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## USA recapture McConnell Cup



An American team won the inaugural McConnell Cup contest in Albuquerque in 1994 and now eight years later the trophy returns to its native soil.The all American final saw Irina Levitina, Kerri Sanborn, Lynn Deas, Beth Palmer, Randi Montin and Jill Meyers (pictured above) comfortably outscore Judi Radin, Shawn Quinn, Mildred Breed, Rozanne Pollack, Hjordis Eythorsdottir and Valerie Westheimer.
In the Power Rosenblum, after two scintillating semi finals, Lavazza meet Munawar in today's final.

VuGraph<br>Salle François ground floor

Munawar - Lavazza

## ATTENTION!!!

All events begin at 10.00

## Open and Women's Pairs

I52 pairs play in the Open Pairs Semi-final. Approximately 66 of these will qualify for the final, where about six more pairs are expected to drop in from the Rosenblum semi-finals and final to make a 72-pair final. 52 pairs play in the Women's Pairs Semi-final. We expect 21 to qualify for the final, with another II pairs joining them from the McConnell semi-finals and final to make a field of 32 pairs for the final.
Both finals will be played over five sessions commencing on Thursday morning at 10.00 a.m.

## Seniors Pairs

There are 72 pairs playing in the Seniors Pairs Qualifying stage, of which 28 will go through to the final. This is a three-session event that starts at $10.00 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. on Thursday morning.
All non-qualifiers for their respective finals may join the IMP Pairs event.

## POWER ROSENBLUM CUP

(Semi-Finals)

| I | Burgay | POL | Munawar | INA | 30 | 29 | 40 | 37 | 38 | 37 | 3 | 23 | 111 | 126 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Lavazza | ITA | Fredin | SWE | 50 | 6 | 13 | 42 | 21 | 35 | 39 | 19 | 123 | 102 |

## McCONNELL CUP

| 1 | Bessis | FRA | Vriend | NED | 64 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 74 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## (Final)



## SCHEDULE OF CAN-AM 2002

Wednesday August 28
09.00 Bracketed Morning KO\#5 4th Session Bracketed Morning KO\#6 2nd Session Morning Contin. Pairs\#2 6th Session
13.00 Olympic Stratified Triathlon Teams 3rd Session

Imp Pairs Ladies Pairs Random Draw KO Continuous Pairs\#5
19.30 Olympic Stratified Triathlon Teams Imp Pairs Ladies Pairs Random Draw KO Continuous Pairs\#5 Horizontal Swiss\#2 Ist Session Ist Session Ist Session Ist Session 4th Session 2nd Session 2nd Session 2nd Session 2nd Session Ist Session

Power Rosenblum Final

| Power Rosenblum Final |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Munawar | INA - Lavazza |  |
| ITA |  |  |

## 6th World Computer Bridge Championship

The ACBL's sixth annual World Computer-Bridge Championship will be decided today. Jack (The Netherlands) and WBridge5 (France) have advanced to the 64-board finals with decisive wins in the semifinals. Both programs have preformed well. Jack was first in the round robin and WBridge5 was second and has yet to lose a match. The 20 -board round robin results were:
Jack (The Netherlands)
WBridge5 (France) 121
Micro Bridge (Japan)
Q-Plus Bridge (Germany)
Blue Chip Bridge (UK) 75
Meadowlark Bridge (USA)
GIB (USA)
(W/D) 108
GIB withdrew from the tournament during the last round robin match because of technical difficulties.

The 48-board semifinals were completed on Sunday. Jack defeated Q-Plus Bridge 176-96
Wbridge5 defeated Micro Bridge $215-46$ For more details, go to www.ny-bridge.com/allevy/Montreal

## VICTORY BANQUET



The Victory Banquet will take place on Saturday, 3 Ist August 2002 at 6.00 p.m in the Grand Ballroom of the Queen Elizabeth Hotel, followed by dancing to the music of one of the most renowned bands in Montreal.
Medal presentations will take place during dinner. All players who participated in the World Bridge Championship events are entitled to attend together with their spouse or partner.
Players may collect their invitations from the Hospitality Desk on the Mezzanine floor of the Queen Elizabeth Hotel on Thursday. Only invitation holders will be admitted to the Banquet.

## How the mighty fall

The powerful Nick Nickell team is always among the favorites in any event they enter, and their record in major ACBL and world championships speaks for itself.

No team, however, is unbeatable, which was demonstrated yet again in the Power Rosenblum round of 16 when the Italian Attanasio squad upset Nickell in a high-scoring match to move into the quarterfinals.

Nickell led 59-52 after one quarter but fell behind when the Italians posted a 62-2I second quarter. As expected, Nickell stormed back in the third quarter 68-3I to enter the last set ahead by 3 IMPs.

In the open room, Jeff Meckstroth and Eric Rodwell faced Stelio Di Bello and Mario D'Avossa. In the closed room, Bob Hamman and Paul Soloway faced Giampaolo Rinaldi and Ruggero Pulga. It was a wild set right from the beginning.

Attanasio scored first.
Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

- 10
$\bigcirc$ K J 10
$\diamond$ Q J 87652
\% 63

4. A97653
$\bigcirc 7$
$\diamond A$
AKK 1072


- QJ 82
$\triangle$ AQ9654
$\diamond K$
98
, K 4
ค 832
$\diamond 10943$
\& Q J 54


Stelio Di Bello

West
Rodwell


Pass
(1) Precision

Any strong club system is vulnerable to the kind of interference
Meckstroth and Rodwell encountered. Rodwell had a great
five level by the to show his spade suit and the auction was at
Any strong club system is vulnerable to the kind of interference
the Meckstroth and Rodwell encountered. Rodwell had a great
hand but he had yet to show his spade suit and the auction was at
the five level by the time he had his second chance to bid. You can
Any strong club system is vulnerable to the kind of interference
the Meckstroth and Rodwell encountered. Rodwell had a great
hand but he had yet to show his spade suit and the auction was at
the five level by the time he had his second chance to bid. You can
Any strong club system is vulnerable to the kind of interference
the Meckstroth and Rodwell encountered. Rodwell had a great
hand but he had yet to show his spade suit and the auction was at
the five level by the time he had his second chance to bid. You can see Rodwell's dilemma. He has no support for his partner's suit and no guarantee that bidding spades would be any better.

Meckstroth and Rodwell exacted the full penalty against D'Avossa. Meckstroth led the LQ to the king and ace, and Rodwell switched to his singleton heart. A third round of hearts was ruffed with the $\diamond A$ and there were still two club tricks and the $\diamond K$ to come. That was down five for plus 1100 but still an 8-IMP loss since East-West at the other table found their way to the unbeatable spade slam.

Aggressive bidding earned another II IMPs for the Italians on this deal.

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.

\& K 1065
$\checkmark$ A 2
$\triangleleft 532$
\& 1943

North
D'Avossa
$3 \diamond$
Pass

East
Meckstroth

38
Dble

South
Di Bello
Pass
5 $\downarrow$
All Pass


Q Q 72
คJ104
$\diamond$ K 6
\& K 10872

ゅ 83
$\checkmark$ K Q 8
$\diamond$ A Q 1094
\& A Q 6

| West <br> Rodwell | North <br> $D^{\prime}$ Avossa | East <br> Meckstroth | South <br> Di Bello <br> $2 \boldsymbol{2}(1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $2 \Delta^{(2)}$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | $3 \Phi^{(3)}$ | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Pass | $4 》$ | All Pass |  |

(1) Balanced 18-20.
(2) Puppet to $2 \checkmark$.
${ }^{(3)}$ Five or more hearts, four or more spades.
Rodwell led a club to the king and ace and Di Bello played the $\bigcirc$ Q to Rodwell's ace. A diamond play picked up Meckstroth's king and Di Bello was able to claim II tricks after cashing the 8 K dummy's spades were going on the 2 Q and two diamonds.

At the other table, Hamman and Soloway played $3 \triangleleft$ making four for plus 130 .

The next board was another tough one for Meckstroth and Rodwell.

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.

- 9
$\bigcirc 643$
$\diamond 1096543$
2K 92

```
- AK 8632
\(\bigcirc 52\)
\(\diamond \mathrm{K}\) Q J
\& 103
```



| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | D'Avossa | Meckstroth | Di Bello |
| 14 | Pass | $2 \mathrm{NT}^{(1)}$ | $3 \bigcirc$ |
| 4 | Pass | $4 \curlyvee^{(2)}$ | Dble ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| Pass | Pass | Redbl ${ }^{(4)}$ | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 54. | Pass |
| 64 | All Pass |  |  |

(1) Limit raise or better, usually four trumps
(2) "Last train" slam try
${ }^{(3)}$ Okay not to lead hearts
${ }^{(4)}$ Confirming heart control
Perhaps Rodwell envisioned Meckstroth with a singleton or void in hearts and some useful cards in clubs. Once he passed the four level, however, Rodwell was destined for a minus. Even after the opening lead of a diamond, Rodwell had nowhere to put his


Mario D'Avossa
losers and he was down two for an II-IMP loss since the contract was a more reasonable 44, making, at the other table.

The Italians picked up another 6 IMPs on this deal when Di Bello played boldly to land an aggressive 3NT not bid at the other table.

Board 25. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

|  | - A 10953 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢Q8743 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | $\text { NK } 64$ |  |
| - J 8 | N | - K Q 42 |
| $\bigcirc 95$ |  | ¢KJ 62 |
| $\checkmark$ A 1086432 | W E | $\diamond$ J 95 |
| \& Q 7 | S | -5 2 |
|  | ¢ 76 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 10 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K Q 7 |  |
|  | \& AJ 10983 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | D'Avossa | Meckstroth | Di Bello |
|  | $1 \mathbf{4}$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

The Italians' light opening style paid off on this deal when Di Bello risked down four to bring home the shaky game.

Rodwell led the $\checkmark 6$. Di Bello pitched a heart from dummy and took Meckstroth's jack with the king. He played a club to dummy's king as Meckstroth played the 5. A low club from dummy fetched the 2 from Meckstroth and Di Bello asked the significance of the high-low. Rodwell explained that he and Meckstroth play reverse Smith echo, so Meckstroth was indicating he did not like diamonds.

Di Bello could have taken the club finesse to protect his diamond holding, but he didn't have enough tricks if the finesse lost, so he boldly went up with his ace, felling the queen for plus 400. Hamman and Soloway didn't get past 3\%, making five for plus 150 . Attanasio was now leading 182-I53.

