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# Grinding It Out 



Anyone who doubts that bridge qualifies as a sport should consider what it takes to win a championship such as the World Bridge Olympiad. Just getting through the round-robin phase - now in full swing - is an endurance contest by itself.

Competitors in the Open series - divided into four groups - are in the midst of playing 17 20-board matches with very little room for error. In each group of 18 , only the top four teams will get through to the knockout phase, and players must deal with an everchanging array of bidding systems played by their opponents.

The women will see even more, playing 21 rounds in their two groups, with the top eight from each group advancing to the quarterfinal, knockout stage of the event.

In the Senior Bowl, there are 29 12-board matches, but there is no advancement after the round-robin is completed - the team at the top when round-robin play is completed will be the gold medal winners.

So far, most of the favored teams are performing as expected, and in the Open series, the team from the host country have acquitted themselves well in two vugraph sessions and are within shouting distance of a qualifying spot.

| VUGRAPH MATCHES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WOMEN | ROUND 7 | 10:00 |
| CHINA v AUSTRIA (GROUP A) |  |  |
| OPEN | ROUND 8 | 14:00 |
| SWEDEN v BRAZIL (GROUP D) |  |  |
| OPEN | ROUND 9 | 17:30 |
| ITALY v NETHERLANDS (GROUP A) |  |  |


| Contents: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rhapsody on a Theme of Duboin Page No 8 |  |
| Brazilian Swing | Page No 8 |
| Appeal No I | Page No 9 |
| England v Austria | Page No 10 |
| Turkey v USA | Page No 13 |

## OPEN SERIES RANKING AFTER 6 ROUNDS

| GROUP A |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| I ITALY | 119 |
| 2 NEW ZEALAND 112 |  |
| 3 GEORGIA | 108 |
| RUSSIA | 108 |
| 5 NETHERLANDS | 105 |
| 6 ARGENTINA | 102 |
| 7 CHINESE TAIPEI | 99 |
| 8 SCOTLAND | 98 |
| 9 ICELAND | 97 |
| LATVIA | 97 |
| II HONG KONG | 90 |
| I2 BELGIUM | 86 |
| I3 MOROCCO | 78 |
| I4 CANADA | 74 |
| I5 GUADELOUPE | 69 |
| I6 SERBIA/MNGRO | 65 |
| I7 BANGLADESH | 50 |
| I8 MAURITIUS | 49 |


| GROUP B |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| I CHINA | 127 |
| 2 GERMANY | 122 |
| 3 INDIA | 114 |
| 4 U.S.A. | 109 |
| 5 ESTONIA | 107 |
| 6 LEBANON | 103 |
| 7 TURKEY | 96 |
| 8 BULGARIA | 93 |
| 9 AUSTRALIA | 92 |
| I MARTINIQUE | 84 |
| NORWAY | 84 |
| SWITZERLAND | 84 |
| I3 CROATIA | 77 |
| I4 LUXEMBOURG | 73 |
| I5 CHILE | 70 |
| I6 SINGAPORE | 63 |
| I7 KENYA | 54 |
| I8 TUNISIA | 52 |


| GROUP C |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| I ENGLAND | 138 |
| 2 POLAND | 115 |
| 3 EGYPT | 114 |
| 4 HUNGARY | 111 |
| 5 DENMARK | 110 |
| 6 FINLAND | 108 |
| 7 IRELAND | 103 |
| ROMANIA | 103 |
| I ISRAEL | 102 |
| IO MALAYSIA | 92 |
| II AUSTRIA | 77 |
| MEXICO | 77 |
| I3 CYPRUS | 70 |
| I4 SAN MARINO | 66 |
| I5 PHILIPPINES | 59 |
| I6 VENEZUELA | 56 |
| I7 REUNION | 52 |
| I8 FR POLYNESIA | 44 |


| GROUP D |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 FRANCE | 114 |
| 2 BRAZIL | 113 |
| 3 SWEDEN | 110 |
| 4 INDONESIA | 106 |
| 5 JAPAN | 103 |
| 6 GREECE | 101 |
| 7 SPAIN | 100 |
| 8 SOUTH AFRICA | 96 |
| 9 PAKISTAN | 95 |
| PORTUGAL | 95 |
| I I LITHUANIA | 88 |
| WALES | 88 |
| 13 BOSNIA/HZVNA | 74 |
| 14 JORDAN | 71 |
| 15 BOTSWANA | 66 |
| 16 CZECH REP. | 64 |
| 17 BERMUDA | 56 |
| COSTA RICA | 56 |



| SENIOR SERIES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RANKNG |  |  |
| AFFER 8 ROUNDS |  |  |
| I | NETHERLANDS | 157 |
|  | U.S.A. | 157 |
| 3 | ISRAEL | 153 |
| 4 | POLAND | 146 |
| 5 | SWEDEN | 144.5 |
| 6 | DENMARK | 144 |
| 7 | TURKEY | 141 |
| 8 | AUSTRIA | 139.5 |
| 9 | JAPAN | 136 |
| 10 | ENGLAND | 133.5 |
| 11 | CHINESE TAIPEI | 133 |
| 12 | CHINA | 130 |
| 13 | FRANCE | 129 |
| 14 | AUSTRALIA | 120 |
|  | PAKISTAN | 120 |
| 16 | GERMANY | 119 |
| 17 | PORTUGAL | 117 |
|  | WALES | 117 |
| 19 | SCOTLAND | 116 |
| 20 | ITALY | 115.5 |
| 21 | SPAIN | 110 |
| 22 | BELGIUM | 105 |
| 23 | NEW ZEALAND | 103.5 |
| 24 | SWITZERLAND | 100 |
| 25 | GUADELOUPE | 96 |
| 26 | CANADA | 94 |
| 27 | IRELAND | 90 |
| 28 | VENEZUELA | 61.5 |
| 29 | COSTA RICA | 43 |

## OPEN TEAMS RESULTS

| GROUP A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| I | Chinese Taipei | Argentina | 60 | 31 | 21 | 9 |
| 2 | Canada | Bangladesh | 39 | 47 | 14 | 16 |
| 3 | Serbia \& Montenegro | gro Belgium | 34 | 27 | 16 | 14 |
| 4 | Scotland | Georgia | 53 | 9 | 24 | 6 |
| 5 | Russia | Guadeloupe | 40 | 34 | 16 | 14 |
| 6 | New Zealand | Hong Kong | 60 | 17 | 24 | 6 |
| 7 | Netherlands | Iceland | 43 | 30 | 18 | 12 |
| 8 | Morocco | Italy | 31 | 74 | 6 | 24 |
| 9 | Mauritius | Latvia | 6 | 65 | 4 | 25 |
| GROUP C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Match |  |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 19 | Cyprus Au | Austria | 25 | 33 | 14 | 16 |
| 20 | Israel San | San Marino | 53 | 19 | 22 | 8 |
| 21 | Ireland De | Denmark | 25 | 46 | 11 | 19 |
| 22 | Hungary Egy | Egypt | 39 | 43 | 14 | 16 |
| 23 | French Polynesia Eng | England | 39 | 70 | 9 | 21 |
| 24 | Venezuela Fin | Finland | 40 | 52 | 13 | 17 |
| 25 | Romania Ma | Malaysia | 57 | 33 | 20 | 10 |
| 26 | Reunion Me | Mexico | 39 | 89 | 5 | 25 |
|  | Poland Phil | Philippines | 54 | 3 | 25 | 5 |


