a) Roman Key Card Blackwood in spades.
(b) Three key cards.

Easy, peasy - and 10 IMPs to the Netherlands.

# Women's Bridge Activity 

by Anna Maria Torlontano

The first Women's Bridge Festival, organised by BBO and the WBF Women's Committee, was a great success with 67 countries participating. BBO proposed a continuation of the event, with online tournaments for women players on a regular basis, giving them experience, an opportunity to train with their partner, and to make new friends in other parts of the world!
The programme is simple:

- Online Tournaments will be organised everyWednesday, starting on Wednesday 5th November.There will be four tournaments each day, two individual and two pairs.
- There will be an overall classification combining the individual and pairs results at the end of each month. Awards of BBO dollars to be spent exclusively on other BBO Tournaments will be given to the top 10 players in the overall classification each month.
- In addition, the winning player will be offered the opportunity of playing a tournament online with a world champion: Sabine Auken, Fulvio Fantoni, or a world champion from the USA will take it in turn to play with the winners. We thank them very much for agreeing to participate in this event.
In addition, we are working with BBO to create a Women's Online Bridge Club, where we hope to be able to keep players informed about events organised for women players, where players can "meet" to exchange ideas, discuss hands and maybe - we hope - follow some lessons from a Champion. This is still in the planning stage and we are working hard on it.
The 2nd WBF/BBO Women's Online Bridge Festival will be organised in April next year, and we are hoping to organise another Women's Bridge Jamboree in Europe (maybe in Nice or Sorrento) next September.
We were very proud when the President, Jose Damiani, spoke about Internet development in the EBL General Assembly and said :"... this is the case also for the women, thanks to the initiative taken by Anna Maria TorIontano and the Women's Committee, who I thank and compliment on their first success and for the developments they are suggesting."
All the information about any of these events will be found on our own special website:
www.wbfwomensbridgeclub.org


## Collecting the airport taxes

The WBF treasurer will collect the taxes for the account of Air China each day from Wednesday, October 8th till Sunday, October 12th from 4.30 p.m. until 6 p.m. at the WBF office (secretariat) on the 4th floor of the CNCC building. Note: this applies only to free Air China tickets.
Each president of national organisations or his representative is requested to come and pay for all his members. This is of course mandatory to ensure that there are no problems for the players on their return journey.

# A Word from the Experts... 

by Marc Smith

The Thai team spent almost the entire two-hour dinner discussing one deal Monday evening, and even then never really reached any definitive conclusion. So, I thought l'd ask a few experts for their opinion to see if there really was a 'right' or 'wrong.' This was the bidding problem that occurred at the table...

E/W Game. Dealer South. IMPs

- AJ 107

คA874
$\checkmark$ K 6

- AJ 3

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INT | Pass | $2)^{*}$ | $5 \%$ |
| $?$ |  |  |  |

East's $2 \checkmark$ is a transfer to spades. What action do you think West should take now?
There are three realistic choices - bid ( $5 \vee$ or 5 P ), double and pass.
Of those polled, none chose to bid as their first choice. "How can I commit to an II-trick contract when all partner has shown is a preference for playing two spades rather than INT?" asked David Bird. Others expressed similar sentiments with respect to making a positive bid.
The primary issue mentioned by most of those I asked was whether a pass should be forcing. After all, many expert partnerships play that a pass is forcing after an auction such as $(3 \diamond)$-Dble-( $5 \diamond$ ) when we are vulnerable against not. Is this not, I wonder, an analogous situation - we are at 'red,' one of us has shown a good hand, and the opponents are bidding at the five level.
"If we had a specific agreement that pass was forcing, then it's clearly the best option," commented Brian Senior, "but we surely don't have such an agreement, so I would double."
David Bird and Philip Alder made similar comments, both saying that it would be nice if pass was forcing, but that they didn't think it was, or should be.
Lew and JoAnna Stansby were agreed that pass was not forcing, but opted for different choices anyway. Lew chose to double, but JoAnna said that she would risk a pass as she did not want to discourage partner from bidding on in spades."He has heard me bid INT, after all, so he is quite likely to double anyway if he doesn't want to bid on."
This is a valid point - If partner is completely broke and we defend undoubled for +50 or +100 when we cannot even make game, is that such a disaster? The possible investment of a small number of IMPs for say, +100 rather than +300 , seems worthwhile when the upside of not discouraging partner from bidding on may be enormous.

South African star Tim Cope felt that pass was certainly forcing, and considered that the clear action here. Indeed, Tim commented that he would prefer to commit to the five level (marginally preferring $5 \checkmark$ to 5 to make it clear we were very suitable for slam) if doubling to tell partner we wanted to defend was the only alternative.
I am in the same camp as Tim. Our honor structure is so offensively oriented - i.e., aces in the opponent's suits rather than a purely defensive holding such as K-J-IO-x that I really dislike double.
However, the vote amongst those I polled was 4-3 in favor of doubling, with the other three choosing to pass. I suppose this means that, on his actual hand, partner is supposed to ignore your expressed wish to defend and bid on anyway. He held...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Leftrightarrow K Q \times x \times x \\
& \diamond x \\
& \diamond A Q \times x \times \\
& \& x
\end{aligned}
$$

As you can see, a grand slam in spades is cold. At the table, North bid $5 \triangleleft$ over the double of $5 \%$, West doubled again and East sat for it, which was only IIOO with teammates conceding 2210 at the other table.
Having said that, whether we are ever going to able to bid the grand slam with any confidence after this start to the auction is another matter. South has chosen an opportune moment to warp his hand with a $I \triangleleft$ opening bid on

Ultimately, what you would do in this situation is something for regular partnerships to discuss. Although this particular auction created an exceedingly rare situation, most top partnerships do have general principles that they apply in unusual circumstances. Would you have coped better than our pair did? If so, would you have done so by luck or judgment?

## World Transnational Mixed Teams

TheWBF Office will be open between I 0.00 and I 2.30 and 13.30 - 18.00 today for payments for the Transnational Teams. Please note that even if your team is entitled to free entry you MUST come to the WBF office to collect a receipt.
Each team will need a signed receipt in order to participate in the event, and must take it to the playing area with them on Sunday evening or they will not be permitted to play.

