# Favourites Out of Rosenblum！ 



The Vugraph
There was no lack of excitement as the knock－out stages of the Power Rosenblum and the McConnell continued yesterday．We are down to the last eight in the former， whilst the Women have reached the semi－final stage．Sever－ al matches ebbed and flowed and went right down to the wire．The big shock in the Power Rosenblum was the defeat of the top－seeded NICKELL team to ATTANASIO（ITA）．
At the same time the Open and Women＇s Pairs got under way，and the Seniors Teams completed its qualifying stage． The four teams to make the knock－outs includes FREED （USA）several of whom won the Seniors Championship last year in Paris


## ATTENTION！！！

All events begin at 10.00

## Open and Womens Pairs

293 and 78 pairs are participating in the Open andWom－ ens events．

We expect about 24 pairs to drop into the semifinal of the Open event．

Having 156 pairs playing in the semifinal this means that around 132 pairs may continue after the qualification．The final will be played with 72 pairs．

We expect about 8 pairs to drop in from the Power Rosenblum．This leaves approximately 64 pairs to qualify from the semifinal．

The semifinal in the Womens pairs will be played with 52 pairs．We expect some 10 pairs to drop in from the Mc－ Connell leaving space for about 42 pairs to qualify．

The final will be played with 26 pairs of which $4-6$ will enter after having played the final in the McConnell．This means that 20－22 pairs will qualify from the semifinal．

Those pairs qualified by title to the pairs events in the semi－ final are kindly requested to inform us about their plans today． Be aware that you should have registered and paid already． Please go to the WBF secretariat in the Matapedia room．

## POWER ROSENBLUM CUP <br> (Knockout Phase of I6)

| 1 | Nickell | USA | Attanasio | ITA | 59 | 52 | 21 | 62 | 68 | 31 | 41 | 50 | 189 | 195 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Munawar | INA | Dalal | IND | 24 | 27 | 56 | 9 | 36 | 37 | 84 | 22 | 200 | 95 |
| 3 | Zimmermann | FRA | Monachan | ENG | 31 | 47 | 17 | 37 | 38 | 48 | 54 | 50 | 140 | 182 |
| 4 | Burgay | POL | Rigal | USA | 26 | 9 | 35 | 20 | 38 | 30 | 30 | 32 | 129 | 91 |
| 5 | Meltzer | USA | Fredin | SWE | 42 | 40 | 35 | 26 | 31 | 34 | 18 | 28 | 126 | 128 |
| 6 | Schwartz | USA | Maas | NED | 76 | 11 | 38 | 33 | 50 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 61 |
| 7 | Kowalski | POL | Olanski | POL | 45 | 17 | 39 | 18 | 19 | 25 | 38 | 42 | 141 | 102 |
| 8 | Lavazza | ITA | Cayne | USA | 27 | 40 | 36 | 7 | 49 | 14 | 19 | 54 | 131 | 115 |

## McCONNELL CUP

(Knockout Phase of 8)

| 1 | Bessis | FRA | Auken | GER | 39 | 22 | 16 | 32 | 45 | 16 | 18 | 44 | 118 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Radin | USA | Baker | USA | 46 | 37 | 34 | 37 | 78 | 9 | 66 | 15 | 224 |
| 3 | Sanborn | USA | Arrigoni | ITA | 61 | 13 | 23 | 10 | 6 | 56 | 36 | 14 | 126 |
| 4 | Vriend | NED | Dhondy | ENG | 36 | 42 | 20 | 37 | 67 | 21 | 38 | 41 | 161 |

Power Rosenblum Quarter-Finals

| Attanasio | ITA | - | Munawar | INA |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Burgay * | POL | - | Monachan | ENG |
| Fredin | SWE | - | Schwartz | USA |
| Lavazza | ITA | - | Kowalski | POL |
| *Team Playing Brown Sticker Methods |  |  |  |  |

McConnell Semi-Finals

| Bessis | FRA | - | Radin* | USA |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vriend | NED | - | Sanborn | USA |

