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Bulletin 6 - Thursday, 9 - Friday 10 October 2008

## INTO THE HOME STRETCH



Journalists at work in the Press Room
Only one day of qualifying is left in the Open,Women's and Seniors competitions, and many teams remain in contention for qualifying spots. Only a few appear to be locks for the knockout phases of the respective events.
The Open and Women's series have three matches to play, the Seniors two. Italy, the defenders in the Open, have a substantial lead in Group A and seem bound for qualification. In the Women's series, defending champion Russia is just barely in qualifying position so must have a strong showing on the final day to assure themselves of a chance to repeat.
The German women continued a remarkable run with two more scores of 25 victory points yesterday, running their streak of VP maximums to seven straight matches.

NOTE:There will be no Daily Bulletin on Friday.
 Friday's Schedule
II.00 Senior Teams Round 14
II.00 Open -Women Teams, Round 15
14.20 Senior Teams, Round 15
14.20 Open -Women Teams, Round 16
17.10 Open -Women Teams, Round 17


## OPEN TEAMS



| ROUND 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROUP A | GROUP B |  | GROUP C |  | GROUP D |  |
| I Finland Canada | I Mexico | India | I Bulgaria | Bangladesh | I Greece | Switzerland |
| 2 Japan Trinidad \& Tobago | 2 Netherlands | Portugal | 2 Chile | New Zealand | 2 Reunion | Venezuela |
| 3 Pakistan Albania | 3 Sweden | Argentina | 3 Singapore | Morocco | 3 England | Belarus |
| 4 France Italy | 4 San Marino | China Hong Kong | 4 Bosnia | Belgium | 4 Turkey | Germany |
| 5 Bye Ireland | 5 French Polynesia | Israel | 5 Bermuda | Spain | 5 Botswana | Serbia |
| 6 Estonia Denmark | 6 Austria | Latvia | 6 Norway | Iceland | 6 Thailand | Indonesia |
| 7 South Africa Romania | 7 China | Jamaica | 7 Poland | Chinese Taipei | 7 Jordan | Lithuania |
| 8 Kenya Brazil | 8 Hungary | Scotland | 8 Georgia | Guadeloupe | 8 USA | Lebanon |
| 9 Slovakia China Macau | 9 Russia | Korea | 9 Egypt | Ukraine | 9 Philippines | Australia |

## ROUND 16

| GROUP A |  | GROUP B |  | GROUP C |  | GROUP D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I Estonia | Kenya | I Austria | Hungary | I Norway | Georgia | I Thailand | USA |
| 2 Brazil | South Africa | 2 Scotland | China | 2 Guadeloupe | Poland | 2 Lebanon | Jordan |
| 3 Romania | Slovakia | 3 Jamaica | Russia | 3 Chinese Taipei | Egypt | 3 Lithuania | Philippines |
| 4 China Macau | Bye | 4 Korea | French Polynesia | 4 Ukraine | Bermuda | 4 Australia | Botswana |
| 5 Ireland | France | 5 Israel | San Marino | 5 Spain | Bosnia | 5 Serbia | Turkey |
| 6 Italy | Pakistan | 6 China Hong Kong | Sweden | 6 Belgium | Singapore | 6 Germany | England |
| 7 Denmark | Canada | 7 Latvia | India | 7 Iceland | Bangladesh | 7 Indonesia | Switzerland |
| 8 Trinidad \& Tobago | Finland | 8 Portugal | Mexico | 8 New Zealand | Bulgaria | 8 Venezuela | Greece |
| 9 Albania | Japan | 9 Argentina | Netherlands | 9 Morocco | Chile | 9 Belarus | Reunion |

ROUND 17

| GROUP A |  | GROUP B |  |  | GROUP C |  |  | GROUP D |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I Canada | Trinidad \& Tobago | I | India | Portugal |  | Bangladesh | New Zealand |  | Switzerland | Venezuela |
| 2 Finland | Albania | 2 | Mexico | Argentina | 2 | Bulgaria | Morocco | 2 | Greece | Belarus |
| 3 Japan | Italy | 3 | Netherlands | China Hong Kong | 3 | Chile | Belgium | 3 | Reunion | Germany |
| 4 Pakistan | Ireland | 4 | Sweden | Israel | 4 | Singapore | Spain |  | England | Serbia |
| 5 France | China Macau | 5 | San Marino | Korea | 5 | Bosnia | Ukraine |  | Turkey | Australia |
| 6 Bye | Romania |  | French Polynesia | Jamaica | 6 | Bermuda | Chinese Taipei |  | Botswana | Lithuania |
| 7 Slovakia | Brazil | 7 | Russia | Scotland | 7 | Egypt | Guadeloupe |  | Philippines | Lebanon |
| 8 Kenya | Denmark | 8 | Hungary | Latvia | 8 | Georgia | Iceland |  | USA | Indonesia |
| 9 South Africa | Estonia | 9 | China | Austria | 9 | Poland | Norway |  | Jordan | Thailand |

## World Transnational Mixed Teams



The registration desk for the Transnational Mixed Teams is by the Line Up Desk on the 4th floor at the CNCC. The opening hours will be:
11.00 - 13.00 hours 14.20 - 16.00 hours $\quad 17.10-19.00$ hours

From today through Sunday, players seeking partners and/or teammates may put their names on the
lists at the desk, leaving contact details (hotel and room number or cell phone) so that they can be con-
tacted by others wishing to play.
If you already have a complete team, please register their names at the Line Up Desk - we need to have a paper with :

- the team name - the players' names (first name - last name) • country

Please print everything in block capitals.
Please register your team as soon as possible so that we can have some idea of the number of teams. Teams will be accepted as long as we have sufficient space.

## WOMEN TEAMS



ROUND I5

| GROUP E |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I India Italy <br> 2 Japan Palestine <br> 3 Thailand Poland <br> 4 England USA <br> 5 Guadeloupe Brazil <br> 6 Egypt Portugal <br> 7 Trinidad Norway <br> 8 China Hong Kong Reunion <br> 9 Belarus Lithuania${ }^{2}$ |  |  |


| GROUP F |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | China | Denmark |
| 2 | Australia | France |
| 3 | Serbia | Finland |
| 4 | Philippines | Canada |
| 5 | Kenya | Russia |
| 6 | Estonia | Scotland |
| 7 | Spain | Bermuda |
| 8 | Venezuela | Indonesia |
| 9 | Argentina | Jordan |


| CROUP C |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Hungary | Ireland |
| 2 | Pakistan | Barbados |
| 3 | Turkey | Netherlands |
| 4 | Latvia | Korea |
| 5 | South Africa | Greece |
| 6 | Morocco | Mexico |
| 7 | Sweden | Germany |
| 8 | Singapore | Jamaica |
| 9 | New Zealand | Chinese Taipei |


| ROUND 16 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROUP E | GROUP F |  | GROUP G |  |
| I Egypt China Hong Kong | \| Estonia | Venezuela | \| Morocco | Singapore |
| 2 Reunion Trinidad | 2 Indonesia | Spain | 2 Jamaica | Sweden |
| 3 Norway Belarus | 3 Bermuda | Argentina | 3 Germany | New Zealand |
| 4 Lithuania Guadeloupe | 4 Jordan | Kenya | 4 Chinese Taipei | South Africa |
| 5 Brazil England | 5 Russia | Philippines | 5 Greece | Latvia |
| 6 USA Thailand | 6 Canada | Serbia | 6 Korea | Turkey |
| 7 Portugal Italy | 7 Scotland | Denmark | 7 Mexico | Ireland |
| 8 Palestine India | 8 France | China | 8 Barbados | Hungary |
| 9 Poland Japan | 9 Finland | Australia | 9 Netherlands | Pakistan |

## ROUND 17

| GROUP E |  | GROUP F |  | GROUP G |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I Italy | Palestine | I Denmark | France | I Ireland | Barbados |
| 2 India | Poland | 2 China | Finland | 2 Hungary | Netherlands |
| 3 Japan | USA | 3 Australia | Canada | 3 Pakistan | Korea |
| 4 Thailand | Brazil | 4 Serbia | Russia | 4 Turkey | Greece |
| 5 England | Lithuania | 5 Philippines | Jordan | 5 Latvia | Chinese Taipei |
| 6 Guadeloupe | Norway | 6 Kenya | Bermuda | 6 South Africa | Germany |
| 7 Belarus | Reunion | 7 Argentina | Indonesia | 7 New Zealand | Jamaica |
| 8 China Hong Kong | Portugal | 8 Venezuela | Scotland | 8 Singapore | Mexico |
| 9 Trinidad | Egypt | 9 Spain | Estonia | 9 Sweden | Morocco |

## WBF Meeting of Congress

Thursday 09 October 2008-10.30 am



The WBF Meeting of Congress will be held on Thursday 09 October at 10.30 am in the Auditorium (Vu-Graph Theatre) at the Beijing Intercontinental Beichen Hotel.

