Bulletin 4 －Tuesday， 7 October 2008

# THE OUEST FOR VICTORY POINTS 



In the laptop jungle：Harvey Fox，the WBF＇s IT manager．

Just more than half way through the qualifying stages of the Open， Women＇s and Senior series，teams are starting to watch their IMPs and victory point totals more closely．
This is especially true in the Open and Women＇s competitions， where only about a quarter of the field in each of the groups will qualify for knockout play at the end of the round robin．
In the Seniors，half of the field in each of the two groups will make it to the round of 16 when the qualifying rounds are over．
Only a few teams in any of the groups are truly out of contention， and at this stage teams do not want to sustain the kind of＂accident＂ that happened to Brazil Monday evening against Italy．The 94－4 whip－ ping by the Italians dropped Brazil from their place near the top of the standings in Group A all the way down to ninth．There is still plenty of time for a comeback，of course，but time soon will begin to grow short．
Through nine matches in the Open and Women＇s series，the top performers are Israel with 194 VPs （86\％）．


II． 00 Senior Teams Round 9
II．00 Open－Women Teams， Round 10

I4．20 Senior Teams，Round IO
14．20 Open－Women Teams， Round II
17．10 Senior Teams，Round II
17．10 Open－Women Teams， Round 12


## OPEN TEAMS

## TODAY'S PROGRAM

ROUND 10

| GROUP A |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Denmark | Pakistan |
| 2 | Ireland | Brazil |
| 3 | Italy | Estonia |
| 4 | Albania | Kenya |
| 5 | Trinidad | South Africa |
| 6 | Canada | Slovakia |
| 7 | Finland | Bye |
| 8 | Japan | France |
| 9 | China Macau | Romania |


| GROUP B |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I Latvia Sweden <br> 2 Israel Scotland <br> 3 China Hong Kong Austria <br> 4 Argentina Hungary <br> 5 Portugal China <br> 6 India Russia <br> 7 Mexico French Polynesia <br> 8 Netherlands San Marino <br> 9 Korea Jamaica |  |


| GROUP C |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Iceland | Singapore |
| 2 | Spain | Guadeloupe |
| 3 | Belgium | Norway |
| 4 | Morocco | Georgia |
| 5 | New Zealand | Poland |
| 6 | Bangladesh | Egypt |
| 7 | Bulgaria | Bermuda |
| 8 | Chile | Bosnia |
| 9 | Ukraine | Chinese Taipei |


| GROUP D |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Indonesia | England |
| 2 | Serbia | Lebanon |
| 3 | Germany | Thailand |
| 4 | Belarus | USA |
| 5 | Venezuela | Jordan |
| 6 | Switzerland | Philippines |
| 7 | Greece | Botswana |
| 8 | Reunion | Turkey |
| 9 | Australia | Lithuania |

## ROUND II

|  | GROUP A |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Pakistan | Japan |
| 2 | Romania | Denmark |
| 3 | Bye | Canada |
| 4 | Slovakia | Trinidad |
| 5 | South Africa | Albania |
| 6 | Kenya | Italy |
| 7 | Estonia | Ireland |
| 8 | Brazil | China Macau |
| 9 | France | Finland |


| GROUP B |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Sweden | Netherlands |
| 2 | Jamaica | Latvia |
| 3 | French Polynesia | India |
| 4 | Russia | Portugal |
| 5 | China | Argentina |
| 6 | Hungary | China Hong Kong |
| 7 | Austria | Israel |
| 8 | Scotland | Korea |
| 9 | San Marino | Mexico |


| GROUP C |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Singapore | Chile |
| 2 | Chinese Taipei | Iceland |
| 3 | Bermuda | Bangladesh |
| 4 | Egypt | New Zealand |
| 5 | Poland | Moroco |
| 6 | Georgia | Belgium |
| 7 | Norway | Spain |
| 8 | Guadeloupe | Ukraine |
| 9 | Bosnia | Bulgaria |


| GROUP D |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | England | Reunion |
| 2 | Lithuania | Indonesia |
| 3 | Botswana | Switzerland |
| 4 | Philippines | Venezuela |
| 5 | Jordan | Belarus |
| 6 | USA | Germany |
| 7 | Thailand | Serbia |
| 8 | Lebanon | Australia |
| 9 | Turkey | Greece |

## ROUND 12

| GROUP A |  | GROUP B |  | GROUP C |  | GROUP D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I Romania | Brazil | I Jamaica | Scotland | I Chinese Taipei | Guadeloupe | । Lithuania | Lebanon |
| 2 China Macau | Estonia | 2 Korea | Austria | 2 Ukraine | Norway | 2 Australia | Thailand |
| 3 Denmark | Japan | 3 Latvia | Netherlands | 3 Iceland | Chile | 3 Indonesia | Reunion |
| 4 Italy | South Africa | 4 China Hong Kong | China | 4 Belgium | Poland | 4 Germany | Jordan |
| 5 Albania | Slovakia | 5 Argentina | Russia | 5 Morocco | Egypt | 5 Belarus | Philippines |
| 6 Trinidad | Bye | 6 Portugal | French Polynesia | 6 New Zealand | Bermuda | 6 Venezuela | Botswana |
| 7 Canada | France | 7 India | San Marino | 7 Bangladesh | Bosnia | 7 Switzerland | Turkey |
| 8 Finland | Pakistan | 8 Mexico | Sweden | 8 Bulgaria | Singapore | 8 Greece | England |
| 9 Ireland | Kenya | 9 Israel | Hungary | 9 Spain | Georgia | 9 Serbia | USA |



## WBF Meeting of Congress

## Thursday 09 October 2008-10.30 am

The WBF Meeting of Congress will be held on Thursday 09 October at 10.30 am in the Auditorium (Vu-Graph Theatre) at the Beijing Intercontinental Beichen Hotel. Each country should send a delegate to this important meeting, and if you have not already done so you are invited to register your delegate at the WBF Secretariat's Office on the 4th Floor of the BNCC as soon as possible, or if you wish you can email anna@ecats.co.uk to make your registration.

The meeting will be followed by a cocktail hosted by the President of the WBF to which all delegates are invited.

## WOMEN TEAMS



## TODAY'S PROGRAM

## ROUND 10

| GROUP E |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 Portugal Thailand <br> 2 Brazil Reunion <br> 3 USA Egypt <br> 4 Poland China Hong Kong <br> 5 Palestine Trinidad <br> 6 Italy Belarus <br> 7 India Guadeloupe <br> 8 Japan England <br> 9 Lithuania Norway |  |


| GROUP F |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Scotland | Serbia |
| 2 | Russia | Indonesia |
| 3 | Canada | Estonia |
| 4 | Finland | Venezuela |
| 5 | France | Spain |
| 6 | Denmark | Argentina |
| 7 | China | Kenya |
| 8 | Australia | Philippines |
| 9 | Jordan | Bermuda |


| GROUP G |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Mexico | Turkey |
| 2 | Greece | Jamaica |
| 3 | Korea | Morocco |
| 4 | Netherlands | Singapore |
| 5 | Barbados | Sweden |
| 6 | Ireland | New Zealand |
| 7 | Hungary | South Africa |
| 8 | Pakistan | Latvia |
| 9 | Chinese Taipei | Germany |

## ROUND II

| GROUP E |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Thailand | Japan |
| 2 | Norway | Portugal |
| 3 | Guadeloupe | Italy |
| 4 | Belarus | Palestine |
| 5 | Trinidad | Poland |
| 6 | China Hong Kong | USA |
| 7 | Egyp | Brazil |
| 8 | Reunion | Lithuania |
| 9 | England | India |


|  | GROUP F |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Serbia | Australia |
| 2 | Bermuda | Scotland |
| 3 | Kenya | Denmark |
| 4 | Argentina | France |
| 5 | Spain | Finland |
| 6 | Venezuela | Canada |
| 7 | Estonia | Russia |
| 8 | Indonesia | Jordan |
| 9 | Philippines | China |


|  | GROUP G |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Turkey | Pakistan |
| 2 | Germany | Mexico |
| 3 | South Africa | Ireland |
| 4 | New Zealand | Barbados |
| 5 | Sweden | Netherlands |
| 6 | Singapore | Korea |
| 7 | Morocco | Greece |
| 8 | Jamaica | Chinese Taipei |
| 9 | Latvia | Hungary |

## ROUND 12

| GROUP |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Norway | Reunion |
| 2 | Lithuania | Egypt |
| 3 | Portugal | Japan |
| 4 | USA | Trinidad |
| 5 | Poland | Belarus |
| 6 | Palestine | Guadeloupe |
| 7 | Italy | England |
| 8 | India | Thailand |
| 9 | Brazil | China Hong Kong |


| CROUP F |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Bermuda | Indonesia |
| 2 | Jordan | Estonia |
| 3 | Scotland | Australia |
| 4 | Canada | Spain |
| 5 | Finland | Argentina |
| 6 | France | Kenya |
| 7 | Denmark | Philippines |
| 8 | China | Serbia |
| 9 | Russia | Venezuela |


| GROUP C |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Germany | Jamaica |
| 2 | Chinese Taipei | Morocco |
| 3 | Mexico | Pakistan |
| 4 | Korea | Sweden |
| 5 | Netherlands | New Zealand |
| 6 | Barbados | South Africa |
| 7 | Ireland | Latvia |
| 8 | Hungary | Turkey |
| 9 | Greece | Singapore |

## World Championship Book 2008



The official book of these championships will be available around the end of March. On publication the official retail price will be $£ 24$ Sterling plus postage. You can pre-order a copy at the championships at the reduced price of US\$35 ( 240 Rmb ) including surface mail, by seeing Jan Swaan in the Press Room at the CNCC, or Brian Senior in the Bulletin Room at the BICC (through the back of the Open playing room).
The 2008 book will consist of 380 large pages, 44 more than normal, and this explains the price increase on previous years, which is also partly to offset the higher postal costs. The increased size is to cater to the fact that we have all the Youth events here which have not previously been a factor in the World Championship book. By adding extra pages, we don't need to reduce the coverage of the Open etc.
There will be substantial coverage of all events, including every board of the final and semi-finals of the Open and Women's Teams, with full results listings, Butler rankings, photographs etc. Principle analysts will be Brian Senior, Barry Rigal and John Carruthers. This year's guest writers are Mark Horton, Geo Tislevoll and Marc Smith.
If you have a possible hand for inclusion, do feel free to send it to me at bsenior@hotmail.com.
There have been some problems with copies of last year's book being lost in the post. If you bought a copy in Shanghai and it has still not reached you, speak to Brian Senior in the Youth Daily Bulletin Office, at the BICC. I have a few copies with me.