*Team Playing Brown Sticker Methods

## Seniors Teams Semi-Finals

| Holt | USA/CAN | - | Schippers | NED |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Freed | USA | - | Otvosi | POL |

## Seniors Teams Semi-Finals - Rosters

Freed (USA) Freed, Erikson, Finkel, Miller, Larsen, Kivel Schippers (NED) Ramer, Bomhof, Schippers E., Schippers H. Otvosi (POL) Otvosi, Lasocki, Borewicz, Klapper, Russyan Holt (USA/CAN) Holt, Schulte, Godefrin, Middleman, Baron

## SENIORS TEAMS <br> Final Standings After 12 Rounds

| Schippers | s NLD | 216.00 | 17 Janssens | NLD | 6.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Freed | USA | 216.00 | 18 Hertz | USA | 175.00 |
| 3 Otvosi | POL | 212.00 | 19 Revill | USA/CAN | 174.00 |
| 4 Holt US | USA/CAN | 206.00 | 20 Garber | USA | 171.75 |
| Marsal | GER | 205.00 | 21 Humburg | GER | 171.00 |
| 6 Sternberg | USA | 203.75 | 22 Harper | UK/USA | 171.00 |
| 7 Wegman | USA | 202.75 | 23 McGowan | UK | 164.00 |
| 8 Cohen USA | USA/CAN | 198.00 |  | TUR/ENG |  |
| 9 Robinson | CAN | 197.00 |  | R/ |  |
| 10 Budd | USA | 191.00 | effer | USA | 158.75 |
| II Romik | ISR/FRA | 189.00 | 26 Leblanc | CAN | 55.00 |
| 12 Kniest | USA | 187.00 | 27 Spengler | SW | 153.0 |
| 13 Priebe | CAN | 186.00 | 28 Clark | CAN | 145.0 |
| 14 Markowicz | zTRANS | 184.00 | 29 Mortelman | ans BEL | 142.00 |
| 15 Keidan | USA | 178.00 | 30 Campbell | USA | 134.75 |
| 16 Levine | USA | 176.75 | 31 Ajania K | KEN/CAN | 134.00 |

## Windshields and bugs

As the Mark Knopfler song says, sometimes you're the windshield, sometimes you're the bug. After the second set of the round of 32 in the Power Rosenblum, the Seymon Deutsch squad knows what it feels like to be the bug, going from 3 IMPs down after 14 boards to 70 IMPs down at the halfway point in the match with the Wojtek Olanski team from Poland.

The 67-0 rout was not apparent to those who observed play in the Open Room, as Roger Bates and Jaggy Shivdasani faced Olanski and Wlodek Starkowski in a relatively quite set. Just about nothing went right for Fred Chang and Chris Willenken at the other table, however, as the Poles romped.

Despite the lopsided score, there were a couple of interesting deals.

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.


Wlodek Starkowski
West
Olanski
12
3NT
North
Bates
I 8
All Pass

## East <br> Starkowski

3 ${ }^{(1)}$
All Pass
(1) Relay to 3NT.

In the Closed Room, Chang and Willenken landed in 6NT, which Olanski must have been considering in the time it took him to bid 3NT after Starkowski's 3s bid.

On the lie of the cards, North must lead a black suit to keep declarer from taking 12 tricks.

Bates started with the 87 , fourth best, which went to the two, jack and king. Next came the $\diamond 10$, covered by Bates with the jack. Declarer won the king and ran his spades and clubs. This was the end position as Olanski cashed with four tricks to go:


On the play of the $\boldsymbol{s}$, Bates had to discard the $\diamond 9$, and Olanski had only to exit with the $\diamond$ Q. Bates won and was forced to lead hearts, giving the last two tricks to declarer.

The details of the play at the other table were not known, but the result was 6NT down one - an II-IMP gain for the Polish team.

Bates and Jaggy did well to stop in 24 on this deal, and Bates played well to emerge with an overtrick, but it was yet another loss.

Board 23. Dealer South.All Vul.