Nickell gained 2 overtrick IMPs on the next deal, then struck for II on this one.

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.

- J542
- A 86
$\diamond 6432$
\& 95
- K 10983

Q Q J 52
$\diamond$ Q
\& Q 86
© K 109743
$\diamond$ J 8
K K

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | D'Avossa | Meckstroth | Di Bello <br> 18 |
|  |  |  | 38 |
| 14 | $2 \triangleleft$ | Dble | $3 \varnothing$ |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

Meckstroth's first double was responsive, the second simply showing a good hand. Rodwell did not envision 6-6 in the minors with his partner, nor, apparently, did Di Bello in the play.

Rodwell started with the $\diamond$ Q, overtaken by the king. Meckstroth cashed the $\diamond A$, then the $\triangle A$, continuing with the $\diamond I O$. Di Bello ruffed with the $\nabla K$ and played a heart to the ace, followed by a spade to the queen and king. De Bello won the spade continuation in dummy and played a club. Meckstroth went in with the ace and played a fourth diamond. Rodwell's heart spots were not good enough to earn promotion, but the defense still had seven tricks for plus 500.

At the other table:
West

Rinaldi $\quad$\begin{tabular}{c}
North <br>
Hamman

$\quad$

East <br>
Pulga

$\quad$

South <br>
Soloway <br>
$2 \oslash$
\end{tabular}

Soloway started with the $\vee \mathrm{K}$, a brilliant stroke. Declarer ruffed and entered dummy with $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$. On Soloway's lead, declarer figured him for the $\vee \mathrm{A} K$, so it was more likely that Hamman held the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$. Accordingly, Pulga ran the s Q to Soloway's king. A spade went to declarer's bare ace, and he ruffed a diamond to play a club to his I0.That was plus 50 and II IMPs to Nickell, now down by 16 IMPs. Another swing went Nickell's way when Hamman and Soloway recorded plus 1100 against 2s doubled while Di Bello and D'Avossa took 10 tricks in 3NT for plus 630. That was 10 more to the Americans, trailing by only 6 IMPs at that point with two boards to go. Nickell took the lead on the next-to-last deal with a I3-IMP swing thanks to a defensive slip by Di Bello.

Board 30. Dealer East. None Vul.

|  | ¢ - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 1873$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AKQ 984 |  |  |
|  | ¢ 872 |  |  |
| - J 62 | N |  | 754 |
| $\bigcirc$ A 106 | W E |  |  |
| $\diamond$ J 7 |  |  | 2 |
| \& KJIO 53 | \% |  |  |
|  | ¢ A Q 1083 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 52 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 63$ |  |  |
|  | 9964 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Rodwell | D'Avossa | Meckstroth | Di Bello |
|  |  | 19 | Pass |
| INT | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Di Bello led the $\diamond 6$ and the defense got off to a good start when D'Avossa played three rounds of diamonds, allowing Di Bello to pitch a low club. Meckstroth ruffed in dummy, played a
club to his ace and put a low spade on the table. This was the moment of truth for Di Bello, and he erred by playing low. Meckstroth made him pay for the slip, winning the 9 , then cashing the K 號 \&), pitching a heart from his hand. Di Bello ruffed and got out with the $\oslash Q$, but it was too late for the defense as Meckstroth won in hand, played a heart to the ace, ruffed a heart and exited with a low trump. Di Bello had nothing but trumps left, so he had to give Meckstroth his eighth trick with the \$K. That was plus 470.

Di Bello could have defeated the contract by playing the $\Phi \mathrm{Q}$ at trick five. He could then cash the $₫ \mathrm{~A}$, defensive trick number four, and exit with a club. He would still have a natural trump trick coming, plus a heart trick or a club ruff. At the other table, the contract was 3s doubled, but Soloway didn't slip on defense and he and Hamman collected all their tricks for plus 300.

Nickell had surged into the lead at I89-192 with one board to play. It turned out to be Nickell's undoing.

Board 3I. Dealer South. N/S Vul.
$\& 109865$
$\vee-$
$\diamond Q 4$
$\&$ A 108752
\& 4
○K 9732
$\diamond$ A J 72
\& Q J 3


In the closed room, the Italian East-West played 5 doubled, going one down for minus 100 .

In the open room:
West

Rodwell \begin{tabular}{ccc}
North <br>
D'Avossa

$\quad$

East <br>
Meckstroth

$\quad$

South <br>
Di Bello <br>
IQ
\end{tabular}

The defenders had only two tricks against this contract, and Di Bello racked up plus 990 . That was 13 IMPs to Italy, enough to send them into the quarterfinal round.

Meckstroth complained to the directors after the match that D'Avossa had broken tempo before bidding 44 and that he had also engaged in some histrionics designed to make it appear he had a bridge problem he clearly did not have with the North hand. D'Avossa denied breaking tempo or any charade designed to deceive Meckstroth.

The matter went to an appeals committee, but the result was allowed to stand and Nickell, one of the pre-tournament favorites, was on the sidelines. The final score was 195-I89 for the Italians.


## Quarter-final Set One



The pick of the quarter-final matches in the Power Rosenblum looked to be the encounter between the powerful Lavazza team from Italy and Kowalski's Polish squad.The first session on vugraph featured some excellent bridge, particularly from Bocchi/Duboin for Italy.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | - K Q 1094 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 8$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K Q 52 |  |  |
|  | - J 64 |  |  |
| - A 7 | N |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 932$ |  |  |  |
|  | W |  |  |
| $\text { \& A } 9852$ | $S$ a |  |  |
|  | ¢ 5 |  |  |
|  | ¢ A Q 7654 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 1063$ |  |  |
|  | 2 Q 103 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Szymanowski | Bocchi | Romanski | Duboin |
|  | 18 | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Versace | Tuszynski | Lauria | Kowalski |
|  | $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 3 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

We clearly play a different game as both Norths gave serious consideration to bidding over $2 \checkmark$ and Piotr Tuszynski actually did so, when in normal style pass is completely routine. It appears that $2 \boxtimes$ is a much better spot than $3 \diamond$, which drifted two off in the Closed Room, but Szymanowski/Romanski defended beautifully to flatten the board.

Marek Szymanowski led the 25 against $2 \checkmark$ and Jacek Romanski won the king and returned the seven. Though Giorgio Duboin falsecarded twice with the ten and queen, Szymanowski judged correctly to give his partner a club ruff. Now it was essential to take the diamond entry out of dummy to prevent declarer's third diamond going away on a spade. Sure enough, Romanski switched to the $\diamond 8$ for the ten, jack and queen. Duboin played a heart to the queen then cashed the $\vee \mathbf{A}$ before leading a spade up. Szymanows-
ki went up with the ace and led the $\diamond 9$ and the defence took two diamond tricks for down two; no swing.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- K Q J 2
$\bigcirc 96$
$\checkmark 106$
* AK 1093
- 953

Q1873
$\diamond$ J 954

- J 2

- A 104
$\triangle$ AKQ 104
$\diamond A 2$
\& 854
- 876
$\bigcirc 52$
$\checkmark$ KQ 873
\& Q 76
West

| Szymanowski |
| :---: |
| Versace |

3

That looks like a pre-emptive raise to me, but the problem with raising on such weak hands is that partner is sometimes tempted to go on in case you just have a little more.That is what happened at both tables. For the Poles, Apolinary Kowalski led a spade, and now there was no way to avoid down two for -100. Duboin led a diamond honour. Romanski won the ace and drew trumps before playing his remaining diamond towards the dummy. Duboin got it right, playing low, so the defensive diamond winner was lost but there were still four black winners to come; down one for -50 but 2 IMPs to KOWALSKI.

There were one or two tables at which $4 \bigcirc$ was let through after the lead of a diamond honour when South failed to duck at trick four.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

```
. AJ9764
\vee 
87432
&
```

| - 10 | N |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 109652$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ K 106 | W | E |
| 2 Q 976 | S |  |

- K 2

คAJ43
$\diamond$ Q 95

- J 1085

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Szymanowski | Bocchi | Romanski | Duboin <br> Dass |
| 3 | 24 | Dble | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | $4 》$ | All Pass |



Alfredo Versace

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Versace | Tuszynski | Lauria | Kowalski |
|  | $2 \uparrow$ | $2 N T$ | $3 \&$ |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass |
| $3 \otimes$ | All Pass |  |  |

Tuszynski's 2 opening was weak with spades and another, so Kowalski competed with a pass or correct 3e over Lorenzo Lauria's 2NT overcall.AlfredoVersace waited to see which second suit was held on his left then competed in turn with 3 §, where he played. Tuszynski led his singleton club to the ten and queen. Versace led a heart to the king and ace and Kowalski, needing something very good to happen if he were to beat 3 , cashed the $\uparrow K$ then switched to the $\diamond$ Q. From here Versace could arrange to pitch a club on the $\diamond 10$ and take a club ruff in dummy; +170.

Norberto Bocchi's 2s was simply a weak two bid in spades. Szymanowski's response to the double showed some values, so Romanski raised to $4 \checkmark$. The lead was again the four of clubs to the ten and queen. Szymanowski led a heart to the king but Duboin allowed that to hold. From there is seems that the contract cannot be made. Even supposing that declarer gets the diamond position right to allow a club discard, he cannot do everything without letting the defence in, and now South can simply play ace and a low heart to prevent the ruff, without giving up his second trump trick. In practice, Szymanowski played $\diamond A$ then $\diamond$ J, covered. He continued with a heart to the queen and ace and was one down for -50 and 6 IMPs to LAVAZZA.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

|  | ¢ J 10974 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 82$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 73$ |  |
|  | ¢ 1962 |  |
| $\pm$ Q | N | ¢ AK 863 |
| $\checkmark$ AQ 976 |  | $\bigcirc$ K 53 |
| $\diamond$ JI0 86 |  | $\diamond$ K 94 |
| ¢K 84 | S | ¢ Q 3 |
|  | $\pm 52$ |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ J 104 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q 52 |  |
|  | 2 A 1075 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Szymanowski | Bocchi | Romanski | Duboin |
|  | Pass | 1. | Pass |
| $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 38 | Pass |
| 4 | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Versace | Tuszynski | Lauria | Kowalski |
|  | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| 28 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3\% | Pass | $3 \checkmark$ | Dble |
| Pass | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

The entirely normal looking Polish auction to $4 \checkmark$ left the fate of the contract in the hands of the opening leader. Bocchi found the diamond lead and three rounds allowed him to ruff. He switched to a club to Duboin's ace and now came the fourth diamond to the $\vee 8$ and 9 K . Szymanowski had to finesse against the PJ 10 now and, not surprisingly, failed to do so, and was down two; -200.