|  | GROUP B |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
|  | 10 Australia | Tunisia | 47 | 74 | 9 | 21 |
|  | II Bulgaria | Switzerland | 39 | 42 | 14 | 16 |
|  | 12 Chile | Singapore | 41 | 68 | 9 | 21 |
|  | 13 China | Norway | 45 | 35 | 17 | 13 |
|  | 14 Croatia | Martinique | 56 | 63 | 14 | 16 |
|  | 15 Estonia | Luxembourg | 68 | 44 | 20 | 10 |
| ナ | 16 Germany | Lebanon | 44 | 49 | 14 | 16 |
|  | 17 India | Kenya | 60 | 50 | 17 | 13 |
| - | 18 Turkey | USA | 32 | 9 | 20 | 10 |


| GROUP D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 28 | South Africa | Bermuda | 99 | 55 | 24 | 6 |
| 29 | Portugal | Bosnia | 80 | 67 | 18 | 12 |
|  | Pakistan | Botswana | 71 | 40 | 21 | 9 |
| 31 | Lithuania | Brazil | 30 | 42 | 13 | 17 |
| 32 | Japan | Costa Rica | 95 | 17 | 25 | 1 |
| 33 | Indonesia | Jordan | 64 | 39 | 20 | 10 |
|  | Greece | Czech Republic | 60 | 35 | 20 | 10 |
| 35 | Wales | France | 50 | 47 | 16 | 14 |
|  | Sweden | Spain | 45 | 52 | 14 | 16 |


| GROUP A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
|  | Scotland | Argentina | 30 | 83 | 5 | 25 |
| 2 | Russia | Bangladesh | 66 | 8 | 25 | 4 |
| 3 | New Zealand | Belgium | 73 | 29 | 24 | 6 |
| 4 | Netherlands | Canada | 33 | 7 | 20 | 10 |
| 5 | Morocco | Chinese Taipei | 26 | 66 | 7 | 23 |
| 6 | Mauritius | Georgia | 39 | 44 | 13 | 16 |
| 7 | Latvia | Guadeloupe | 71 | 47 | 20 | 10 |
| 8 | Italy | Hong Kong | 42 | 37 | 16 | 14 |
| 9 | Serbia \& Mont. | Iceland | 19 | 92 | 1 | 25 |
| GROUP C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Match |  |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 19 | England | Austria | 55 | 46 | 17 | 13 |
| 20 | Egypt | San Marino | 95 | 42 | 25 | 5 |
| 21 | Venezuela | Denmark | 14 | 78 | 3 | 25 |
| 22 | Romania | Finland | 61 | 56 | 16 | 14 |
| 23 | Reunion | French Polynesia | 61 | 45 | 18 | 12 |
| 24 | Poland | Hungary | 47 | 63 | 12 | 18 |
| 25 | Philippines | Ireland | 11 | 64 | 5 | 25 |
| 26 | Mexico | Israel | 18 | 56 | 7 | 23 |
|  | Malaysia | Cyprus | 93 | 18 | 25 | 1 |


|  | GROUP B |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Ps |  |  |
|  | 10 Turkey | Australia | 35 | 14 | 19 | 11 |
|  | 11 Tunisia | Bulgaria | 18 | 106 | 0 | 25 |
|  | 12 Switzerland | Chile | 41 | 35 | 16 | 14 |
|  | 13 Singapore | China | 41 | 94 | 5 | 25 |
|  | 14 Norway | Croatia | 79 | 44 | 22 | 8 |
|  | 15 Martinique | Estonia | 25 | 49 | 10 | 20 |
|  | 16 Luxembourg | Germany | 35 | 71 | 8 | 22 |
|  | 17 Lebanon | India | 35 | 65 | 9 | 21 |
| 0 | 18 USA | Kenya | 51 | 22 | 21 | 9 |


| GROUP D |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 28 Bermuda | Indonesia | 34 | 68 | 8 | 22 |
| 29 Bosnia | Greece | 65 | 49 | 18 | 12 |
| 30 Botswana | France | 11 | 88 | 1 | 25 |
| 31 Brazil | Czech Republic | 86 | 17 | 24 | 2 |
| 32 Costa Rica | Jordan | 5 | 74 | 2 | 25 |
| 33 Japan | Sweden | 31 | 28 | 16 | 14 |
| 34 Lithuania | Spain | 41 | 52 | 13 | 17 |
| 35 Pakistan | South Africa | 23 | 43 | 11 | 19 |
| 36 Portugal | Wales | 79 | 45 | 22 | 8 |


| GROUP A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | GROUP B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |  |  |  |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
|  | Guadeloupe | Argentina | 24 | 82 | 4 | 25 | $\times$ | 101112131415161617 |  Match <br> Australia Martinique <br> Bulgaria Luxembourg <br> Chile Lebanon <br> China Kenya <br> Croatia India <br> Estonia Germany <br> Norway Turkey <br> Singapore Tunisia <br> Switzerland USA |  | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 26 \\ & 40 \\ & 81 \\ & 22 \\ & 27 \\ & 26 \\ & 53 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 35 \\ & 56 \\ & 33 \\ & 58 \\ & 64 \\ & 29 \\ & 49 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 2 | Georgia | Bangladesh | 75 | 26 | 25 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Chinese Taipei | Belgium | 15 | 86 | 2 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Serbia \& Mont. | Canada | 60 | 55 | 16 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Scotland | Hong Kong | 39 | 62 | 10 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Russia | Iceland | 32 | 67 | 8 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | New Zealand | Italy | 45 | 64 | 11 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Netherlands | Latvia | 86 | 22 | 25 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Morocco | Mauritius | 55 | 2 | 25 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| GROUP C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | GROUP D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Match |  |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |  | Match |  |  |  | IMPs |  | ${ }_{4}$ VPs |  |
| 19 | Austria | Finland | 14 | 65 | 5 | 25 |  | 28 | Bermuda | Portugal | 8 | 65 | 4 | 25 |
| 20 | San Marino | England | 7 | 64 | 4 | 25 |  |  | Bosnia | Pakistan | 38 | 42 | 14 | 16 |
| 21 | Denmark | Egypt | 28 | 74 | 6 | 24 |  |  | Botswana | Lithuania | 36 | 51 | 12 | 18 |
| 22 | French Polynesia | Romania | 15 | 88 | 1 | 25 |  |  | Brazil | Japan | 39 | 64 | 10 | 20 |
| 23 | Hungary | Reunion | 82 | 24 | 25 | 4 |  |  | Costa Rica | Indonesia | 29 | 50 | 11 | 19 |
| 24 | Ireland | Poland | 27 | 45 | 11 | 19 |  |  | Jordan | Greece | 33 | 37 | 14 | 16 |
| 25 | Israel | Philippines | 73 | 71 | 15 | 15 |  |  | Czech Republic | France | 25 | 43 | 11 | 19 |
| 26 | Cyprus | Mexico | 37 | 76 | 7 | 23 |  |  | South Africa | Sweden | 56 | 23 | 22 | 8 |
| 27 | Malaysia | Venezuela | 33 | 16 | 19 | 11 |  |  | Spain | Wales | 30 | 34 | 14 | 16 |