- AK 865

คK 10943
$\diamond 7$
\& Q 8


| West <br> Olanski | North <br> Bates | East <br> Starkowski | South <br> Jaggy <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 1, | Dble | Redbl |
| $2 \diamond$ | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | $2 \uparrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Warned by the take-out double, Bates made no move despite the fact that partner showed some life with his redouble. Starkowski started with the $\$ 9$, taken by Bates with the queen. He played a low heart to his king and Stakowski's ace, and continued with the $\diamond$ J. Bates covered with the queen and ruffed the diamond continuation afterWest won the ace. He then played the 810 , covered by the jack and queen and ruffed by West. A third round of diamonds was ruffed by Bates, who then cashed his spades, picking up trumps, before playing the $\vee 9$ and a heart to East's eight. With nothing but clubs left, Starkowski had to lead away from the king and Bates was home with nine tricks.

## World Championship Book 2002



The official book of these Championships will be available early next year at a price of $\$ 30$. However, if you wish to pre-order and pay for your copy of the book here in Montreal, there is a special price of $\$ 25$ or Can\$40, including surface mail to anywhere in the world.

To place your order please see Elly Ducheyne in the Press Room.

Principle analysts will be Eric Kokish, Brian Senior and Barry Rigal, with other contributions from guest writers, including Mark Horton and Patrick Jourdain. The intention is to cover every board in the final and semi-finals of the Power Rosenblum and the final session of both Open and Women's Pairs events, plus substantial coverage of the earlier stages of these events and all other Championship events. There will be a comprehensive results listing, which will include a full list of all participants in every event, and also a list of all those working at the Championships, plus many photographs.


The WBF is very grateful for the generosity of SNC-Lavalin (www.snc-lavalin.com) for the loan of the computers and printers for the World Championships, and to Extreme Networks (www.extremenetworks.com) for the loan of the network hubs.

## Schedule of Events

## Subject to Confirmation

## McConnell Cup (Queen Eliz.)

Semi-Finals
10.00-12.I0, $12.30-14.40$
15.40-17.50, 18.10-20.20

Power Rosenblum Cup (Queen Eliz.)
Quarter Finals
10.00-I2.10, I2.30-14.40
15.40-17.50, 18.10-20.20

Senior Teams (Queen Eliz.)
Semi-Finals $\quad$ 10.00-12.10, 12.30-14.40
Final
15.40-17.50, 18.10-20.20

## Qualifying Open and Women Pairs

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 3rd Session } & 10.00 \\
\text { 4th Session } & 16.00
\end{array}
$$

## A Diamond is Forever

by Bernard Marcoux, Montréal

I used to be a chess player and I once qualified for the Canadian chess championship by correspondence; I worked my brains out for more than a year, 2 or 3 hours a day, in order to win the qualification; when I finally won, I discovered bridge and I just quit chess, never playing again.

What is the difference between chess and bridge? I don't want to offend chess players and fans, but I would say chess is a children's game, and I don't mean that in a negative way. It is easy to understand: at chess, you play alone, you have one opponent and you see all the pieces all the time. At bridge, you have one partner and two opponents (some would say that makes three opponents, but let's not digress). In the bidding, you see only 13 cards out of 52 and, during the play, you see only 26 cards out of 52. At chess, there are 32 pieces and you see them all the time. At chess, if neither player makes a mistake, the game will end in a draw. If player A makes a mistake and player $B$ sees it, player $A$ will lose. Sometimes, player A doesn't know he made a mistake; he will realise it on the next move, or 5 or 6 moves later. Bobby Fischer, still in his teens, once playing the American champion, started a combination (a series of forced moves including maybe sacrifices of one or even two pieces in order to mate or to gain a decisive advantage) so deep that the commentators in the other room, not understanding the complexity of the combination, explained to the audience that he was losing the game; at the same time, the American champion, suddenly "seeing" what was happening, resigned.

At bridge, sometimes, a defender doesn't make a mistake, but he still loses, when the declarer submits him to a squeeze for example. Other times, the defender makes a mistake, and the de-


Benard Marcoux
clarer can succeed if he can "see" all the pieces and execute the combination in perfect order.