Lauria/Versace started naturally but $3<$ and $3 \checkmark$ were artificial. When Kowalski doubled $3 \diamond$, Lauria saw an advantage in becoming declarer to protect his diamond holding. The low club lead gave a trick away but 3NT was always secure. Lauria made ten tricks for +630 and 13 IMPs to LAVAZZA.

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.

|  | ¢ A 96 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{J} 85$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 3 |  |  |
|  | 2 QJ864 |  |  |
| - KJ432 | N |  | - - |
| ¢K 1092 |  |  | QQ 764 |
| $\diamond$ K 87 |  | $\diamond$ | $\checkmark$ QJ 654 |
| ¢ 3 |  |  | 105 |
|  | $\text { Q Q } 10875$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q Q } 10875 \\ & 8 \text { A } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 1092$ |  |  |
|  | -972 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Szymanowski | Bocchi | Romanski | Duboin |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 11 | Pass | 20 | Pass |
| 2 | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Versace | Tuszynski | Lauria | Kowalski |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | 20 | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 38 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |

Natural methods do not handle this combination well, as we can see from the fact that neither E/W pair were able to get to a heart contract. Facing a limited opening, Szymanowski chose a cautious $2 \diamond$ preference over the $2 \Leftrightarrow$ rebid and hearts were never mentioned at all. After ace and another heart lead, Romanski played a trump to the king and ace. Duboin got his heart ruff and returned his remaining trump, restricting declarer to one club ruff in dummy and nine tricks in all; +1l0.

Versace made an invitational jump to $3 \diamond$ in the other room and Lauria tried $3 \bigcirc$ but, of course, that was fourth-suit-forcing. When Versace showed his heart stopper, could Lauria have bid $4 \bigcirc$ on the way to $5 \diamond$ to complete his pattern, or would that have been a cuebid? In practice he jumped to the diamond game and that seemed doomed to failure when Kowalski cashed the ace of hearts. However, he did not like what he saw and switched to the ten of spades for the jack, ace and ruff. Now Lauria could play to ruff one club in the dummy and discard one on the $\$ \mathrm{~K} ;+600$ and 10 IMPs to LAVAZZA.

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.
AK 864
$\bigcirc 3$
$\diamond$ AK 105

- A 43


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Szymanowski | Bocchi | Romanski | Duboin |
| Pass | 19 | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 3\% | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Versace | Tuszynski | Lauria | Kowalski |
| Pass | 19 | Pass | 18 |
| 14 | Pass | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |

The Italian auction was artificial, with 20 showing either clubs or a good hand and $2 \%$ being a relay which promised game values facing the latter type. Three Clubs showed four diamonds and $3 \diamond$ was a further relay. When Bocchi now bid 3NT to show his exact shape, Duboin left him to play there. The lead was a low spade, run to the jack, and Duboin basically made the hand with his play to the next two tricks - A and a club to the nine. He crossed to the A to play a third club to the king. Romanski returned a club and Duboin cashed out for nine tricks; +600 .

In the other room, the $1 \$$ opening was Polish, often a weak no trump but this time the artificial strong version. One Heart showed four hearts and normal responding values and Tuszynski passed over that as he had no good descriptive call available. Kowalski jumped to $3 \oslash$, invitational facing a weak no trump type, and Tuszynski judged to raise to game rather than try 3NT from his side. When Versace led his singleton club, declarer's first play was critical. Ducking would have led to a ruff and one down, as there are also two trump losers. But Kowalski rose with the seA and took the heart finesse. After cashing the $\vee A$ he could use his dummy entries to lead up to the clubs and had ten tricks for +620 and I IMP to KOWALSKI.Well done.

The set ended with LAVAZZA ahead by 48-10 IMPs after a strong display in both rooms.

> Sonicwall - Our firewalls protect whilst Bill Gates plays! - Sonicwall (www.sonicwall.com) sponsor of firewalls to the WBF.

## Two Sides of the Game Story

One of the better played hands from the fourth qualifying session of the Open Pairs features USA's Billy Miller. This was the deal:

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.

|  | - Q 1087 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A Q J 6 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond{ }^{\prime} 2$ |  |  |
|  | - 198 |  |  |
| - AK 4 | N |  | - J 9532 |
| $\bigcirc 43$ | W |  | 8 K 1095 |
| $\diamond 975$ |  |  | $\diamond$ A 64 |
| - AK 1072 | S |  | -6 |
|  | ¢ 6 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 872$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KQ 1083 |  |  |
|  | 4 Q 543 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Cheek |  | Miller |  |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| 120 | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 21 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 4. | All Pass |  |  |


#### Abstract

Aggressive bidding saw Billy and partner Curtis Cheek get to a low point-count game that many of the field stayed out of. South led the king of diamonds and, when Billy ducked, switched to his trump. Billy rose with the ace of trumps and played a heart to North's ace. Back came the $\diamond$ J. Declarer won the ace and played three rounds of clubs, pitching the diamond loser then ruffing. The club ruff was the key play on the hand. Next came the 8 K and a heart ruff, followed by dummy's last diamond. Had North discarded, Billy would have been in a position to ruff low, ruff his last heart with the king of spades, and be in dummy to lead for the trump coup at trick twelve. In practice, North ruffed in with the queen and the heart went away, after which declarer's trumps were high. Note that the trump coup would not work without the earlier club ruff to reduce declarer's trump length.

If playing a trump coup can be quite pretty, defending against one is that little bit more so. Nick Krnjevic and Xun Zhang were defending against a spade partscore after this auction:


| West | North <br> Zhang | East | South <br> Krnjevic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1e | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | $2 \diamond$ |
| $2 \&$ | All Pass |  | Pass |

West's double showed three-card spade support. Krnjevic led the king of diamonds and declarer won immediately and took the top clubs, pitching a diamond. Next he led a heart to the queen and king and crossed to the A to lead a second heart up. Zhang won the jack and returned the jack of diamonds. Krnjevic overtook and played another diamond. What should North discard?

Declarer is about to ruff the diamond, ruff a heart low, then ruff a club. After that a heart ruff with the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ puts him in dummy for the trump coup and ten tricks. So Zhang threw the ace of hearts in the hope of drawing declarer away from the winning line. Sure enough, declarer ruffed the diamond but then ruffed the heart high, looking a bit put out when North followed. He could no longer play the trump coup and was held to nine tricks - a swing of quite a few matchpoints.


## The World Senior Teams <br> by Patrick Jourdain (Wales)

Jose Damiani, the President of the WBF, has been taking a rare opportunity to play by competing in the World Senior Teams. His partner is Henri Szwarc and their team-mates are Pinhas Romik, Yeshayu Levit, and Nissan Rand. Rand is the only player present from the team successful four years ago in Lille. His crown has been relinquished as the team did not make the semifinals.

Szwarc and Damiani gained a slam swing in an early match with this effort:

| ¢ AKQJ 7532 | N | 4 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{KJ}$ | W E | $\bigcirc 9642$ |
| $\diamond$ Q | W E | $\checkmark$ A 853 |
| - ${ }^{\text {a } 6}$ | S | - K 1052 |

At one table the auction was: $2 \boldsymbol{2}-2 \diamond-4$ - Pass
Two diamonds was a negative. Declarer made 12 tricks.
Szwarc and Damiani show aces after a Two Club opening and this was their auction:


The Three Diamond response showed the ace of diamonds, and West's slow route to Four Spades was more encouraging than a quick one. This encouraged East to cuebid clubs, known as a second round control, and this was the information needed for West to bid the good slam.

North managed to avoid leading hearts, starting with a trump. Damiani ran six rounds, tested the clubs by playing ace, king and a ruff. With a master club still out, he crossed to dummy with the diamond and led a heart, South following low. Reading the defenders' signals accurately, declarer put in the jack, fetching the ace, for a 12 IMP gain.

When the team met your reporter's the result was a 15 -15 draw, but Nissan Rand could claim some bad luck on this deal:


The WBF is very grateful for the generosity of SNC-Lavalin (www.snc-lavalin.com) for the loan of the computers and printers for the World Championships, and to Extreme Networks (www.extremenetworks.com) for the loan of the network hubs.

Dealer North, All Vul.

- Q 853
$\bigcirc 1$
$\diamond$ Q 94
AKQJ5

$$
4
$$

$\diamond A 843$
$\diamond K 106$
$\& 108643$

- AK 1076
- J 92
$\bigcirc$ K Q 1072
$\diamond 53$
\& 972
$\bigcirc 965$
$\diamond$ AJ 872
\& None

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rosen | Levit | O'Grady | Rand |
|  | 180 | 18 | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| 3 - | $4{ }^{1}$ | Pass | Pass |
| $5 \bigcirc$ | Pass | Pass | 64 |

All Pass
Rand's is was natural and forcing showing five. Bob Rosen's Three Diamonds showed a heart raise. When West was prepared to go the five level Rand took the inference that the opponents held at least nine cards in the suit and partner would therefore have at most a singleton.

On the lead of ace and another heart the first part of the logic was rewarded when declarer could ruff in dummy. If the trumps broke 2-2 there were 12 top tricks, so Rand began with ace, king of spades. When they proved to be 3-I he was forced to use dummy's queen to draw East's last trump in order to run the clubs. If this suit was breaking declarer would have the five discards needed, but East showed out on the fourth round, and declarer was only able to discard his last heart and three diamonds. Declarer's last chance was the diamond finesse through the overcaller, but that failed as well.At the other table Martin Hoffman and Ross Harper had stopped in Five Spades for Rand's team to lose 13 IMPs instead of gaining the same amount.


## Registration Bags

The Registration Bags have now arrived. If you registered and did not get yours go to the hospitality desk to collect it.

## Les sacs sont arrivés

Les sacs officiels du championnat sont maintenant disponibles. Si vous vous êtes inscrits et ne l' avait pas recu demandez le à l' accueil.

e-bridge is providing on line VuGraph during the latter stages of the Championship and Mark Horton took the opportunity to interview e-bridge's CEO, Pinhas Romik, who revealed that a second e-bridge web site, ebridge4prizes.com will shortly be going online.