## WOMEN'S TEAMS RESULTS

ROUND 4

| GROUP A |  |  |  |  |  | GROUP B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  | Match |  |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 40 Australia | Italy | 37 | 32 | 16 | 14 | 50 | Reunion | Bye | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| 4\| Austria | India | 56 | 50 | 16 | 14 | 51 | Argentina | Morocco | 66 | 18 | 25 | 4 |
| 42 Brazil | Hungary | 40 | 36 |  | 14 | 52 | Croatia | Korea | 61 | 14 | 25 | 4 |
| 43 Bulgaria | France | 22 | 67 | 5 | 25 | 53 | Denmark | Japan | 14 | 40 | 9 | 21 |
| 44 Canada | Finland | 57 | 32 | 21 | 9 | 54 | England | Israel | 19 | 39 | 10 | 20 |
| 45 China | Egypt | 47 | 20 | 21 | 9 |  | Germany | Ireland | 55 | 29 | 21 | 9 |
| 46 Jordan | Turkey | 10 | 59 | 4 | 25 | 56 | Greece | Indonesia | 39 | 42 | 14 | 16 |
| 47 Mexico | Switzerland | 57 | 40 | 19 | 11 | 57 | Netherlands | Wales | 35 | 49 | 12 | 18 |
| 48 Pakistan | Sweden | 13 | 33 | 10 | 20 | 58 | New Zealand | Venezuela | 46 | 32 | 18 | 12 |
| 49 Russia | Spain | 49 | 38 | 17 | 13 |  | Norway | USA | 23 | 59 | 7 | 23 |
| 50 Scotland | Kenya | 63 | 53 | 17 | 13 |  | Poland | South Africa | 45 | 13 | 23 | 7 |


| ROUND 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROUP A |  |  |  |  |  | GROUP B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  | Match |  |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 41 Bulgaria | Austria | 48 | 43 | 16 | 14 | 50 | Denmark | Bye | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| 42 Kenya | Brazil | 2 | 121 | 0 | 25 |  | Germany | Argentina | 51 | 14 | 24 | 6 |
| 43 Turkey | China | 44 | 25 | 19 | 11 |  | England | Croatia | 23 | 33 | 13 | 17 |
| 44 Switzerland | Egypt | 20 | 57 | 6 | 24 |  | Wales | Greece | 24 | 64 | 6 | 24 |
| 45 Sweden | Finland | 28 | 42 | 12 | 18 |  | Venezuela | Indonesia | 45 | 15 | 22 | 8 |
| 46 Spain | France | 30 | 45 |  | 18 |  | USA | Ireland | 55 | 13 | 25 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |
| 47 Scotland | Hungary | 46 | 47 | 15 | 15 |  | Reunion | lapan | 16 2 | 62 | 2 | 25 |
| 48 Russia | India | 26 | 21 |  | 14 |  | Poland | Korea | 85 | 26 | 25 | 2 |
| 49 Pakistan | Italy | 47 | 30 | 19 | 11 |  | Norway | Morocco | 32 | 24 | 17 | 13 |
| 50 Mexico | Jordan | 33 | 37 | 14 | 16 |  | New Zealand | Netherlands | 15 | 51 | 7 | 23 |


| ROUND 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROUP A |  |  |  |  |  | GROUP B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  | Match |  |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 40 Australia | Russia | 17 | 49 | 7 | 23 | 50 | USA | Bye | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| 41 Austria | Pakistan | 76 | 45 | 22 | 8 |  | Argentina | Poland | 39 | 35 | 16 | 14 |
| 42 Brazil | Mexico | 56 | 26 | 22 | 8 | 52 | Croatia | Norway | 49 | 9 | 24 | 6 |
| 43 Bulgaria | Jordan | 74 | 18 | 25 | 3 | 53 | Denmark | New Zealand | 35 | 44 | 13 | 17 |
| 44 Canada | Italy | 14 | 32 | 11 | 19 | 54 | England | Netherlands | 21 | 38 | 11 | 19 |
| 45 China | India | 62 | 29 | 23 | 7 | 55 | Germany | Morocco | 32 | 38 | 14 | 16 |
| 46 Egypt | Hungary | 33 | 0 | 23 | 7 | 56 | Greece | Korea | 51 | 2 | 25 | 4 |
| 47 Finland | France | 25 | 31 | 14 | 16 | 57 | Indonesia | Japan | 16 | 49 | 7 | 23 |
| 48 Scotland | Turkey | 30 | 32 | 15 | 15 | 58 | Ireland | Israel | 16 | 49 | 7 | 23 |
| 49 Spain | Switzerland | 22 | 31 | 13 | 17 | 59 | Reunion | Wales | 43 | 35 | 17 | 13 |
| 50 Sweden | Kenya | 79 | 23 | 25 | 3 | 60 | South Africa | Venezuela | 38 | 18 | 20 | 10 |

## Notice to Transnational Mixed Teams

There is now limited space for any new teams to enter the Transnational Mixed Teams, and entries that have not been registered in advance will be taken on a strictly first come - first served basis. Teams wishing to register should come to the WBF Office as soon as possible, at the times listed below.

Pre-registered teams MUST come as soon as possible to the WBF Office during the opening hours listed below to confirm their entry and pay any outstanding entry fee

The office will be open for Transnational entries and for pre-registered teams to confirm their entries between: 10.00 - 12.00 and 14.00 - 17.00 hours

## SENIOR TEAMS RESULTS

| ROUND 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 60 | Canada | Bye | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| 61 | Costa Rica | Australia | 15 | 48 | 6 | 24 |
| 62 | Germany | Portugal | 18 | 13 | 16 | 14 |
| 63 | Austria | England | 13 | 14 | 14,5 | 14,5 |
| 64 | Switzerland | Japan | 27 | 10 | 20 | 10 |
| 65 | Chinese Taipei | Israel | 19 | 26 | 13 | 17 |
| 66 | 6 Venezuela | China | I | 58 | 1 | 25 |
| 67 | Denmark | Scotland | 18 | 14 | 16 | 14 |
| 68 | Belgium | Italy | 13 | 46 | 6 | 24 |
| 69 | Poland | Pakistan | 16 | 25 | 13 | 17 |
| 70 | Ireland | Turkey | 21 | 31 | 12 | 18 |
|  | Guadeloupe | Sweden | 5 | 45 | 5 | 25 |
| 72 | New Zealand | USA | 27 | 20 | 17 | 13 |
| 73 | France | Spain | 39 | 8 | 23 | 7 |
|  | Netherlands | Wales | 38 | 32 | 16 | 14 |


| ROUND 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 60 | Netherlands | Bye | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| 61 | USA | Guadeloupe | 43 | 10 | 24 | 6 |
| 62 | Israel | Ireland | 39 | I | 25 | 5 |
|  | Italy | Venezuela | 38 | 17 | 20,5 | 8,5 |
| 64 | Australia | Portugal | 11 | 35 | 9 | 21 |
| 65 | Poland | Belgium | 29 | 9 | 20 | 10 |
| 66 | France | Austria | 24 | 36 | 12 | 18 |
| 67 | Germany | Japan | 14 | 17 | 14 | 16 |
| 68 | Turkey | Spain | 49 | 3 | 25 | 3 |
| 69 | England | Costa Rica | 58 | 11 | 25 | 3 |
| 70 | New Zealand | Pakistan | 19 | 25 | 14 | 16 |
| 71 | Wales | Sweden | 27 | 32 | 14 | 16 |
| 72 | Denmark | Chinese Taipei | 34 | 10 | 21 | 9 |
| 73 | China | Scotland | 23 | 28 | 14 | 16 |
|  | Canada | Switzerland | 7 | 41 | 6 | 24 |


| Playing times |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Open and Women's: |  |
| Round 7 | 10.00 |
| Round 8 | 14.00 |
| Round 9 | 17.30 |
| Seniors: | $10: 45$ |
| Round 9 | 13.30 |
| Round I0 | 15.45 |
| Round II | 18.00 |
| Round I2 |  |
|  |  |
| Open Teams: | Ballroom, Lobby 2 |
| Women's Teams: | Ballroom, Lobby 2 |
| Group B Open \& Closed Rooms | Bafir Room, Lobby I |
| Group A Open Room |  |
| Group A Closed Room |  |
| Senior Teams: |  |