## When It Was Meant To Be

by Phillip Alder

It is the general consensus that the winner of a big event needs to have played well and to have had some luck.
Catharina Midskog from Sweden was another example of this basic rule of thumb for success in winning the Women's World Individual Masters.
For example, with only the opponents vulnerable, you pick up:


คAJ865
$\diamond A 42$
$\% A K Q J$
Partner opens two spades, weak. After a pass on your right, what would you do?

With only your side vulnerable, you hold:

- Q 9

863
$\diamond$ Q 1087
\& AK643
The bidding proceeds like this:

| West | North | East | South <br> INT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $\mathbf{2} \diamond^{(a)}$ | Pass | $\mathbf{2} \oslash$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | Pass | $\mathbf{4} \varnothing$ |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

(a) Transfer.

What would you lead?
While you think about those, here is an interesting partscore battle from the first session of the event, rotated to make South declarer.


Catharina Midskog, Sweden

Board 9. Dealer South. East-West vul.

|  | - Q 643 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q 94 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 874$ |  |  |
|  | - Q 5 |  |  |
| - J 85 | N |  | - K 1092 |
| PK865 |  |  | ¢J72 |
| $\checkmark$ K 6 |  | E | $\checkmark$ Q 102 |
| 2 AK96 | S |  | - 72 |
|  | - A 7 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 10$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ |  |  |
|  | - 10 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 180 | Pass | 14 | $2 \triangleleft$ |
| 2 | 3 | All Pass |  |

Declarer has only four immediate losers (one spade, one diamond and two clubs), but she does not have nine tricks. West decided to lead a spade: queen, king, ace. Midskog played a low club, West going in with her king, cashing the spade jack, and playing another spade, ruffed by South. West took the next club and made the strange shift to the heart king. Declarer won with dummy's ace and cashed the heart queen. At this point, if South had played a diamond to her nine, she would have had matters under control. But Midskog ruffed a heart in her hand and ruffed a club in the dummy, bringing down the jack and giving this position with declarer needing three tricks:


Now came the heart nine from the dummy. What should East have done?
If East discards her spade, declarer throws her club and plays a diamond to her nine.
If East ruffs low, declarer overruffs and cashes the diamond ace. Then, if West keeps her king, she is thrown in with that card to lead a club back to declarer's ten. And if West unblocks the diamond king, declarer ruffs her club
with dummy's diamond eight.
If East ruffs with the ten, South overruffs and cashes the diamond ace for the same situation as just described.
Now we have the answer: East must ruff with the diamond queen.
What happened at the table? East ruffed with the diamond ten, declarer overruffed, cashed the diamond ace, and put West in with a diamond. The last trick was won by South's club ten.
Plus IIO was worth 10 matchpoints out of 10 .
Now back to the initial problems. This was the full deal in the bidding problem from the final session:

| Board I8. Dealer East. North-South vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 86 |  |  |
| ¢K9742 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q 1093 |  |  |
|  | - 104 |  |
| - 9 | N | 4K105432 |
| AJ865 |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 3 |
| $\checkmark$ A 42 |  | $\checkmark$ J6 |
| - AKQ J | S | +853 |
|  | - A Q J 7 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 10$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 875 |  |
|  | -9762 |  |

Midskog passed over her partner's two-spade opening. Correct! The defense was imperfect and plus 110 was worth 8 out of 10 matchpoints, the same as minus 50 would have been.
The defensive problem arose in the first session, which is interesting only because it was in a pairs event, where overtricks can count for so much. This was the layout:

Board 3. Dealer South. East-West vul.

- 1043
-A 10984
$\diamond$ K 3
- Q 109
$\triangle Q 9$
$>63$
$\diamond$ Q 1087
\& AK 643

, 87652
$\odot 12$
$\diamond$ J 62
」 75
- AKJ

K Q 75
$\checkmark$ A 954
\% 82
At four of the six tables, West led the club ace. Now it was easy for South to establish dummy's club queen for II tricks: two spades, five hearts, two diamonds, one club and a spade ruff in the South hand.
At the fifth table, North was the declarer. East led the club five and again North's queen set up as a trick.
Midskog did not like to lead the club ace with the big balanced hand on her right. She chose a trump. Declarer won
with her king and played a club, West playing low smoothly and South naturally calling for dummy's nine. East, Yu Zhang, won with her jack and shifted to a low spade, not a revealing eight. Declarer won with her ace, drew the missing trumps, played three rounds of diamonds, ruffing the last in the dummy, and gave up a club, West winning with the king and leading the ace, which South ruffed to give this position:


Declarer played a trump to dummy and tried the spade finesse, but it lost.
Minus 420 gave East-West all IO matchpoints.

## Beijing Boulders <br> by Tim Bourke

Trump Tragedy
Dealer East. Both Vul.

- AKQ 76
- 1075
$\triangleleft$ A 53
- 53

- 532
-AK8432
$\diamond-$
a AKQ 6

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $4 \diamond$ | $4\rangle$ |
| Pass | $4 N T$ | Pass | $5 \$$ |
| Pass | 68 | All pass |  |

West leads the two of diamonds, which you ruff. All seems easy until East discards a diamond on the ace of trumps. Assuming that East has only seven diamonds, how do you propose to make twelve tricks?

Solution on page 16.

## OPEN TEAMS ROUND OF 16-1st

## Top Draw <br> by Mark Horton

The draw brought together two of the world's top bridge playing countries, and allowed the BBO audience to continue the ongoing debate as to who are currently the best pair in the world. Whoever you think that may be there is little doubt that Meckwell consistently draw the biggest crowds - not least because of their exceptional derring-do in the bidding.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.


Open Room

| West <br> Meckstroth | North <br> Martens | East <br> Rodwell | South Jassem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18 | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 4\%* | Pass | 4 ${ }^{*}$ |
| Pass | 49 | Pass | 4NT* |
| Pass | 5 ** | Pass | 5** |
| Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |

The Polish pair had an excellent auction to the top spot. They key was North's decision to bid Four Clubs - surely right with such prime cards. When West led the nine of spades declarer was soon claiming +1010 .