What special services e-bridge will be offering to its players at the new web site?
www.e-bridge4prizes.com, is a new web site that will offer to bridge players at all levels an opportunity to play in pairs or individuals tournaments and win money prizes. This type of activity is very popular in Europe in live bridge. In many European bridge clubs and bridge festivals during the year there are tournaments in which money prizes are awarded. The ACBL has traditionally stayed away from tournaments with money prizes, but recently private entrepreneurs have organized bridge tournaments in the USA with money prizes, the Pro Bridge Tour. This activity is becoming more popular on the West Coast, and attracts many players. So we decided to offer this service to online players.

It's an interesting idea but not entirely new. How will ebridge deal with security of the online games, so the players will not be able to get information about their partners' hands?
e-bridge has invested significant effort to develop the software that will make cheating very difficult, and alert us to possible cheaters. The pairs are formed randomly by the computer, the information on players' identities and board identifications is concealed, the movement is special, and there are more features that make the illegal transfer of information very difficult. We have also developed special software that alerts us when we see players who win prizes in "strangely successful" ways. I definitely advise players not to try to cheat on us, because we will quickly catch them and report them to their NBOs.

## Can you explain how the prize system will work?

Each player pays entry fee to the tournament, typically \$5 US. $80 \%$ of the entry fees are returned to the players as prizes. The rest is to fund the site's operation and provide some profit. Typical prizes are $20 \$$ to $200 \$$, and there are up to 10 prizes per tournament for the top ranking pairs. The prize amount and number of prizes will depend on the size of the tournament.

Can you play with your regular partner in the tournament?
No, presently you cannot, as this opens too wide a possibility for cheating. e-bridge's responsibility as a service provider is to en-
sure a fair and safe playing environment for everybody. The computer will randomly assign a partner for you. This is a disadvantage for the players who like to play with their normal partner, but it's also an advantage for the less skilled players who can be paired with a stronger partner, so they will also have a chance to win prizes. It will also even the field, so not only strong pairs will win the prizes all the time.

How do you pay the entry fee to the tournament, and how do I get my prize?
You purchase tournaments tickets online using your Credit Card. We mail check prizes to the winners. A very safe system.

Why are you offering this service through a new web site? Why can't it be a service that is available from your present, e-bridgemaster.com web site?
We wanted to separate very clearly pay-and-win-prizes activities from playing for masterpoint activities. Although duplicate bridge tournaments are considered a game of skill, in several states in the US there is some ambiguity on the legal status of online pay-and-win-prizes tournaments. We want to avoid any possible legal problems about crossing the borders of online gambling.

Thanks Pinhas and good luck with e-bridge4prizes.com


Pinhas Romik

## OPEN PAIRS - (SEMI-FINALS)

|  |  |  | Ist | 2nd | Total | 71 | Del Monte - Fruewirth | AUS | 53.03 | 48.15 | 50.59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schwartz - Becker | USA | 65.92 | 56.00 | 60.96 | 72 | Demuy - Wolpert | CAN | 45.70 | 55.42 | 50.56 |
| 2 | Finberg - Lair | USA | 56.26 | 62.27 | 59.27 | 73 | Hackett - Waterlow | ENG | 46.32 | 54.73 | 50.52 |
| 3 | Gieruslki - Skrzypczak | POL | 52.80 | 61.71 | 57.25 | 74 | Le Poder - Mus | FRA | 45.94 | 54.80 | 50.37 |
| 4 | Bakkeren - Bertens | NED | 50.06 | 64.20 | 57.13 | 75 | Robinson - Boyd | USA | 49.01 | 51.69 | 50.35 |
| 5 | Stoppa - Stretz | FRA | 58.68 | 54.74 | 56.71 | 76 | Cannell - Carruthers | CAN | 48.64 | 52.04 | 50.34 |
| 6 | Levin - Weinstein | USA | 49.76 | 63.47 | 56.61 | 77 | Soloway - Hamman | USA | 46.98 | 53.64 | 50.31 |
| 7 | Levy - Armstrong | ENG | 59.88 | 53.19 | 56.54 | 78 | Simson - Rodwell | USA | 48.72 | 51.66 | 50.19 |
| 8 | Olanski - Starkowski | POL | 55.75 | 56.86 | 56.30 | 79 | Padye - Ray | INA | 44.43 | 55.80 | 50.11 |
|  | Atabey - Kolata | TUR | 56.62 | 55.60 | 56.11 | 80 | Gawrys - Jassem | POL | 55.66 | 44.50 | 50.08 |
| 10 | Paulissen - Nab | NED | 57.09 | 55.13 | 56.11 | 81 | Samuel - Samuel | USA | 43.81 | 56.33 | 50.07 |
| 11 | Bareket - Roll | ISR | 55.66 | 56.41 | 56.04 | 82 | Lev - Glubok | USA | 46.71 | 53.38 | 50.05 |
| 12 | Eber - Sapire | RSA | 55.57 | 56.46 | 56.02 | 83 | Goldsmith - Schuster | USA | 54.06 | 46.01 | 50.03 |
| 13 | Sprung - Stansby | USA | 59.63 | 51.46 | 55.54 | 84 | Zen - Wan | HKG | 46.44 | 53.66 | 49.98 |
| 14 | Berkowitz - Cohen | USA | 54.73 | 56.20 | 55.46 | 85 | Woolsey - Stewart | USA | 43.83 | 56.25 | 49.92 |
| 15 | Bussek - Rohowsky | GER | 53.53 | 57.40 | 55.46 | 86 | Lortz - Ohlrich | USA | 51.06 | 48.72 | 49.89 |
| 16 | Brink - van Prooijen | NED | 55.77 | 54.82 | 55.29 | 87 | Prokhorov - Curlin | RUS | 50.27 | 49.40 | 49.84 |
| 17 | Delmouly - Renouard | FRA | 53.78 | 56.75 | 55.27 | 88 | Petterson - Palmgren | SWE | 50.86 | 48.72 | 49.79 |
| 18 | Zorlu - Assael | TUR | 54.31 | 56.06 | 55.18 | 89 | Fouillet - Kremer | FRA | 52.04 | 47.52 | 49.78 |
| 19 | Ravenna - Madala | ARG | 55.85 | 54.44 | 55.14 | 90 | Panina - Rosenblum | RUS | 53.56 | 45.73 | 49.65 |
| 20 | Eisenberg - Kass | FRA | 53.90 | 56.14 | 55.02 | 91 | Jaroslaw - Moszynski | POL | 51.16 | 48.10 | 49.63 |
| 21 | Frukacz - Iglewski | CAN | 49.94 | 59.90 | 54.92 | 92 | Vernay - Schaffer | USA | 48.50 | 50.55 | 49.53 |
| 22 | Kowalski - Tuszynski | POL | 57.29 | 51.70 | 54.49 | 93 | Burn - Sandqvist | ENG | 49.00 | 49.78 | 49.39 |
| 23 | Bianchedi - Muzzio | ARG | 49.44 | 59.25 | 54.34 | 94 | Tatarkin - Khazanov | RUS | 53.12 | 45.17 | 49.15 |
| 24 | Efraimsson - Morath | SWE | 57.85 | 50.82 | 54.34 | 95 | Kirobakaramoorthy-Prabakar | INA | 45.94 | 52.33 | 49.13 |
| 25 | Gotard - Piekarek | GER | 44.61 | 63.72 | 54.16 | 96 | Garozzo - Dupont | ITA | 44.75 | 53.26 | 49.01 |
| 26 | Mahmood - Rosenberg | USA | 55.53 | 52.78 | 54.15 | 97 | Castellani - Catarsi | ITA | 54.09 | 43.82 | 48.95 |
| 27 | Bizon - Kowalski | POL | 65.84 | 42.34 | 54.09 | 98 | Romanski - Szymanowski | POL | 45.07 | 52.80 | 48.93 |
| 28 | Levy - Mouiel | FRA | 50.39 | 57.68 | 54.03 | 99 | Piganeau - Lalanne | FRA | 47.70 | 49.97 | 48.84 |
| 29 | Rajadhyaksha - Landen | USA | 54.27 | 53.75 | 54.01 | 100 | Hanayama - Hirata | JPN | 45.43 | 52.18 | 48.80 |
| 30 | El Ahmady - Sadek | EGY | 58.38 | 49.51 | 53.94 | 101 | Ladizhenski - Ladizhenskl | RUS | 51.31 | 46.09 | 48.70 |
| 31 | Li - Sun | CHI | 55.50 | 51.91 | 53.71 | 102 | Shivdasani - Shenkin | USA | 52.18 | 45.09 | 48.64 |
| 32 | Smith - Willenken | USA | 55.66 | 50.84 | 53.25 | 103 | Teramoto - Morimura | JPN | 47.84 | 49.42 | 48.63 |
| 33 | Roren - Svendsen | NOR | 52.57 | 53.72 | 53.15 | 104 | Miller - Cheek | USA | 54.73 | 42.24 | 48.48 |
| 34 | Wright - Hallberg | ENG | 54.63 | 51.64 | 53.14 | 105 | Multon-Quantin | FRA | 47.45 | 49.33 | 48.39 |
| 35 | Bompis - Mari | FRA | 57.87 | 47.95 | 53.01 | 106 | Zimmerman - Saporta | FRA | 51.44 | 44.92 | 48.18 |
| 36 | Fantoni - Nunes | ITA | 57.98 | 47.73 | 52.86 | 107 | Morse - Ross | USA | 54.41 | 41.95 | 48.18 |
| 37 | Zhang - Krnjevic | CAN | 53.10 | 52.58 | 52.84 | 108 | Wold - Zeckhauser | USA | 45.95 | 50.19 | 48.07 |
| 38 | Katz - Cohler | USA | 55.86 | 49.80 | 52.83 | 109 | Grue - Moss | USA | 44.63 | 51.05 | 47.84 |
| 39 | Maas - Ramondt | NED | 51.32 | 54.21 | 52.77 | 110 | Pilon - Izisel | FRA | 46.56 | 49.06 | 47.81 |
| 40 | Gitelman - Moss | USA | 51.65 | 53.30 | 52.48 | 111 | Meltzer - Larsen | USA | 47.18 | 48.23 | 47.70 |
| 41 | Abram - Van Cleeff | NED | 51.27 | 53.64 | 52.45 | 112 | McIntosh - King | ENG | 48.65 | 46.75 | 47.70 |
| 42 | Brenning - Flodqvist | SWE | 50.69 | 54.07 | 52.38 | 113 | Cornell - Mayer | NZL | 51.68 | 43.54 | 47.61 |
| 43 | Carriere - Marcinsky | CAN/POL | 51.78 | 52.94 | 52.36 | 114 | Chagas - Brenner | BRA | 49.29 | 45.92 | 47.60 |
| 44 | Potier - Cuenca | FRA | 51.69 | 52.60 | 52.14 | 115 | Stirbu - Serpoi | ROM | 52.52 | 42.23 | 47.37 |
| 45 | Baqai - Dunitz | USA | 47.12 | 57.15 | 52.14 | 116 | Gromov - Petrunin | RUS | 45.80 | 48.81 | 47.31 |
| 46 | Meckstroth - Johnson | USA | 52.69 | 51.35 | 52.02 | 117 | Lewaciak - Krysztofiak | POL | 47.54 | 46.94 | 47.24 |
| 47 | Jansma - Verhees jr. | NED | 55.55 | 48.43 | 51.99 | 118 | Hargreaves - Fraser | CAN | 50.72 | 43.56 | 47.14 |
| 48 | Weichsel - Sontag | USA | 51.61 | 52.08 | 51.85 | 119 | Toffier - Dechelette | FRA | 44.43 | 49.84 | 47.14 |
| 49 | Jafer - Sultan | PAK | 53.02 | 50.60 | 51.81 | 120 | D'Avossa - Di Bello | ITA | 49.18 | 44.98 | 47.08 |
| 50 | Forrester - Robson | ENG | 50.34 | 53.26 | 51.80 | 121 | Ino - Imakura | JPN | 49.55 | 44.57 | 47.06 |
| 51 | Thuillez - Sebbane | FRA | 52.57 | 50.81 | 51.70 | 122 | Hampson - Greco | USA | 50.34 | 43.61 | 46.98 |
| 52 | Marks - Popper | USA | 55.64 | 47.52 | 51.58 | 123 | Clerkin - Cranmer | USA | 41.06 | 52.85 | 46.95 |
| 53 | Aagaard - Jepsen | DEN | 49.72 | 53.28 | 51.50 | 124 | Smith - Czerniewski | ENG | 43.64 | 50.07 | 46.85 |
| 54 | Feldman - Osberg | USA | 51.01 | 51.98 | 51.49 | 125 | Mignocchi - Bathurst | USA | 49.72 | 43.54 | 46.63 |
| 55 | Kushari - Mukherjee | INA | 55.84 | 46.80 | 51.32 | 126 | Herbst - Herbst | ISR | 44.98 | 48.10 | 46.54 |
| 56 | Allfrey - Bakhshi | ENG | 50.86 | 51.73 | 51.29 | 127 | Caplan - Caplan | CAN | 47.91 | 45.06 | 46.49 |
| 57 | Jones - Krekorian | USA | 51.97 | 50.53 | 51.25 | 128 | Jacob - Jedrychowski | NZL | 50.94 | 41.83 | 46.39 |
| 58 | Andersson-Bergdahl | SWE | 51.17 | 51.30 | 51.24 | 129 | Chemla - Cronier | FRA | 52.10 | 40.45 | 46.28 |
| 59 | Bates - Klar | USA | 46.49 | 55.92 | 51.20 | 130 | Attanasio - Failla | ITA | 49.67 | 42.72 | 46.20 |
| 60 | Rombaut - Bessis | FRA | 50.29 | 52.04 | 51.17 | 131 | Kanningat - Bardhan | INA | 47.94 | 44.22 | 46.08 |
| 61 | Nicolodi - Sbarigia | ITA | 58.82 | 43.41 | 51.12 | 132 | Ekeblad - Molson | USA | 40.06 | 52.04 | 46.05 |
| 62 | Bruggeman - De Groot | NED | 57.81 | 44.35 | 51.08 | 133 | Crozet - Huiban | FRA | 44.85 | 46.88 | 45.86 |
| 63 | Richman - Prescott | AUS | 49.67 | 52.28 | 50.98 | 134 | MacKenzie - Weniger | CAN | 44.63 | 46.87 | 45.75 |
| 64 | Bramley - Weinstein | USA | 41.48 | 60.41 | 50.95 | 135 | Bart - Dimich | CAN | 45.79 | 45.68 | 45.73 |
| 65 | Remedios - Barszcs | CAN | 44.91 | 56.74 | 50.82 | 136 | Goldberg - Sprung | USA | 50.89 | 40.34 | 45.61 |
| 66 | Seamon - Passell | USA | 56.08 | 45.43 | 50.76 | 137 | Guariglia - Di Bello | ITA | 38.39 | 52.53 | 45.46 |
| 67 | Cohen - Gertner | USA | 57.53 | 43.78 | 50.65 | 138 | Shek - Calderwood | ENG | 38.86 | 51.92 | 45.39 |
| 68 | Shimizu - Miyakuni | JPN | 52.79 | 48.49 | 50.64 | 139 | Antoff - Dyke | AUS | 41.66 | 48.81 | 45.23 |
| 69 | Zein - Naguib | EGY | 43.34 | 57.92 | 50.63 | 140 | Bitran-Pacault | FRA | 46.76 | 42.72 | 44.74 |
| 70 | Chokshi -Venkatraman | IND | 51.12 | 50.13 | 50.62 | 141 | Tchamitch - Chkaibane | LEB | 44.29 | 44.72 | 44.50 |