The meeting will be followed by a cocktail hosted by the President of the WBF to which all delegates are invited.

## WORLD <br> INDIVIDUAL MASTERS

October 9 / 10.30 Session 2
October 9 / 10.30 Session 3

## Photo Correction

The photo of the Turkish player on page 19 of Daily Bulletin No. 5 was of Suleyman Kolata, not Ismail Kandemir. Players should note that in many cases, photo identifications are made from the lineup cards.

## SENIOR TEAMS



| ROUND 14 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROUP K |  | GROUP L |  |  |
| I USA | Chinese Taipei | 1 | Thailand | Belgium |
| 2 Wales | Kenya | 2 | Netherlands | Finland |
| 3 Sweden | Hungary |  |  | Canada |
| 4 Japan | France |  | Indonesia | Ireland |
| 5 Brazil | England |  | Germany | Australia |
| 6 New Zealand | South Africa |  |  | Poland |
| 7 Denmark | Pakistan |  | China | India |
| 8 Estonia | China Hong Kong |  | Guadeloupe | Reunion |

## ROUND 15

|  | GROUP K |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | South Africa | Denmark |
| 2 | Pakistan | Estonia |
| 3 | China Hong Kong | Brazil |
| 4 | England | Japan |
| 5 | France | Sweden |
| 6 | Hungary | Wales |
| 7 | Chinese Taipei | New Zealand |
| 8 | Kenya | USA |


| GROUP L |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Poland | China |
| 2 | India | Guadeloupe |
| 3 | Reunion | Germany |
| 4 | Australia | Indonesia |
| 5 | Ireland | Italy |
| 6 | Canada | Netherlands |
| 7 | Belgium | Egypt |
| 8 | Finland | Thailand |

## Today's Coverage

ROUND 15 - 11.00

| France - Italy (O) |
| :--- |
| Netherlands - Portugal (O) |
| Turkey - Germany (O) |
| China - Denmark (W) |
| USA - Lebanon (O) |
| Sweden - Germany (W) |
| ROUND 16-14.20 |

Germany - England (O)
Brazil - South Africa (O)
New Zealand - Bulgaria (O)
France - Sweden (S - I5th Round)
Chinese Taipei - Egypt (O)
France - China (W)
ROUND 17-14.20

| To be Decided | VG |
| :--- | ---: |
| To be Decided | BBO |
| To be Decided | BBO |
| To be Decided | BBO |
| China - Austria (O) | OurGames |
| Netherlands - China Hong Kong (O) OurGames |  |

## Championship Diary



There is plenty of TV coverage of the World Mind Sports Games, and on Tuesday night CCTV5 featured extensive coverage of Chess, Go, Draughts and Chinese Chess. There was also a long interview with the women's World Chess Champion, Alexandra Kosteniuk, already the winner of a Gold medal in Beijing.

In a spare moment I posed this question:What should you do as a player if you go into the playing area and notice that one of your opponents has a mobile phone in her handbag?
These were some of the suggestions:
'Ring her.'
'Politely point it out to her.'
'Point it out to a Director.'
'Point it out to her....as a Director is passing.'
Talking of mobile phones, England's Peter Czerniewski, on hearing this story, produced his new Apple iPod phone, pointing out that he had not yet worked out how to turn it off. Like many modern phones, it has a large screen, and when he showed it to Germany's Pony Nehmert she remarked, 'How useful, you can use it as a mirror!'

There are six bridge events - as far as we can see only three countries are represented in all of them - USA, Netherlands and Poland.

Just when you think you must know every rule and maxim in the game, you discover a new one - such as US expert Bart Bramley's Rule -'If you lead bad, you should bid.'

Everyone will be looking for souvenirs to take home from Beijing. How about something specific to these Mind Sports Games? You will find them on sale on the 2nd floor of the BICC Building.


## OPEN TEAMS RESULTS

| GROUP A |  |  |  |  |  | GROUP B |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Match |  | IMP's | VP's |  |  | Ma |  | IMP's | VP's |
| I | Japan | Finland | 17-52 | 7-23 |  | 1 | Netherlands | Mexico | 70-28 | 25-5 |
| 2 | Pakistan | Canada | 36-60 | 9-21 |  | 2 | Sweden | India | 60-39 | 20-10 |
| 3 | France | Trinidad and Tobago | 69-6 | 25-2 |  | 3 | San Marino | Portugal | 51-45 | 16-14 |
| 4 | Brazil | Denmark | 43-23 | 20-10 |  | 4 | Scotland | Latvia | 15-53 | 6-24 |
| 5 | Slovakia | Italy | 16-99 | 0-25 |  | 5 | Russia | China Hong Kong | 60-28 | 23-7 |
| 6 | South Africa | Ireland | 11-22 | 13-17 |  | 6 | China | Israel | 22-30 | 13-17 |
| 7 | Kenya | China Macau | 30-34 | 14-16 | $\underline{\square}$ | 7 | Hungary | Korea | 63-31 | 23-7 |
| 8 | Estonia | Romania | 54-14 | 24-6 |  | 8 | Austria | Jamaica | 58-53 | 16-14 |
| 9 | BYE | Albania | 0-0 | 0-18 | 0 | 9 | French Polynesia | Argentina | 25-45 | 10-20 |
| GROUP C |  |  |  |  | 5 | GROUP D |  |  |  |  |
|  | Match |  | IMP's | VP's | $0$ | Match |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| I | Chile | Bulgaria | 19-85 | 1-25 |  | 1 | Reunion | Greece | 29-88 | 2-25 |
| 2 | Singapore | Bangladesh | 53-76 | 10-20 |  | 2 | England | Switzerland | 57-34 | 20-10 |
| 3 | Bosnia \& Herzegovina | New Zealand | 5-69 | 2-25 |  | 3 | Turkey | Venezuela | 93-21 | 25-0 |
| 4 | Guadeloupe | Iceland | 24-36 | 12-18 |  | 4 | Lebanon | Indonesia | 27-61 | 7-23 |
| 5 | Egypt | Belgium | 45-46 | 15-15 |  | 5 | Philippines | Germany | 23-61 | 6-24 |
| 6 | Poland | Spain | 42-19 | 20-10 |  | 6 | Jordan | Serbia | 42-58 | 11-19 |
| 7 | Georgia | Ukraine | 65-42 | 20-10 |  | 7 | USA | Australia | 32-39 | 14-16 |
| 8 | Norway | Chinese Taipei | 57-15 | 25-5 |  | 8 | Thailand | Lithuania | 41-49 | 13-17 |
| 9 | Bermuda | Morocco | 66-74 | 13-17 |  | 9 | Botswana | Belarus | 55-27 | 22-8 |
|  | GROUP A |  |  |  |  | GROUP B |  |  |  |  |
|  | Match |  | IMP's | VP's |  | Match |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| I | Brazil | Estonia | 9-21 | 25-4 |  | I | Scotland | Austria | 29-22 | 16-14 |
| 2 | Romania | Kenya 9 | 1-0 | 25-0 |  | 2 | Jamaica | Hungary | 23-48 | 9-21 |
| 3 | China Macau | South Africa | -33 | 15-15 |  | 3 | Korea | China | 25-68 | 5-25 |
| 4 | Ireland | Slovakia | -30 | $17-13$ |  | 4 | Israel | Russia | 51-7 | 25-5 |
| 5 | Denmark | Finland 3 | 2-22 | $17-13$ |  | 5 | Latvia | Mexico | 45-38 | 16-14 |
| 6 | Albania | France | 0-85 | 0-25 |  | 6 | Argentina | San Marino | 49-36 | 18-12 |
| 7 | Trinidad and Tobago | Pakistan 2 | - 91 | 1-25 | $\pm$ | 7 | Portugal | Sweden | 56-52 | 16-14 |
| 8 | Canada | Japan | 6-3 | 25-2 |  | 8 | India | Netherlands | 36-36 | 15-15 |
| 9 | Italy | BYE | -0 | 18-0 | 0 | 9 | China Hong Kong | French Polynesia | 53-12 | 24-6 |
| GROUP C |  |  |  |  |  | GROUP D |  |  |  |  |
|  | Match |  | IMP's | VP's | $0$ | Match |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| 1 | Guadeloupe | Norway | 54-46 | 17-13 |  | I | Lebanon | Thailand | 31-56 | 9-21 |
| 2 | Chinese Taipei | Georgia | 35-53 | 11-19 |  | 2 | Lithuania | USA | 27-41 | 12-18 |
| 3 | Ukraine | Poland | 69-45 | 21-9 |  | 3 | Australia | Jordan | 12-39 | 9-21 |
| 4 | Spain | Egypt | 67-10 | 25-3 |  | 4 | Serbia | Philippines | 66-18 | 25-4 |
| 5 | Iceland | Bulgaria | 19-63 | 5-25 |  | 5 | Indonesia | Greece | 20-56 | 7-23 |
| 6 | Morocco | Bosnia and Herzegovin | -26-54 | 8-22 |  | 6 | Belarus | Turkey | 32-54 | 10-20 |
| 7 | New Zealand | Singapore | 52-34 | 19-11 |  | 7 | Venezuela | England | 22-55 | 7-23 |
| 8 | Bangladesh | Chile | 26-40 | 12-18 |  | 8 | Switzerland | Reunion | 54-18 | 23-7 |
| 9 | Belgium | Bermuda | 64-21 | 25-5 |  | 9 | Germany | Botswana | 75-11 | 25-2 |