Closed Room

| West <br> Gierulski | North <br> Freeman | East <br> Skrzypczak | South <br> Nickell |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $I \$$ | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $30^{*}$ |
| Pass | $3 \$$ | Pass | 49 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

The fourth suit auction did not prove to be as effective as the natural one at the other table. The lead was the same, so declarer was +510 , but that cost II IMPs.

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.


- K 104
$\diamond 1092$
- 1982
- 9864
© 765
$\diamond$ QJ 8
- $A 54$

- K

QQ9832
$\diamond A K 764$
\& K 7
Q Q 10752
$\triangle$ AJ
$\diamond 53$
4 Q 1063
Open Room

| West <br> Meckstroth | North <br> Martens | East <br> Rodwell | South <br> Jassem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | Pass | 18 | 14 |
| 2 | Pass | 24* | Pass |
| 3 | All Pass |  |  |

South led the three of diamonds (low from a doubleton) and declarer won in dummy and set about the trump suit. He had to lose three trump tricks and the ace of spades, but that was all, +140 .


Krzysztof Martens, Poland

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Gierulski | Freeman | Skrzypczak | Nickell |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | Pass | 18 | 14 |
| 2 | 24 | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |  |

Four Hearts was far too high. South led a spade and North took the ace and continued the suit, forcing declarer to ruff. A diamond to the jack was followed by a heart to South's jack. Declarer could have escaped for one down by continuing to play on trumps, but he abandoned the suit and played diamonds. South ruffed the third round with the ace of hearts and forced declarer with a third spade to ensure two down, -200 and 8 IMPs to the USA.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.
Q Q 975

- K 106
$\diamond$ AK 9
- Q 103
- 108

PAJ 93
$\diamond$ QJ 64
\& 974


- 632
$\bigcirc 875$
$\checkmark 10852$
\& K J 2

Open Room

| West <br> Meckstroth | North <br> Martens | East <br> Rodwell | South <br> Jassem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | INT | Dble | All Pass |

When East doubled INT North/South had nowhere to go. East cashed the ace of spades and switched to a club.That ran to dummy's ten and declarer played three rounds of diamonds, East discarding a club as West won with the jack. West switched to the three of hearts and East won with the queen. He played back the four of hearts and if West had ducked this trick then the contract would have been three down. Obviously uncertain of the exact position West took the ace of hearts, cashed the queen of diamonds and played a spade, leading to two down, -300 .

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Gierulski | Freeman | Skrzypczak | Nickell |
| Pass | $1{ }^{\text {c }}$ | Dble | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 2 | All Pass |

The 4-3 fit proved to be a comfortable spot. Declarer won the spade lead with the ace and played a diamond to the queen and ace. When North played a second spade declarer ran it to his ten and exited with a diamond. He won
the club return with dummy's ace, cashed the king of spades discarding a club and played on cross ruff lines, emerging with nine tricks, +140 but losing 4 IMPs.

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

|  | - 72 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A Q J 852 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 2$ |  |  |
|  | * AJ75 |  |  |
| - J 954 | N |  | - A 3 |
| $\bigcirc 3$ | W |  | $\bigcirc 964$ |
| $\checkmark$ KJ 6 |  |  | $\checkmark$ A Q 87543 |
| -KQ642 | S , |  | -9 |
|  | - K Q 1086 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 107$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 109$ |  |  |
|  | \% 1083 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Meckstroth | Martens | Rodwell | 1 Jassem |
| $1 \diamond *$ | 18 | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | 2 |
| 3 | 38 | 5 | All Pass |

Two Diamonds promised 9+ points and was forcing for one round. When West, who might have had as little as a singleton honour, showed some diamond support East made the obvious advance to game.
North cashed the ace of hearts, getting the ten from South, and switched to the two of spades to ensure a one trick set, -50 .

## Closed Room

| West <br> Gierulski | North <br> Freeman | East <br> Skrzypczak | South <br> Nickell |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 18 | 3 | 38 |
| $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4} \oslash$ | Dble | All Pass |

Four Clubs surely implied diamond support, so East's decision to double looks questionable.
East led a hopeful club, but declarer took West's queen with the ace and claimed ten tricks, +790 and 12 IMPs.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.
-AJ43
QJ 75
$\triangleleft 976$

- 1095

$$
1072
$$

$\diamond$ Q 62
$\diamond$ Q 43
$-A 742$


- K 8

คA3
$\triangleleft$ KJIO 85
\& K Q 83

- Q 965
- K 10984
$\checkmark A 2$
- 16


## Open Room

| West <br> Meckstroth | North <br> Martens | East <br> Rodwell | South <br> Jassem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | INT | All Pass |

INT was 14-I6.
Declarer won the ten of hearts lead with dummy's queen, knocked out the ace of diamonds and cashed out for ten tricks and +180.

Closed Room

| West <br> Gierulski | North <br> Freeman | East <br> Skrzypczak | South <br> Nickell |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | I $\diamond$ | I 8 |
| INT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

North led the five of hearts and declarer, who could not afford to have South win and switch to spades, made the excellent play of going up with the ace and playing on diamonds. South won the second round and played back the ten of hearts, but when the queen won declarer claimed +630 and 10 IMPs.

Poland won the first set 27-25 - and it was already clear that the match was likely to go right down to the wire.

## Championship Diary

We have an update from the last Diary - Australia also has a team in every bridge event in the World Mind Sports Games, as do Canada. Any others?

At least once in every Championship our resident racing correspondent delivers what he assures us is a solid gold tip, on which we must put our entire per diem. This time it was for horse number 5 in the fifth race.
It finished fifth.
You may have noticed that the world's stock markets are in turmoil, as are the economies of many countries. One of the worst affected is Iceland. Still, people have retained their sense of humour, as was demonstrated by a CNN newsreader yesterday, who (doubtless reading from a subtly altered cue-card) announced that $90 \%$ of Iceland was uninhibited.