## SENIOR TEAMS



| ROUND 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROUP K |  |  | GROUP L |  |  |
| I | Sweden | New Zealand |  | Italy | Egypt |
| 2 | France | Pakistan | 2 | Ireland | India |
| 3 | Hungary | South Africa | 3 | Canada | Poland |
| 4 | Kenya | Denmark | 4 | Finland | China |
| 5 | Chinese Taipei | Estonia |  | Belgium | Guadeloupe |
| 6 | USA | Brazil | 6 | Thailand | Germany |
| 7 | Wales | Japan |  | Netherlands | Indonesia |
|  | England | China Hong Kong |  | Australia | Reunion |


| ROUND 10 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROUP K |  | GROUP L |  |  |
| I Sweden | Wales |  | Italy | Netherlands |
| 2 New Zealand | China Hong Kong | 2 | Egypt | Reunion |
| 3 Brazil | Chinese Taipei |  | Germany | Belgium |
| 4 Estonia | Kenya |  | Guadeloupe | Finland |
| 5 Denmark | Hungary | 5 | China | Canada |
| 6 South Africa | France |  | Poland | Ireland |
| 7 Pakistan | England |  |  | Australia |
| 8 Japan | USA | 8 | Indonesia | Thailand |


| ROUND II |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROUP K |  |  | GROUP L |  |  |
| 1 | China Hong Kong | Pakistan | 1 | Reunion | India |
| 2 | England | South Africa | 2 | Australia | Poland |
| 3 | Wales | New Zealand | 3 | Netherlands | Egypt |
| 4 | Hungary | Estonia | 4 | Canada | Guadeloupe |
| 5 | Kenya | Brazil | 5 | Finland | Germany |
| 6 | Chinese Taipei | Japan |  | Belgium | Indonesia |
| 7 | USA | Sweden | 7 | Thailand | Italy |
| 8 | France | Denmark |  | Ireland | China |



## Bulgarian bravado or two tricks down I

by Maureen Dennison

Georgi Karakolev, sitting North, began to think the gods were against him in the match against Norway. He played, not very happily, in $4 \bigcirc$ on board 21 and was down two. Scoring up, he must have been delighted to find teammates had doubled the same contract for down three and 800 !
Not to be deterred, on the next board, he protected with 2NT over a weak $2 \triangleleft$ opening by East on this hand:
\& J 72 ® K 87 AK 6 Q J 107 !
You certainly could not call him a coward! Partner raised to 3 NT with his random 8 count. This, too, was not a success, failing again by two tricks!
Things quietened down until hand 26. This was the layout:
Board 26. Dealer East. Game All.

|  | , AK843 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | คK107632 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A |  |
|  | - ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |  |
| ¢ 102$\stackrel{A Q 1}{ }$ |  | - 196 |
|  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| $\diamond$ J 74 |  | $\diamond 985$ |
| -KJ72 | S | \& A Q 108654 |
|  | Q Q 75 |  |
|  | Q9854 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K Q 1062 |  |
|  | - 3 |  |


| West <br> Helness | North <br> Karakolev | East <br> Helgemo | South <br> Danailov |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 \%$ | $3 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | 68 | All Pass |  |

East cashed the A and led a diamond. The Q gave entry to the table for the heart finesse at trick three. East covered with the jack but when East showed out, claimed two down. I cannot understand Norwegian, but I think Helness was quietly enquiring from his partner why no double with two certain trump tricks!
On the next deal, our intrepid hero bid all the way to 5 . East led $\mathbf{~} \mathrm{K}$, dummy's singleton, overtaken with the ace for a heart switch through declarer. This time he conceded two down at the second trick! The next problem hand was Board 29. In the closed room, North-South were allowed to play peacefully in the solid 4s making six when the opponents slipped a trick in the wash. Helgamo was not so kind! He made one more sacrifice bid of $5 \%$ for the road. Georgi would not be denied. Holding
sK 63 هA $4 \diamond$ A Q 6532 \& 5 5,
he took the push but chose $6 \diamond$ ! This time it went to trick II before the regulation two under tricks went to the defence! In spite of all this, Bulgaria finished ahead by 3I IMPs for a 22-8 win!

## OPENTEAMS RESULTS

| GROUP A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| 1 | BYE | France | 0-0 | 0-18 |
| 2 | Slovakia | Pakistan | 49-44 | 16-14 |
| 3 | South Africa | Japan | 49-48 | 15-15 |
| 4 | Kenya | Finland | 25-31 | 14-16 |
| 5 | Estonia | Canada | 47-72 | 9-21 |
| 6 | Brazil | Trinidad and Tobago | 35-32 | 16-14 |
| 7 | Ireland | Denmark | 38-33 | 16-14 |
| 8 | China Macau | Italy | 24-27 | 14-16 |
| 9 | Romania | Albania | 33-18 | 18-12 |
| GROUP C |  |  |  |  |
| Match |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| 1 | Bermuda | Bosnia \& Herzegovina | 65-28 | 24-6 |
| 2 | Egypt | Singapore | 44-19 | 21-9 |
| 3 | Poland | Chile | 56-27 | 22-8 |
| 4 | Georgia | Bulgaria | 52-54 | 15-15 |
| 5 | Norway | Bangladesh | 44-42 | 15-15 |
| 6 | Guadeloupe | New Zealand | 33-35 | 15-15 |
| 7 | Spain | Iceland | 38-49 | 13-17 |
| 8 | Ukraine | Belgium | 46-44 | 15-15 |
| 9 | Chinese Taipei | Morocco | 58-19 | 24-6 |


|  |  |  | GROUP B |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ma |  | IMP's | VP's |
|  | 1 | French Polynesia | San Marino | 32-53 | 10-20 |
|  | 2 | Russia | Sweden | 26-42 | 11-19 |
|  | 3 | China | Netherlands | 41-52 | 13-17 |
|  | 4 | Hungary | Mexico | 31-25 | 16-14 |
|  | 5 | Austria | India | 13-79 | 1-25 |
|  | 6 | Scotland | Portugal | 33-71 | 6-24 |
| N | 7 | Israel | Latvia | 86-9 | 25-0 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 8 | Korea | China Hong Kong | 31-75 | 5-25 |
| - | 9 | Jamaica | Argentina | 17-51 | 7-23 |
|  |  |  | GROUP D |  |  |
| $\propto$ |  | Ma |  | IMP's | VP's |
|  | I | Botswana | Turkey | 39-75 | 7-23 |
|  | 2 | Philippines | England | 33-61 | 8-22 |
|  | 3 | Jordan | Reunion | 42-34 | 17-13 |
|  | 4 | USA | Greece | 49-24 | 21-9 |
|  | 5 | Thailand | Switzerland | 50-15 | 23-7 |
|  | 6 | Lebanon | Venezuela | 50-31 | 19-11 |
|  | 7 | Serbia | Indonesia | 43-48 | 14-16 |
|  | 8 | Australia | Germany | 17-56 | 6-24 |
|  | 9 | Lithuania | Belarus | 44-56 | 12-18 |
|  |  |  | GROUP B |  |  |
|  |  | Ma |  | IMP's | VP's |
|  | 1 | Israel | Korea | 67-14 | 25-3 |
|  | 2 | China Hong Kong | Jamaica | 60-24 | 23-7 |
|  | 3 | Argentina | Scotland | 76-24 | 25-4 |
|  | 4 | Portugal | Austria | 32-57 | 9-21 |
|  | 5 | India | Hungary | 44-57 | 12-18 |
|  | 6 | Mexico | China | 16-94 | 0-25 |
| $\infty$ | 7 | Netherlands | Russia | 37-40 | 14-16 |
| 0 | 8 | Latvia | San Marino | 30-71 | 6-24 |
| 7 | 9 | Sweden | French Polynesia | 33-39 | 14-16 |
|  |  |  | GROUP D |  |  |
| $\mathfrak{C}$ |  | Ma |  | IMP's | VP's |
|  | , | Serbia | Australia | 44-46 | 15-15 |
|  | 2 | Germany | Lithuania | 80-41 | 24-6 |
|  | 3 | Belarus | Lebanon | 36-25 | 17-13 |
|  | 4 | Venezuela | Thailand | 25-105 | 0-25 |
|  | 5 | Switzerland | USA | 17-104 | 0-25 |
|  | 6 | Greece | Jordan | 46-37 | 17-13 |
|  | 7 | Reunion | Philippines | 29-37 | 13-17 |
|  | 8 | Indonesia | Turkey | 32-30 | 15-15 |
|  | 9 | England | Botswana | 81-20 | 25-2 |