## CALLING IBPA MEMBERS!



IBPA is 50 years old! A celebration dinner has been arranged for Saturday, Ilth October at 8.45 pm . in the Churrascos Grill, which is in the lobby of the Crown Plaza Hotel. "Spouses" are welcome. The subsidised cost is 200RMB payable in advanced to Jan Swaan or Maureen Dennison. The price covers meat and seafood grill, a salad and desert buffet and includes beer and soft drinks, taxes and service. Please register before 2.10pm on Saturday.

## Programme correction

In the World Youth Teams Championship history in the programme of the 2008 championships, the silver medal team is correctly noted as Latvia, but the list of players is in error. The second-place team from 2006 was Jutijs Balasous, Janis Bethers, Peteris Bethers, Adrians Imsa and Martins Lounes, with Aivar Tihane as non-playing captain.

## Thanks from Scotland



Queen Margaret University

The Scottish women's bridge team would like to thank Queen Margaret University in Edinburgh for its support (www.qmu.ac.uk).

WOMEN TEAMS RESULIS

## SENIOR TEAMS RESULIS

| GROUP E-ROUND 13 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| I | Japan | India | 51-34 | 19-11 |
| 2 | Thailand | Italy | 18-86 | 1-25 |
| 3 | England | Palestine | 95-19 | 25-0 |
| 4 | Reunion | Portugal | 30-76 | 5-25 |
| 5 | Belarus | USA | 21-41 | 10-20 |
| 6 | Trinidad and Tobago | Brazil | 37-45 | 13-17 |
| 7 | China Hong Kong | Lithuania | 50-33 | 19-11 |
| 8 | Egypt | Norway | 50-51 | 15-15 |
| 9 | Guadeloupe | Poland | 13-46 | 7-23 |


| GROUP F - ROUND |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  |  |  |  |
| I | Australia | China | IMP's | VP's |
| 2 | Serbia | Denmark | $48-41$ | $16-14$ |
| 3 | Philippines | France | $28-55$ | $9-21$ |
| 4 | Indonesia | Scotland | $36-57$ | $10-20$ |
| 5 | Argentina | Canada | $19-73$ | $3-25$ |
| 6 | Spain | Russia | $37-60$ | $10-20$ |
| 7 | Venezuela | Jordan | $17-91$ | $0-25$ |
| 8 | Estonia | Bermuda | $92-35$ | $25-3$ |
| 9 | Kenya | Finland | $59-27$ | $23-7$ |


| GROUP G - ROUND 13 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Match |  | IMP's | VP's |
| 1 | Pakistan | Hungary | 23-76 | 3-25 |
| 2 | Turkey | Ireland | 88-29 | 25-2 |
| 3 | Latvia | Barbados | 41-49 | 13-17 |
| 4 | Jamaica | Mexico | 45-51 | 14-16 |
| 5 | New Zealand | Korea | 53-18 | 23-7 |
| 6 | Sweden | Greece | 51-21 | 22-8 |
| 7 | Singapore | Chinese Taipei | 49-52 | 14-16 |
| 8 | Morocco | Germany | 17-92 | 0-25 |
| 9 | South Africa | Netherlands | 26-29 | 14-16 |


| GROUP K - ROUND 12 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Match |  | IMP's | VP's |
| I | Wales | USA | $19-85$ | $1-25$ |
| 2 | Sweden | Chinese Taipei | $54-83$ | $8-22$ |
| 3 | Japan | Kenya | $82-34$ | $25-4$ |
| 4 | New Zealand | Pakistan | $19-66$ | $4-25$ |
| 5 | Estonia | France | $8-59$ | $4-25$ |
| 6 | Denmark | England | $36-70$ | $7-23$ |
| 7 | South Africa | China Hong Kong | $71-42$ | $22-8$ |
| 8 | Brazil | Hungary | $57-59$ | $15-15$ |



| GROUP L - ROUND I2 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  |  |  |  |
| I | Netherlands | Thailand | IMP's | VP's |
| 2 | Italy | Belgium | $57-32$ | $21-9$ |
| 3 | Indonesia | Finland | $19-49$ | $8-22$ |
| 4 | Egypt | India | $58-32$ | $21-9$ |
| 5 | Guadeloupe | Ireland | $48-43$ | $16-14$ |
| 6 | China | Australia | $11-80$ | $1-25$ |
| 7 | Poland | Reunion | $39-40$ | $15-15$ |
| 8 | Germany | Canada | $52-31$ | $20-10$ |


| GROUP E-ROUND 14 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| 1 | Reunion | Egypt | 42-34 | 17-13 |
| 2 | Norway | China Hong Kong | 42-56 | 12-18 |
| 3 | Lithuania | Trinidad and Tobago | 13-49 | 7-23 |
| 4 | Brazil | Belarus | 37-28 | 17-13 |
| 5 | Portugal | India | 56-28 | 22-8 |
| 6 | Poland | England | 40-51 | 13-17 |
| 7 | Palestine | Thailand | 21-24 | 14-16 |
| 8 | Italy | Japan | 29-44 | 12-18 |
| 9 | USA | Guadeloupe | 84-12 | 25-0 |


| GROUP K - ROUND 13 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Match |  | IMP's | VP's |
| 1 | Pakistan | South Africa | 62-30 | 23-7 |
| 2 | China Hong Kong | Denmark | 38-35 | 16-14 |
| 3 | England | Estonia | 52-46 | 16-14 |
| 4 | France | Brazil | 38-22 | 19-11 |
| 5 | USA | New Zealand | 32-38 | 14-16 |
| 6 | Kenya | Sweden | 31-80 | 4-25 |
| 7 | Chinese Taipei | Wales | 62-15 | 25-4 |
| 8 | Hungary | Japan | 32-39 | 14-16 |



| GROUP G - ROUND 14 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Match |  | IMP's | VP's |
| 1 | Jamaica | Morocco | 44-66 | 10-20 |
| 2 | Germany | Singapore | 69-19 | 25-4 |
| 3 | Chinese Taipei | Sweden | 53-16 | 24-6 |
| 4 | Greece | New Zealand | 35-39 | 14-16 |
| 5 | Mexico | Hungary | 88-16 | 25-0 |
| 6 | Netherlands | Latvia | 67-3 | 25-2 |
| 7 | Barbados | Turkey | 52-54 | 15-15 |
| 8 | Ireland | Pakistan | 37-35 | 15-15 |
|  | Korea | South Africa | 36-48 | 12-18 |