Making my way back to the Bulletin office I met an old friend, Carlos Luis, who is representing Portugal in the Open Series. After exchanging the usual pleasantries he remarked, 'You must know half the people in the world - and the other half all know you.'

## Happy Birthday, WBF!



Xiang Huaicheng, president of the Chinese Contract Bridge Association
Everyone likes a birthday party, and the World Bridge Federation is no exception judging by the celebration at the Crowne Plaza Hotel on Thursday.
With many friends - including WBF President Emeritus Jaime Ortiz-Patiño - on hand,WBF President Jose Damiani toasted the 50 -year history of the WBF and its role in the Ist World Mind Sports Games. Damiani is also president of the International Mind Sports Association.
The President expressed much pleasure in noting that IIO teams of young players showed up for competition in three different groups. "They represent the future of our wonderful game," he said.
Xiang Huaicheng, president of the Chinese Contract

Bridge Association, praised the WBF for helping bridge gain in popularity in China. Noting that China has hosted two world championships in a row, including last year in Shanghai, Xiang said WBF support has been very important for the development of bridge in his country.
Xiang presented a porcelain vase to Damiani on the occasion of the WBF's 50th anniversary. "It represents good luck and a good future," Xiang said.
Just before the ceremony ended, John Wignall, executive vice president of the WBF, took the podium to thank Damiani for his many contributions to bridge as chief executive."In the words of the song," said Wignall, "Nobody does it better."


The head table at the 50th anniversary dinner, including President Emeritus Jaime Ortiz-Patiño (seated, center).

## SOLUTION

You will need take the first ten tricks and reduce your trump length sufficiently that you can lead a club from hand in this ending:


If West ruffs with his low trump then the ten of trumps will be your eleventh trick and the king of trumps your twelfth, West does no better if he ruffs high for then you will discard a spade from dummy and take the last two tricks with the split 8 K - 10 tenace.
The only way to reach this ending is if West began with either 3-4-3-3 or 4-4-3-2 distribution. In the former case, this will be typical of the type of deal required:

```
A AKQ 7 }
    IO75
    A 5 3
& 5 
```


## - 94 $\diamond K$ QJ 10974 <br> - J 1097

```
\& 532
คAK 8432
\(\diamond-\)
4 AKQ 6
```

- J 108
Q QJ 96
$\diamond 862$
\& 842

After the ace of trumps reveals the bad news, you should cash the ace and king of spades. When spades prove to be 3-2, ruff a diamond then cross back to dummy with a spade and ruff the ace of diamonds. Then after cashing the \&-KQ , you will have achieved the above ending and so make twelve tricks.
In the latter case, after cashing the $\Phi$ A-K, the full deal you need will be similar to:

## WBF Systems Committee

There will be a meeting of the WBF Systems Committee in the WBF Meeting Room at II a.m. on Wednesday, Oct. I5.

- AKQ 76
1075
A 53
- 53


ヘ J 1084
© QJ 96
$\diamond 862$
9 84

This time you will discard a club on the ace of diamonds and then ruff a diamond. After a spade to the queen, you will ruff a spade to reach the wished for ending.

## MANYA SLIP...

Phillip Alder reported this deal as perhaps a missed opportunity for N/S in the match between Denmark and Italy, since they had elected not to defend Is doubled. Phillip correctly commented that this might be set 800 . Yes and no...we all know that defence is the hardest part of the game, and when on Vugraph the contract of IS was reached, it became an example of 'Be careful what you ask for or you might get it!'

Board IO. Dealer East. Both vul.


South correctly led a top heart, received a discouraging $>8$ and made the serious error of cashing the 8 K ; this removed his entry for the diamonds. After a club shift to the king and a low trump return from North, trying to prevent heart ruffs in East declarer led a low club from the board. North hopped up with the ace and made the fatal error of returning a low trump not the king or jack. Declarer won this on the board, ruffed a heart, cashed the diamond ace and club queen and had two trumps via the endplay to collect four trumps in dummy and one trick in each side-suit. Contract made!
Since in the other room N/S had carefully 'saved' in 3s down one this was a gain for $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ in this room of 2 IMPs.

## Intra-What?

by David Stern


One of the delights ofVuGraph commentating is spotting a beautiful hand and watching it unfold before your eyes. Equally, reaching the critical point and watching declarer fail is so frustrating.
Such a hand arose in round 16 of the Open Championships during the match between Germany and England. While both of these teams proved easy qualifiers the difference between finishing 2nd and meeting Bulgaria or Belgium versus finishing third and facing Norway or Poland is certainly something worth fighting for every IMP over.

## Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.

ゅ 652
$\vee$ J 4
$\triangleleft$ K Q JIO 873
3

| - A 10 | N | Q K J 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ A 9853 | $W^{\text {c }}$ | $\bigcirc$ Q 72 |
| $\checkmark 542$ | W E | $\diamond$ - |
| 2 K 75 | S | 2 A Q J 10642 |
|  | ¢ Q 9843 |  |

8 K 106
$\diamond A 96$
\& 98

| West <br> Townsend | North <br> Piekarek | East <br> Gold | South <br> Smirnov |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1 \$$ | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | $3 \diamond$ | $4 \boldsymbol{e}$ | $4 \diamond$ |

6\% All Pass
I was a little surprised that South elected to pass the opening bid as is would have been my choice - something that may have cost 16 IMPs, as you will see later.

| West <br> Kirmse | North <br> Sandqwist | East <br> Gromoeller | South <br> Malinowski |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 19 | 19 |
| Dble | $2 \diamond$ | 30 | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond$ | 59 | $5 \diamond$ |
| 69 | All Pass |  |  |