| ROUND 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 60 Japan | Bye | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| 61 Portugal | France | 16 | 14 | 16 | 14 |
| 62 Spain | Denmark | 15 | 44 | 7 | 23 |
| 63 Costa Rica | Austria | 2 | 32 | 7 | 23 |
| 64 China | Italy | 46 | 23 | 21 | 9 |
| 65 Ireland | Chinese Taipei | 21 | 25 | 14 | 16 |
| 66 Australia | England | 31 | 19 | 18 | 12 |
| 67 Scotland | Poland | 0 | 22 | 9 | 21 |
| 68 Wales | Guadeloupe | 9 | 25 | 11 | 19 |
| 69 Pakistan | USA | 17 | 35 | 10 | 20 |
| 70 Turkey | Israel | 12 | 30 | 10 | 20 |
| 71 Canada | Netherlands | 12 | 47 | 6 | 23 |
| 72 Switzerland | Germany | 9 | 21 | 12 | 18 |
| 73 Sweden | New Zealand | 24 | 29 | 13,5 | 15,5 |
| 74 Venezuela | Belgium |  | 21 | 11 | 19 |


| ROUND 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 60 Switzerland | Bye | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| 61 Belgium | China | 26 | 36 | 12 | 18 |
| 62 Sweden | USA | 10 | 42 | 7 | 23 |
| 63 Spain | Ireland | 30 | 9 | 21 | 9 |
| 64 Guadeloupe | New Zealand | 23 | 19 | 16 | 14 |
| 65 Italy | Poland | 20 | 39 | 10 | 20 |
| 66 Chinese Taipei | Turkey | 19 | 23 | 14 | 16 |
| 67 Netherlands | Germany | 52 | 4 | 25 | 3 |
| 68 Scotland | Venezuela | 35 | 14 | 21 | 9 |
| 69 Israel | Denmark | 44 | 34 | 18 | 12 |
| 70 Austria | Australia | 25 | 32 | 13 | 17 |
| 71 England | France | 25 | 39 | 11 | 19 |
| 72 Japan | Canada | 26 | 16 | 18 | 12 |
| 73 Portugal | Costa Rica | 29 | 24 | 16 | 14 |
| 74 Pakistan | Wales | 27 | 39 | 12 | 18 |

## World Championship Book 2004



The official book of these 2004 World Championships will be published around the end of March, price $£ 22-00$. You can order a copy in advance during the championships at the special reduced price of US\$30-00 or 25 Euros, inclusive of surface mail, by going to the Press Room and filling in one of the forms available there and handing it, along with your preferred currency, to Jan Swann, who is in charge of the Press Room.

As always, the chief analysts will be Eric Kokish, Brian Senior and Barry Rigal. The book will consist of 336 large pages, and will feature every deal of the finals and semi-finals of both Open and Women's events, plus extensive coverage of the earlier stages, and also the Senior, University and Transnational events. There will be a full listing of all the teams taking part, a full results service, and many photographs.

## OPEN TEAMS PROGRAM

| ROUND 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROUP A |  | GROUP B |  |  | GROUP C |  |  | GROUP D |  |  |
| \| Argentina | Mauritius | 10 | Switzerland | Australia | 19 | Ireland | Austria | 28 | Bermuda | Brazil |
| 2 Bangladesh | Latvia | 11 | Singapore | Bulgaria | 20 | Hungary | San Marino | 29 | Bosnia | Botswana |
| 3 Belgium | Italy | 12 | Norway | Chile | 21 | Fr Polynesia | Denmark | 30 | Costa Rica | Sweden |
| 4 Canada | Iceland | 13 | Martinique | China | 22 | Finland | Egypt |  | Jordan | Spain |
| 5 Chinese Taipei | Hong Kong | 14 | Luxembourg | Croatia | 23 | Venezuela | England |  | Czech Rep. | South Africa |
| 6 Georgia | Guadeloupe | 15 | Lebanon | Estonia | 24 | Romania | Israel |  | France | Portugal |
| 7 Morocco | Scotland |  | Kenya | Germany | 25 | Reunion | Cyprus |  | Greece | Pakistan |
| 8 Netherlands | Russia | 17 |  | India | 26 | Poland | Malaysia | 35 | Indonesia | Lithuania |
| 9 New Zealand | Serbia \& M'gro | 18 | Turkey | Tunisia | 27 | Philippines | Mexico |  | Japan | Wales |


| GROUP A |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Iceland | Argentina |
| 2 | Hong Kong | Bangladesh |
| 3 | Guadeloupe | Belgium |
| 4 | Georgia | Canada |
| 5 | Serbia \& M'gro | Chinese Taipei |
| 6 | Scotland | Italy |
| 7 | Russia | Latvia |
| 8 | New Zealand | Mauritius |
|  | Netherlands | Morocco |


| GROUP B |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IO | Australia | Estonia |
| II | Bulgaria | Croatia |
| I2 | Chile | China |
| I3 | Germany | Turkey |
| 14 | India | Tunisia |
| 15 | Kenya | Switzerland |
| 16 | Lebanon | Singapore |
| 17 | Luxembourg | Norway |
| 18 | Martinique | USA |


| $\quad$ | GRO |
| :--- | :--- |
| 19 | Poland |
| 20 | Philippines |
| 21 | Mexico |
| 22 | Malaysia |
| 23 | Cyprus |
| 24 | Israel |
| 25 | Ireland |
| 26 | Venezuela |
| 27 | Romania |

Austria
San Marino
Denmark
Egypt
England
Finland
Fr Polynesia
Hungary
Reunion

| GROUP D |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 28 | Botswana |
| 29 | Bermuda |
| Wales | Bosnia |
| 30 | Sweden |
| 31 Spain | Brazil |
| 32 South Africa | Costa Rica |
| 33 Portugal | Czrech Rep. |
| 34 Pakistan | France |
| 35 Lithuania | Greece |
| 36 Japan | Indonesia |

## ROUND 9

| GROUP A |  | GROUP B |  |  | GROUP C |  |  | GROUP D |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I Argentina | Georgia | 10 | Australia | China | 19 | Austria | Israel | 28 | Bermuda | Spain |
| 2 Bangladesh | Chinese Taipei | 11 | Bulgaria | Chile | 20 | San Marino | Ireland | 29 | Bosnia | South Africa |
| 3 Belgium | Canada | 12 | Croatia | Turkey | 21 | Denmark | Hungary | 30 | Botswana | Portugal |
| 4 Guadeloupe | Scotland | 13 | Estonia | Tunisia |  | Egypt | Fr Polynesia |  | Brazil | Pakistan |
| 5 Hong Kong | Russia | 14 | Germany | Switzerland | 23 | England | Finland | 32 | Costa Rica | Lithuania |
| 6 Iceland | New Zealand |  |  | Singapore | 24 | Cyprus | Romania | 33 | Jordan | Japan |
| 7 Italy | Netherlands |  | Kenya | Norway |  | Malaysia | Reunion |  | Czech Rep. | Indonesia |
| 8 Latvia | Morocco | 17 | Lebanon | Martinique | 26 | Mexico | Poland | 35 | France | Greece |
| 9 Mauritius | Serbia \& M'gr |  | Luxembourg | USA | 27 | Philippines | Venezuela |  | Sweden | Wales |