## OPEN SERIES RANKING AFTER I4 ROUNDS

| GROUP A |  | GROUP B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I Italy | 290.00 | I Israel | 291.00 |
| 2 Estonia | 248.00 | 2 Netherlands | 264.00 |
| 3 Denmark | 242.50 | 3 China | 257.00 |
| 4 Canada | 241.50 | 4 Hungary | 256.00 |
| France | 241.50 | 5 India | 247.00 |
| 6 Brazil | 237.00 | 6 Portugal | 232.50 |
| 7 Romania | 233.50 | 7 Austria | 229.00 |
| 8 South Africa | 232.00 | 8 Sweden | 226.00 |
| 9 Ireland |  | 9 Argentina | 225.00 |
| 10 Finland | 224.00 | 10 Russia | 218.00 |
| II Pakistan |  | II Latvia | 214.00 |
| 12 Japan | 182.00 | 12 China Hong Kong | 197.00 |
| 13 China Macau | 179.00 |  |  |
| 14 Slovakia | 176.00 | 15 Mexico | 135.00 |
| 15 Trinidad \& Tobago | 144.00 | 16 Jamaica | 132.00 |
| 16 Kenya | 137.00 | 17 French Polynesia | 131.50 |
| 17 Albania | 113.50 | 18 Korea | 97.00 |


| GROUP C |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| I Norway | 276.50 |
| 2 Poland | 276.00 |
| 3 Bulgaria | 248.00 |
| 4 Spain | 238.00 |
| 5 New Zealand | 236.00 |
| 6 Guadeloupe | 234.00 |
| 7 Belgium | 226.00 |
| 8 Ukraine | 217.75 |
| 9 Chinese Taipei | 209.00 |
| 10 Iceland | 200.50 |
| II Egypt | 198.00 |
| 12 Bangladesh | 196.00 |
| 13 Bosnia \& Herzegovina | 195.50 |
| 14 Georgia | 190.00 |
| 15 Bermuda | 161.50 |
| 16 Chile | 160.00 |
| 17 Singapore | 146.00 |
| 18 Morocco | 112.75 |


| GROUP D |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Germany | 298.00 |
| 2 | USA | 275.00 |
| 3 | England | 268.00 |
| 4 | Turkey | 267.00 |
| 5 | Indonesia | 258.00 |
| 6 | Greece | 225.00 |
| 7 | Thailand | 220.00 |
| 8 | Lebanon | 214.00 |
| 9 | Belarus | 208.00 |
| IO Jordan | 206.00 |  |
| II Serbia | 198.00 |  |
| I2 Australia | 193.50 |  |
| I3 Switzerland | 188.00 |  |
| I4 Philippines | 172.00 |  |
| I5 Reunion | 155.00 |  |
| I6 Lithuania | 141.00 |  |
| I7 Botswana | 133.50 |  |
| I8 Venezuela | 103.10 |  |

## WOMEN SERIES RANKING AFTER 14 ROUNDS

| GROUP E |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| I England | 296.00 |
| 2 USA | 281.00 |
| 3 Italy | 246.00 |
| 4 Poland | 243.00 |
| 5 Japan | 242.00 |
| 6 Brazil | 230.00 |
| 7 Norway | 218.00 |
| 8 China Hong Kong | 217.00 |
| 9 Belarus | 207.25 |
| IO Egypt | 196.00 |
| II Portugal | 194.00 |
| I2 India | 189.50 |
| I3 Lithuania | 179.00 |
| $\quad$ Trinidad \& Tobago | 179.00 |
| I5 Reunion | 158.50 |
| I6 Palestine | 154.00 |
| I6 Guadeloupe | 154.00 |
| I8 Thailand | 145.00 |


| GROUP F |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| I China | 268.50 |
| 2 Finland | 261.00 |
| 3 France | 260.00 |
| 4 Denmark | 252.00 |
| 5 Russia | 248.00 |
| 5 Spain | 248.00 |
| 7 Scotland | 241.00 |
| 8 Venezuela | 228.00 |
| 9 Canada | 227.00 |
| IO Australia | 226.00 |
| II Indonesia | 219.50 |
| I2 Philippines | 211.00 |
| I3 Estonia | 175.00 |
| 14 Serbia | 166.00 |
| I5 Argentina | 150.00 |
| 16 Jordan | 143.00 |
| I7 Bermuda | 107.00 |
| 18 Kenya | 67.00 |

## GROUP G

| I Germany | 312.00 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 Netherlands | 264.00 |
| 3 Turkey | 235.00 |
| 4 Sweden | 233.00 |
| 5 Hungary | 228.50 |
| 6 Morocco | 221.00 |
| $\quad$ South Africa | 221.00 |
| 8 Singapore | 216.00 |
| 9 Mexico | 214.00 |
| IO Chinese Taipei | 209.00 |
| II New Zealand | 195.00 |
| I2 Greece | 191.00 |
| I3 Ireland | 190.00 |
| I4 Barbados | 185.00 |
| I5 Korea | 172.00 |
| I6 Latvia | 165.00 |
| I7 Pakistan | 163.00 |
| I8 Jamaica | 108.50 |

## SENIOR SERIES RANKING AFTER I3 ROUNDS

## GROUP K

| I USA | 263.00 | Sweden | 185.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 Japan | 251.00 | IO South Africa | 182.00 |
| 3 England | 221.00 | II Estonia | 178.00 |
| France | 221.00 | I2 New Zealand | 177.00 |
| 5 Chinese Taipei | 218.50 | 13 Denmark | 174.50 |
| 6 | Pakistan | 208.50 | I4 Brazil |
| 7 Hungary | 201.00 | 15 Wales | 163.00 |
| 8 | China Hong Kong | 185.00 | 16 Kenya |

## GROUP L

| I Indonesia | 262.00 | 9 India | 185.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 Australia | 260.00 | IO Italy | 184.00 |
| 3 Poland | 234.50 | II Ireland | 178.50 |
| 4 Canada | 228.00 | I2 Belgium | 176.50 |
| 5 Egypt | 224.00 | 13 Finland | 154.50 |
| 6 Germany | 201.00 | I4 Guadeloupe | 145.00 |
| 7 Netherlands | 195.00 | 15 Thailand | 123.00 |
| 8 China | 192.50 | 16 Reunion | 121.00 |

## SENIOR TEAMS R11

## South Africa v France

## David \& Goliath

## by Mark Horton

France, with 106,087 registered members, the largest of all the European countries, took on South Africa - which, although geographically approximately twice the size, has only 3,762 members.

| Board I. Dealer North. None Vul. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 109876 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 32$ |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ J 5 |  |  |  |
| - K 62 |  |  |  |
| , Q | N |  | - J 542 |
| $\bigcirc$ AKJ 7 | W E |  | Q 1054 |
| $\checkmark$ AK942 |  |  |  |
| - 754 | $S$ |  |  |
|  | ¢ AK3 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 986$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 107 |  |  |
|  | \& Q 1083 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lasserre | Reynolds | Rouquillaud | Bernstein |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 48 | All Pass |

South cashed the king of spades and switched to a club. Declarer won with the ace and played three rounds of diamonds, ruffing with the ten of hearts. When the suit divided he drew trumps and claimed ten tricks, +420 .


Serge Rouquillaud, France

Not much chance of a swing there you might have thought....

## Closed Room

| West <br> Naran | North <br> Perez | East <br> Buratovich | South <br> Mejane |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | Pass | 15 |
| $1 \diamond$ | $1 \$$ | Dble | Pass |
| $2 \triangleleft$ | All Pass |  |  |

When West could only summon up a modest Two Hearts, East had no reason to advance. The same ten tricks gave France 6 easily earned IMPs.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

## - 83

- K 1063
$\diamond 32$
\& 87653

| N |  | -105 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W | E | $\bigcirc 952$ |
| S |  | $\checkmark$ A87 4 |

- KJ64

QQ874
$\diamond$ K Q 9

- 109

Open Room

| West <br> Lasserre | North <br> Reynolds | East <br> Rouquillaud | South <br> Bernstein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 12 | Pass |
| $1 \mathbf{N}^{*}$ | Pass | INT | Pass |
| $2 \mathbf{2 N}^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \checkmark^{*}$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

South led the ten of clubs and declarer won in hand and played the ten of spades, covered by the king and ace. He unblocked the king of clubs, played the jack of diamonds, overtaking with the ace, cashed his club winners and played a spade to the nine. When that held he cashed the queen of spades and exited with a spade. South could win and cash two diamonds, but declarer had the rest, +430 .

Closed Room

| West <br> Naran | North <br> Perez | East <br> Buratovich | South <br> Mejane |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | 1 Q $^{*}$ |
| 14 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| $4 \infty$ | All Pass |  |  |

Is it possible there was some misunderstanding as to the meaning of 2NT?