Let me digress for a moment. Some years ago, world champion bridge player Gabriel Chagas of Brazil wrote the following Bols Bridge Tip - "I Love Finesses":
When you have to develop a shaky suit, consider whether you can prepare for an intra-finesse by ducking with an 8 or a 9 on the first round.
The finesse is commonly regarded as one of the humbler forms of play, but it sometimes requires quite a lot of imagination. This is especially true of the intra-finesse - a play of which I am very fond. Here is his example:

$$
\text { Q } 853
$$

J 7
K 104

## A 962

The bidding has given you quite a good idea of the layout of this suit. To hold yourself to one loser, you play low towards the dummy and finesse the 8 ! East will make the 10 , but later you will enter the North hand and lead the queen, pinning West's jack. Well, this is an intra-finesse.
So back to our featured hand. I spotted the problem relatively quickly and was mildly confident that Gold for England would find this play. However, he had less information than the declarer in the closed room.
Both declarers reached the critical point relatively quickly:


Gold played a low heart from hand and thought for a long time, indicating that he clearly understood his options for the intra-finesse, and then played the queen leading to one down and a I6-IMP loss.
North-South deserve some credit here for displaying just enough high-card points to confuse declarer. I suspect however, that had South overcalled I点, declarer would certainly have taken the intra-finesse and succeed in his slam.
In the closed room, Gromoeller showed why Germany topped Open Group D. He inserted the $\vee 7$ followed by playing the $\triangle Q$ after regaining the lead.
So, is there a lesson here? Perhaps. If you want to improve your game, revisit the Bols Bridge Tips of the early 1980s and 1990s.

## OPENTEAMS FINAL BUTLER

| I Antonio SEMENTA 2 Giorgio DUBOIN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 3 | Richard FREEMAN - Nick NICKELL |
| Krzysztof MARTENS - Krzysztof JASSEM |  |
| Ilan HERBST - Ophir H |  |
| 6 | Geir HELGEMO - Tor HELNESS |
| 7 Terje AA - Jorgen MOLBERG |  |
| 8 | Andreas KIRMSE - Michael GROMOELLER |
| $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | Franck MULTON - Pierre ZIMMERMANN |
|  | Jerzy SKRZYPCZAK - Boguslaw GIERULSKI |
| $11$ | Berry WESTRA - Vincent RAMONDT |
| $12$ | Alexander SMIRNOV - Josef PIEKAREK |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | Alfredo VERSACE |
| 14 | David GOLD - Tom TOWNSEND |
| 15 | Claudio NUNES - Fulvio FANTONI |
| 16 | Michael ELINESCU - Entscho WLADOW |
| 16 | Eldad GINOSSAR - Ron PACHTMAN |
| 18 | Suleyman KOLATA - Ismail KANDEMIR |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | Jens AUKEN - Soren CHRISTIANSEN |
| $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | Eric RODWELL - Jeff MECKSTROTH |
| $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ 21 \end{array}$ | Ton BAKKEREN - Huub BERTENS |
| 22 | Lorenzo LAURIA |
|  | Jie ZHAO - Zhong FU |
| 23 | Bauke MULLER - Simon de WIJS |
| 25 | Martin REID - Peter NEWELL |
| 26 | Hakan GOKSU - Eymen BEDIR |
|  | Lixin YANG - Jianming DAI |
| 28 | Jason HACKETT - Justin HACKETT |
| 28 | Gyorgy SZALAY - Laszlo HARANGOZO |
| 29 | Danail DANAILOV - Georgi KARAKOLEV |
| 30 3 | Sunit CHOKSHI |
| 3233 | Roumen TRENDAFILOV - Kalin KARAIVANOV |
|  | Hugh McGANN - Tom HANLON |
| 33 34 | Sven SESTER - Vassili LEVENKO |
| 34 35 | Alon APTEKER - Craig GOWER |
| 35 36 | Victor ARONOV - Julian STEFANOV |
| 36 37 | Jean-Christophe QUANTIN - Marc BOMPIS |
| 373839 | Taufik Gautama ASBI - Julius Anthonius GEORGE |
|  | Zvi ENGEL - Bernard DEHAYE |
| 39 | Andrej SOTNIKOU - Henadzi MEDUSHEUSKI |
|  | Subhash GUPTA |
| 42 | K Raman VENKATARAMAN |
| 43 | Kiran NADAR - Bachiraju SATYANARAYANAAndreas BABSCH |
|  |  |
| 45 | Kridsadayut PLENGSAP - Terasak JITNGAMKUSOL |
|  | Carlos LUIS - Nuno PAZ |
| 47 | Mikko TOIVONEN - Vesa LESKELA |
| 48 | Alexander DUBININ - Andrei GROMOV |
|  | Glenn GROETHEIM - Ulf TUNDAL |
| 50 | Johan UPMARK - Per-Ola CULLIN |
| 51 | Andres KNAP |
| 52 | Marian HENC - Karol LOHAYRobert LEBI - Dan JACOB |
|  |  |
| 54 | Marius IONITA - lonut COLDEA |
| 55 | Jemmy BOJOH - Leslie GONTHA |
|  | Wolfgang BIEDER |
| 57 | Miguel VILLAS-BOAS |
| 58 | Marius BRICIU - Ovidiu GHIGHECI |
|  | Pierre CHIDIAC |
| 60 | Jahangir AHMED - Ahsan QURESHI |
| 6 | Marcelo BRANCO |
| 62 | Daniel GULYAS - Balazs SZEGEDI |
| 63 | Mats AXDORPH - Johnny OSTBERG <br> Hidenori NARITA - Yoichi ITO |
| 64 |  |
| 65 | Pramoul ANG-KAEW - Kirawat LIMSINSOPON |
| 6668 | Marc-Andre FOURCAUDOT - Vincent DEMUY |
|  | Alon AMSEL - Tom CORNELIS |
| 68 | Tiit LAANEMAE - Maksim KARPOV |
| 69 | Rajesh DALAL |
| 707172 | Serge de MULLER |
|  | Luis LANTARON |
|  | Charles GARNIER - Luc SOUDAN |
| 73 | Zejun ZHUANG - Haojun SHI |
|  | Waleed EI AHMADI - Tarek SADEK |
| 75 | Aris SAPOUNAKIS - Loukas ZOTOS |
| 76 | Gregers BJARNARSON - Michael ASKGAARD |
| 77 | Marwan GHANEM - Sireen BARAKAT |
| 78 | Gabriel CHAGAS |
| 79 | Carlos PELLEGRINI |
| 80 | Arturo WASIK |
| 81 | Slawek ZAWISLAK - Boguslaw PAZUR |
| 82 | Tien-Hsun LIU |
| 83 | Leonid PODGUR - Avi KALISH |
| 84 | Bjorn EYSTEINSSON - Jon BALDURSSON |
| 85 | Oleksandr NEMTSEV - Gennadiy RYBNIKOV |
| 86 | Peter TRENKA - Peter BARCZY |
| 87 | Shukmeyster BORIS - Petro KARLIKOV |
| 88 | Philippos KARAMANLIS - Manolis PROTONOTARIOS |
| 89 | Faycal HAMDAN |
| 90 | Gonzalo GODED MERINO |
| 91 | Fernando Alfredo LEMA |
| 92 | Mauricio FIGUEIREDO |
|  | Juhani LEIKOLA - Kauko KOISTINEN |