## SENIOR TEAMS PROGRAM

| ROUND 9 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 61 | New Zealand | England |
| 62 | Netherlands | Chinese Taipei |
| 63 | Germany | Selgium |
| 64 | Ireland | Poland |
| 65 | Usa | Portugal |
| 66 Wales | Austria |  |
| Bye | Italy |  |
| 67 Venezuela | Turkey |  |
| 68 | Denmark | China |
| 69 | Switzerland | Israel |
| 70 | Costa Rica | Sweden |
| 71 | Japan | Spain |
| 72 | Canada | Scotland |
| 73 | Australia | Pakistan |
| 74 | France | Guadeloupe |


| ROUND 10 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 61 | Pakistan | France |
| 62 | Austria | Usa |
| 63 | Portugal | New Zealand |
| 64 | Chinese Taipei | Switzerland |
| 65 | Venezuela | Denmark |
| Belgium | Bye |  |
| 66 | Guadeloupe | Costa Rica |
| 67 | Iraeel | Japan |
| 68 | Spain | Netherlands |
| 69 | Scotland | Germany |
| 70 | Italy | Canada |
| 71 | China | Ireland |
| 72 | Sweden | Australia |
| 73 | Poland | Turkey |
| 74 | England | Wales |
|  |  |  |


| ROUND I I |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bye | Scotland |  |
| 61 | Canada | Belgium |
| 62 | Netherlands | I Irael |
| 63 | Denmark | Poland |
| 64 | Germany | Italy |
| 65 | New Zealand | Austria |
| 66 | Japan | Chinese Taipei |
| 67 Costa Rica | Pakistan |  |
| 68 | Wales | Portugal |
| 69 | Switzerland | Spain |
| 70 | Turkey | China |
| 71 | Australia | Guadeloupe |
| 72 | Usa | England |
| 73 | France | Sweden |
| 74 | Ireland | Venezuela |


|  | ROUND $1 \mathbf{2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 61 | Poland | Chinese Taipei |
| Bye | Usa |  |
| 62 | Canada | Wales |
| 63 | Pakistan | Italy |
| 64 | Venezuela | Israel |
| 65 | Ireland | England |
| 66 | Costa Rica | Netherlands |
| 67 | Portugal | Turkey |
| 68 | China | Spain |
| 69 | Germany | New Zealand |
| 70 | Guaddeloupe | Scotland |
| 71 | Austria | Denmark |
| 72 | France | Japan |
| 73 | Australia | Switzerland |
| 74 | Belgium | Sweden |
|  |  |  |

## WOMEN'S TEAMS PROGRAM

| ROUND 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROUP A |  |  | GROUP B |  |  |
| 40 | Egypt | Australia | 51 | Indonesia | Argentina |
| 41 | China | Austria | 52 | Greece | Croatia |
| 42 | Canada | Brazil | 53 | Germany | Denmark |
| 43 | Kenya | Bulgaria |  | Bye | England |
| 44 | Turkey | Finland | 54 | Wales | Ireland |
| 45 | Switzerland | France | 55 | Venezuela | Israel |
| 46 | Sweden | Hungary | 56 | USA | Japan |
| 47 | Spain | India | 57 | South Africa | Korea |
| 48 | Scotland | Italy | 58 | Reunion | Morocco |
| 49 | Russia | Jordan | 59 | Poland | Netherlands |
| 50 | Pakistan | Mexico | 60 | Norway | New Zealand |


| ROUND 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROUP A |  |  | CROUP B |  |  |
| 40 | Australia | Finland | 51 | Argentina | Ireland |
| 41 | Austria | Egypt | 52 | Croatia | Indonesia |
| 42 | Brazil | China | 53 | Denmark | Greece |
| 43 | Bulgaria | Canada | 54 | England | Germany |
| 44 | France | Turkey | 55 | Israel | Wales |
| 45 | Hungary | Switzerland | 56 | Japan | Venezuela |
| 46 | India | Sweden | 57 | Korea | USA |
|  | Italy | Spain | 58 | Morocco | South Africa |
|  | Jordan | Scotland | 59 | Netherlands | Reunion |
| 49 | Mexico | Russia | 60 | New Zealand | Poland |
|  | Pakistan | Kenya |  | Norway | Bye |


| ROUND 9 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROUP A |  | GROUP B |  |  |
| 40 Jordan | Australia | 51 | Netherlands | Argentina |
| 41 Italy | Austria | 52 | Morocco | Croatia |
| 42 India | Brazil | 53 | Korea | Denmark |
| 43 Hungary | Bulgaria | 54 | Japan | England |
| 44 France | Canada | 55 | Israel | Germany |
| 45 Finland | China | 56 | Ireland | Greece |
| 46 Kenya | Egypt |  | Bye | Indonesia |
| 47 Turkey | Mexico | 57 | Wales | New Zealand |
| 48 Switzerland | Pakistan | 58 | Venezuela | Norway |
| 49 Sweden | Russia |  |  | Poland |
| 50 Spain | Scotland |  | South Africa | Reunion |

## Judgement Rulings

Grattan Endicott<br>Appeals Committee Coordinator

This Olympiad has attracted a remarkable number of entries, including quite a number of players who have not played previously in a WBF Tournament. In fairness to them it is surely right to draw their attention to the careful way in which the directors' judgemental rulings are made.

Following best practice a director does not give such a ruling instantaneously; he collects the facts and discusses them with other directors. But it goes far beyond this. The WBF Code of Practice requires him to consult a number of quality players on the bridge judgements that have to be made and he is careful to do this in a way that allows them to give their opinions unbiased by extraneous information. This is not all. Having gathered the opinions of players he takes this feedback to his colleagues, one of whom will be the chief tournament director or a very experienced director the CTD has nominated to act for him.

Players new to all this will understand that when the table director returns to them and gives them a ruling involving bridge judgement, the ruling he gives them has been the subject of three stages of consultation and is a fully considered decision. If taken to appeal it is no sur-

## Mobile phones

No one is allowed to have a mobile phone in the playing rooms. The line up desk is accepting phones of those who brought them to the playing area. The best solution, of course, is not to carry a mobile phone.

- Ton Kooijman
prise that at the start of the hearing the Appeals Committee will believe the ruling to be well justified. Only if the evidence they hear convinces the committee that after the process described above the directors have still got it wrong, will the committee make any change in the director's adjudication. We will be extremely surprised if that happens often, for which reason we do urge captains to think very carefully before they enter an appeal.

The regulations empower the chief director to authorize the award of a weighted score where in the director's opinion this achieves a better equity in the adjustment.

## No smoking

Smoking is prohibited in ANY lobby area other than the area near the hotel's main reception desk. Smoking is also prohibited in any areas of the Congress Centre, including the Press and Bulletin rooms, the WBF Main Office, the Scoring and Results Room and the VuGraph Auditorium.

Violations of this policy will subject you to a fine of 2VPs. Continued violations will result in the player being prohibited from playing and the team not being admitted to the playing area.


## Rhapsody on a Theme of Duboin

One of several short tunes that are based on the same simple tune，but are different from it and from the others．

## By Mark Horton

You will readily recall this deal from Round 2，which has already appeared in the Bulletin．

Board 7．Dealer South．All Vul．


PQJIO 32
$\diamond$ Q 7542
\＆K 53

| 込 1097643 | N | $\triangle \mathrm{A}$ Q 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 6$ |  | ¢K 87 |
| $\checkmark 93$ | W E | $\checkmark$ AK |
| \％J J 10 | S | 298642 |

－ 9
『A 954
ゝJ 1086
－Q 7
If the defenders fail to switch to clubs in time East／West will make Four Spades，but the interesting question is how should declarer play if North starts with the queen of hearts and then plays a second heart？
After ruffing and playing a spade you see North discard so it is clear to take the ace．On the actual layout the club ho－ nours are split，so there is no problem，but suppose the full deal looks like this：


Now the winning line，after the ace of spades，is to ruff a third heart，cash the top diamonds and，with the red suits out of the way，play a club to the ten．North can win， but must now play into your tenace or give a ruff and dis－ card．

That line was adopted by at least one Open series player，in the，who came to tell me over breakfast．

However，that is not the end of the story，for if you go back to the original deal，you will see that if declarer
adopts this line he can be thwarted by an alert South，who can go up with the queen of clubs，thereby ensuring that he sets up a potential ruff to defeat the contract．

So，as you might have expected，the original Italian ver－ sion was superior to the variation！

## Brazilian Swing

As reported by Barry Rigal，the two Brazilian pairs com－ bined nicely to pick up 5 IMPs on this deal from their Round 2 match with France in the Open series．