North led the three of clubs and declarer won with the king and played a club to dummy. The right play now must be to set about the trump suit, but declarer tried to cash a third club. When South ruffed declarer discarded the jack of hearts. South exited with a heart and declarer won and was reduced to the forlorn hope of cashing the ace of spades followed by a low spade. When that brought no joy, the contract was two down, -200 adding II IMPs to the French total.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

|  | ¢ J 1063 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ J 1073 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 104$ |  |  |
|  | \% Q J 9 |  |  |
| ¢ AKQ 52 | N |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A 82 |  | E $\quad \vee \mathrm{K} 5$ |  |
| $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ |  | $\checkmark$ AJ982 |  |
| \& AK 82 | S | \& 107543 |  |
|  | ¢987 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 964 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 7653 |  |  |
|  | ¢ 6 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lasserre | Reynolds | Rouquillaud | Bernstein |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 2** | Pass | $2 \diamond *$ | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

The conservative French auction that started with a semiforcing Two Clubs and a Two Diamond relay resulted in a contract that scored +660 . In their defence it is not an easy hand unless someone takes a view and bids clubs at some point - perhaps East should go on with Four Clubs?

Closed Room

| West <br> Naran | North <br> Perez | East <br> Buratovich | South <br> Mejane |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 2\%* | Pass | $2 \diamond *$ | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| 4\% | Pass | 5\% | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

A huge wheel came off in this room when East passed Five Diamonds.

South led the six of clubs and declarer won in dummy, unblocked the king of diamonds, came to hand with the king of hearts, and fatally cashed the ace of diamonds. A third di-
amond went to South's queen and declarer took the heart exit with the ace and tried the king of clubs. South ruffed and played a spade. Declarer had to lose a club at the end for one down, -100, another 13 IMPs for France.

After coming to hand with a heart declarer can get home by abandoning trumps. After cashing three rounds of spades, declarer plays ace of hearts and then ruffs a heart. With eight tricks in the bag he exits with a club and cannot be prevented from scoring three more tricks.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.
\& A 9863
$\bigcirc$ JIO
$\diamond 9$

* AKQ 64


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lasserre | Reynolds | Rouquillaud | Bernstein |
| I $\diamond$ | 19 | Pass | 24 |
| $3 \diamond$ | 34 | 3NT | All Pass |

I have no idea why East passed over One Spade - if he was hoping to lay a trap he succeeded, but only to the extent of trapping himself.

South led the two of spades and North won and started on the clubs. That led to a rapid four down, -400 .


Guy Lasserre, France

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naran | Perez | Buratovich | Mejane |
| I $\diamond$ | 19 | 2 - | 29 |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | 49 | Dble | Pass |
| 5 | All Pass |  |  |

Four Spades will only be one down, so West did well to go on to Five Hearts, which made easily enough when the hearts behaved kindly. +650 gave South Africa 14 badly needed IMPs.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

- 982

ค 10742
$\diamond$ Q 9865
4

- AQJIO
$\checkmark$ AKQ
$\diamond A J I O$
\& K 75

¢K54
๑85
$\triangleleft 73$
2 Q 109843

4 763
คJ963
K 42

- A 62


Paul Reynolds, South Africa

After the game forcing opening and ace denying response Four Clubs was natural. The singleton jack of clubs resolved declarer's only problem, +920.

Closed Room

| West <br> Naran | North <br> Perez | East <br> Buratovich | South <br> Mejane |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2事 | Pass | $2 \triangleleft^{*}$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

It seems East, who implied at least some values by not making a negative response of Two Hearts, had no way of introducing his club suit.
North led the six of diamonds, so declarer was held to eleven tricks, +460 for a loss of 10 IMPs.

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.
\& AQ 73

- AK 973
$\diamond 32$
2 A 8
4
$\bigcirc$ Q 52
$\diamond$ Q 107
\& K Q J 632

¢ 62
10864
$\diamond 9854$
\& 1094
K 109854
$\bigcirc 1$
$\diamond$ AKJ 6
* 75

| Open Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lasserre | Reynolds | Rouquillaud | Bernstein |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | 19 |
| 29 | $4 \infty$ | 59 | 59 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

This look like one of those deals that appears in a 'You be the jury' article. +710 did not look promising.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naran | Perez | Buratovich | Mejane |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | 14 |
| 2\% | 49 | Pass | $5 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 5NT |
| Pass | 79 | All Pass |  |

Five Diamonds, which is what South should have bid over Five Clubs in the other room, saw North/South quickly reach the lay down grand slam - a fine effort which was worth 17 IMPs.

There was no joy for David this time, as Goliath had scored a decisive victory, 65-17 IMPs, 25-4 VP.

## by Phillip Alder

The American team is one of the favorites for the women's event. Round IO saw the clash against an Egyptian team that was doing worse than expected.
I went into the Open Room to watch Janice Seamon-Molson and Tobi Sokolow take on Marguerite Homsy and Maud Khouri, a new lineup in an attempt to turn their luck around. If I had known, I would have watched at the other table, where Mildred Breed and Marinesa Letizia played against Nessrine Hamdy and Sophie Sarwat, because their Internet service failed, so none of the play was broadcast.
There were a few interesting deals and an auction that has surely never happened before. (See page 6)
After four boards, Egypt led by 3 IMPs to 0 . On Board 2I, poor declarer play by an Egyptian gave the United States I3 IMPs. Then came ...

Board 22. Dealer East. East-West vul. - J 7
$\triangle$ AKQ 3
$\diamond$ QJ 6

- AQJ 6
- 1094
- J 10764
$\diamond$ K 9
\& 542

A. AK65 3
$\triangle 52$
$\diamond$ A 8732
- 8
$\bigcirc 98$
$\triangleleft 1054$
- K 10973

| West <br> Homsy | North Seamon-Molson | East <br> Khouri | South <br> Sokolow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 19 | Pass |
| INT | Dble | 2 - | 3\% |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Breed | Sarwat | Letizia | Hamdy |
|  |  | 19 | Pass |
| INT | Dble | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 24 | Dble | Pass | 3\% |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

The idea of using the forcing INT response with a weak three-card major-suit raise works particularly well in an uncompetitive auction. But if the opponents intervene, stopping you from supporting partner's major, especially spades, it might prove expensive. Here, note that four spades is down only one (not that anyone would bid that over three notrump given the adverse vulnerability).
The swing came because Sokolow gambled a three-club advance. She did not expect three notrump to make, but it
was unbeatable. The defenders started with three rounds of diamonds, and Seamon-Molson took her nine tricks: three hearts, one diamond and five clubs.
At the other table, North had no idea her partner had any values. Is this another position for a lebensohl-style continuation, three clubs promising some values, and two notrump being bid when South is destitute? Even worse, three clubs had five losers: two spades, two diamonds and a diamond ruff.
Plus 400 and plus 50 gave the United States 10 IMPs.
If you were East-West, where would you like to play here?
Board 23. Dealer South. Both vul.

| . 83 <br> ค 175 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 63$ |  |  |  |
| ¢ K 108754 |  |  |  |
| - AKQ J 10 | $10 \quad N$ | $\pm 2$ |  |
| $\bigcirc$ K 1098 |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 62 |  |
| $\diamond$ Q |  | $\diamond$ KJIO8754 |  |
| \% AJ 6 | S | ¢ 93 |  |
| ¢ 97654 |  |  |  |
| คA 43 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ A 92 |  |  |  |
| 2 Q 2 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Homsy | Seamon-Molson | Khouri | Sokolow |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass | $1 \mathrm{NT}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | Pass |
| $2 \mathrm{NT}^{\left({ }^{(0)}\right.}$ | Pass | $3 \diamond(c)$ | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | Pass | Pass |

(a) Forcing.
(b) Artificial game-force, any shape except 5+-5+.
(c) Natural, but denying four hearts (she would have rebid three clubs).

| West <br> Breed | North Sarwat | East <br> Letizia | South <br> Hamdy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 19 | Pass | $1 \mathrm{NT}^{\text {(a) }}$ | Pass |
| 38 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |
| (a) Forcing. |  |  |  |

Three notrump made easily when Sokolow led a low heart and Seamon-Molson played her jack. Maud won with the queen, drove out the heart ace and had nine tricks: five spades, three hearts and one club.
Four hearts was much more difficult. South took the diamond lead and shifted to the club queen. Declarer won with her ace and cashed two top spades, discarding
dummy's remaining club. Now came the spade queen, which North ruffed with the heart seven. Breed overruffed with dummy's queen, cashed the diamond king for a club pitch, and played a trump. If declarer had guessed to rise with her king, she would have made the contract. But when she finessed her ten, Sarwat won with her jack and accurately returned a trump. Hamdy won with the ace and led a club to North's king for down one.
Plus 600 and plus 100 gave Egypt 12 IMPs, cutting the U.S. lead to 8.
I checked all the other results on this board. Three notrump made 27 times, but went a collective 65 down at the 23 tables it did not make. Four hearts made 33 times, and seven times II tricks were taken. But 3I declarers failed to take 10 tricks. Five diamonds, which looks like an excellent spot, was bid only 15 times, going down one three times, making eight times, and collecting an overtrick four times. Maybe we still bid as if it were a pair event even at imps.
Another poor piece of Egyptian declarer-play cost 10 IMPs. Then America gained 5 IMPs when Seamon-Molson made two notrump with an overtrick, but accurate defense by Breed-Letizia defeated three notrump in the Closed Room.
With only the opponents vulnerable, you pick up:

- 10753

ค 10764
$\diamond$ A 4
\& Q 95
The auction starts like this:


Two spades is equivalent to a reverse and you treat the continuations similarly. So, any bid at the three-level is game-forcing. Two notrump asks partner to bid three clubs, whereupon you may pass or bid three spades to show a weak hand with four-card support. What's your choice? (l first heard this idea more than 20 years ago from Steen Moller, who is playing on the Danish senior team.)
Homsy took the cautious route, rebidding two notrump and following up with three spades. Maud thought for a while, but eventually passed. At the other table, Breed bid four spades because two spades was game-forcing.
Maud won 10 tricks and Letizia I2, so the United States gained 7 IMPs.
I think Homsy should have jumped from two spades to four spades. Yes, her trumps were poor, but she had an ace and the surely valuable club queen. IMP scoring, even nonvulnerable, favors bidding game.
The final sizable swing came on this deal.

## Board 30. Dealer East. None vul.

上 J 83
$\checkmark$ AKJ 2
$\triangleleft A 103$
\& 762

```
- K 54
\(\checkmark\) Q
\(\diamond\) K 98764
\& Q 85
```

In the Closed Room, South made three hearts exactly. In the Open Room, Sokolow opened two hearts with the South hand, showing at least 5-4 in the majors and 0-10 high-card points.
After West passed, Seamon-Molson raised to four hearts.
Now it came down to the lead. A low club would have set up four defensive tricks. But West selected a low diamond. Declare ran that to her queen, drew trumps, discarded a club loser on the diamond ace, and conceded three tricks: two spades and one club.
Minus 140 and plus 420 was worth 7 IMPs to the United States.
The final result was 53-21, which gave the United States 23 victory points and Egypt 7.

## WBF CARDS etc.



WBF cards, World Championship Books and some other items will be sold in the result/line up area on the 4th floor of CNCC from Friday the IOth onwards.