| GROUP A |  |  |  |  |  | GROUP B |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Match |  | IMP's | VP's |  |  |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| I | France | Pakistan | 48-32 | 19-11 |  | 1 | San Marino | Sweden | 24-61 | 6-24 |
| 2 | BYE | Japan | 0-0 | 0-18 |  | 2 | French Polynesia | Netherlands | 31-42 | 13-17 |
| 3 | Slovakia | Finland | 22-56 | 7-23 |  | 3 | Russia | Mexico | 51-33 | 19-11 |
| 4 | South Africa | Canada | 70-16 | 25-3 |  | 4 | China | India | 49-53 | 14-16 |
| 5 | Kenya | Trinidad and Tobago | 43-52 | 13-17 |  | 5 | Hungary | Portugal | 49-43 | 16-14 |
| 6 | Estonia | Albania | 36-28 | 17-13 |  | 6 | Austria | Argentina | 58-20 | 24-6 |
| 7 | Brazil | Italy | 4-94 | 0-25 | 0 | 7 | Scotland | China Hong Kong | 47-53 | 14-16 |
| 8 | Romania | Ireland | 50-63 | 12-18 | 0 | 8 | Jamaica | Israel | 37-49 | 12-18 |
| 9 | China Macau | Denmark | 24-76 | 4-25 | 9 | 9 | Korea | Latvia | 22-66 | 5-25 |
| GROUP C |  |  |  |  |  | GROUP D |  |  |  |  |
| Match |  |  | IMP's | VP's | $\square$ | Match |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| I | Bosnia \& Herzegovina | Singapore | 83-27 | 25-3 |  | I | Turkey | England | 18-58 | 6-24 |
| 2 | Bermuda | Chile | 78-37 | 24-6 |  | 2 | Botswana | Reunion | 46-68 | 10-20 |
| 3 | Egypt | Bulgaria | 33-36 | 14-16 |  | 3 | Philippines | Greece | 39-19 | 20-10 |
| 4 | Poland | Bangladesh | 55-3 | 25-4 |  | 4 | Jordan | Switzerland | 22-52 | 8-22 |
| 5 | Georgia | New Zealand | 26-38 | 12-18 |  | 5 | USA | Venezuela | 25-22 | 16-14 |
| 6 | Norway | Morocco | 60-48 | 18-12 |  | 6 | Thailand | Belarus | 22-89 | 1-25 |
| 7 | Guadeloupe | Belgium | 14-42 | 8-22 |  | 7 | Lebanon | Germany | 55-56 | 15-15 |
| 8 | Chinese Taipei | Spain | 33-27 | 16-14 |  | 8 | Lithuania | Serbia | 28-41 | 12-18 |
| 9 | Ukraine | Iceland | 80-43 | 24-6 |  | 9 | Australia | Indonesia | 41-73 | 7-23 |

WOMEN TEAMS RESULTS

## SENIOR TEAMS RESULTS

| GROUP E |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| 1 | Guadeloupe | England | 11-29 | 11-19 |
| 2 | Belarus | Thailand | 61-14 | 25-4 |
| 3 | Trinidad and Tobago | Japan | 19-57 | 6-24 |
| 4 | China Hong Kong | India | 41-40 | 15-15 |
| 5 | Egypt | Italy | 23-60 | 6-24 |
| 6 | Reunion | Palestine | 47-42 | 16-14 |
| 7 | Brazil | Portugal | 22-24 | 15-15 |
| 8 | Lithuania | USA | 31-43 | 12-18 |
| 9 | Norway | Poland | 33-54 | 10-20 |
| GROUP F |  |  |  |  |
| Match |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| 1 | Kenya | Philippines | 10-72 | 2-25 |
| 2 | Argentina | Serbia | 25-35 | 13-17 |
| 3 | Spain | Australia | 40-38 | 15-15 |
| 4 | Venezuela | China | 45-31 | 18-12 |
| 5 | Estonia | Denmark | 37-40 | 14-16 |
| 6 | Indonesia | France | 16-35 | 11-19 |
| 7 | Russia | Scotland | 43-59 | 11-19 |
| 8 | Jordan | Canada | 35-43 | 13-17 |
| 9 | Bermuda | Finland | 19-45 | 9-21 |
| GROUP G |  |  |  |  |
| Match |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| 1 | South Africa | Latvia | 58-63 | 14-16 |
| 2 | New Zealand | Turkey | 21-33 | 12-18 |
| 3 | Sweden | Pakistan | 28-30 | 15-15 |
| 4 | Singapore | Hungary | 25-38 | 12-18 |
| 5 | Morocco | Ireland | 42-16 | 21-9 |
| 6 | Jamaica | Barbados | 20-57 | 6-24 |
| 7 | Greece | Mexico | 53-62 | 13-17 |
| 8 | Chinese Taipei | Korea | 58-21 | 24-6 |
| 9 | Germany | Netherlands | 50-10 | 24-6 |



| GROUP E |  |  |  |  |  | GROUP K |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Match IMP's VP's |  |  |  |  | Match |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| 1 | Brazil | Lithuania | 78-32 | 25-5 |  |  | Japan | Sweden | 58-51 | 16-14 |
| 2 | USA | Norway | 50-27 | 20-10 |  |  | Brazil | Wales | 32-47 | 12-18 |
| 3 | Poland | Reunion | 58-26 | 23-7 |  |  | Estonia | USA | 34-45 | 13-17 |
| 4 | Palestine | Egypt | 21-47 | 9-21 |  | 4 | Denmark | Chinese Taipei | -34-53 | 11-19 |
| 5 | Italy | China Hong Kong | $50-32$ $21-54$ | $19-11$ $7-23$ |  |  | Denmark | Chinese Taipei Kenya | - $67-16$ | 11-19 |
| 7 | India Japan | Trinidad and Tobago Belarus | 21-54 $69-15$ | $7-23$ $25-3$ |  | 6 | Pakistan | Hungary | 43-46 | 14-16 |
| 8 | Portugal | England | 16-65 | 4-25 |  |  | China Hong Kong | France | 57-30 | 21-9 |
| 9 | Thailand | Guadeloupe | 54-33 | 20-10 |  | 8 | New Zealand | England | 9-60 | 4-25 |
| GROUP F |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Match |  | IMP's | VP's |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Russia | Jordan | 54-33 | 20-10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Canada | Bermuda | 69-43 | 21-9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Finland | Indonesia | 30-48 | 11-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | France | Estonia | 31-33 | 15-15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Denmark | Venezuela | 71-14 | 25-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Australia | Argentina | 37-42 | -14-16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Scotland | Philippines | 44-36 | 17-13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Serbia | Kenya | 42-25 | 19-11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GROUP G |  |  |  |  |  | GROUP L |  |  |  |  |
|  | Match |  | IMP's | VP's |  | Match |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| 1 | Greece | Chinese Taipei | 22-44 | 10-20 |  | 1 | Indonesia | Italy | 47-14 | 23-7 |
| 2 | Korea | Germany | 15-58 | 5-25 |  |  | Germany | Netherlands | 77-11 | 25-1 |
| 3 | Netherlands | Jamaica | 94-0 | 25-0 |  |  | Guadeloupe | Thailand | 63-46 | 19-11 |
| 4 | Barbados | Morocco | $35-40$ $42-38$ | 14-16 |  | 4 | China | Belgium | 79-13 | 25-1 |
| 5 | Ireland Hungary | Singapore | 42-38 | $16-14$ $4-25$ |  | 5 | Poland | Finland | 64-51 | 18-12 |
| 7 | Pakistan | New Zealand | 51-28 | 20-10 |  | 6 | India | Canada | 51-49 | 15-15 |
| 8 | Mexico | Latvia | 53-58 | 14-16 |  | 7 | Reunion | Ireland | 50-61 | 13-17 |
| 9 | Turkey | South Africa | 57-38 | 19-11 |  | 8 | Egypt | Australia | 50-53 | 14-16 |

## WOMEN TEAMS RESULIS

| ROUND 9 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROUP E |  |  |  |  |
| Match IMP's VP's |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | England | Thailand | 103-3 | 25-0 |
| 2 | Guadeloupe | Japan | 45-53 | 13-17 |
| 3 | Belarus | India | 32-40 | 13-17 |
| 4 | Trinidad and Tobago | Italy | 27-62 | 7-23 |
| 5 | China Hong Kong | Palestine | 72-23 | 25-4 |
| 6 | Egypt | Poland | 41-63 | 10-20 |
| 7 | Reunion | USA | 14-93 | 0-25 |
| 8 | Norway | Brazil | 55-36 | 19-11 |
| 9 | Lithuania | Portugal | 63-50 | 18-12 |
| GROUP F |  |  |  |  |
| Match IMP's VP's |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Philippines | Serbia | 40-35 | 16-14 |
| 2 | Kenya | Australia | 13-77 | 2-25 |
| 3 | Argentina | China | 24-123 | 0-25 |
| 4 | Spain | Denmark | 51-31 | 20-10 |
| 5 | Venezuela | France | 39-67 | 8-22 |
| 6 | Estonia | Finland | 10-85 | 0-25 |
| 7 | Indonesia | Canada | 29-34 | 14-16 |
| 8 | Bermuda | Russia | 53-50 | 16-14 |
| 9 | Jordan | Scotland | 31-38 | 14-16 |