In the first match of the Zonal Teams, opponents were silent and you play $6 \%$, LHO leading a middle heart. You play low, RHO wins the jack and plays back a club. Oops!! Maybe he should have played back a diamond but you have bid diamonds at some point, and maybe that deterred him from playing that suit. Now if spades break 4-3 you will make 12 tricks, but you have to see deeper into the hand. My friend Jean-François Boucher of Chicoutimi, Québec, played the hand. He made a little mistake in the play; so we examined the hand during supper with our friends Serge Hamelin and Marc-André Fourcaudot and we finally found the solution. You win the club and play three more clubs, LHO pitching a heart on the fourth club. You play a spade to the ace, then the king (on which you pitch a diamond - let's say the three), RHO following with the nine and the jack. You then play a small spade (the mistake is to play a third top spade, effectively squeezing yourself), RHO pitches a heart, and you ruff. Now the position is:


Now you play the nine of clubs. LHO cannot let a spade go, so he pitches a diamond. You pitch the heart queen from dummy, not a spade, in order to keep the pressure on West; RHO has to keep the hearts guarded, so he pitches a diamond also. Now we have reached:


Now a heart to the ace (the real Vienna coup, creating a winner in East's hand and a menace with the $\vee 10$ in declarer's hand), and LHO has to keep both spades, so he pitches another diamond. Now we have:


Now you play the spade queen from dummy, RHO has to keep the heart king, so he pitches a diamond; you pitch the now useless heart, LHO (immaterial now) follows. Finally, at trick 12, the Jack of diamonds to the ace collects the queen from East and the king from West, and the 13th trick (your 12th) is the diamond two.

I don't know what name or names we can put on this sequence of plays, successive or double or compound or criss-cross or any other exotic squeeze name, but I do know one thing: to be able to foresee that kind of play while seeing only 26 cards out of 52 , and then to be able to conduct it till the end is the most exhilarating experience, and it is the reason why I quit chess for bridge.

To win the 13th trick with the diamond two, with the opponents not able to do anything about it, is why I will play bridge ... forever.
(A nice story, but we would be equally happy to win the last trick with the three of diamonds. Editors.)

## SYSTEMS DESK

All quarter-finalists in the Power Rosenblum and McConnell are requested to file a copy of their convention cards and, where possible, their systems, with Anna Gudge in the WBF Secretariat on the Conventions Level of the Queen Elizabeth Hotel.

## Quack, Quack



Knowing when to duck and when to rise with one's high card is often not easy. Heather Dhondy of the English team of that name in the Round of 16 in the McConnell got it just right on this deal from their match against TERRANEO of Austria, the holders of the title from four years ago.

Board 14. Dealer East. None Vul.

- A 108653
$\bigcirc 8$
$\diamond$ AK 74
\& 93

| - 2 | N | - Q J 974 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 942$ |  | $\bigcirc 103$ |
| $\diamond$ Q 10632 | W E | $\diamond 8$ |
| \&KQ64 | S | \& AJ875 |
|  | , K |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKQJ 765 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 95 |  |
|  | - 102 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Erhart | Dhondy | Smederevac <br> Smith |  |
|  |  | $2 \boldsymbol{4}$ | $4 \searrow$ |
| 4NT | Dble | $5 \boldsymbol{\&}$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

In the other room the Austrian N/S pair were allowed to play $4 \nabla$ for a simple +450 . There was more action at our table.

Two Spades was weak with spades and a minor and 4NT asked for the minor. Dhondy doubled 4NT and doubled again when 5 e came around to her. Nicola Smith cashed two top hearts then switched to a trump. Jovanka Smederevac won in dummy and led the singleton spade. Dhondy found her first smooth duck on this trick and the queen lost to the bare king. Smith returned a second trump and again declarer won in dummy. This time she tried leading a low diamond but, once again, Dhondy followed low. From here there was no way to establish either side-suit and Smederevac made just seven trump tricks; down four for -800 and 8 IMPs to DHONDY. Two ducks - quack, quack.

## Sonicwall - Our firewalls protect whilst Bill Gates plays! - Sonicwall (www.sonicwall.com) sponsor of firewalls to the WBF.