187 Ron KLINGER - Matthew MULLAMPHY
188 Gian Mario GENEROSI - Ferrante PECCI
89 Artan XHORI
190 Paolo PASQUINI - Perla SULTAN
191 UPeng LAI
192 Diniar MINWALLA - Mushir AHMAD
193 Kalamazad MOHAMMED - Shamshad MOHAMED
194 Samir DOLAREVIC - Ranko TICA
195 Khondoker Mobinul ISLAM
196 Akm Rafiqul Hossain SIDDIQUE
197 Enrico PAGANI - Dora MONTELONGO
198 Sveinn Runar EIRIKSSON - Hrannar ERLINGSSON
199 Mohan SEEPERSAD - Bobby PERSAD
200 Marko PERISIC - Nebojsa TODOROVIC
201 Martin ANASTACIO
202 Ceferino CARREON
203 Hsi Chen HU
204 Calvin WONG - Hubert WHITE
205 Pik Kin LAU - Alan Shun Sum SZE
206 Vicente REYES
207 Gerardo Enrique ZUMEL
208 Yves MONDON
209 Didier DROUET
210 Qazi Habibul HUQ
211 Abdelltif BELKOUCH - Mohammed Saad BERNAT
212 Stephan MAGNUSSON
213 Jung Jin CHOI
214 John MATHESON - lain SIME
215 Olivier La SPADA
216 Tony J. SAUNDERS - John BURVILLE
217 Amiran KASRADZE - Gocha GOSHADZE
218 Albert FAIGENBAUM - Dominique PILON
$-0,41$
$-0,41$
$-0,41$
$-0,42$
-0,42
-0,44
$-0,45$
$-0,45$
$-0,45$
-0,47
$-0,47$
$-0,47$
$-0,47$
$-0,48$
$-0,49$
$-0,50$
$-0,51$
-0,53
-0,56
-0,56
$-0,56$
-0,58
$-0,58$
$-0,61$
$-0,61$
-0,62
$-0,62$
$-0,63$
$-0,63$
-0,66
$-0,66$
-0,67
-0,68
-0,72
-0,73
-0,76
19 Charly Didier Jean Jacques MAZET - Christian BLAIS ..... -0,77
20 Kyeom Soon LEE ..... 0,79
22 Guy CAMBOURNAC - Chantal CAMBOURNAC ..... 0,83
222 Jean-Pierre BRISON ..... $-0,83$
$-0,84$
224 Derrick HENG - Dennis KOW ..... -0,88
225 Rasim HAMZARAI ..... -0,89
226 Mohamed HISCHMAT - Tarek NADIM ..... $-0,90$
27 Zoraida DIEBOLD - Eduardo ROSEN ..... -0,97
228 Ma . Guadalupe CESPEDES - Gonzalo HERRERA ..... -0,99
229 Angeles QUIMSON ..... -0,99
Alejandro SANCHEZ - Beatriz MARANGUNIC ..... $-1,08$
$-1,10$
231 Alvin FITZPATRICK - Joseph HOWARD232 Chen YEH233 Didier MEDAN
$-1,12$
34 Said Mohamed BERRADA - Khalil BENSOUDA lil BENSOUDA-I,15
335 Nurdin AJANIA - K.V. BHATT ..... $-1,15$
337 Arunas JANKA USKAS - Gediminas POSKA ..... $-1,15$
$-1,16$
238 Craig Cook HUTTON - Rachael Louise GOSLING
39 Pavel MOKRAN - Eva SUCHA ..... $-1,19$
240 Vittorio GOLFARELLI DELLA MASSA - Enzo MONTANELLI ..... -1,20
41 George DANIEL - Modisaotsile DIKGANG$-1,24$
$-1,30$
242 Pedro Paulo ASSUMPCAO ..... $-1,30$
$-1,33$
243 Kamal K SHAH - Rakesh SHARMA ..... $-1,41$
244 Neong Wook SEO ..... $-1,42$
245 Kostandin KAPO$-1,43$
$-1,43$
46 Volica XHUL ..... $-1,44$
248 Armand XHULI$-1,60$
249 Lillian MORGANTI - Ugo MORGANTI ..... $-1,88$