## Denmark v Palkistan

Before we start; how about a gentle lead problem?

```
& }
    V J 7 5
\diamond3
& K IO 8 7 54
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline N & - 2 \\
\hline & \(\bigcirc\) Q 62 \\
\hline W E & \(\diamond\) KJIO 8754 \\
\hline S & -93 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

West opens what transpires to be a strong club, and shows five spades and four hearts, while East shows long diamonds and scattered values. West settles for 3NT and you lead a pedestrian fourth highest club (not the way to get your name in the newspaper I know!) to the queen and six. Back comes the club two, to the jack and king. What now?
The Danes took on Pakistan in the first match of the third day and roared into overdrive. With the best of the luck and the benefit of some less-than-perfect plays from their opponents put up a maximum against Pakistan.

Board 19. Dealer South. E/WVul.

- A 7
$\bigcirc 94$
$\diamond$ AK 103
* AKQ 76
\& KJ32
- Q 653
$\diamond$ Q J 84
\& J


This deal was played in 3NT at more than half the tables, but is actually more than playable in slam for N/S. consider the contract of $6 \%$ by North. On a passive lead such as a club declarer can draw two rounds of trump and play to ruff diamonds in dummy; or he can hope to ditch his spade loser on the hearts, or even to take two finesses in diamonds - an unlikely line but the winning one.
So what lead is best for the defence? Surely a heart lead - (which was the unbid suit at many tables and therefore the most common lead). Declarer will surely go down unless gifted with second sight. Worst for the defence was a diamond lead - now declarer would draw trumps and repeat the diamond finesse to make easily enough. Equally unsuccessful was a spade lead - just look at those beautiful spade spots in N/S! This was the lead found by the Eng-
lish East against Indonesia. Declarer could draw trumps and play a second spade; if East plays the queen declarer will use his next entry to dummy to advance the spade ten and pin the nine. If East ducks the second spade his queen will ruff out on the third round.
Pakistan declared 6\% and did not find their way home; Denmark bid $1 \%-I \triangleleft-2 \triangleleft-3 N T$, a rustic approach that might have bought South a dummy with five spade losers and 6\% cold, but all was well today.
Curiously, Deep Finesse informs us that slam can still be made even after a heart lead if declarer simply draws three rounds of trumps. West must pitch two hearts; (a spade or diamond would allow that suit to be ruffed out). Declarer leads a heart to dummy, a diamond to the queen and king, and then exits with ace and another spade.
In this ending as the spade seven hits the table, the defenders are powerless.


Soren Christiansen, Denmark

If East makes the natural play of winning the $\Phi \mathrm{Q}$ and returning the suit, declarer ruffs and crosses to the 9 ! He sacrifices a trump trick in exchange for being able to repeat the diamond finesse, and in the process squeezes West in spades and diamonds. Even more painful is if East plays the \$9; West must win the trick and either return a diamond to allow the finesse, or play back a spade, isolating the spade menace against himself so that he can get squeezed later on!
The next deal saw more of the same.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

- A 1084
$\bigcirc 105$
$\diamond 9543$
20 184

The Danes stayed comfortably low again in $2 \checkmark$ when South elected to show hearts and clubs without significant extra values after North responded INT to 18 . Denmark recorded a painless 170. the Pakistanis declared 5\% on repeated diamond leads.
Best seems to be to ruff this low, lead a spade to the $s \mathrm{~A}$, a heart to the $\vee \mathrm{K}$, and then give up a heart. East will continue the forcing game but you plan to play a complete cross-ruff with heart ruffs in dummy. IfWest ruffs in on one or both of the next two heart plays you have a spade and trump entry back to hand to let you draw trumps and take the established heart at trick 13.Again the declarer missed his way and went down in $5 \%$, so that was 7 IMPs to Denmark instead of 10 the other way.
Both tables reached 3NT here, and again the Danes gave the Pakistani declarer a hard time.

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.

|  | - 83 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 175$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 63$ |  |
|  | \& K 108754 |  |
| - AKQJ 10 | N | - 2 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 1098$ |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 62 |
| $\diamond$ Q |  | $\diamond$ KJIO8754 |
| - AJ 6 | S | -93 |
|  | - 97654 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 43 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 92 |  |
|  | * Q 2 |  |

Christiansen declared 3NT from East and South had no reason to lead a club; his choice of a heart allowed declarer to wrap up ten tricks in a heart beat. By contrast, Naqi played 3NT as West after a two-way le had seen him show spades and hearts and dummy had shown his diamonds. Declarer won the first club trick to play on diamonds, overtaking the queen with the king. South ducked so declarer tried a heart to the ten and jack...down four!
By contrast had declarer ducked the first trick and put in the jack on the second trick - as per our opening problem, then North would have had to shift to a diamond to set the game. On, for example, a third club, declarer wins and runs the spades then overtakes the $\diamond Q$ with the $\diamond K$ to leave South with the unenviable choice when he wins of getting out with one red suit or the other to dummy's winners.

## Beijing Boulders

by Tim Bourke

Dealer South. E-W Vul.

- AK 98
$\checkmark$ K 4
KJ 985
-95

- J 107
$\bigcirc$ AQJIO 82
$\diamond A 7$
\& K 10

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | 5 * | Pass | 68 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

* Asks for a club control

West leads a trump against your excellent slam in hearts. You take this with dummy's king of trumps and draw a second round of trumps, to which both opponents follow. What is the plan that gives you the best chance of making twelve tricks when neither opponent has a singleton or void?

For the Solution see page 20.

## WBF <br> Women's Committee

The WBF Women's Committee will meet in the WBF Meeting Room on Friday, IOth October at 9:30 a.m.

## And somewhere hearts are light

by Ron Klinger (www.ronklingerbridge.com)
In Open Round II both sides played in hearts in Australia $v$ Lebanon on this deal:

Board I. Dealer South. Both Vul.
-AJIO 74
$\checkmark$ AKQ 4
$\diamond$ K 10

- J 5

- 5

ค」10 5
$\diamond$ J 742
\% 108743

- K 82

จ 987632
$\diamond 53$
2 K 6

| West <br> Brightling | North | East <br> Thomson | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \diamond$ <br> Pass | Dble <br> 4 | Pass | Pass |
|  | $4 \oslash$ |  |  |

After West led a spade, declarer had no trouble wrapping up 12 tricks for +680 .
At the other table:

| West <br> Gill | North | East <br> Richman | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I 0^{*}$ | All Pass |  | Pass |

## I 8 4+ spades, 9-14 points

North began with the ace of hearts and West showed out. North drew dummy's trumps, but then switched to the king of diamonds. Declarer won, played a low diamond to the jack and took the club finesse for four tricks. That was -300 but +9 Imps.
To solve the defensive problem, perhaps South needs to play the nine of hearts on the second round of trumps (SPS for spades) and the lowest remaining heart on the queen Now North can shift to a low spade to the king. The return of the eight of spades goes to the nine and ten. North returns to South with the four of hearts, overtaken, and the spade two picks up West's remaining spades. South pitches two clubs on the fourth and fifth spade, receives a club ruff and then leads a diamond. That gives the defence twelve tricks and +600 , not quite enough to flatten the board.

## A ruff in time makes nine

by Irena Chodorowska



The match between Poland and Bulgaria in Round 8 of the Open series featured a sparkling defence by the Krzystofs - Martens and Jassem.

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.

- A QJIO 72

$\diamond$ A 4
- 107432
, K
$\bigcirc 87$
$\diamond 109872$
\& AKJ 85

| N | ¢ 863 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | ¢KJIO962 |
| W E | $\diamond$ K 73 |
| S | 96 |
| ¢ 954 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AQ 543 |  |
| $\diamond$ Q J 5 |  |
| \& Q 9 |  |


| West <br> Jassem | North | East <br> Martens | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2NT* | Dble | $3 \diamond$ | I $\vee$ |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass | Pass |
| * Minors, at least five-five. |  |  |  |

Four Spades was defeated because East was able to score a minor-suit ruff - but it took a long time for it to materialise.

Martens led his singleton club, nine from the dummy to the jack and declarer's two. Now Jassem, knowing that his partner had at most two clubs (he chose to bid diamonds and not clubs) and that declarer's hand was full of clubs, played a low diamond. Declarer had to duck (he had no quick entry to dummy to discard a losing diamond on the ace of hearts) and Martens won with the king and played a diamond back. Declarer won with the ace and had no other option but to play a club. Martens discarded his remaining diamond and Jassem won with the king and played another diamond. Declarer ruffed with the ten of spades and played a club again, East ruffing with the spade six and declarer overruffing with the nine. Declarer ruffed a heart, Martens discarding a heart. Now he played a club, but Martens collected the setting trick with his eight of spades.

## Honours even!

by Maureen Dennison

Round 10 in the Seniors matched top of the table leaders USA and Japan. This finished in and 'honourable' draw but this hand gave amusement on the South/West side of the screen.

Board 2. Dealer East. N-S Vul.

- 83
\& K 1063
$\triangleleft 32$
\& 87653
$\& A Q 972$
$\diamond A J$
$\diamond J 1065$
$\& K 4$

| N | ¢ 105 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 952$ |
| W E | $\checkmark$ A 874 |
| S | \& A Q J 2 |
| , KJ64 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 874 |  |
| $\diamond$ K Q 9 |  |
| \% 109 |  |


| West <br> Ino | North <br> Lev | East <br> Abe | South <br> Eisenberg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1 \mathbf{2}$ | Dble |
| Redbl | I 8 | Pass | Pass |
| Is | All Pass! |  |  |

While awaiting the lead, Eisenberg asked, "Was one spade forcing!"
"Yes!" was the reply. Maybe Ino was glad he was not in game because the defence was perfect.A diamond ducked to the queen and a heart return. Declarer rose with the ace and cashed clubs, hoping to discard $\%$ J. South ruffed low and was over-ruffed. West exited with the doomed 8 J to North's king for the play of a second diamond to the ace. Declarer played a trump to the queen and cashed the ace, but South scored his $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and a second diamond to hold declarer to nine tricks. In the replay 4s was bid and made.
Ino made up for it with this.

## Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

- 982

ค 10742
$\diamond$ Q 9865
4 J

- AQJIO
$\triangle A K Q$
$\checkmark$ AJIO
-K 75

- K 54
-85
$\diamond 73$
\& Q 109843
- 763

8J963

- K 42
- A 62

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ino | Lev | Abe | Eisenberg |
| 20* | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| $2{ }^{*}$ * | Pass | 2** | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 69 | All Pass |

North led a spade to the king and declarer called for a low club. South, of course, followed low without a flicker and Ino was at the crossroads. He flirted with 27 but eventually rose with the king and saw to his delight the jack fall from North.
The final result was I7-I5 IMP in favour of USA.

## I'd rather be lucky than awalke

In her teams round-10 match versus Egypt, Mildred Breed picked up
, Q
98
Q J 63
\& AK 8763
and heard her partner, Marinesa Letizia, pass as dealer. The next player passed, and so did Breed, wary of her poor holdings in the major suits. It didn't seem to matter when Breed's left-hand opponent opened $1 \oslash$, but now Letizia doubled for takeout. When Breed's RHO bid I 4, she expressed her values with a bid of 3e.Two passes followed, and RHO kept the bidding open with 3 . Breed was right there with 40 - and the she heard her passed-hand partner raise her to game in that suit.
All came clear when dummy went down. It seems Letizia had mis-sorted her hand and passed with a fine I4. The full deal:

Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.

|  | - J875 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{KQ} 42$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 1052$ |  |