## Down to the Wire

By the time you get to the knockout stage of the Power Rosenblum, there are no easy draws. Just ask the competitors in the Johnson-Kowalski match, who entered the fourth quarter of their match only 4 IMPs apart (Johnson leading).

In the open room, Mark Lair/Eddie Wold opposed Apolinary Kowalski/Piotr Tuszynski, while Geoff Hampson/Eric Greco went against Krzysztof Jassem/Piotr Gawrys in the closed room.

The Poles had gained 2 overtrick IMPs when the Americans earned a l2-IMP swing on an opening lead by Tuszynski that worked out very badly.

Dealer West. All Vul

| - A 83 | N | - K 72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ AK 65 |  | $\bigcirc 1094$ |
| $\checkmark$ A 5 | W E | $\diamond 10943$ |
| \& Q 1074 | S | \& $A K 2$ |
|  | - QJ 10 |  |
|  | QQ 732 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K Q J 7 |  |
|  | \& 63 |  |

The auction was the same at both tables.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jassem | Hampson | Gawrys | Greco |
| Lair | Tuszynski | Wold | Kowalski |
| INT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

In the Closed Room, Hampson led the $\mathbf{6}$ and declarer went up with the king and ran the $\vee 10$. North won with the jack and played another spade. Declarer ducked, won the next spade and cashed his top hearts. When they failed to deliver he turned to the club suit, but there was no joy there either and the contract was one down, minus 100 .

If declarer had elected to try the club suit first he might have found the winning line. South has to discard a diamond on the third round of the suit and at that point it costs nothing for declarer to exit with the ten of clubs. South can discard another diamond on that but if North cashes the 13th spade South will be squeezed in the red suits. If North exits with a diamond West will surely get the ending right, winning with the ace and exiting with a diamond to endplay South.

In the Open Room,Tuszynski started the defense with the $\oslash \mathrm{J}$. Lair won the ace and fired back the suit, pleased to see the eight appear from North. Lair now had three heart tricks, three clubs, a diamond and two spades - and a 12-IMP gain.

There would be no more scoring for the Americans, however,
as the Poles blanked them the rest of the way to win the match and move on to the round of 16 .

This board cost the Johnson team 5 IMPs.
Dealer East. E/W Vul
\& K 973
$\checkmark 732$
$\diamond \mathrm{AQ} 7$
\& 1053

## ¢ 85

$\bigcirc$ Q J 8
$\diamond$ K 843
\& Q 872


- 2
®AK 954
$\diamond$ J 96
2 AKJ 9
- AQJIO 64
$\checkmark 106$
$\checkmark 1052$
64

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jassem | Hampson | Gawrys <br> 18 | Greco |
| Pass | 49 | Dble | All Pass |



Krzysztof Jassem

Once South had made his weak jump overcall North was never going to sell out to $4 \rrbracket$, although in practice that contract would probably have failed. There was nothing to the play in 4s doubled, declarer losing two hearts, one diamond and two clubs for minus 300.

The auction was different in the Open Room.

| West <br> Lair | North <br> Tuszynski | East <br> Wold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 |  | 18 |
| 3 | $4 \diamond$ | $4 \vee$ |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |

South<br>Kowalski<br>2.<br>Pass

Lair's decision not to double seems strange. Perhaps he was concerned about the position of his diamond king after North's $4 \diamond$ bid. At any rate, the same tricks were taken on defense but Lair and Wold recorded only plus 100 - a 5-IMP loss. This deal was also a small swing for Kowalski.

Dealer West. None Vul

|  | - A 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\triangle$ AK 9 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q962 |  |
|  | \& AK K 3 |  |
| - K Q 86 | N | ¢ J 103 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 53 |  | -J642 |
| $\checkmark$ K 83 |  | $\checkmark$ A 107 |
| \& J 54 | S | ¢ 972 |
|  | - 9742 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 1087$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 54 |  |
|  | \% 1086 |  |



Piotr Tuszynski

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jassem | Hampson | Gawrys | Greco |
| Pass | $2 \& *$ | Pass | $29^{*}$ |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | All Pass |  |