| 142 Dalal - Gupta | IND | 49.54 | 39.47 | 44.50 | 150 | Stansby - Martel | USA | 44.35 | 42.07 | 43.21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 143 Allegrini - Palau | FRA | 43.70 | 44.05 | 43.88 | 151 | Apteker - Donde | RSA | 42.32 | 43.25 | 42.79 |
| 144 Lo - Schwartz | USA | 39.22 | 48.40 | 43.81 | 152 | Destoc - Bonny | FRA | 36.03 | 48.56 | 42.29 |
| 145 Lambardi-Camberos | ARG | 39.93 | 47.43 | 43.68 | 153 | Stahl - Krishnan | INA | 41.05 | 43.06 | 42.06 |
| 146 Hackett - Hackett | ENG | 49.88 40.90 | 37.43 | 43.66 43.66 | 154 | Awad - Awad | FRA | 41.67 | 41.39 | 41.53 |
| 148 Clement - Bessis | FRA | 49.44 | 37.71 | 43.57 | 155 | Milner - Jacobus | USA | 39.71 | 42.51 | 41.11 |
| 149 Yang - Gu | USA | 45.40 | 41.47 | 43.44 | 156 | Raff - Sunser | USA | 39.35 | 36.27 | 37.81 |

## WOMEN PAIRS - (SEMI-FINALS)

|  |  | Ist | 2nd | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Levy - De Heredia | FRA | 60.24 | 59.28 | 59.76 |
| Ohta - Setoguchi | JPN | 58.62 | 59.76 | 59.19 |
| 3 Berkowitz - Glasson | USA | 51.82 | 63.18 | 57.50 |
| Sutherlin - Allison | USA | 52.77 | 60.44 | 56.60 |
| Poplilov - Zur-Campanile | ISR | 62.18 | 50.51 | 56.35 |
| 6 Steiner - Letizia | USA | 50.80 | 61.42 | 56.11 |
| 7 Eaton-Clinton | CAN | 50.78 | 60.79 | 55.78 |
| 8 Capriata - Rosetta | ITA | 59.30 | 51.48 | 55.39 |
| 9 Brock - James | ENG | 51.52 | 58.64 | 55.08 |
| 10 Ling-Zhang | CHI | 56.05 | 53.82 | 54.93 |
| II Clement - Dumon | FRA | 57.61 | 51.90 | 54.75 |
| 12 Hugon-Varenne | FRA | 56.68 | 51.90 | 54.29 |
| 13 McCallum - Rosenberg | USA | 61.89 | 45.41 | 53.65 |
| 14 De Lucchi - Rosetta | ITA | 56.42 | 48.64 | 52.53 |
| 15 Gwozdzinsky - Wexler | USA | 52.56 | 51.82 | 52.19 |
| 16 Wang - Sun | CHI | 45.07 | 59.25 | 52.16 |
| 17 Baker - Schulle | USA | 51.05 | 53.21 | 52.13 |
| 18 Wood-Michaels | USA | 48.62 | 55.56 | 52.09 |
| 19 Zhang-Wang | CHI | 50.30 | 53.59 | 51.95 |
| 20 Miroslaw - Vecchiatto | GER | 48.62 | 54.67 | 51.65 |
| 21 Arrigoni - Olivieri | ITA | 57.47 | 45.26 | 51.37 |
| 22 Ehrhardt - Weighkright | AUS | 51.92 | 49.98 | 50.95 |
| 23 Lalanne - Riberol | FRA | 57.30 | 44.41 | 50.85 |
| 24 Lourie - Epstein | USA | 43.92 | 57.02 | 50.47 |
| 25 Wenning - Heinrichs | GER | 49.55 | 50.97 | 50.26 |
| 26 Godel - Werner | CAN | 56.38 | 43.95 | 50.16 |


| 27 | Gordon - Moss |
| :--- | :--- |
| 28 | Hamman - Jackson |
| 29 | Weinstein - Bjerkan |
| 30 | Naito - Takashi |
| 31 | Sokolow - Molson |
| 32 | Spiro - Stevenson |
| 33 | Amano - Sekizawa |
| 34 | Renoux - Menil |
| 35 | Sanders - Fernandez |
| 36 | Morse - Miller |
| 37 | Moretti - Blouquit |
| 38 | Wei-Sender - Kennedy |
| 39 | Jeanin-Naltet - Lemaitre |
| 40 | Gordon - Reus |
| 41 | Vogel - Greenberg |
| 42 | Morcos - Sarwat |
| 43 | Lily - Maud |
| 44 | Birman - Sagiv |
| 45 | Nehmert - Auken |
| 46 | Weber - Gromann |
| 47 | Florin - Parain |
| 48 | Wheeler - Gates |
| 49 | Savolainen - Reisig |
| 50 | Mahfood - Reid |
| 51 | Lesur - De Guillebon |
| 52 | Bryant - Summers |


| 52.93 | 47.25 | 50.09 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 48.18 | 51.89 | 50.04 |
| 43.75 | 55.75 | 49.75 |
| 53.82 | 45.21 | 49.52 |
| 48.45 | 50.25 | 49.35 |
| 51.45 | 46.41 | 48.93 |
| 45.76 | 52.02 | 48.89 |
| 50.18 | 47.52 | 48.85 |
| 52.12 | 45.05 | 48.59 |
| 43.63 | 53.41 | 48.52 |
| 50.16 | 46.87 | 48.52 |
| 45.58 | 50.79 | 48.19 |
| 42.55 | 52.82 | 47.68 |
| 45.92 | 47.90 | 46.91 |
| 52.48 | 39.78 | 46.13 |
| 42.24 | 48.33 | 45.28 |
| 47.87 | 41.67 | 44.77 |
| 38.31 | 49.72 | 44.02 |
| 40.20 | 46.56 | 43.38 |
| 39.85 | 46.36 | 43.10 |
| 43.95 | 4.97 | 42.96 |
| 44.62 | 40.49 | 42.56 |
| 42.30 | 42.67 | 42.48 |
| 49.10 | 34.52 | 41.81 |
| 42.62 | 40.82 | 41.72 |
| 43.28 | 37.10 | 40.19 |