## GROUP G

|  |  | Match | IMP's | VP's |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Latvia | Turkey | $67-54$ | $18-12$ |
| 2 | South Africa | Pakistan | $47-32$ | $18-12$ |
| 3 | New Zealand | Hungary | $20-58$ | $6-24$ |
| 4 | Sweden | Ireland | $37-38$ | $15-15$ |
| 5 | Singapore | Barbados | $58-24$ | $23-7$ |
| 6 | Morocco | Netherlands | $31-29$ | $15-15$ |
| 7 | Jamaica | Korea | $45-40$ | $16-14$ |
| 8 | Germany | Greece | $78-28$ | $25-4$ |
| 9 | Chinese Taipei | Mexico | $22-63$ | $6-24$ |

## Today's

## Coverage

ROUND 10 - II. 00
Denmark - Pakistan (O)
New Zealand - Poland (O)
India -Russia (O)
USA - Egypt (W)
Israel - Scotland (O)
Denmark - Argentina (W)
ROUND II - 14.20
USA - Germany (O)
Sweden - Netherlands (O)
Norway - Spain (O)

South Africa - France (S - IOth Round) BBO
China - Argentina (O)
Spain - Finland (W)
ROUND 12-17.10
Argentina - Russia (O)
France - Canada (O)
Italy - South Africa (O)
OurGames
OurGames

Chinese Taipei - Japan (S - I Ith Round)
BBO
BBO
China Hong Kong - China (O)
China - Serbia (W)

## Intra-ducing lan Thomson

by Ron Klinger

Readers can follow Australia's progress in Ron's blog of the Championships at www.ronklingerbridge.com
This is the first world championship for Australia's lan Thomson, affectionately known as 'Thommo'. He brought 3NT home neatly on this deal from Round 5.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.
K K 62
$\bigcirc$ Q
$\diamond$ A Q 83
\& K 765

- A 1094
© AJ 42
$\diamond 102$
- A 98

| N | ¢ J 7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc 9863$ |
|  | $\checkmark$ J965 |
| S | \& 1032 |
| - Q 83 |  |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 1075$ |  |
| $\diamond$ K 74 |  |
| \& Q J 4 |  |


| West | North <br> Richard Brightling | East | South <br> Ian Thomson |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1\$ |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 2NT |
| AN Pass |  |  |  |

## Lead 82

The queen of hearts won and a club to the queen held. A diamond to the queen was followed by a club to the jack and ace. West exited with a club, taken by the king. Thommo played a spade to his eight andWest's nine.This was the position


This time West exited with the ten of diamonds, taken by the ace.After cashing the thirteenth club (South and West throwing a heart) Thommo played the five of spades: jack queen - ace. West returned the four of spades and dummy's six held. Making nine tricks for +400 .

## OPEN SERIES RANKING AFTER 9 ROUNDS

| GROUP A | GROUP B |
| :---: | :---: |
| I Italy 185 | I Israel 194 |
| 2 Denmark 165.50 | 2 India 175 |
| 3 Estonia 162 | 3 Netherlands 169 |
| 4 Canada 155.50 | 4 China 165 |
| 5 South Africa 152 | 5 Hungary 157 |
| 6 Romania 145.50 | 6 Russia 148 |
| 7 Ireland 144 | Portugal 148 |
| 8 France 141.50 | 8 Sweden 146 |
| 9 Brazil 141 | 9 Argentina 142 |
|  | 10 Austria 141 |
| 10 Japan 136 | II China Hong Kong 138 |
| II Pakistan 132 | 12 San Marino 129 |
| 12 Finland 125 | 13 Scotland 120 |
| 13 China Macau 121 | 14 Latvia II5 |
| 14 Slovakia II3 | 15 Mexico 87 |
| 15 Trinidad \& Tobago 103 | 16 Jamaica 83 |
| 16 Kenya 101 | 17 French Polynesia 80 |
| 17 Albania 69.50 | 18 Korea 45 |


| GROUP C |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| I | Poland | 191 |
| 2 | Norway | 184.50 |
| 3 | Spain | 161 |
| 4 | Chinese Taipei | 158 |
| 5 | Guadeloupe | 150 |
| 6 | Bulgaria | 146 |
| 7 | Egypt | 141 |
| 8 | Belgium | 139 |
| 9 | Ukraine | 138.75 |
| IO New Zealand | 130 |  |
| II Bangladesh | 125.50 |  |
| I2 Georgia | 124 |  |
| I3 Bosnia \& Herzegovina | 118 |  |
| I4 Iceland | 115.50 |  |
| I5 Bermuda | 107.50 |  |
| I6 Chile | 103 |  |
| I7 Singapore | 98 |  |
| I8 Morocco | 60.75 |  |


| GROUP D |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| I | Germany |
| 2 USA | 191 |
| 3 England | 183 |
| 4 Indonesia | 170 |
| 5 Turkey | 163 |
| 6 Belarus | 156 |
| 7 Thailand | 147 |
| 8 Lebanon | 142 |
| 9 Serbia | 137 |
| 10 Greece | 131 |
| II Reunion | 127 |
| 12 Jordan | 117 |
| Switzerland | 117 |
| 14 Australia | 113.50 |
| I5 Philippines | 109 |
| 16 Lithuania | 87 |
| I7 Botswana | 72 |
| 18 Venezuela | 63.60 |

## WOMEN SERIES RANKING AFTER 9 ROUNDS

| GROUP E |  | GROUP F |  | GROUP G |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I England | 193 | I Spain | 175 | I Germany | 187 |
| 2 USA | 172 | 2 China | 173.50 | 2 Sweden | 169 |
| 3 Italy | 167 | 3 Finland | 167 | 3 Hungary | 162.50 |
| 4 Poland | 157 | 4 France | 159 | 4 Singapore | 162 |
| 5 China Hong Kong | 151 | 5 Philippines | 156 | 5 Netherlands | 156 |
| 6 Japan | 146 | 6 Russia | 149 | 6 Turkey | 143 |
| 7 Brazil | 144 | 7 Venezuela | 148 | 7 South Africa | 141 |
| 8 Norway | 133 | Denmark | 148 | Morocco | 141 |
| 9 Lithuania | 130 | 9 Australia | 145 | Chinese Taipei | 141 |
| 10 India | 129.50 | 10 Scotland | 143 | 10 Mexico | 134 |
| II Belarus | 127.25 | II Indonesia | 131.50 | II Korea | 121 |
| 12 Egypt | 122 | 12 Canada | 130 | Greece | 121 |
| 13 Portugal | 113 | 13 Serbia | 122 | 13 Latvia | 120 |
| 14 Guadeloupe | 108 | 14 Argentina | 107 | 14 Ireland | 117 |
| Thailand | 108 | 15 Estonia | 106 | 15 Barbados | 116 |
| 16 Trinidad and Tobago | 107 | 16 Jordan | 105 | 16 New Zealand | 109 |
| 17 Palestine | 100 | 17 Bermuda | 72 | 17 Pakistan | 102 |
| 18 Reunion | 95.50 | 18 Kenya | 46 | 18 Jamaica | 59.50 |

## SENIOR SERIES RANKING AFTER 8 ROUNDS

## GROUP K

| I USA | 168 | 9 New Zealand | 117 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Japan | 156 | 10 Wales | 116.50 |
| 3 | France | 131 | 11 Estonia | 114 |
| 4 | China Hong Kong | 128 | 12 Pakistan | 110.50 |
| 4 | England | 128 | 13 Denmark | 107.50 |
| 6 | Chinese Taipei | 126.50 | 14 Sweden | 104 |
| 7 | South Africa | 124 | 15 Brazil | 94 |
| 8 Hungary | 118 | 16 Kenya | 61 |  |

## GROUP L

| I Indonesia | 166 | 9 Netherlands | 112 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 Australia | 163 | 10 Italy | 105 |
| 3 Canada | 147 | 11 Belgium | 101.50 |
| 4 Poland | 145.50 | 12 Guadeloupe | 97 |
| 5 Germany | 133 | 13 Ireland | 94.50 |
| 6 Egypt | 125 | 14 Finland | 87.50 |
| 7 India | 124 | 15 Thailand | 85.50 |
| 8 China | 113.50 | 16 Reunion | 73 |

## Will someone please shut me up!

by Marc Smith

Let's start with a bidding problem. With your side only vulnerable, your hand is...