East led the $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ and declarer won and played a diamond to the jack. West won, played back the $\mathbf{~} 8$ to partner's ten, won the next two spades and played back a diamond for a fast one down and plus 50.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lair | Tuszynski | Wold | Kowalski |
| Pass | $1 \mathbf{Q}^{*}$ | Pass | $1 \diamond^{*}$ |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | All Pass |  |

North's le was Polish and his 2NT rebid showed 2I-22 balanced. Wold led the $\vee 2$ to the ten, queen and ace. Tuszynski cashed four rounds of clubs, Wold pitching the $\diamond 7$, a discard that was fatal to the defense, on the fourth club, Lair the $\vee 5$. Tuszynski then played a diamond to the ten, jack and king and Lair returned a heart. It might seem that a spade is better, but declarer can simply win the ace, drive out the $\diamond A$ and get home with eight tricks. As it was, on the heart return, Tuszynski won the ace and played the $\diamond$ Q. Wold won and cashed two hearts, but that was it for the defense and Tuszynski had nine tricks for plus 150 and another 5 IMPs.

The next deal sealed the match for the Poles.
Dealer North. E/W Vul

- 1984
$\checkmark$ K 1097
$\diamond$ J 1085
- J
- A 5

Q Q J 6
$\diamond$ K Q 9
\& A 10632

| N | Q Q 1062 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 4 |
| W E | $\checkmark$ A 6432 |
| S | 984 |
| ¢ K 73 |  |
| ¢8532 |  |
| $\diamond 7$ |  |
| 20 K 975 |  |


| West | North <br> Hampson | East <br> Gawrys | South <br> Greco |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \mathbf{Q}^{*}$ |
| INT | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{Q}^{*}$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

North led the 44 and declarer played the ten, taking South's king with the ace. He now played four rounds of diamonds and North won and played the ${ }^{\text {J. Declarer won with the queen and }}$ confident the spades were 4-3, simply cashed the long diamond and played ace and another heart. He took the last two tricks to bring his total to nine for plus 600.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lair | Tuszynski <br> Wold | Kowalski |  |
|  | Pass | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| 2NT | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Dble |
| Pass | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Kowalski's 2 en ostensibly II-I5 with a club suit, six or more or with a four-card major if only five. Lair inquired about 2s and was told what the pair's agreement is, but Lair didn't allow for Kowalski to be opening light in third seat.

Tuszynski led the ${ }^{\rho}$, taken by Lair with the ace. Lair then cashed the $\triangleleft \mathbf{Q}$, then the $\diamond K$, on which Kowalski discarded the $\vee 2$, then a diamond to the ace, on which Kowalski threw the 88 . On the fourth round of diamonds, Kowalski discarded the $\uparrow 7$, Lair a low club. When North exited with the $\vee 7$, Lair went up with the ace.
He was still in position to make his contract, but on the fifth diamond, he discarded his $\uparrow 5$. Lair then played a heart to his queen and Tuszynski's king, winning the heart return in hand. Had he held onto his spade, Lair would then have been able to put Kowalski in with a club to a return of a spade, which he could run up to the queen for his ninth trick. Kowalski won the club and returned a spade to Lair's blank ace, winning the last two tricks with his black kings for down one and a 12-IMP gain.

This deal, near the end, was a push but it was interesting nonetheless.

Dealer West. N/S Vul

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& J } 7 \\ & \diamond Q \\ & \diamond \mathrm{Q} 9 \\ & \& 75 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - A 985 | N |  |  |
| -K 84 |  |  | 09632 |
| $\checkmark$ AK 2 |  |  |  |
| \& AJ 10 | S |  | 862 |
|  |  | 64 |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jassem | Hampson | Gawrys | Greco |
| 19* | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| $2 \diamond *$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | 4* | Pass |
| $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | 49 | Pass |
| 68 | All Pass |  |  |

South led the $\Phi \mathrm{K}$ and declarer put up dummy's ace and discarded a club from hand. Setting out on a voyage of discovery he ruffed a spade, crossed to dummy with a heart to the king and ruffed another spade. Now he cashed the $\oslash \mathrm{A}$ and when North discarded the contract depended on locating the Q . (Our notes say


Geoff Hampson
North's card on the $\vee \mathbf{A}$ was the $\mathbf{~} 7$, but we suspect our fading eyes got that wrong).
Declarer took three rounds of diamonds and ruffed dummy's last spade. He was confident he knew South was 5-3-3-2, so he naturally played a club to the ace and ran the jack. South won and could claim two down.