|  | * Q 2 |  |
| - Q | N | - K 1042 |
| $\bigcirc 98$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A 75 |
| $\checkmark$ QJ 63 |  | $\checkmark$ AK 7 |
| \& AK 8763 | S | \& 954 |
|  | - A 963 |  |
|  | ¢J1063 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 984$ |  |
|  | - 10 |  |

The 2-2 club split helped Breed bring home the minorsuit game for an odd push.

## OPEN TEAMS R11

## The leaders clash

by Brent Manley

Germany and the USA in the Open series have been occupying the top two spots in their qualifying group for much of the tournament, so there was considerable interest in their round-II match.
The Americans struck first.
Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

|  | - 83 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢ K 1063 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 32$ |  |  |
|  | \& 87653 |  |  |
| - AQ 972 | N 105 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ | N $\quad \bigcirc 952$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ J 1065 |  | E ¢ | $\checkmark$ A 874 |
| ¢ K 4 | S |  | * A Q J 2 |
|  | - KJ64 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 874 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K Q 9 |  |  |
|  | - 109 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Rodwell | Piekarek | Meckstroth | Smirnov |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |  |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 2** | Pass | $2 \diamond *$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Alexander Smirnov led the 94 . Declarer, Jeff Meckstroth, put in the jack, losing to the king. A heart back cleared the


Bob Hamman, USA
suit.At trick three, Meckstroth entered his hand with a club to the queen, then put the 10 on the table, letting it ride when South did not cover. A second spade went to the 9 and Meckstroth was home with three spades, one heart, four clubs and a diamond. Plus 400.
The auction was identical at the other table, as was the opening lead. Chris Compton won the PK at trick one and cleared the suit. Declarer, Michael Elinescu, played the K and a club to the ace, followed by the $\$ 10$. Bob Hamman covered with the king, however. Declarer took the A and rode the $\langle J$ to Hamman's king. The defenders cashed two more hearts, ending in the South hand, and Hamman got out with a low spade. Elinescu could have succeeded by inserting the 9 , but he went up with the Q and played a diamond to his ace, obviously hoping to drop the queen. When that hope did not materialized, he was one off and USA had 10 IMPs in the bank.
It all went away, and then some, on the next deal.
Board 3. Dealer South. E/WVul.

- J 1063
© J 1073
$\diamond 104$
- QJ 9
- AKQ 52
© A 82
$\diamond K$
* AK 82

| West <br> Wladow | North <br> Compton | East <br> Elinescu | South <br> Hamman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Pass | $\mathrm{I} \diamond$ | Pass |
| 4 | All Pass |  | Pass |

Entscho Wladow and Elinescu missed the ice-cold club slam, but at least they were in a making contract for plus 650 .
At the other table, Eric Rodwell and Meckstroth got overboard.
In a protracted and complex auction, Rodwell finally landed in 7\&, which was doubled by Josef Piekarek, who didn't fear chasing Rodwell into some making contract given his stoppers in three suits. In fact, Rodwell ran from a 12 -trick contract to 7NT, which had only II tricks. That was also doubled for minus 500 and 15 IMPs to Germany.

On board 5, it was the Germans' turn to overbid - and it was also costly.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.


Elinescu led a trump, and Compton was soon claiming 10 tricks, losing two diamonds and a club.
At the other table, Piekarek and Smirnov did not have the auction to themselves, and they went astray.


Entscho Wladow, Germany

Rodwell led a club, ducked in dummy to Meckstroth's king. From there, declarer could not avoid losing two diamonds for minus 200 and 13 IMPs to USA.
USA was up 25-2I when this deal came along.
Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- A Q 73

คAK 973
$\diamond 32$
\& A 8
4
$\bigcirc$ Q 52
$\diamond$ Q 107
\& K Q J 632

\$ 62
$\bigcirc 10864$
$\diamond 9854$
\& 1094
, K 109854
$\bigcirc 1$
$\diamond$ AKJ 6
2 7

| West <br> Rodwell | North <br> Piekarek | East <br> Meckstroth | South <br> Smirnov |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \boldsymbol{Q}^{*}$ | Dble | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | $4 N T$ |
| Pass | $5 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | $6 \boldsymbol{2}$ |

All Pass
It seemed that Piekarek and Smirnov were on their way to the spade grand slam after Rodwell's natural but limited 2\% opener, but Smirnov took his partner out of the picture by leaping to the small slam. Plus 1460 did not get the job done.
Compton and Hamman had to deal with a bit of interference, but they brushed it aside.

| West Wladow | North Compton | East <br> Elinescu | South <br> Hamman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3\% | Dble | 39 | Dble |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | 79 |

All Pass
Plus 2210 was good for another 13 IMPs for the Americans. Hamman's fondness for four-card major openings helped USA to another gain on the next board.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

+ 9862
$\bigcirc 2$
$\diamond 102$
\& AJ 10942


| West <br> Rodwell | North <br> Piekarek | East <br> Meckstroth | South <br> Smirnov |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \mathbf{2}$ |
| 18 | Dble | $2 \boxtimes$ | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| $3 \varangle$ | All Pass |  |  |

Rodwell had a good hand, but not enough to sail into game opposite what might be a mere courtesy raise by his partner - and both opponents were bidding.
The Germans took a trick in each suit - what they had coming - but Rodwell had nine tricks for plus 140.

| West <br> Wladow | North <br> Compton | East <br> Elinescu | South <br> Hamman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \mathbf{1}$ |
| Dble | $3 \Omega$ | Pass | Pass |
| $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |  |

Hamman's opening on a four-card spade suit allowed Compton to put maximum pressure on West. It probably would not have helped for West to overcall $2 \rrbracket$ to start with because Compton would still have had the space-consuming raise to 34 available. Wladow bid on and went down, but he saved an IMP (minus I40 at both tables would have been a 7-IMP loss) because Hamman was going to make nine tricks in his spade contract.

The following deal was good for 5 IMPs to Germany.
Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.
© —
$\bigcirc$ K J 104
$\diamond$ K 985432
」 6

- 103

QQ98752

, KQ 76542
$\checkmark$ A 10
\& A 105
$\bigcirc$ A 3
$\diamond \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}$
\% K Q

- AJ 98
$\bigcirc 6$
$\diamond 76$
\& 987432

| West <br> Rodwell | North <br> Piekarek | East <br> Meckstroth | South <br> Smirnov |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | $2 \triangleleft$ | $3 \Omega$ | Pass |
| $4 \$$ | All Pass |  | Pass |

Interestingly, 4s from the West seat is unbeatable, and it can always be defeated, as it was in this case, when played by East on a diamond lead (a heart won't do). The key is that North cannot lead a red suit without giving up a trick. It seems that, double dummy, East might succeed by rising with the ace on a diamond lead, then taking three rounds of clubs, pitching the losing diamond on the 210 after the
very lucky fall of the ej. Say declarer does that and ruffs a diamond to hand to lead a low spade toward dummy's 10. South plays the $\$ \mathrm{~J}$ and exits with the 86 . Declarer wins and plays another low spade from hand, with no red card for an exit, South inserts the $\$ 8$ or $\$ 9$. Dummy's $\$ 10$ wins, but when he leads a heart from dummy, North wins (South discarding a club) and plays another red card. When East ruffs high, South again discards and is left with the 9 A over the sQ 7 for one down.
One East declarer who succeeded was Ahsan Quereshi of Pakistan. He took the opening heart lead in hand, cashed his two club honors, then played a low spade from hand. This may seem remarkable considering that South had not doubled the final contract, but North against Quereshi had opened 2NT, promising four hearts and a longer minor. Quereshi guessed correctly that spades were not breaking.
South won the ${ }^{\mathrm{J}}$ and exited, too late, with a diamond. Quereshi won the $\diamond A$, cashed the $A$ for a diamond pitch, and played the $\$ 10$. South was helpless. If he ducked, Querishi would ruff a diamond to hand and knock out the $\Phi \mathrm{A}$ and claim, losing only a heart trick.

Back to the USA-Germany match, the Germans gained on the deal because they were able to stop at a low level.

| West <br> Wladow | North <br> Compton | East <br> Elinescu | South <br> Hamman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \boxtimes$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{s}$ | Pass <br> All Pass |

Elinescu's 21 was non-forcing, so the Germans landed in a 7-2 spade fit at the two level despite having 27 high-card points between the two hands - and it was right!
Hamman led a club, and Elinescu eventually surrendered a heart trick and three spades (he started the suit from dummy) for plus 140 and 6 IMPs for his side.
USA gained an overtrick IMP on the final board to win 4526. They ended the day's play still in second in Group D.


Josef Piekarek, Germany

## SOLUTION



After drawing the last trump, throwing a club from table, you should cash the ace and king of diamonds. If the queen of diamonds has appeared you will have at least twelve tricks for you can discard one club on the jack of diamonds and another on the eight of diamonds, which will promote the nine of diamonds as a winner to take care of your spade loser. Otherwise, ruff a diamond. When that suit proves to be 3-3 you will have twelve tricks; two spades, six trumps and four diamonds.

When the diamonds are 4-2 with the queen triply guarded, cross to dummy by leading the jack of spades to the ace in order to ruff the diamonds good. Next you will lead the ten of spades to the king. When the full deal is:


The fall of East's doubleton queen of spades gives you thirteen tricks; six trumps, four spades and three diamonds. Notice that if you had not unblocked the jack and ten of spades under dummy's ace-king then you would throw the blocking spade on dummy's good diamonds and then cash dummy's spade winners. (However, you should take a slight demerit point for having to fall back on unblocking a spade on the good diamond.)

If the queen of spades had not appeared on the second round of spades, you would throw your remaining spade on dummy's established diamond winner. Then you would lead a club towards your king and still make the contract if East held the ace of clubs.

Overall, this sequence of plays gives you an $80 \%+$ chance of making twelve tricks, the best available when playing single dummy.

## The Light was Bad

by Mark Horton



Terence Reese once wrote up a hand where the player who had misdefended was quick to come up with his excuses, one of which was 'the light was bad'. That might perhaps be the claim of the miscreant on this deal from Round 6, which appeared in Tuesday's Bulletin under the heading 'Fight for the Finnish'.

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.

- 1098
- Q J
$\diamond 87542$
- 764

| - 3 | N | - KQ 765 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - A 10865 |  | $\bigcirc 432$ |
| $\checkmark 103$ | W E | $\checkmark$ A 96 |
| * AQJ9 3 | S | - K 8 |
|  | - AJ 42 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 97$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K U |  |
|  | - 1052 |  |

You will understand that I don't have time to read the Bulletins here in Beijing (apart from the odd bout of proof reading), but I do look at a number of deals during the course of each day, and it so happens that I happened to study this one with Sabine Auken.

The writer (an anonymous VuGraph commentator) suggested that Four Hearts can be made on a diamond lead by winning, cashing the ace of hearts and then playing four rounds of clubs pitching both diamonds from dummy, the idea being that after North ruffs in with his remaining honour declarer will be able to ruff a diamond in dummy and lead a trump through South's 『K9.

Had he been able to see the Deep Finesse analysis in the dark of the VuGraph theatre he would have avoided this particular trap.

Not quite, as North plays a spade after ruffing the club, and South wins and simply plays the king of hearts and a heart, leaving declarer with a losing diamond.

Notice that if you swap South's ace of spades with North's eight then the proposed line would work, as there is no longer an entry to the South hand.