## QUALIFYING SENIOR PAIRS - (After 2 sessions)

| Russyan - Klapper | POL | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ist } \\ 67.89 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2nd } \\ & 59.51 \end{aligned}$ | Total 63.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Delorme - Benbassat | SWI | 63.72 | 61.14 | 62.43 |
| 3 Holt-Schulte | USA | 58.07 | 59.51 | 58.79 |
| 4 Marsal - Wladow | GER | 59.09 | 56.34 | 57.71 |
| 5 Damiani - Swarc | FRA | 59.53 | 53.35 | 56.44 |
| 6 Lord - Kremer | USA | 57.45 | 53.44 | 55.44 |
| 7 Mohan - Vogel | USA | 53.28 | 57.34 | 55.31 |
| 8 Malasky - Wegman | USA | 56.61 | 53.89 | 55.25 |
| 9 Jabbour - Rumelhart | USA | 57.18 | 52.81 | 55.00 |
| 10 Markowicz - Klukowski | POL | 51.81 | 56.97 | 54.39 |
| 11 Rand-Levit | ISR | 48.22 | 59.96 | 54.09 |
| 12 Schippers - Schippers | NED | 47.73 | 59.96 | 53.85 |
| 13 Drumev-Tanev | BUL | 59.90 | 47.55 | 53.73 |
| 14 Mattsson-Humburg | GER | 53.70 | 53.35 | 53.52 |
| 15 Neklan - Klumpp | GER | 56.58 | 50.27 | 53.42 |
| 16 Bennett-Simpson | USA | 56.83 | 50.00 | 53.41 |
| 17 Fisher - Paul | USA | 55.15 | 51.63 | 53.39 |
| 18 Hoffer - Piafsky | CAN | 58.65 | 48.10 | 53.37 |
| 19 Freed - Erickson | USA | 60.81 | 45.65 | 53.23 |
| 20 Franken - Verhees | NED | 55.67 | 50.63 | 53.15 |
| 21 Gowdy - Hobart | CAN | 51.32 | 54.89 | 53.11 |
| 22 Liggat - Fren | SCO | 56.88 | 49.28 | 53.08 |
| 23 Korkut - Sarimsaky | TUR | 59.89 | 46.11 | 53.00 |
| 24 Scott - Forsyth | SCO | 49.07 | 56.70 | 52.89 |
| 25 Zeligman - Melman | ISR | 55.86 | 49.28 | 52.57 |
| 26 Noble - Bilski | AUS | 47.39 | 57.16 | 52.27 |
| 27 Gagne - Harris | WAL | 44.97 | 59.33 | 52.15 |
| 28 Rogers - Shuman | USA | 49.47 | 54.53 | 52.00 |
| 29 Nathan - Mager | USA | 49.46 | 54.35 | 51.90 |
| 30 Larson - Kivel | USA | 58.68 | 44.57 | 51.62 |


| 31 | Szenberg - Zaremba |
| :--- | :--- |
| 32 | Miller - Finkel |
| 33 | Chevalier - Hirsch |
| 34 | Spengler - Boesiger |
| 35 | Kutner - Terrettaz |
| 36 | Weisman - Solodar |
| 37 | Kamerbeek - Borst |
| 38 | McCormack - McCormack |
| 39 | Hallen - Bystrom |
| 40 | Bomhof - Ramer |
| 41 | Jabbour - Gordon |
| 42 | Autrey - Cokeon |
| 43 | Ellis - Keidan |
| 44 | Schneider - Michlmayr |
| 45 | Gromoeller - Schneider |
| 46 | Harper - Hoffman |
| 47 | Shapiro - Cohen |
| 48 | Baldwin - St Pierre |
| 49 | Hendrickx - Smeets |
| 50 | Sowter - Rue |
| 51 | Cobham - Laflamme |
| 52 | Mortelmans - Saintgeorges |
| 53 | Richardson - Lee |
| 54 | Ramnik - Samani |
| 55 | Borewicz - Otvosi |
| 56 | Benson - Revill |
| 57 | Hoeger - von Alvensleben |
| 58 | Hart - Hart |
| 59 | Baxter - McGowan |
| 60 | Dowling - Power |
| 61 | Ciocca - Morelli |

ITA/POL
USA
N/A
SWI
SWI
USA
NED
USA
SWE
NED
USA
USA
USA
USA
GER
ENG
USA
USA
BEL
ENG
CAN
BEL
CAN
ENG
POL
USA/CAN
GER
USA
SCO
IRE
NIA

| 46.73 | 54.26 | 50.49 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 57.24 | 43.75 | 50.49 |
| 52.33 | 48.10 | 50.21 |
| 57.22 | 42.93 | 50.08 |
| 46.92 | 52.99 | 49.95 |
| 44.45 | 55.43 | 49.94 |
| 54.12 | 45.56 | 49.84 |
| 46.43 | 52.45 | 49.44 |
| 47.78 | 50.82 | 49.30 |
| 41.94 | 56.52 | 49.23 |
| 42.34 | 55.53 | 48.93 |
| 48.41 | 49.37 | 48.89 |
| 51.44 | 46.11 | 48.77 |
| 47.43 | 50.09 | 48.76 |
| 49.72 | 47.64 | 48.68 |
| 46.43 | 50.45 | 48.44 |
| 54.48 | 41.30 | 47.89 |
| 41.12 | 54.53 | 47.83 |
| 52.02 | 42.93 | 47.48 |
| 50.12 | 44.57 | 47.34 |
| 45.52 | 49.00 | 47.26 |
| 46.55 | 47.92 | 47.23 |
| 51.57 | 42.66 | 47.12 |
| 53.96 | 39.95 | 46.95 |
| 42.93 | 49.55 | 46.24 |
| 40.19 | 51.99 | 46.09 |
| 44.79 | 47.37 | 46.08 |
| 42.94 | 48.91 | 45.93 |
| 44.20 | 47.46 | 45.83 |
| 48.39 | 42.84 | 45.62 |
| 40.74 | 49.46 | 45.10 |


| 62 | Munoz - Feldheim | USA | 42.62 | 47.46 | 45.04 |  | 68 | Chavannaz - Morin | FRA | 37.36 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 48.82 | 43.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 | v Stuyvenberg-Nordgren | SWI/SWE | 50.11 | 39.58 | 44.85 |  | 69 | Rosen - O'Grady | USA | 43.03 |
| 42.66 | 42.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64 Weisman - Felton | USA | 39.71 | 49.73 | 44.72 |  | 70 | Schwartz - Fleming | CAN | 46.02 | 37.86 |
| 65 | Stack - Kniest | USA | 42.55 | 44.93 | 43.74 |  | 71 | Nurdin - Akbar | KEN/CAN | 38.09 |
| 45.20 | 41.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 Imhof - Frei | SWI | 41.61 | 44.84 | 43.22 |  | 72 Hiron - Monachan | SPA/ENG | 30.84 | 48.01 | 39.42 |