A perfect example of the operation being a success but the patient dying.

In the Open Room, the auction was protracted and Byzantine.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lair | Tuszynski | Wold | Kowalski |
| 19 | Pass | 18 | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| Dble | 2 | 34 | Pass |
| $4{ }^{1}$ | Pass | 54 | Pass |
| 5NT | Pass | 6\% | Pass |
| $6 \checkmark$ | Pass | 6 | All Pass |

Lair's double showed three-card heart support, and 5NT asked Wold to pick a slam.

Kowalski led the $\Phi \mathrm{K}$ and Wold played the contract essentially the same as Gawrys did - North discarding a diamond on the 8 A . Wold also knew South's pattern, so he finessed through the hand with three clubs, going down two when Kowalski won, picked up the last trump and cashed a spade.


## Voids for Meckwell

by Martin Kane

The chance of a void in a bridge hand is slightly over $18 \%$. From a late round of the Rosenblum Round Robin, Meckstroth/Rodwell had a sequence of five boards in a row, each with one or more voids. This is almost 5000 to I against (more precisely 4772) for the voids, but each hand was also played in a major-suit game. They started well with this one.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& K } 75432 \\ & \diamond \text { KQ } 96 \\ & \diamond \text { KQ } 10 \end{aligned}$ 2 - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( A 6 | N | -108 |
| $\bigcirc$ A 83 |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{J} 4$ |
| $\diamond$ J 96 | W E | $\diamond$ A 75432 |
| * 108654 | S | \& A9 3 |
|  | - QJ 9 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 10752$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 8$ |  |
|  | \& K Q J 72 |  |

West Pass

North
Rodwell $15 \quad 2\rangle$ 49 All Pass

South
Meckstroth 2NT ${ }^{(1)}$
(1) Limit Raise


Eric Rodwell

With the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ well placed (although not the $\nabla \mathrm{J}$ ) for declarer, only a heart ruff is likely to defeat 44, even with the promising club suit in dummy being entirely wasted values opposite declarer's void. The actual defense was a spade to the ace and a small heart switch. Rodwell drew the other trumps and had no problems on a diamond return after the leading to the king and ace.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

|  | - 8542 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - K Q 64 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KJ 105 |  |
|  | 97 |  |
| -1073 | N | - AJ |
| $\bigcirc$ A 109752 |  | ¢J83 |
| $\checkmark$ A |  | $\checkmark$ Q 32 |
| 9864 | S | 2 K Q 1053 |
|  | 4 K Q 96 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 98764$ |  |
|  | * AJ 92 |  |

The play on Board 6 was always going to be more challenging. In 44, Rodwell got the lead of the K and won the ace. In with the ace of diamonds (North unblocking), West found a good return of a trump and East played ace and another. There were only ever nine tricks this time, with the defense taking two diamonds and the major suit aces.

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.


East then applied the maximum pressure on Board 7, with a leap to $5 \diamond$ over the strong club. Double was presumably take-out as Rodwell bid 5 quickly enough. Pass would certainly not have been a good choice, with $5 \diamond$ unbeatable. If East happens on a spade lead his side might take the first six tricks with the aid of a few ruffs, but naturally enough he tried the $\diamond$ A. North ruffed, overtook the $\bigcirc$ Q and ruffed another diamond, this time high, and played the last trump from his hand. Unfortunately the 810 didn't appear under the jack which would have given him eleven tricks assuming the clubs were worth another five. A nice try but two down.