The INT opening is 15-I7, partner's Two Spades can be expected to deliver a 6-card suit, and RHO's Three Diamonds is forcing. This looks like a straightforward choice between 'Pass' and 'Three Spades'. Which do you prefer?
Would it make the problem more interesting if I tell you that your choice is going to make a difference of 18 IMPs?
Practice makes perfect, or so they say. Whether you are trying to iron out glitches in your golf swing, get to the stage where you can find third gear without grinding it, or make your competitive bidding effective, repeatedly doing something the right way time after time is an effective way to make it an automatic action. Unfortunately, most of us do not learn the first time we are told something. Some of us need to be told more often than others, and I'm sure the average golf or driving instructor would claim that no one could need telling more often than some of his students.
Experience suggests that bridge players, whether you are teaching beginners, intermediates, advanced players or even coaching internationals, can need reminding more than once before they start doing something automatically. My long-term students (yes, some do come back more than once) eventually learn that the most effective way to shut me up is by simply doing what I tell them over and over.
In my view, one of the most important lessons to learn about competitive bidding is: 'when you have support for partner's suit, raise'. (At this point, you will have worked out what I think you should do with the hand above.) Most of my students will tell you that they've heard me say this a hundred times and yet, occasionally, some still decide that "it doesn't apply here because..." You can fill in your own end to that sentence - the most common explanation (excuse?) usually ends with "I only had ... points".
One player on the opening day of this championship learned the hard way why I repeat this same mantra over and over. This was the full deal from the second match in the Open Championship...

## - Q 75

คA1096
$\diamond$ Q 3
\& 983
$\perp 10$
$\bigcirc 42$
$\diamond$ K 9875
\&K Q J 72


4 AJ86432
〉K 853
$\checkmark$ -
106

At one table, the auction was fairly straightforward and not unreasonable...

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | INT | 24 |
| 3\% | 34 | 3NT | 49 |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Declarer was not tested and easily wrapped up 10 tricks; N/S +790.
At the other table, N/S were playing one of the three worst conventions ever devised, but that in itself was not what cost them. This was the auction:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | INT | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2 4}$ |
| $3 \triangleleft$ | Pass!! | $3 N T$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

South's Two Club overcall was Cappelletti, showing any singlesuited hand, and when West's double was passed back to him he revealed his suit. West's Three Diamond bid now put the North player in the same effective position as I posed at the top of this article. His pass suggests that he has not yet heard me say 'when you have support for partner's suit, raise' often enough.
Predictably, East tried 3NT. When this was passed back to North he had, presumably, discovered the ace of hearts that he hadn't noticed earlier, so he now considered his motley collection worthy of some action. He clearly wasn't worth Four Spades and Three Spades was no longer an option, so he expressed his opinion with a double.
Suitably encouraged by this development, South dutifully led a low spade. Unfortunately, declarer is still cashing winners now 12 of them in all. N/S -850 and an I8-IMP swing.
Yes, of course, had South been clairvoyant he would have led a low heart and the defenders would have taken the first nine tricks for a penalty of IIOO. Yes, if North had bid Three Spades in the actual auction and it had continued (3NT)-4-(Dbl) East might have 'saved' in Five Diamonds, but we'll never know. (Of course, I could then blame Cappelletti for the lost IMPs.) Yes, South might have pulled the double to Four Spades since he had seven of them, but he had already shown a 6-card suit and to expect him to get this sort of decision right is just putting too much pressure on a partnership.
The point is, had North followed the simple rule -- 'when you have support for partner's suit, raise' (see, you're already fed up with hearing it) - he would have given his side a much better chance of flattening the deal and avoiding 18 IMPs in the minus column. Hopefully, though all is not lost - the next time he has support for his partner and is thinking of passing, perhaps he will stop and think "If I don't raise and it's wrong I'm going to have to listen to Smith and his stupid ditties again, so l'll raise just to shut him up."

Mission accomplished, Captain.

## Deep finesse

No, the headline is not a reference to the fine software created by Bill Bailey and used by bridge writers (sometimes to excess) all over the world. The phrase does apply to the fine declarer play exhibited by Ahsan Qureshi of Pakistan on this deal from round two of the Open series. Pakistan's opponent was Romania.

Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.


- A 87
$\diamond$ K Q 543
\& 8

| AK 5 <br> -Q 109654 <br> $\checkmark 6$ <br> * A Q 6 | N |  | ¢ 976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | W E |  | $\checkmark$ A J 1097 |
|  | S |  | \& 10752 |
|  | -10832 |  |  |
|  | ¢KJ 32 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 82$ |  |  |
|  | - K 94 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 200 | Pass | 320 | Pass |
| $3{ }^{1}$ | Pass | 4* | Pass |
| 48 | Pass | 58 | All Pass |

North led the $\triangleleft K$, taken in dummy with the ace as South signaled with the 8 . Qureshi looked at dummy's nearly solid diamond suit and ran the 7 to North's queen, pitching the $\$ 5$ from hand. North played the Q , won by Qureshi in hand. A heart ruff was followed by the $\diamond$ J, ruffed by South with the 9 and overruffed by Qureshi with the queen. Qureshi cashed the $\Phi \mathrm{K}$, ruffed a heart to dummy and played the $\forall I O$. South ruffed with the $\$ K$, declarer overruffed with the trump ace and followed with the to dummy's 7, necessary if North had started with three trumps. Qureshi pulled trumps and conceded a spade at the end for a well-earned plus 600 .

Unfortunately, the team lost I3 IMPs on the deal anyway. At the other table, this was the bidding:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 8 |  | Pass | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2 0}$ | $2 \diamond$ | INT | Pass |
|  | $2 \diamond$ | Dble | All Pass |

That was five down and minus 1400 .
Three rounds later, Qureshi's partner, Jahangir Ahmed, had his own chance to shine.


East started with a low diamond. Ahmed could have done very well by ducking, but he put up dummy's king. West won the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ and shifted to a low spade, taken by Ahmed with the jack. Three rounds of clubs put West back on lead, and he continued with the $\diamond 2$. Ahmed pitched a spade and took the $\diamond J$ with the queen. The top two hearts brought the good news when the queen dropped, but the defenders still had a stopper. Ahmed cashed the $\S \mathrm{J}$ and put West in with the $\vee I O$. With nothing left but spades, West had to surrender the ninth trick to declarer.
This time, the good result was a push.

## World Transnational Mixed Teams

The registration desk for the Transnational Mixed Teams is by the Line Up Desk on the 4th floor at the CNCC. The opening hours will be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 11.00-13.00 \text { hours } 14.20-16.00 \text { hours } \\
& 17.10-19.00 \text { hours }
\end{aligned}
$$

Players seeking partners and/or teammates may put their names on the lists at the desk, leaving contact details (hotel and room number or cell phone) so that they can be contacted by others wishing to play.
If you already have a complete team, please register their names at the Line Up Desk - we need to have a paper with :• the team name $\cdot$ the players' names (first name - last name) • country
Please print everything in block capitals.
Please register your team as soon as possible so that we can have some idea of the number of teams. Teams will be accepted as long as we have sufficient space.

## WOMEN TEAMS R6

## The Hare \& the Tortoise

## by Mark Horton

Everyone must be familiar with Aesop's classic fable telling how the slow but sure Tortoise overcame the lightning fast hare - so join me now for the bridge equivalent, a contest between the Russian women's team, Olympiad winners in Istanbul, and a Canadian team that had scored an upset victory in their Country's final trial.

The match started with a very tough deal:
Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } 9543 \\ & \vee J 83 \\ & \diamond 15 \\ & \text { 632 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } 6 \\ & \diamond \text { AQ } 1094 \\ & \diamond \text { K } 1074 \\ & >74 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& J } 10 \\ & \text { \& } 65 \\ & \diamond \text { Q } 982 \\ & \& \text { AKQ } 95 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | S |  |  |
|  | - Q 872 |  |  |
|  | ¢K72 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 63 |  |  |
|  | - J 108 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Vorobeychikova | Gaudreaule | Chubarova | Lacroix |
|  | Pass | $1{ }^{*}$ * | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | 20 | Pass |
| 24* | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 4* | Pass |
| 4 | Pass | 5\% | Pass |
| 5 | All Pass |  |  |

The Russian pair, playing Polish Club, did well to steer clear of the doomed 3NT and declarer made light work of the diamond game. She won the spade lead with the ace and played three rounds of clubs to get rid of dummy's remaining spade. She ruffed a spade and ran the ten of diamonds. South took the ace and switched to a heart and declarer put in the queen, cashed the king of diamonds and claimed twelve tricks, +420 .

Closed Room

| West <br> Culham | North <br> Gromova | East <br> Fung | South <br> Ponomareva |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | Pass | $1 \mathbf{2 0}$ | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass |
| $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $3 \mathbf{Q}^{*}$ | Pass | 3 NT | All Pass |

If Three Spades was looking for some help in the suit East had to judge if her 10 was what partner needed. It was not enough this time, and South's automatic spade lead left declarer with little option but to hope that both heart honours were onside. That meant she was two down, - 100 and the Russia hare had raced into an II IMP lead.

Board 19. Dealer South. E/WVul.