Board 9．Dealer North．E／W Vul．
$\triangle \mathrm{A} Q$
Q Q J 5
$\checkmark A J$
\＆） 86542
－ 854
คA 10643
$\diamond$ K 872
\＆ 7

KJ 9
$\bigcirc 9$
$\diamond$ Q 10963
\＆A Q 93
－ 107632
$\vee \mathrm{K} 872$
$\checkmark 54$
\＆K 10

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Villas Boas | Multon | Chagas | Quantin |
| － | INT | Pass | 2 |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | 24 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Villas Boas led his singleton club to the ace and Gabriel Chagas found a smart play．He knew that declarer had five spades，one of the top heart honours and the club king， therefore Villas Boas had the king of diamonds．In that case there was no hurry to give him his club ruff．Chagas therefore continued with a diamond to the king and ace．

Quantin did his best by leading the ace then queen of spades，but Chagas gave his partner the club ruff and now repeated diamond leads led to two down for－ 100 ．

Diego Brenner also played 2 as South on a club lead． Here，East won and switched to his heart to the ace．A heart ruff was followed by a club ruff but now，after another heart ruff，the third round of clubs achieved nothing as declarer could ruff high and play a trump to the ace，dropping the king．Brenner next drew the last trump with the queen，ruffed out the queen of clubs and crossed to the $\forall A$ to cash a club for a diamond pitch；+110 and 5 IMPs to Brazil．

Had East switched to a diamond instead of playing the third club，that would have knocked out the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ ．Declarer could have dropped the $\uparrow K$ next but would no longer have had the communications to both establish and cash the clubs，so would have been one down．

## Appeal No. 1

## Brazil v. South Africa

## Appeals Committee:

R. Wolff (Chair), G. Endicott, J-P. Meyer, J. Polisner, N. Rand.

## Open Teams Round I

Board 12. Dealer West. N/S Vul.


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sapire | Chagas | Eber | Villas-Boas |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 2 c |
| Pass | 38 | Pass | 4\% |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 4 |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | 5NT | Pass | 6 |
| Pass | 6NT | Pass | 78 |
| All pass |  |  |  |

## Comments:

No Trump 15-17
$3 \bigcirc=$ max, five hearts
4 $\mathbf{~}, 4 \diamond, 4 \uparrow=$ cues
4NT = RKC
$5 \diamond$ I or 4 from 5.
$5 N T=N>E=$ King enquiry $S>W=$ inviting to 7 .
$6\rangle=N>E=$ an additional King $S>W=$ extra value in diamonds
$6 \mathrm{NT}=\mathrm{N}>\mathrm{E}=$ Grand slam try, choose best contract.
$S>W=$ not alerted.

## Contract:

78 played by North
Result: NS + 2210
The Facts: at the end of the auction West called the Director to reserve his rights in respect of the slow return of the tray after the 6NT bid.

The Director was asked for a ruling by West a little later in the round. By this time the Director found it difficult to resolve the disagreement between the sides as to the length of the delay in returning the tray, varying between 20 or 30 seconds and a full minute. He determined that there had been a delay that was potentially significant. Having consulted with other directors and with players he ruled that the result would stand.

Consultation: four strong players were consulted and all elected to bid $7 \mathbb{8}$. Three of them observed that whilst 6NT was not to be passed 64 was a clearer bid.

## The Committee:

To the committee E-W argued that since 64 was the available Grand slam try 6NT was presumably to be passed. Mr. Chagas confessed to a fear that his partner might be confused by 6ut could not be confused over 6 NT. South agreed that the delay in returning the tray was some thirty seconds at least. On arrival of the tray South had immediately taken his bidding card from the box, placing it after a short delay. To the Director it had been indicated that the delay was largely due to the time taken in answering East's question(s), although there was some dispute in front of the committee as to whether the question was asked before or after the tray was returned. It was agreed that the return of the tray after the 5NT bid was also slow, but no question had been raised about this.

## The Committee's decision:

Director's ruling upheld.
The committee judged that there were many indications in the bidding that hearts was the agreed suit, that 5NT claimed possession of all the aces between the two hands, $6\rangle$ was not a sign off, and ergo there was every reason to consider that 6NT was a Grand Slam try. The auction was always headed for $7 \odot$ and Pass was not a logical alternative for South.

Deposit: Returned. This was not without discussion. Amongst the members of the committee there was enough opinion that the appeal had occurred because of differences internationally of bridge culture and practice to justify return of the deposit.

## Lineups

Lineups must be made within 15 minutes after the end of a round. This also applies to the final match of the day, meaning that the lineups for the first match of the next day must be made the previous evening.
-Ton Kooijman

England and Austria both had solid if unspectacular first days in the Senior Teams and were a little above average as they sat down for the morning match on day two.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

|  | ¢K Q 104 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 874$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 54$ |  |  |
|  | \&Q 864 |  |  |
| ¢98765 | N ¢ 3 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 5$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A Q J 962 |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 8 |  | $\checkmark 973$ |  |
| \%AJ 1072 | S | ¢K 95 |  |
|  | ¢ AJ 2 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 103$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K Q J 1062 |  |  |
|  | \&3 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Collings | Baratta | Jones | Unger |
|  |  | $2 \diamond$ | 3 3 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Obermair | Stanley | Eichholzer | Rue |
|  |  | $2 \diamond$ | $3 \vee$ |
| Pass | 39 | Pass | 3NT |

All Pass
Both East players opened with a top-weight multi and both Souths overcalled $3 \triangleleft$.As North, Baratta made a normal pass while Stanley made a very aggressive move, getting his side to the no trump game when Rue clearly read the bid as stopper-showing rather than a long suit.

Hubert Obermair led a low club against 3NT and Derek Rue played low from the dummy, Jorg Eichholzer winning the nine. Eichholzer switched to the queen of hearts and Rue won the king then cashed four rounds of spades before playing a diamond. That was that as Obermair had an obvious club entry to his partner's hand; down four for -400 .
John Collings led his heart against $3 \diamond$ and David Jones won the ace then returned the queen to the king and ruff. Now Collings erred when he switched to ace then jack of clubs. Gavriel Unger could ruff and play on diamonds and now the spade switch came too late; + IIO and II IMPs to Austria.

Of course, the $\triangleright Q$ should be suit preference for spades and a spade switch after ruffing the heart sets up the ruff for when West takes the $\diamond A$.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.
AKJ 106542
$\bigcirc 7$
$\diamond$ QJ 6
47

- A Q 8

PK Q 863
$\diamond$ A 32
\& 106


4
PJ 104
$\diamond$ K 875
\&KJ 983
¢ 97
8 A 952
$\diamond 1094$
\%A Q 52

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collings | Baratta | Jones | Unger |
|  | 34 | Pass | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Obermair | Stanley | Eichholzer | Rue |
|  | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3s | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Every eye sees its own beauty, I suppose, but for me that North hand is a down-the-middle 3s opening rather than the multi preferred at one table. Not that the choice of opening bid affected the final contract in the slightest.

Both Norths led the queen of diamonds. Collings ducked, won the continuation of the $\diamond J$ in hand and played on hearts. Unger won immediately to switch to the nine of spades for queen and king and back came the $\boldsymbol{y}^{2}$. Collings won and cashed the hearts but that squeezed dummy down to a doubleton club. When he now played a club, hoping to establish a ninth trick, Unger had the queen, ace and a small club for down two; - 100 .