## ZONAL PAIRS - (After 2 sessions)

| 1 | Dubus - Parain | FRA | $\begin{gathered} \text { I st } \\ 59.96 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2nd } \\ 63.02 \end{gathered}$ | Total 61.49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Pluhta - Westfall | USA | 58.64 | 60.62 | 59.63 |
| 3 | Gue - Brown | AUS | 51.15 | 66.56 | 58.86 |
| 4 | De Saint Pastou-Faigenbaum | FRA | 60.75 | 56.42 | 58.58 |
| 5 | Cohner - Schroeder | GER | 56.36 | 60.18 | 58.27 |
| 6 | Voinescu - Taciuc | ROM | 53.28 | 62.67 | 57.97 |
| 7 | Coquillette - Moscow | USA | 58.19 | 57.75 | 57.97 |
| 8 | Blackman - Watkins | BAR | 61.12 | 54.66 | 57.89 |
| 9 | Gottlieb - Zucker | USA | 56.19 | 58.69 | 57.44 |
| 10 | Steinberg - Eccles | CAN | 51.35 | 62.86 | 57.10 |
| 11 | (L) Bloon - Halroyd | SFA | 53.14 | 61.07 | 57.10 |
| 12 | Tache - Dohnert | VEN | 48.34 | 65.52 | 56.93 |
| 13 | Klimowicz - Fung | CAN | 52.17 | 61.31 | 56.74 |
| 14 | Crossley - Passal | USA | 58.52 | 54.26 | 56.39 |
| 15 | Gladyszak - Saxe | USA | 53.56 | 59.03 | 56.30 |
| 16 | Frerichs -Wenning | GER | 59.38 | 51.72 | 55.55 |
| 17 | Goodman - McDevitt WAL/ | USA | 47.87 | 62.75 | 55.31 |
| 18 | Lara - Capucho | POR | 54.75 | 55.71 | 55.25 |
| 19 | Ladewig - Janicki | CAN | 57.95 | 52.40 | 55.18 |
| 20 | Henri - Lafourcade | BEL | 58.52 | 51.76 | 55.14 |
| 21 | Sinno - Fahs | CAN | 55.75 | 54.34 | 55.05 |
| 22 | Herrera - Herrera | MEX | 56.29 | 53.75 | 55.02 |
| 23 | Niemeijer - Trouwborst | NED | 58.59 | 50.63 | 54.61 |
| 24 | Kielichowski - Zak | POL | 49.67 | 59.26 | 54.47 |
| 25 | Daigneault - Kent | CAN | 54.64 | 54.14 | 54.39 |
| 26 | Youngerman - Milton | USA | 48.49 | 60.25 | 54.37 |
| 27 | Maeda - Hayashz | JPN | 58.18 | 50.43 | 54.30 |
| 28 | Lorber - Szavey | CAN | 52.61 | 55.68 | 54.14 |
| 29 | (L) Mott - Frazier | AUS | 49.83 | 58.12 | 53.97 |
| 30 | Yven - Drew | CAN | 49.44 | 58.48 | 53.96 |
| 31 | Prokopiou - Chadjopoulos | GRE | 50.15 | 57.40 | 53.78 |
| 32 | Baff - Secher | USA | 55.88 | 51.64 | 53.76 |
| 33 | Hertz - Kaplan | FRA | 54.81 | 52.30 | 53.55 |
| 34 | Candura - Scalabrino | ITA | 53.24 | 53.50 | 53.37 |
| 35 | Ortmann - Ortmann | DEN | 53.83 | 52.60 | 53.21 |
| 36 | Benoit - Giard | FRA | 58.21 | 48.12 | 53.17 |
| 37 | Mosca - Pasquarella | ITA | 49.08 | 57.20 | 53.14 |
| 38 | Davidson - Glickman | CAN | 52.57 | 53.67 | 53.12 |
| 39 | Reghaye - Barrada | MOR | 52.33 | 53.76 | 53.05 |
| 40 | Meshaka - Galtier | FRA | 52.64 | 53.28 | 52.96 |
| 41 | Barett - Miles | USA | 60.74 | 44.87 | 52.80 |
| 42 | Auken - Bruun | DEN | 51.13 | 54.29 | 52.71 |
| 43 | Wilsmore - Wyner | AUS | 53.18 | 51.90 | 52.54 |
| 44 | Maitra - D'souza | CAN | 53.73 | 51.24 | 52.49 |
| 45 | Sengupta - Arvedon | USA | 49.93 | 54.52 | 52.22 |
| 46 | Ballweg - Cyeler | CAN | 51.18 | 53.24 | 52.21 |
| 47 | Maidman - Marinov | USA | 51.60 | 52.65 | 52.12 |
| 48 | Bineau - Droulez | FRA | 52.17 | 51.94 | 52.05 |
| 49 | Liugaard - Dybdahl | NOR | 53.42 | 50.66 | 51.99 |
| 50 | (L) Hanlou - Savko | USA | 55.44 | 48.50 | 51.97 |
| 51 | Golebiowski - Kaniewski | FRA | 49.58 | 53.46 | 51.60 |
| 52 | Khandelwal - Khandelwal | IND | 54.59 | 47.96 | 51.27 |
| 53 | Rosenkranz - Morris MEX/ | USA | 49.32 | 53.10 | 51.21 |
| 54 | (L) Curetti - Rainbault | FRA | 51.34 | 50.98 | 51.16 |
| 55 | Keaveney - Quinn | IRE | 55.53 | 46.34 | 50.94 |
| 56 | Patel - Shah | IND | 54.47 | 47.23 | 50.85 |
| 57 | Berton - Bishop | CAN | 56.58 | 44.90 | 50.74 |
| 58 | Rayner - Shephard | CAN | 56.84 | 44.64 | 50.74 |
| 59 | Bombardieri - Muller | ITA | 51.79 | 49.71 | 50.71 |
| 60 | Deaves - Lafferty | CAN | 52.14 | 49.25 | 50.70 |
| 61 | Frencken - Vandervorst | BEL | 51.84 | 48.54 | 50.19 |
| 62 | Gonfreville - Schulmann | FRA | 55.45 | 44.71 | 50.08 |
| 63 | Standig - Gerb | USA | 53.07 | 46.88 | 49.98 |


| 64 | Beresiner - Essex | ENG | 52.90 | 46.23 | 49.57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | Raffali - Cadi Tazi | MOR | 39.13 | 59.95 | 49.54 |
| 66 | Dahlberg - Andersson | SWE | 52.73 | 46.13 | 49.43 |
| 67 | Weinstock - Poplilov | ISR | 55.02 | 43.81 | 49.42 |
| 68 | Viola - Viola | ITA | 48.52 | 50.08 | 49.33 |
| 69 | Lungu - Rotaru | ROM | 42.79 | 55.48 | 49.13 |
| 70 | Delestre - Lobry | FRA | 50.27 | 47.68 | 48.97 |
| 71 | (L) Farr-Jones - Grenside | AUS | 52.06 | 45.74 | 48.90 |
| 72 | Tylman - Weisz | CAN | 41.81 | 55.81 | 48.81 |
| 73 | (L) Schwartz - Hall | USA | 51.94 | 45.62 | 48.78 |
| 74 | De Miguel - Knap | SPA | 48.59 | 48.82 | 48.71 |
| 75 | Sagin - Birman | ISR | 49.19 | 47.65 | 48.42 |
| 76 | Bove - Schwarz ITA/ | CAN | 45.59 | 51.07 | 48.33 |
| 77 | Nakamura - Kosht | JPN | 49.30 | 47.32 | 48.31 |
| 78 | (L) Nakao - Nishida | JPN | 45.85 | 50.57 | 48.30 |
| 79 | Ahmirata - Pasquin | VEN | 50.38 | 46.08 | 48.23 |
| 80 | Douglas - Harvey | BER | 40.39 | 56.00 | 48.20 |
| 81 | (L) Burn - King | ENG | 48.46 | 47.54 | 48.00 |
| 82 | (L) Spanou - Maglara | GRE | 46.74 | 48.75 | 47.74 |
| 83 | Afanson-Polishchuk | RUS | 54.49 | 40.78 | 47.64 |
| 84 | Efraim - Schnayder | CAN | 44.79 | 50.24 | 47.51 |
| 85 | Gartaganis - Gartaganis | CAN | 47.44 |  | 47.44 |
| 86 | Pacheco-Gusso | VEN | 49.41 | 45.28 | 47.35 |
| 87 | Marcoux - Marcoux | CAN | 43.86 | 50.53 | 47.33 |
| 88 | Sutherland - Sutherland | CAN | 43.16 | 51.13 | 47.14 |
| 89 | (L) Key - Brown | USA | 52.51 | 41.72 | 47.12 |
| 90 | Bonaccorsi - Frazetto | ITA | 52.28 | 41.93 | 47.10 |
| 91 | Steeves - Hynes | CAN | 48.82 | 45.20 | 47.01 |
| 92 | Cucuiu - Mincu | ROM | 49.56 | 44.41 | 46.98 |
| 93 | (L) Rosenberg - Yanez | MEX | 47.29 | 46.12 | 46.70 |
| 94 | Hammond - Lane | ENG | 53.68 | 39.67 | 46.68 |
| 95 | Rosen - Kaufman | ENG | 53.66 | 39.62 | 46.64 |
| 96 | Veron - Mathieu | GUA | 42.64 | 50.61 | 46.62 |
| 97 | Tariq - Basiony | BAH | 52.16 | 40.94 | 46.55 |
| 98 | (L) Mitropouloe - Kotronarou | GRE | 47.67 | 45.37 | 46.52 |
| 99 | Allix - Mauberquez | FRA | 40.65 | 52.16 | 46.41 |
| 100 | Gravel - Mayer | CAN | 46.59 | 45.58 | 46.09 |
| 101 | Picard - Garnier | FRA | 49.12 | 42.66 | 45.89 |
| 102 | leong - leong | HKG | 48.67 | 42.90 | 45.67 |
| 103 | Shah - Shah | ENG | 39.96 | 51.22 | 45.59 |
| 104 | Wallis - Konig | AUS | 46.23 | 44.76 | 45.49 |
| 105 | Torre - Torre | FRA | 48.06 | 42.69 | 45.38 |
| 106 | Cyr - Michaux | CAN | 46.97 | 43.53 | 45.18 |
| 107 | Zobu - Haramati | ISR | 45.54 | 44.15 | 44.85 |
| 108 | Ansari - Ansari PAK/ | CAN | 45.56 | 44.09 | 44.82 |
| 109 | (L) Rodwell - Hyatt | USA | 44.34 | 45.02 | 44.68 |
| 110 | Reygadas - Rosenkranz | MEX | 44.62 | 44.08 | 44.34 |
| 111 | (L) Berlage-Smit - Kugler | CAN | 49.63 | 38.57 | 44.10 |
| 112 | Merkel - Collins | CAN | 44.11 | 43.82 | 43.97 |
| 113 | Cotterman - Rexford | USA | 43.51 | 43.87 | 43.69 |
| 114 | Turk - Cousin | FRA | 36.40 | 50.76 | 43.58 |
| 115 | (L) Asakoshi - Kosaka | JPN | 37.82 | 48.42 | 43.12 |
| 116 | (L) Paulsson - Redrupp | CAN | 46.30 | 40.18 | 43.12 |
| 117 | (L) Fulford - Cools-Lartique | JAM | 41.07 | 44.73 | 42.90 |
| 118 | Faguet - Delcourt | MAR | 43.48 | 41.78 | 42.63 |
| 119 | Paul - Hodgson | CAN | 38.12 | 46.56 | 42.51 |
| 120 | (L) Toshiko - Toyoko | JPN | 41.81 | 42.74 | 42.27 |
| 121 | (L) Bonaventure - Colin | CAN/USA | 43.53 | 40.62 | 42.08 |
| 122 | Nakano - Yarinton | USA | 47.98 | 35.85 | 41.92 |
| 123 | (L) Rossard - Bitran | FRA | 36.64 | 46.19 | 41.42 |
| 124 | Castano - Joffe | BRA | 33.82 | 48.86 | 41.34 |
| 125 | Braia - Szakacs | ROM | 39.93 | 41.58 | 40.79 |
| 126 | (L) Mc Cartney - Lyons | AUS | 42.67 | 38.57 | 40.62 |
| 127 | (L) Beringer - Delestre | FRA | 37.40 | 41.17 | 39.29 |

## LE PARTAGE DES ATOUTS

par Julie Fajgelzon

Avec un peu de prévoyance, Serge Chevalier a réussi le contrat suivant dans le Suisse de lundi :

|  | ¢ V 742 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ R DV 83 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ R 5 |  |
|  | 2R 6 |  |
| ¢ A 853 | N | -10 |
| $\bigcirc 752$ | - | $\bigcirc$ A 1096 |
| $\diamond 32$ |  | $\diamond$ AV9 8 |
| \& V 985 | S | ¢ D 742 |
|  | , R D 96 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 4$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ D 10764 |  |
|  | \& A 103 |  |

Les enchères :

| Sud <br> Chevalier | Ouest | Nord | Est |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{I} \diamond$ | Passe | $1 \$$ | Passe |
| $1 \&$ | Passe | $4 \uparrow$ | Passe |
| Passe | Passe |  |  |

L'entame: 5 de trèfle (4ème meilleur) pour la dame et l'as de Chevalier qui a poursuivi par in cœur pour le roi et l'as d'Est. Celui-ci est revenu du 2 de trèfle pour le valet de son partenaire qui a retourné le 3 de carreau au 8 et 10 de Sud. Chevalier a continué avec un autre carreau au roi et à l'as d'Est pendant qu'Ouest a joué le 2 montrant un doubleton. Est est revenu du 10 de cœur et Chevalier a décidé de prendre ses précautions contre un partage 4-2 des atouts. Il a joué un pique vers sa main et a pris note du 10 d'Ouest.