- J 832
-KJ632
$\diamond 52$
- 7

| $\begin{aligned} & \& K Q 109 \\ & \diamond Q \\ & \diamond A K Q 73 \\ & \& K J 2 \end{aligned}$ | N | ¢ 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\bigcirc$ A 74 |
|  |  | $\checkmark 1086$ |
|  | S | 2986543 |
|  | - A 764 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 10985$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 94 |  |
|  | - Q 10 |  |

Open Room

| West <br> Vorobeychikova | North <br> Gaudreaule | East <br> Chubarova | South <br> Lacroix |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \otimes^{*}$ | $1 \varnothing$ | Pass | $2 \boxtimes$ |
| Dble | Pass | $2 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |



Olga Vorobeychikova, Russia

With four-card support one might have expected South to chose an alternative to Two Hearts, but even with plenty of room the Russian pair could not locate their nine card fit. A minor suit game depends not least on how declarer views the club suit - and the overcall combined with the location of the ace of spades should ensure that declarer does not go wrong.
North led a heart and declarer put up the ace, led a spade, won the diamond return, ruffed a spade and played a club to the jack, which quickly led to eleven tricks, +150 .

Closed Room

| West <br> Culham | North <br> Gromova | East <br> Fung | South <br> Ponomareva |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | $1 \otimes$ | Pass | $2 \varangle$ |
| Dble* | Pass | $3 \%$ | $3 \otimes$ |
| $4 \%$ | Pass | $5 \%$ | All Pass |

This time it was Canada's turn to do well in the bidding. Declarer won the heart lead and played a spade to the king. When that held she elected to cross ruff the majors and then exit with the king of clubs. North could take the ace, but the only other trick for the defence was the trump queen, +600 and 10 IMPs to Canada.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vorobeychikova | Gaudreaule | Chubarova | Lacroix |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 24* |
| Pass | 3\% | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | 4** |
| Pass | 4NT* | Pass | 5 ${ }^{*}$ |
| Dble | 6\% | All Pass |  |

South's Two Spade transfer established the club fit, and North/South were soon in a slam. East's diamond lead went to the jack, queen and ace and declarer's next, spectacularly unsuccessful move, was to play a low club to the king ace.
That technical error meant she was one down, -I00.

## Closed Room

| West Culham | North Gromova | East <br> Fung | South <br> Ponomareva |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | INT | Pass | 29* |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | $3{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Pass | $3 \checkmark$ | Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 3NT | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | 6\% |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Here too East led her diamond and with that suit good for three tricks declarer cashed the king of clubs. The 5-0 break was disclosed, but declarer's pips were good enough, and she lost only one trump trick, +1370 being worth 16 IMPs.

You might wish to consider the best line in Six Clubs on a passive lead. Suppose East tries a spade. One possibility is to win with the ace and play a club, intending to put in the eight if East follows. You expect to win the return, cash the king of clubs and if the suit breaks, play to ruff a heart. That will give you twelve tricks without needing to hazard the diamond finesse.

On Board 26 the West players were looking at: 102 ©AQJ $\diamond J 743$ KJJ2 and, at game all, saw partner open Three Clubs. When South passes what should you do?

Vorobeychikova chose to raise to Four Clubs while Culham tried a sneaky 3NT. Neither stopped North/South finding their ten card heart fit, and Four Hearts was flat at +620 .
Anyone for an obvious Five Clubs? Surely you should bid to the level you would like your opponents to play at?

That would surely have pushed North/South overboard, as North held: ©AK843 QKI07632 $\vee$ A 9.


Susan Calham, Canada

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.


West led the ace of spades and when East followed with the queen she accurately switched to the queen of clubs, so the contract was two down, -100.

## Closed Room

| West <br> Culham | North <br> Gromova | East <br> Fung | South <br> Ponomareva |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2\& | 3 3Q* | $3 \&$ | $4 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

As before, West led the ace of spades and East played the queen. The club switch also came, but this time West played the six. When declarer ducked in dummy East won with the king - and switched to a heart. That was all the help declarer needed. She finessed and West won and went back to clubs. It was too late, declarer taking the ace, drawing trumps, and setting up the hearts for +130 and 6 IMPs that left Russia ahead.

With two deals left Russia led 38-13, but the tortoise was about to come up on the rails.

Board 3I. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } 1095 \\ & \text { \& J } 8 \\ & \diamond \text { Q } 975 \\ & \text { Q Q } 98 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - K Q | N | ¢ 8764 |
| $\bigcirc 32$ |  | PAK 1064 |
| $\diamond 10642$ | W E | $\checkmark 3$ |
| -107642 | S | \& KJ3 |
|  | - J32 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 975 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKJ 8 |  |
|  | \& A 5 |  |


| Open Room <br> West | North <br> Vaudreaule | East <br> Chubarova | South <br> Lacroix |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | INT |
| Pass | $2 \mathbf{e n}^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \boxtimes$ |
| Pass | $2 \mathbf{e}^{*}$ | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

West led the seven of clubs for the eight, jack and ace and declarer played two rounds of spades. Rather than play a second club, giving declarer a guess she would surely have got right, West switched to a heart. East won and exited with a spade, but declarer could win and play on hearts, establishing the game going trick, +600 .

## Closed Room

| West <br> Culham | North <br> Gromova | East <br> Fung | South <br> Ponomareva |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | INT |
| Pass | $2 \mathbf{e n}^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \boxtimes$ |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Play started along similar lines, but when West got in with a spade she did play a second club and declarer put in the nine, claiming nine tricks when it held. That was +150 but a loss of 10 IMPs.

Board 32. Dealer West. E/W Vul.
© K J 107
$\checkmark$ A 9
$\diamond$ J 865
\& K 109

, AQ986542
$\bigcirc 8743$
$\checkmark 10$
0 -
Open Room
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{cccc}\text { West } \\
\text { Vorobeychikova }\end{array}
$$ $$
\begin{array}{ccc}\text { North } \\
\text { Gaudreaule }\end{array}
$$ \quad \begin{array}{c}East <br>

Chubarova\end{array}\right)\)| South |
| :---: |
| Lacroix |

Only East knows why she passed her partner's double of Four Spades.

Declarer won the heart lead, drew the outstanding trump and gave up a heart. West continued with a heart and declarer ruffed and played the nine of clubs.When East put up the ace declarer had a second overtrick, +790 .

## Closed Room

| West <br> Culham | North <br> Gromova | East <br> Fung | South <br> Ponomareva |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I $\varnothing$ | Dble | INT | $4 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| $5 \otimes$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

With four-card spade support was North wise to pass her partner's double? Not in my book.

She may have though it promised extras, whereas we can see it was Lightner style - and indeed had North found a club lead Five Hearts would have been defeated.

North led the ten of spades and declarer ruffed and led the ten of hearts. When that held she played the king of hearts, ruffed the spade return and drew the outstanding trumps, North throwing both her remaining spades.

When declarer cashed her top diamonds South discarded a spade. The contract was secure and the only question for declarer was that of a possible overtrick via the club finesse.

You may call me old fashioned, but would South overcall Four Spades on $4 A Q 98652 \checkmark 8743 \diamond 10$ ¢ K , or, perhaps more to the point, would North fail to bid Five Spades with


Of course this is all very easy from the comfort of my armchair, and we are only talking about one extra IMP. Declarer played safe for +850 and I7 IMPs.

The Canadian's tortoise had prevailed over the Russian's hare 40-38.


Pascale Gaudreault, Canada

## Fight for the Finnish

Round Six Qualifying

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.

- 1098
$\bigcirc$ Q J
$\diamond 87542$
- 764
- 3
ค A 10865
$\diamond 103$
* AQJ9 3
ⓀQ765
४432
$\diamond$ A 96
\& K 8
- AJ 42
-K97
$\diamond$ K Q J
\& 1052

Board 23 of round six provided a real declaring test for E/W if the defenders found the best opening lead. On Vugraph we saw the Swedish declarer make $4 \bigcirc$ easily on a black-suit lead. But for the Chinese we saw $4 \checkmark$ declared by East after West overcalled 2NT over INT to show hearts and clubs. The $\diamond K$ lead saw declarer in deep trouble. The winning solution is to cash $\vee A$ and play three rounds of clubs pitching a diamond from dummy, then lead the fourth club and pitch another diamond. Now North can ruff in from his remaining honour, but declarer will ruff a diamond to hand and finesse in trumps, to hold his loser to a spade and two trumps.
In fact declarer committed a slight inaccuracy and should have been punished. He played three rounds of clubs before taking the trump ace. Now North could have ruffed in and shifted to a spade. South takes the ace and plays a second diamond, giving dummy no entry to finesse in trumps after the ace is cashed.
In fact North missed the point and did not ruff in so the contract was now cold.
Although we do not yet have all the technology in place for a detailed survey, I was able to review all the tables in play on this deal.About half the field reached $4 \Omega$, mainly from the West seat, and thus only rarely on a diamond lead. Most declarers who DID receive a diamond lead went down; the honourable exceptions being the Finns, who made $4 \checkmark$ in all three series, in each case on a diamond lead! Well done them!


## Open Series Round 5 Denmark vs. Italy

by Phillip Alder

Fresh from their stunning loss to Albania in the fourth round, Italy was determined to right the listing ship against Denmark, the team lying second in the group, 12 victory points ahead of Italy.
I went into the Open Room to see the new Italian partnership of Giorgio Duboin and Antonio Sementa take on Morten Bilde and Jorgen Hanson.
In the other room, Fulvio Fantoni and Claudio Nunes played against Michael Askgaard and Gregers Bjarnarson. Italy got on the scoreboard immediately.

Board I. Dealer North. None vul.

$$
19742
$$

-AJ95
$\diamond A$ Q 10

- 9

(a) Spade support.
(b) In case partner is slamming, not just trying for game, South is suitable.