Herbert Obermair, Austria

Obermair won the first diamond and played on hearts. When Rue took the $\vee \mathbf{A}$ and switched to a spade, declarer rose with the ace and could no longer take more than the seven already established winners; also two down for - 100 and a push board.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

|  | -6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢AQJIO432 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 65$ |  |  |
|  | A 108 |  |  |
| , A Q 5 | N - |  | 132 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 9$ | W E |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AKJ 72 |  |  |  |
| ¢ ${ }^{3} 2$ | 2 |  | -K Q 654 |
|  | ¢K 109874 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 85$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 1094$ |  |  |
|  | ¢ 9 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Collings | Baratta | Jones | Unger |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| INT | 20 | Dble | 2. |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Obermair | Stanley | Eichholzer | Rue |
|  |  | Pass | 2 2 |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Two rather different auctions to the same final contract. Rue opened a multi and Obermair overcalled 2NT, raised to game by Eichholzer. Keith Stanley led ace then queen of hearts to the king. Obermair led a club and Stanley grabbed his ace and cashed out the hearts for down three; -300 .

Unger did not open the South hand so Collings got to open a strong no trump and Franz Baratta overcalled 2\%, any one-suiter. After Jones had doubled to show clubs,


Derek Rue, England

Unger showed his spades and Collings showed his maximum by rebidding 2 NT , raised to game. Baratta led the $\bigcirc$ Q to declarer's king and Collings cashed out, taking the spade finesse along the way, before playing a club up with no hope at all in his heart. Sure enough, Baratta had the rest, but cashing out meant only one down for $=100$ so England picked up 5 IMPs.

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.

- A Q 76

PK 9
$\diamond$ KJ 985
中 5

, K 4
จ 1032
$\diamond$ Q 10
2Q 108763
© 92
คA Q 765
$\checkmark$ A 63
e) 94

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collings | Baratta | Jones | Unger |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | 1\% | Pass | INT |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Obermair | Stanley | Eichholzer | Rue |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 40 | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

The Austrians started with a strong club and a fourcontrol showing response. From there the auction was natural and they stopped in 3NT. The club situation might have been a concern to declarer but not on the actual layout. In practice, Jones led the jack of spades and Baratta won the ace. He played a diamond to the ace and a diamond back to the eight and queen. Collings switched to a club but declarer had eleven tricks now for +660 .
The English pair explored slam possibilities before subsiding in the diamond game. Eicholzer led a club to the nine, king and ace and Stanley played three rounds of hearts to pitch his club loser then took a spade finesse. That lost and back came a spade for the jack and ace. Stanley played $\diamond K$ and a second diamond to the ace then a winning heart. That was ruffed but he could over-ruff, ruff a spade and throw the last spade on the last heart; +620 but I IMP to Austria.

Board I 2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

|  | - Q |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PA 54 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A |  |  |
|  | A QJ97654 |  |  |
| - AJ 652 | N K K |  | 843 |
| PK Q 6 |  | ¢10987 |  |
| $\checkmark 964$ |  | $\checkmark 10852$ |  |
| ¢ K 10 | S 107 - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | ¢J 32 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K Q J 73 |  |  |
|  | ¢ 82 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Collings | Baratta | Jones | Unger |
| 14 | Dble | $4{ }^{4}$ | Pass |
| Pass | 59 | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Obermair | Stanley | Eichholzer | Rue |
| 14 | 5\% | 54 | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

Baratta started with a take-out double and had no real option but to follow up with $5 \%$ at his next turn. After a spade lead to the ace and a switch to the king of hearts, Baratta had the tricks but not the communications to get at them. After winning the heart, he could only cash the minor-suit aces then play the 2 Q to the king, but Collings had the heart to cash for down one; -I00.

Stanley overcalled 5\% and that put Eichholzer under pressure such that he was obliged to compete with 54. Stanley, who had afar better hand than he might have done, doubled that on then way out, and that was that. He cashed the ace of diamonds, on which Rue dropped the king, then switched to ace and another heart. One diamond went away on the long heart so the contract was just one down; - 100 and 5 IMPs to England.

England won a quiet match by I4-I3 IMPs, a I4.5-14.5 VP draw, due to a slow play fine.


Keith Stanley, England

## Championship Diary

Australia's Elizabeth Havas must have been delighted when she arrived in Istanbul, as she must have spotted that the buses at the airport all display the name HAVAS in large letters.

Remarkably on Friday The Times carried two items that might be of interest to visitors to Istanbul. The first related to the contents of a doner kebab. It should be: 5 kg of beef topside, 2 kg of lamb leg, 1 kg of lamb belly, 750 grams of lamb fat and slices of pepper and tomato. The information was supplied by Recep Özkaya of Istanbul! (Amazingly at the restaurant we found for dinner on Friday night the first thing the waiter told us was 'Doner kebab is off!')
The second was a simple question: Why, in a pack of playing cards, is the King of Hearts the only king not to have a moustache? We'll tell you tomorrow.

On arrival at Istanbul's Ataturk Airport we got into the queue for a Visa, remarking that there were bound to be several people less well informed people in the passport control lines who would inevitably be somewhat annoyed when they discovered their mistake. Having obtained ourVisas we joined one of the queues, where we encountered an irate Brian Senior, who had just been pointed in the direction of theVisa section by the passport officer!

Chess \& Bridge are regarded as the two supreme Mind Sports. FIDE is currently staging the 36th Chess Olympiad in Calvia, Majorca. 129 teams are competing in the Open series, which is led by Ukraine, while 87 are contesting the Women's championship that is being dominated by China.

If we ever publish a book on bridge Trivia here are a couple of questions that might well get into it: Md. Anisul Haque and Nitya Gopal Saha of Bangladesh are playing in their third consecutive Olympiad. Which pair holds the record for the most consecutive appearances in Olympiads?

Name two people who have attended every Olympiad (the first was in 1960).

In Round 2 of the Open Championship Wales met Jordan. One wag in the office asked if there was an ' $i$ ' missing. (In case you don't get it - Jorda(i)n.).

## by Mark Horton

Yesterday's opening match on VuGraph matched our hosts with the USA. Although almost half the boards were pushes and few IMPs changed hands there was plenty to savour, as the Turkish players played extremely well.

## Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

- K 86
(8) 1092
$\triangleleft 1052$
玉KJ3

| -105 | N | Q $\mathrm{Q}^{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QAK 54 | N | $\bigcirc$ Q 8 |
| $\checkmark$ Q 74 | W E | $\checkmark$ AKJ 93 |
| 9865 | S | 2 A Q 109 |
|  | -AJ9432 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 763$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 86$ |  |
|  | -7 4 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weinstein | Atabey | Levin | Kolata |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | 1. |
| Dble* | 2 | Dble* | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

East/West have more than enough high cards to warrant a game contract, but 3NT was clearly out of the question and game in either minor required a lot of luck in the club suit.
(Four Hearts is very easy to make, but the 4-2 fit should that be called a 'mini Moyse' (Tacchi suggests a 'Moysette') - is not one that is explored too often!)
When South led the seven of clubs declarer was not hard pressed to record twelve tricks, +170 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zorlu | Welland | Assael | Fallenius |
|  | Pass | $\mathrm{I} \diamond$ | $3 \uparrow$ |
| Dble* | All Pass |  |  |

South's more buccaneering overcall was harshly dealt with as East was happy to pass his partner's negative double. West led a club and declarer could make no more than his six trump tricks, three down, -500 and a nice 8 IMP start for Turkey.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

## - 52

QQ 1082
$\diamond$ Q 10653
46
A AKQ 106
PAK 9
$\diamond 8742$
9


- 1974
©) 754
$\checkmark A$
* A 108
- 83

863
$\Delta$ KJ 9
※K Q 7543
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weinstein | Atabey | Levin | Kolata |
| 1. | Pass | 3NT* | Pass |
| 49 | Pass | 48 | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| 68 | Pass | 64 | All Pass |

3NT promised any I-4-4-4 shape with a fit for spades. Of course the singleton ace of diamonds was not ideal, for as David Burn pointed out on Bridgebase partner would tend to think that diamond honours were wasted $-\diamond K Q 42$ for example. Still, it is hard to find an alternative with the East hand.