Il avait, par inférence, un compte de la main. Est et Ouest avait montré 4 trèfles chacun. Ouest a montré 2 carreaux en jouant le 3 suivi du 2, et Est en avait donc 4. Est avait joué un 2ème coup de cœur, chose dont il se serait méfié s'il n'en avait que 3. Donc il en avait probablement 4-4 cœurs, 4 carreaux, 4 trèfles - alors...il avait juste I atout.

Serge a continué avec un atout au 7 du mort. Il a encaissé ses 2 cœurs maîtres, défaussant un trèfle et un carreau de sa main. Ensuite il a coupé un cœur avec le 9 de pique et a joué son carreau maître. Ouest n'avait pas de recours. S'il coupait, Serge surcouperait et ferait ses cœurs maîtres. S'il défaussait Serge défilerait ses carreaux maîtres jusqu'à ce qu'il coupe. Voilà une main bien jouée.

## UNE ENTAME MEURTRIÈRE

par Julie Fajgelzon

Nous avons tous bénéficié du conseil "Contre un contrat à la couleur, n'entamez pas sous un as". Cela est vrai la plupart du temps mais, comme à toute règle, il peut y avoir des exceptions à celle-ci aussi.

L'équipe de Mike Young (André Chartrand, Serge Chevalier, et Christian Houle) a gagné le tournoi Suisse dimanche en partie grâce à Mike Young qui n'a pas suivi cette règle lorsqu'il entama sur la donne suivante.

| Don $:$ Nord, Vul:Tous |  |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | $\vee 43$ |
|  | $\vee 62$ |
|  | $\diamond$ R D |
|  | $\qquad$ ARD 983 |

## - A8762 <br> $\bigcirc 43$ <br> $\diamond A 752$ <br> - 72



- R 5
$\triangleright 875$
$\diamond 10943$
2 V654
- D 109
$\checkmark$ ARDV 109
$\diamond$ V 86
- 10

| Nord |
| :---: |
|  |
| 10 |
| 39 |
| 38 |

Est
Chartrand
Passe
Passe
Passe
Passe

| Sud | Ouest <br> Young |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1 \varnothing$ | Passe |
| $3 \diamond$ | Passe |
| $4 \triangleright$ | Passe |

Les enchères suggéraient ,certes, une entame à pique, mais à quel pique? Cette décision était importante pour la défaite de la main. Mike a raisonné qu'il trouverait probablement le roi chez son partenaire puisque les adversaires cherchaient un fit et ont choisi de jouer à cœur au lieu de sans atout. Encore s'est-il dit que si son partenaire avait le roi de pique il n'aurait pas grand chose d'autre. Il lui faudrait donc une coupe pour battre la main, alors autant le jouer pour le roi doubleton. Il a donc entamé petit pique vers le roi de son partenaire qui est revenu de son second pique. Mike a alors encaissé son as de carreau, et a donné la coupe à son partenaire. -I et 13 imps pour l'équipe de Mike, comme le contrat a été réussi à l'autre table sur une entame moins inspirée.


| LUNDI MATIN PAIRES CONTINUES 8 Pairs |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C |  |  |
| 2.12 | 1 |  |  | Joyce Sillins - Jonathan Greenspan,New York 43.00 |  |
| MONDAY/LUN AFT SIDE GAME |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 Pairs |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A | B | C |  |  |
| 5.61 | 1 |  |  | Gerald La Flamme - Linda Cobham, Grnd-Bay-Wfld NB | 165.00 |
| 4.25 | 3 | I |  | Melanie Parker, Toronto ON; Haisam Osman, | n, 160.00 |
| 2.66 |  | 3 | 1 | Maurice Morand Sillery PQ. Marie-Laure Tremblay |  |
|  |  |  |  | Quebec PQ | 152.00 |
| LUNDI SOIR/MONDAY EVE SIDEGAME |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 Pairs |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A | B | C |  |  |
| 5.76 | I |  |  | Jeanne Fisher, Clementon NJ; Janis |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rush, Fort Lee NJ | 192.03 |
| 4.70 | 2 | I |  | Gary Rosenthal - Charles Wexler, |  |
|  |  |  |  | Westmount PQ | 188.50 |
| 2.66 |  | 5 | 1 | James Dulmage, Regina SK; Gordon |  |
|  |  |  |  | Zind, Ottawa ON | 173.18 |
| MONDAY/LUNDI SENIOR PAIRS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 Pairs |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A | B | C |  |  |
| 7.56 | I |  |  | Edouard Schouela, Mount Royal PQ; |  |
|  |  |  |  | Elie Douek, Montreal PQ | 366.29 |
| 5.67 | 2 |  |  | Jan Nathan, Manhattan Beach CA; |  |
|  |  |  |  | Steve Mager, Hermosa Beach CA | 358.00 |
| 4.99 | 3 | 1 |  | Alain Blaise, St Colomban PQ;Francois Falardeau, Repentigny PQ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 347.00 |
| 3.74 | 5 | 2 | 1 | Bomsi Wadia, Bombay IN;Adi |  |
|  |  |  |  | Kalianiwala, | 334.93 |
| 2.81 |  | 3 |  | A Shatilla-Georgia Shamie, Mont-Royal PQ | 326.00 |
| 1.77 |  |  | 2 | Therese Lambert, Saint-Lambert PQ; Jeannine Gagne, St Hubert PQ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 312.36 |
| 1.32 |  |  | 3 | Denis Galarneau - Josette |  |
|  |  |  |  | Galarneau, Greenfield Pk PQ | 298.50 |

## LUNDIIMONDAY SWISS

23 Teams

|  | A | B | C |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23.10 | I |  |  | Jean Castonguay, Lery PQ; Roger Dunn, Brossard PQ; Michael Young, Chateauguay PQ; <br> Serge Chevalier Montreal PQ <br> 114.00 |
| 17.33 | 2 |  |  | Glenn Eisenstein - Bernard |
|  |  |  |  | Sillins, New York NY; Mary Gorkin, Liverpool NY; Gordon Walker, Naples FL 113.00 |
| 12.99 | 3 | 1 |  | Gillian Cook, Oceanside CA; Monroe Miller, Cote Saint-Luc PQ; Manzoor Ahmad, Montreal PQ; |
|  |  |  |  | Albert Zagury,Mont-Royal PQ 101.00 |
| 9.75 | 4 | 2 | I | Gilbert Baillargeon, Montreal-Nord PQ; Lucie |
|  |  |  |  | Robert Guerin, Saint-Laurent PQ 96.00 |
| 5.48 | 6 | 3 | 2 | Sylvie Duval - Liliane Theberge, |
|  |  |  |  | Montreal PQ; Suzanne S Messier, |
|  |  |  |  | Dorval PQ; Lise Michaud, Lasalle PQ 93.00 |
| LUNDI/MONDAY EQUIPES DE DAMES |  |  |  |  |
| 16 Teams |  |  |  |  |
|  | A | B | C |  |
| 7.28 | 1 |  |  | Goldye Raphael, Montreal PQ; Deena Garfinkle - |
|  |  |  |  | Carol Jast, CoteSaint-Luc PQ; Dorothy Marcus, |
|  |  |  |  | Westmount PQ II2.00 |
| 5.46 | 2 | I |  | Elisabeth Smits - Marcelle, Cousineau - Mary Salmon, |
|  |  |  |  | Pierrefonds PQ; Doris Dollinger, |
|  |  |  |  | Dol-des-Ormea PQ 97.00 |

3.232 Ruth Friedman - Shirley Fox, Cote

Saint-Luc PQ; Evelyn Shrier, Westmount PQ; Doreen Kizner, Lyon Mountain NY
4 I Mary Jane Brown - Dorothy Riteman, Bedford NS; Evelyn May Campbell, Halifax NS; Barbara Paton, Scottsdale AZ

## LES IMPASSES

par Julie Fajgelzon

Nous savons tous qu'une impasse nous offre une chance sur deux de réussir notre coup. Nous savons également gagner une impasse sur deux possède $75 \%$ de chance de réussite. Voici une main, qui me parvient des équipes Suisses, pour ceux qui sont passionnés par les impasses.


L'entame: 2 de carreau pour le roi, et Est revient du 3 de cœur.

Vous avez une perdante à cœur, une à carreau et 2 possibles à trèfle. Vous pouvez faire l'impasse à cœur, et/ou devinez l'impasse pour le valet de carreau. Quelle impasse faites-vous?
a) l'impasse à cœur?, b) l'impasse à carreau?, c) les deux?

L'impasse à trèfle semble supérieure puisqu'elle pourrait vous apporter une levée supplémentaire pour défausser votre cœur si les trefles sont partagés 3-3. Cependant la réponse à ma question est d) aucune. Les impasses vous donnent de très bonnes chances de réussir votre contrat mais il y a une ligne de jeu qui vous donne $100 \%$ de chance de réussite. La voici:

Vous prenez l'as de cœur. Vous jouez l'as de pique et le 10 de pique pour la dame. Vous coupez un carreau et vous jouez ensuite le roi de cœur et le valet de cœur. Il vous reste juste les trèfles et les piques maintenant. Peu importe qui prend de la dame de cœur, il est obligé de vous donner une coupe et défausse ou de jouer les trèfles pour vous, vous évitant la nécessité de deviner qui a le valet.

Les impasses ne sont pas toujours avantageuses. On en fait juste quand il n'y a pas d'alternative.