Gregers Bjarnarson, Denmark

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Askgaard |  | Bjarnarson |
|  | 19 | $2 \diamond$ | 4 |
| Dble All Pass | 49 | 5\% | 5 |

In the Closed Room, South's four-club response really backfired. West doubled to show club length, and East "sacrificed" in five clubs over four spades. Notice what five clubs costs -- it costs North-South minus 400 or 550. South went on to five spades, but that was one too high. Both declarers lost one heart, one diamond and one club.
Plus 420 and plus 50 gave Italy 10 IMPs
Board 2. Dealer East. North-South vul.

|  | ¢ J 108732 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ - |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 92 |  |  |
|  | \& K Q J 7 |  |  |
| - AK 4 |  |  |  |
| ¢J986 |  |  | 5432 |
| $\diamond 4$ | W |  | 65 |
| 9 A 6542 |  |  | \% 9 |
|  | $\pm 9$ |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A |  |  |
|  | ¢ 1083 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Hanson | Sementa | Bilde | Duboin |
|  |  | $1 \bigcirc^{(a)}$ | Pass |
| $2 \mathrm{NT}^{\left({ }^{(b)}\right.}$ | Pass | 390(c) | Pass |
| 39 ${ }^{(d)}$ | Pass | 490 ${ }^{(0)}$ | Pass |
| $4 \mathrm{NT}^{()^{(1)}}$ | Pass | 50\% | Pass |
| 5 | All Pass |  |  |

(a) Four-plus hearts; Bilde and Hanson use Acol.
(b) Four-plus hearts, game-invitational or stronger.
(c) A minimum opening.
(d) Asking for a singleton.
(e) Singleton or void club.
(f) Roman Key Card Blackwood.
(g) One key card.

| West <br> Nunes | North <br> Askgaard | East <br> Fantoni | South <br> Bjarnarson |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4 \Omega$ | $4 \bigvee^{(a)}$ | Pass |  |
| $4 \Omega$ | Pass | Pass |  |
| Dbe | All Pass |  |  |

(a) Five-plus hearts, I0-I3 points (or a good 9).

Sementa thought for a while about bidding three spades over two notrump, but the adverse vulnerability persuaded him to pass. At the other table, though, from Askgaard's point of view, West could have been trying for some daylight robbery.
Five hearts was unlucky to go down one, losing two hearts and one diamond.
Against four spades doubled, East led his singleton club, West winning with the ace and giving his partner a ruff. The defense still had three trump tricks to come for down two.
Plus 50 and plus 500 gave Italy II IMPs.
On the third board, with only his side vulnerable, Bilde held:

\[

\]

South passed, West opened one weak notrump, and North passed. What would you do?
Many would pass, expecting the auction to end, or for South to bid a suit. But Bilde responded two clubs, not Stayman but a puppet to two diamonds. Then he passed when partner, as requested, rebid two diamonds.
This was not a great spot opposite

$$
\pm A 1094
$$

$\bigcirc$ AJ 42
$\checkmark 102$

- A 98

The defenders could have taken this down four, but they badly lost their way, letting declarer take seven tricks for down one.
In the other room, West went down two in two hearts and Denmark gained 3 IMPs.
After an overtrick IMP to Italy, these were the East-West cards:


Giorgio Duboin, Italy

Board 5. Dealer North. North-South vul.

| - A 9 | N | -1053 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ 1062 |  |  | 54 |
| $\diamond$ KJ 932 |  |  |  |
| - 4 | S |  | 075 |
| West | North | East | South |
| Hanson | Sementa | Bilde | Duboin |
|  | Pass | Pass | 19 |
| 29 ${ }^{\left({ }^{\text {a }}\right.}$ | Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ | All pass |

(a) Michaels Cue-Bid

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nunes | Askgaard | Fantoni | Bjarnarson |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \mathrm{~S}^{()^{\text {a }}}$ |
| Dble ${ }^{(b)}$ | Redble | $2 \bigcirc$ | 24 |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

(a) Precision: 16-plus points.
(b) At least 4-4 in the reds or blacks.

Four hearts was laydown, and four spades would have gone down two. Minus 140 (Bilde played cautiously) and plus 420 gave Italy 7 IMPs.
Now let's have three bidding problems.
I.With the opponents vulnerable, you pick up:

- 1094
$\checkmark$ Q J
$\diamond 973$
\& 108753

| West | North <br> You | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | Redble $^{(c)}$ | Pble ${ }^{(\text {b })}$ |
| Pass | $?$ |  |  |

(a) 12-14 points.
(b) For penalty.
(c) Forced.

What would you do?
2. With both sides vulnerable, you have:


What would you do?
3. With both sides vulnerable, you hold:

|  | $\wedge K$ $\diamond 8$ $\diamond 9$ $* A$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The bidding starts like this: |  |  |  |
| West | You |  |  |
|  |  | Pass | I $\diamond$ |
| Dbl. | Redble ${ }^{(a)}$ | Pass | Pass |
| 1s | Pass ${ }^{(b)}$ | Pass | 2\% |
| Pass | ? |  |  |

(a) Do you agree?
(b) Double would have shown two or three spades.

What would you do?
On the first quiz hand, Sementa ran to two clubs. Correct! He made that and one notrump redoubled would have come home.
At the other table, Bjarnarson made two spades to gain an IMP.
On the second hand, Bilde cautiously passed. In theory correct, in practice wrong. If he had bid one notrump, the final contract would surely have been three notrump, which would have made unless the opponents were psychic.
At the other table, South did not open the $3=2=3=5 \mathrm{II}$ count and Nunes ended in three notrump, which made when his opponents were not psychic.
Minus 110 and plus 600 gave Italy another 10 IMPs and the lead by 49-4.


Morten Bilden, Denmark

This was the full deal for the third quiz question:
Board IO. Dealer East. Both vul.

- KJ9652

ค 876
$\diamond 9$
AK 8

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } 2 \\ & \text { Q } 32 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |



- 1043

Q Q 3
$\diamond$ J 10764

- 194
- 

© AKJ9
$\diamond$ K Q 853

- 10765

| West <br> Hanson | North Sementa | East <br> Bilde | South Duboin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | I $\diamond$ |
| Dble | Redble | Pass | Pass |
| 19 | Pass ${ }^{()^{2}}$ | Pass | 29 |
| Pass | Pass! | Pass |  |

(a) 0,1 or 4 -plus spades.

| West <br> Nunes | North <br> Askgaard | East <br> Fantoni | South <br> Bjarnarson |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | I $\diamond$ |
| Dbl. | IS | Pass | INT |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{e s}$ | All pass |  |

I think most players would bid one spade over West's double with that North hand. And Askgaard brought home two spades.
Sementa did well to pass out two clubs, which just made. But after the opening bid had been made and before seeing the dummy, Duboin asked in Italian, "Do you have four spades or five?"
Sementa did not reply.
"Oh, no, six!!"
One spade doubled could have been beaten by three tricks for plus 800.
This deal gave Denmark I IMP.
Over the last six boards, Italy scored 4 unanswered IMPs
to win by 53 imps to 6 , or $25-4$ in victory points.
The ship had been steadied.

## Notice



If you would like to take the shuttle buses to the airport when leaving, please register your flight information at the Volunteer Service Desk in the competition venue of BICC and CNCC.

## Quiet please, Maestro at work

by Marc Smith

There are plenty of world class players here in Beijing this week, and even a few living legends, so there is every chance that during the two weeks of this championship we will be treated to some spectacular plays. In Sunday's head-to-head match between the two favorites in the Open Series Group D, England vs USA, multiple World Champion Jeff Meckstroth treated the gallery to a performance worthy of Pavarotti...
On a deal that saw most N/S pairs floundering in a failing partscore, it will come as no surprise to hear that Meckstroth/Rodwell were in game. For mere mortals, the saying goes that 'when you have eight tricks in 3NT there are usually nine to be found'. Meckstroth began with rather less than the eight you and I usually need....

| Game All. Dealer East. IMPs |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| - KJ9652 |  |
| $\bigcirc 876$ |  |
| $\checkmark 9$ |  |
| \& AK8 |  |
| N | -1043 |
| W E | Q Q 3 |
|  | $\diamond$ J 10764 |
| S | - J94 |
| Q - |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AKJ9 |  |
| $\triangleleft$ KQ 853 |  |
| ¢ 10763 |  |

Meckstroth's opponents were the Hackett twins, Justin and Jason, so hardly a pair of chimps just off the banana boat.
West led a heart, the unbid suit, to queen and king, and now declarer had five top tricks plus one by force in diamonds. He crossed to a top club and led dummy's $\diamond 9$, covered all around. We can all see now that a club is the best exit, but that's hardly obvious and the heart continuation is understandable, hoping to find partner with the nine.
With four heart tricks in the bag, declarer now established his long club with two more rounds of that suit. West won the 2 Q and got out with a third round of hearts and declarer cashed his rounded-suit winners.
As this was happening, East had one last chance to defeat the contract. That he missed it is understandable, as l'm sure you will agree. This was the position when declarer cashed his long club...