Weinstein took quite a long time to bid over Five Hearts, and it occurred to me that perhaps Six Hearts might be the way to investigate for a Grand Slam, although you still can't be certain that you will have 13 tricks even if partner delivers the queen of hearts - for example a trump lead may be awkward - when Bart Bramley, again on Bridgebase, suggested 'maybe 68 here?' and that was Weinstein eventually bid. Either we are getting better, or he is getting worse!
Of course, he had used a great deal of mental energy, and one can speculate on the cumulative effect of that over a long period of matches. His partner had no magic holding, so they stopped safely in the cold slam, +1430 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zorlu | Welland | Assael | Fallenius |
| 1s | Pass | 20* | Pass |
| $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 29 | Pass |
| 3\%* | Pass | 49 | Pass |
| 5\%* | Pass | $5 *^{*}$ | Pass |
| 64 | All Pass |  |  |

Two Clubs was game forcing and West did well to go on
when his partner bid Four Spades. When East showed a diamond control West had heard enough and flattened the board.

However, neither team could cope with the next slam opportunity:

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weinstein | Atabey | Levin | Kolata |
| 19 | Pass | 20* | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 39 | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

Is this the type of deal that gives Two over One a bad reputation? When East revealed the double fit should West bid Four Diamonds, or would that suggest more than he actually held? Should East have made one more try?

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zorlu | Welland | Assael | Fallenius |
| 15 | Pass | 2 S* $^{*}$ | Pass |
| 48 | All Pass |  |  |

An even shorter auction and the same unsatisfactory conclusion. Was the West hand, with fair heart support and decent controls really a minimum?


Roy Welland, USA

Perhaps both pairs should study the auction produced by Canada's Linda Lee \& Katie Thorpe in their match against Finland:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lee |  | Thorpe |
| 14 | Pass | 2®* | Pass |
| 38 | Pass | 3s | Pass |
| 49 | Pass | 4NT* | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | 68 | All Pass |

Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weinstein | Atabey | Levin | Kolata |
|  | Pass | 19 | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| $38^{*}$ | 49 | All Pass |  |

The American pair play transfer responses, hence West's bid. He led the ten of spades - perhaps a count style card would be better - and East won and fatally tried to cash a second spade. Declarer ruffed, played a club to the king, a club to the ace and the queen of hearts. In due course his losing diamond went away on the hearts, +130 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zorlu | Welland | Assael | Fallenius |
|  | Pass | I | 2 |
| 3 | 49 | All Pass |  |

West led the two of spades, third and fifth, and East won and switched to the four of diamonds. That put the defenders ahead in this particular race, so they scored +50 and Turkey had 5 deserved IMPs to move them ahead 16-0.

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.

|  | Q Q 10732 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q Q ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 53$ |  |
|  | \% A Q 10 |  |
| - A 84 | N | Q 965 |
| $\bigcirc 7$ |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 102$ |
| $\checkmark$ K Q 1076 |  | $\checkmark$ A 92 |
| \&) 764 | S | ¢952 |
|  | Q KJ |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A96543 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 84$ |  |
|  | 中K 83 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weinstein | Atabey | Levin | Kolata |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \varnothing$ |
| Pass | $1 Q$ | Pass | $2 \rrbracket$ |
| Pass | $4 \nabla$ | All Pass |  |

Four Hearts simply needed the trump suit to be kind.

| Not this time, and declarer was one down, -100 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Zorlu | Welland | Assael | Fallenius |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \varnothing$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond *$ | Pass | $2 \vee$ |
| Dble | Redbl | 3 |  |

Two Diamonds was a good raise, and the redouble was possibly suggesting that it might be possible to penalise East/West. South did not have the kind of hand to justify a double, so East was left to play Three Diamonds. South led the king of spades, ducked, and continued with the jack. Declarer won and played a heart to the king and ace. South got out with a diamond and declarer eliminated the hearts while drawing trumps in the hope of some minor miracle, but nothing happened and he was two down, -


Bjorn Fallenious, USA
200.

That gave the USA 7 IMPs, their biggest swing in the match.

Board I I. Dealer South. None Vul.

- K 6

PAKJ8632
$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ } 7$
\&106

- 10743

ค1075
$\checkmark 64$
9852

$\stackrel{Q}{Q}$
$\bigcirc$ Q 9
$\diamond$ AK Q 53
2QJ973
-A 9852
४4
$\diamond 10982$
\& K K 4
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weinstein | Atabey | Levin | Kolata |
|  |  |  | 14 |
| Pass | 2 * $^{*}$ | 2NT* | Pass |
| 3\% | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |

East started with two top diamonds and switched to the queen of clubs. Declarer won in dummy and played a heart to the jack. That lost to the queen, but when the outstanding trumps divided $2-$ I he was home, +420 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zorlu | Welland | Assael | Fallenius |
|  |  |  | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| Pass | 20* | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble* | Pass | 24* |
| Pass | 2NT* | Pass | $3{ }^{*}$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Two Diamonds is a makeable contract - but with South on lead only for North/South. Having missed an admittedly difficult chance North/South went on to try the nine trick game.
Declarer won the opening lead of the three of diamonds in hand, crossed to the king of clubs and played a heart to the jack. That meant he was one down, -50 and another 10 IMPs for Turkey.

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.

\& K J 104

$\triangleleft$ K Q 854
显 6


8962
$\diamond$ A 1076
\& 92

| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Weinstein | Atabey | Levin | Kolata |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Dble | Redbl |
| 18 | Pass | 28 | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 31 | Pass | 4\% |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 5 |

All Pass
Levin did well to come in on the second round and when South bid Three Diamonds it was a while before North bid on, but once he did a game contract was bound to be reached. Declarer won the heart lead and played a diamond to the ace. He drew trumps and cashed the king of spades. When the queen surfaced he took three more rounds of the suit, discarding a heart and then exited with a heart. When East won and tried the five of clubs he put up the king, +400 .

| Closed Room   <br> West North East | South <br> Zorlu | Welland | Assael <br> Pass |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |



Suleyman Kolata, Turkey

Declarer ducked the heart lead, won the next one and played a diamond to the ace. Notice they both took into account a possible $\diamond$ J 932 with West. Then he ran the diamonds and advanced the jack of spades, putting up dummy's ace to good effect. He claimed ten tricks, +430 and IIMP.

Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | ¢AK 1087 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ๑KJ 103 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 4$ |  |
|  | 942 |  |
| ¢Q 43 | N | 49 |
| $\checkmark 4$ |  | ¢A8762 |
| $\checkmark$ Q 109873 | W E | $\checkmark$ K 52 |
| ¢Q J 3 | S | ¢ 875 |
|  | Q ${ }^{\text {d }} 52$ |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 95 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A J 6 |  |
|  | 2K 106 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weinstein | Atabey | Levin | Kolata |
|  | 19 | Dble | 2 NT $^{*}$ |
| $4 \diamond$ | $4 \Phi$ | $5 \diamond$ | Dble |

All Pass
Only the lead of the ace of hearts will defeat Four Spades, so in that sense East/West did well to sacrifice. North cashed the ace of spades and found the brilliant switch to a diamond, the only genuine way to ensure a two trick defeat.
When South calmly played the six, declarer tried to generate some kind of trump coup ending by ruffing hearts in his hand, but it was not to be and he finished two down, -300.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zorlu | Welland | Assael | Fallenius |
|  | 19 | Dble | $2 \varangle$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass | $3 \$$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Three Spades was safe enough. East led the ace of hearts, threatening to hold the contract to nine tricks, but he switched to a diamond and declarer came to ten tricks, +170 . That gave Turkey 4 IMPs and an overall win by 33-9 IMPs, 20-10 VP.

Any team that holds its opponents to less than an IMP a board is a force to be reckoned with, the more so when it is the mighty USA. Watch out for Turkey!