## WOMEN TEAMS FINAL BUTLER

## Sabine AUKEN - Daniela von ARNIM

Nicola SMITH - Sally BROCK
Judi RADIN - Sylvia MOSS
Nevena SENIOR-DELEVA - Heather DHONDY
Nevena SENIOR-DELEVA - Heather DHONDY
Barbara HACKETT (STAWOWY) - Annaig JACQUEMARD
Filiz Uygan ERDOGAN - Hatice OZGUR
Jet PASMAN - Anneke SIMONS
Yi Qian LIU - Wenfei WANG
Victoria GROMOVA - Tatiana PONOMAREVA
Narguis HUSEINALY
II Bep VRIEND - Carla ARNOLDS
12 Bep VRIEND - Carla ARNOLDS
13 Ming SUN - Hongli WANG
14 Regine WANHOI
15 Lusje Olha BOJOH - Julita Grace TUEJE
16 Cecilia RIMSTEDT - Pia ANDERSSON
17 Padma DARYANANI - Morella PACHECO
18 Hulda AHONEN - Pia NURMI
18 Maria Marit RAHELTT - Stense FARHOLT
20 Pony Beate NEHMERT - Ingrid GROMANN
21 Elisabeth HUGON - Sophie DAUVERGNE
22 Maria Eugenia HERNANDEZ - Maria PANADERO
Sheila ADAMSON - Anne MARTIN
Kismet FUNG - Susan CULHAM
Alexandra NIKITINA - Elena KHONICHEVA
Rosemarie A. UNSON
Sue BACKSTROM - Raija KOISTINEN-TUOMI
Tina EGE - Bjorg HOUMOLLER
Blandine De HEREDIA - Anne-Frederique LEVY
Kyoko SHIMAMURA
Y De Morant Claudia POMARES - Vanessa TORIELLI
Gemma TAN
Orsolya HEGEDUS - Agnes ZALAI
Mizuko TAN
Agota MANDELOT - Heloisa NOGUEIRA
Grazyna BREWIAK - Anna SARNIAK
Carmen CAFRANGA-MARTORELL - Ana FRANCES DEVELASCO
Gabriella MANARA - Caterina FERLAZZO
Anne ROSEN - Catherine DRAPER
Setiatin AFRIANI - X HAYATI
Kazuko BANNO
Pascale THUILLEZ - Dominique JEANIN-NALTET
43 Marianne HARDING - Ann Karin FUGLESTAD
44 Therese TULLY - Sue LUSK
45 Veronica GUY - Sam PUNCH
46 Choon Cheng SEET - Yiu Lin CHAN
47 Ze Ying LAM - Yoke Lan TAN
48 Jenny WILKINSON - Shirley NEWTON
49 Carol VAN RENSBURG - Nicola PHILLIPS
50 Marina AMARAL - Giaca PONCIANI
51 Ewa KATER - Ewa KOZYRA
52 Patricia CUMMINS
53 Olga VOROBEYCHIKOVA - Svetlana CHUBAROVA
54 Claudia TINAJERO
55 Mildred BREED - Marinesa LETIZIA
56 Hana KREIDIEH
57 Gu LING - Zhang YALAN
58 Hiroko ONISHI
59 Elena SHOKHAN - Svetlana BODRENKOVA
60 Alexandra ROSADO - Isabel FERREIRA

I Miriam ROSENBERG
Kathrine BERTHEAU-STENSRUD - Catarina MIDSKOG
Aaida ABU JABER
Radmila DAVIDOVIC - Tamara NIKOLIC
65 Jacqueline THOMPSON
66 Leda PAIN - Sylvia Figuei DE MELLO
67 Maria GRONKVIST - Catharina FORSBERG
68 Lily KHALIL
69 Hor Yung Charmian KOO - Yi Pearlie Pui CHAN
70 Samira TEBER - Rokia HAMAMSI
71 Paula LIMA - Ana TADEU
72 Ewa HARASIMOWICZ - Malgorzata PASTERNAK
73 jill KULCHYCKY - Helen CARROLL
74 Danielle MICHAUX
75 Tone-Torkelsen SVENDSEN - Tonje BROGELAND-AASAND

## Saliva AYAD

Maud KHOURY
Carol RICHARDSON - Eileen HORSMAN
Edite KLIDZEJA - Maija ROMANOVSKA
Khaddija MARRAKCHI
Pamela NISBET - Karen CUMPSTONE
Dilek YAVAS - Mine BABAC
Nadia BEKKOUCHE - Trine BINDERKRANTZ
Fatiha BENCHEMSI
Adriana YANEZ
6 Ibolya NYARADI - Gabriella NYARADI
Judy OSIE - Peta BANDUCCI
Nessrine HAMDY - Sophie SARWAT
Elaouad WADIA
Myung Kee PARK - lynryung HWANG
Florence DELEFLIE
Akiko YANAGISAWSA - Megumi TAKASAKI
Georgia MITSI - Polina VELAITOU
Marisa TAGLIAVIA - Maro DEVLETIAN
Gianna ARRIGONI - Gabriella OLIVIERI
Angeliki POLITOU - Anastasia KOTRONAROU
Faith MAYER
Abeda ALI
Consuelo VELHAGEN
100 Maida GRANT - Sheila McDONALD
101 Gail GRELL - Pamela MAPP
102 Ho-Yee SO - Lo-Mei CHANG
103 Regine WANHOI
104 Sherien RYAN
105 Ling-LiWU
106 Shui-YiYANG
107 Kristine BREIVIK - Solvi REMEN
108 Joan KENNY - Eimear JOYCE
109 Christine BOOTH - Mary-Ellen NEWTON
110 Olga BYTSKEVICH - Victoria VOLINA
III Jane CHOO - Greta CHAI
12 Subadra RAO
I3 Ivy SMITH - Elisabeth RABICEW
14 Lakshmi CHARI
15 Rubina AGHA
116 Katalin MEZEI - Szilvia CSIPKA
117 Satyavathi VASANTH
118 Anke WIJMA - Claudia van der SALM
119 Sniedze TALLAT-KELPSA - Ona CESHUNAITE
Ines PIIBELEHT - Maarja ORAS