When declarer now cashed his club, East discarded the 4. What could be more normal?
Declarer now played a low diamond, won with the $\diamond 6$, and East exited with his last spade. Or, at least, he tried to. Unfortunately, his last spade was the TEN and not the four. When the spade ten rode around to dummy, Meckstroth simply called for dummy's nine, allowing East to win the trick and forcing him to lead away from his $\langle\mathrm{J}$ at trick 12.
Bridge is all about taking advantage of opponents' mistakes, and although E/W here hardly did anything that could be described as stupid, they erred just sufficiently for Meckstroth to capitalize. Could you ask for a better illustration of a great champion?

## Tricky Partnership Defence

by Helmut Häusler

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

- Q 10

8 A 9865
$\diamond 76432$
\& $A$


The third-round match versus Germany did not start well for the Venezuelan West when she arrived late at the table. Worse was to befall her in play. Michael Gromoeller (North) passed over is but balanced with a takeout 2 NT when 2 came round to him. With his maximum raise East bid 34, the final contract.
North led \& South (Andreas Kirmse) played 24, showing an even number. North switched to $\diamond 2$, showing an odd number. South took $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ and played back 9. North ruffed with 10 and returned Q , as the contract will be defeated anyway if West has one more diamond. Declarer drew three rounds of trumps ending in dummy and then advanced $\triangleleft K$. When South played low without a flicker, declarer placed the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ with North and ruffed. After the K , she crossed to Q in dummy to try her luck in hearts, playing low to her SK. Now it was North's turn to duck a red king smoothly. Declarer, who by now knew the distribution, was taken in again. Misplacing the red aces, she played $\vee 7$, hoping to lose to the bare $\vee \mathrm{A}$. But South took the trick with the bare 8 J and later on North got 8 A for the setting trick, a well-earned reward for this tricky partnership defence.

## OPEN TEAMS R3

## Plenty of action

by Brent Manley

After one day of play in the qualifying rounds of the Open series, USA was at the top of Group D and Turkey was close behind in third place.
Things started slowly, but fireworks are likely whenever Jeff Meckstroth and Eric Rodwell are at the table.The first big boom was on the fourth deal of the set.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

|  | - AKJ4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 863 |  |
|  | $\diamond 543$ |  |
|  | - AK 42 |  |
| - 72 | N | - Q 653 |
| $\bigcirc$ A 2 |  | Q Q 1085 |
| $\checkmark$ AKQ9862 | W E | $\diamond{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| -63 | S | \& Q 1095 |
|  | -1098 |  |
|  | 8 KJ 974 |  |
|  | $\diamond 107$ |  |
|  | - 187 |  |


| West <br> Goksu | North <br> Compton | East <br> Bedir | South <br> Hamman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \diamond$ | $1 \mathbf{~}$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{3} \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

There were five unavoidable losers, so Hakan Goksu was one off for minus 100 .
At the other table, the level was the same, but the contract was different - and doubled.

| West <br> Rodwell | North <br> Kandemir | East <br> Meckstroth | South <br> Kolata |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3NT | Dble | All Pass |  |

Rodwell's 3NT showed a solid seven-card or longer suit with an outside ace or king. Ismail Kandemir expressed doubt that Rodwell could make game, and he started with the $\Phi \mathrm{K}$. He switched accurately to the 86 at trick two. Rodwell put up the 8 Q , covered by the king and ace, and started running diamonds.
Kandemir followed suit, but on the fourth round of diamonds, he erred by discarding the 93 . At that point, Rodwell could have made the contract, but he did not read the situation. Instead of pitching hearts and retaining the guarded black queens, he discarded two clubs from dummy and had to concede one down after running the diamonds.
Kandemir can always defeat the contract by simply holding his second heart and waiting with the and A .
That was 3 IMPs to Turkey.

The Americans got 5 IMPs back on the next deal when Kandemir and Suleyman Kolata got much too high in the bidding.

Board 2I. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

| - 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| QKJ 873 |  |
| $\checkmark 10764$ |  |
| \& K 95 |  |
| N | - 743 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 10 |
| W E | $\checkmark$ QJ 8 |
| S | * A Q 1073 |
| - A Q 62 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A 52 |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 52 |  |
| - 182 |  |

At the other table, East-West (Goksu and Bedir) played a calm 24, making eight tricks for plus IIO.

| West <br> Rodwell | North <br> Kandemir | East <br> Meckstroth | South <br> Kolata |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | $\mathrm{I} \diamond$ | Dbl |
| $I \triangleleft$ | $3 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ |

## All Pass

Rodwell's I bid showed spades, so Meckstroth started with a low spade. Declarer put in the queen, taken by Rodwell with the king. Rodwell played a club through declarer's king and took a club ruff.The defenders still had two diamond tricks to come. That was minus 300 in a voluntarily bid game.
A slip by the Turkish defenders gave USA another swing on the next deal.

Board 22. Dealer East. E/WVul.

- AJ7643

Q Q 7
$\diamond$ K 5

- 1075

| - K Q 2 | N | - 1085 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 43$ |  | $\bigcirc$ AJ 1085 |
| $\checkmark$ Q 7 | W E | $\checkmark 1083$ |
| ¢ K Q J 63 | S | -92 |
|  | - 9 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 962$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ9642 |  |
|  | \& ${ }^{\text {¢ }} 84$ |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goksu | Compton | Bedir | Hamman |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| 18 | 19 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{Q}$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Goksu started with the cK. Hamman won and played a low heart from hand at trick two. Goksu won the $\triangle \mathrm{K}$, but instead of switching to a trump, which would have doomed the contract, he cashed two clubs and played the \$K. Hamman won in dummy and played the $\curvearrowright$ Q. East won the ace and switched to a trump. Hamman inserted the $\diamond 9$, picking up the queen. He ruffed a spade to hand, ruffed the heart loser in dummy and was soon claiming plus IIO.

| West <br> Rodwell | North <br> Kandemir | East <br> Meckstroth | South <br> Kolata |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| INT | $2 \triangleleft$ | $2 \triangleleft$ | All Pass |

Kandemir's $2 \triangleleft$ apparently showed a long major, certainly spades on the bidding. South led his singleton spade, and North captured the king with his ace, switching to the $\forall \mathrm{K}$ and a second diamond to South's ace. A third round of diamonds was ruffed by Meckstroth with dummy's 8 K. Meckstroth followed with a low heart to his jack. He then played a club from hand. South won the ace and played a fourth round of diamonds, allowing the uppercut from North with the $8 \mathbf{Q}$, promoting South's 9. Declarer still had eight tricks, however, for plus IIO.
The Americans were leading I8-I5 when they suffered setbacks on consecutive deals.

Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- 107
$\checkmark$ AK 6
$\diamond A J I O 42$
\& K J 3

| ¢Q 842 | N | ¢ AKJ5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 1085 |  | $\bigcirc 94$ |
| $\diamond$ Q 8 | W E | $\diamond$ K 96 |
| \& A 107 | S | 9 8642 |
|  | $\pm 963$ |  |
|  | ¢1732 |  |
|  | $\diamond 753$ |  |
|  | \% Q 95 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | Kandemir | Meckstroth | Kolata |
| Pass | INT | All Pass |  |

Kandemir had a nice hand for his INT opener. Unfortunately for him, he had only five tricks. He could not avoid two down for minus 200. No matter, his teammates at the other table had him covered.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goksu | Compton | Bedir | Hamman |
| INT | Dble | Redbl | All Pass |

Bob Hamman had nowhere to run after Bedir redoubled for business over Chris Compton's card-showing double of the weak INT. Compton might have run to $2 \diamond$, which goes one down on competent defense. He braved the redouble, however, and paid the price.
Compton started with a low diamond, taken by Goksu in hand with the 8. All he had to do from there was play back the $\diamond$ Q to establish his seventh trick for plus 560 and an 8-IMP swing.
More IMPs went to Turkey on the next deal.
Board 29. Dealer North. All Vul.

|  | ¢ J 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K J 8 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 97643 |  |
|  | \& 83 |  |
| ¢ K 10 | N | ¢ A 86 |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q 942 |  | $\bigcirc 10653$ |
| $\diamond$ A 82 | W E | $\checkmark$ Q 10 |
| 9976 | S | \& KJ 54 |
|  | Q Q 97542 |  |

$\bigcirc 7$
$\diamond$ J 5
\& A Q 102

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goksu | Compton | Bedir | Hamman |
|  | Pass | Pass | 24 |

## All Pass

Goksu started with a low club to the king and ace. Hamman played a heart at trick two. West played the ace and continued with a heart. Hamman inserted the jack, pitching a club, then cashed the $\vee K$, pitching another club. He played a low spade to his 9 and West's IO.The $\diamond$ A was cashed and the defenders had two more trump tricks coming, but Hamman still recorded plus IIO.

| West <br> Rodwell | North Kandemir | East <br> Meckstroth | South Kolata |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | Pass | 19 |
| Dble | 29** | 2 | 24 |
| 38 | 34 | 4\% | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Dbl | All Pass |  |

North's 2\% showed diamonds, so South started with the $\diamond$ Jagainst $4 \checkmark$ doubled. Meckstroth rose with the ace, played a spade to his ace and took a losing heart finesse. The defenders did not have difficulty taking two hearts, three clubs and a diamond for plus 800 and a I2-IMP gain on their way to a 39-2 I victory.

## Airport tax clarification

The notice regarding airport taxes published on page 4 of Daily Bulletin No. 3 applies only to free Air China tickets.