| 121 | Narguis HUSSEINALI | -0,22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 122 | Diana SMART - Felicity BEALE | -0,23 |
| 123 | Maha HAMADEH | -0,23 |
| 124 | Helen SNASHALL - Sally MURRAY-WHITE | -0,24 |
| 125 | Pilar LEON - Marta ALMIRALL | -0,25 |
| 126 | Victoria EGAN | -0,26 |
| 127 | Nele PETRYLIENE - Lina VRUBLIAUSKIENE | -0,26 |
| 128 | Huda AL SAKET | -0,27 |
| 129 | Nancy LIRA | -0,28 |
| 130 | Mais AL BITAR | -0,30 |
| 131 | Kyunghae SUNG - Sooja KWON | -0,31 |
| 132 | Christiane THIRION | -0,31 |
| 133 | Margarita ROSENBERG | -0,31 |
| 134 | Pat HOWARD - Denise JOSA | -0,31 |
| 135 | Eleni TSIRIKOU - Sophie LAMBRINOU | -0,32 |
| 136 | Chantal BISTOQUET | -0,34 |
| 137 | Jeannie FITZGERALD - Ena CLEARY | -0,35 |
| 138 | Janis BETINIS - Ildze STRAUME | -0,35 |
| 139 | Jennifer Ann GAUTSCHI - Peta Lynn BALDERSON | -0,36 |
| 140 | Belis ATALAY - Irem OZBAY | -0,37 |
| 141 | Lisa DE MEILLAC - Deborah FLETCHER | -0,39 |
| 142 | Ana Maria De ALONSO | -0,40 |
| 143 | Chin-Hsien SUNG | -0,40 |
| 144 | Jane LIIVAK - Triinu VIILUP | -0,42 |
| 145 | Zeenat AZWER | -0,42 |
| 146 | Aleksandra DJORDJEVIC - Ana Zorana BRAJOVIC | -0,43 |
| 147 | Monica Angeleri DE BALDASSARRE | -0,44 |
| 148 | Martine LACROIX - Pascale GAUDREAULT | -0,45 |
| 149 | Bimal SICKA - Hema DEORA | -0,46 |
| 150 | May Abu GHARBIEH | -0,47 |
| 151 | Anabella OLIVEIRA - Teresa KAY | -0,48 |
| 152 | Nan COLLINS | -0,48 |
| 153 | Malak AL GHUSSAIN - Noura KANAAN | -0,48 |
| 154 | Larisa KUZNETSOVA - Zoya BELIANKINA | -0,49 |
| 155 | Zaneta PISARSKIENE - Jurgita ROTOMSKIENE | -0,52 |
| 156 | Jeanine MOERS | -0,53 |
| 157 | Jany GRENIE | -0,53 |

## SENIOR TEAMS FINAL BUTLER

|  | Eddy M F MANOPPO - Henky LASUT | 1,37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Ed ZALUSKI - Douglas FRASER | 1,14 |
| 3 | Russ EKEBLAD - Matt GRANOVETTER | 1,09 |
| 4 | Jacek ROMANSKI - Apolinary KOWALSKI | 0,82 |
| 5 | Kyoko OHNO - Akihiko YAMADA | 0,79 |
| 6 | Sam LEV - Bill EISENBERG | 0,78 |
| 7 | Terry BROWN - Avi KANETKAR | 0,76 |
| 8 | Peter CZERNIEWSKI - Ross HARPER | 0,69 |
| 9 | Giovanni MACI - Enrico LONGINOTTI | 0,67 |
| 10 | David LILLEY - Zoltan NAGY | 0,65 |
| 11 | Ramamurthy SRIDHARAN -Vinay Mohan LAL | 0,62 |
| 12 | Reese MILNER - Grant BAZE | 0,58 |
| 13 | Paul LAVINGS - Robert KROCHMALIK | 0,56 |
| 14 | Stefan KOWALCZYK - Jan SUCHARKIEWICZ | 0,56 |
| 15 | Amr El ASKALANI - Marwan KHEDR | 0,50 |
| 16 | Shikang LIU | 0,50 |
| 17 | Edward LIN | 0,48 |
| 18 | David PRICE - Colin SIMPSON | 0,48 |
| 19 | Masayuki INO - Hiroya ABE | 0,48 |
| 20 | Ching-Yu CHANG | 0,47 |
| 21 | Mihaly KOVACS | 0,44 |
| 22 | Guy LASSERRE - Serge ROUQUILLAUD | 0,42 |
| 23 | Herbert KLUMPP - Karl-Heinz KAISER | 0,40 |
| 24 | Robyn FREEMAN-GREENE - Tony LENART | 0,39 |
| 25 | Moise PEREZ - Gaston MEJANE | 0,38 |
| 26 | Hassan JAWAD | 0,38 |
| 27 | Wael WATTAR - Mohsen Mohamed KAMEL | 0,37 |
| 28 | Yoshiyuki NAKAMURA - Makoto HIRATA | 0,36 |
| 29 | Serge-Claude BERGHEIMER - Simon MAGNIS | 0,35 |
| 30 | Ferdinand Robert WALUYAN - Denny SACUL | 0,33 |
| 31 | Miklos DUMBOVICH | 0,30 |
| 32 | Chi-Cheung TSAI | 0,30 |
| 33 | Stephen BROWN - Jurek CZYZOWICZ | 0,28 |
| 34 | Shien-Chu LIN | 0,27 |
| 35 | Onno JANSSENS - Willem BOEGEM | 0,26 |
| 36 | Robert C LIU | 0,25 |
| 37 | Rashidul GHAZI | 0,24 |
| 38 | Joao Carlos MULLER | 0,22 |
| 39 | Vincent Hoi Yuen LI - Ashley LUNG | 0,21 |
| 40 | Michael BERNSTEIN - Paul REYNOLDS | 0,21 |
| 41 | Akhtar ZAIDI | 0,18 |
| 42 | Alain KAPLAN - Faramarz BIGDELI | 0,17 |
| 43 | David JOHNSON - Jacques STAS | 0,17 |
| 44 | Jaap TROUWBORST - Nico DOREMANS | 0,12 |
| 45 | Peter MAGYAR - Geza SZAPPANOS | 0,12 |
| 46 | Pat BARRY - David A JACKSON | 0,07 |
| 47 | Gloria MENG | 0,04 |
| 48 | Leif TRAPP - Olle AXNE | 0,03 |
| 49 | Erwin OTVOSI | 0,02 |
| 50 | Christopher DIXON - Victor SILVERSTONE | 0,01 |
| 51 | Daniel CHANG | 0,01 |
| 52 | Jaan LINNAMAGI - Jaak SIMM | 0,01 |
| 53 | Roman KIERZNOWSKI - Ireneusz KOWALCZYK | 0,00 |
| 54 | Flemming DAHL - Georg NORRIS | -0,05 |
| 55 | Ulrich KRATZ - Bernhard STRATER | -0,08 |