On Board 8, East held a 4-3-6-0 three-count, another void, but the play lasted only a few seconds and it wasn't relevant to the hand.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

|  | Q Q |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\triangle$ AK 86532 |  |
|  | $\diamond A$ |  |
|  | 2 QJ 63 |  |
| ¢ K 5 | N | \& AJIO97432 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 1097 |  | $\bigcirc-$ |
| $\diamond$ Q 95 | W | $\diamond 17$ |
| \& 10754 | S | -982 |
|  | + 86 |  |
|  | ¢J4 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K IO 8 |  |
|  | \& AK |  |

Finally, Board 9 was probably the most interesting as, if the defense failed to shine, declarer would have a chance for a spectacular play. This time East only jumped to the three level on his second eight-card suit. West's 4ave would probably have gone for 500 , but North pressed on to 5 and the final double seems a little optimistic (trade the $\oslash$ J for any of North's hearts and it's an easy make).

| West | North <br> Rodwell | East | South <br> Meckstroth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \mathbf{2}$ | 34 | Dble |
| Pass | 48 | Pass | Pass |
| 44 | 58 | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

East led the $\Phi \mathrm{A}$ and thought for a while about continuing with a spade, perhaps thinking of forcing declarer. In fact, any switch will succeed in defeating the contract, but a spade continuation allows declarer the extra ruff he needs to bring off a trump coup. Using the two club honours and a club ruff in dummy as entries to ruff diamonds in hand (including one over-ruff), then exiting with a trump to the jack in the three-card ending.

Whether Rodwell would have played for the four-zero trump break we'll never find out, as East played the $\diamond J$ for one down.

Board 10 was 4-3-3-3 - how dull.


If you are playing in the Hilton Hotel please respect the players who are affected by smoke and take your cigarettes well away from the playing rooms and adjoining areas. Players who do not

- observe this request will be subject to censure and penalties.


## A Fredin Bid

by Ralf Bystroem
Peter Fredin, the Swedish star, is famous for his unusual bids. My partner Hans-Olof Hallen found a Fredin bid in the Regional.

Dealer West. N/S Vul

|  | - 92 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 985$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K Q |  |
|  | \& K 107643 |  |
| - K 84 | N | ¢ J 7653 |
| - J107632 |  | $\bigcirc$ AQ |
| $\diamond$ J984 | W E | $\checkmark 62$ |
| 9- | S | - A Q 52 |
|  | - A Q 10 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 4$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A 10753 |  |
|  | * J 98 |  |

East opened One Spade, South passed, West raised to Two Spades and it went Pass - Pass. Now Mr Hallen as South bid 3NT, passed out.

West led the four of spades to the jack and queen. The contract looked promising if the club queen behaved, but on the jack of clubs West discarded a heart. Disaster?!

East let the jack win. Then came king, queen of diamonds, followed by a heart. East won with the ace and returned a spade to the ace and West unblocked the king! West forgot that East could not have the spade ten. The rest was easy. Ace of diamonds and a diamond followed and West had to give Mr Hallen nine tricks.

Thank you Peter Fredin!

## SCHEDULE OF CAN-AM 2002

## Monday August 26

09.00 Bracketed Morning KO\#4

4th Session
2nd Session
4th Session
13.00 Stratiflighted Senior Pairs Ist Session

Open Swiss Ist Session
Ladies Swiss Ist Session
Bracketed KO\#4 Ist Session
Contin. Pairs\#2 Ist Session
19.30 Stratiflighted Senior Pairs 2nd Session

Open Swiss
2nd Session
Ladies Swiss 2nd Session
Bracketed KO\#4 2nd Session
Contin. Pairs\#2 4th Session

# QUALIFYING OPEN PAIRS 

(After 2 Sessions)

|  |  |  | Ist | 2nd | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Chagas - Brenner | BRA | 58.20 | 64.15 | 61.17 |
| 2 | El Ahmady - Sadek | EGY | 51.65 | 69.93 | 60.79 |
| 3 | Ladizhenski - Ladizhenski | RUS | 60.88 | 60.56 | 60.72 |
| 4 | Forrester - Robson | ENG | 64.71 | 56.21 | 60.46 |
| 5 | Nicolodi - Sbarigia | ITA | 69.19 | 51.32 | 60.26 |
| 6 | Awad - Awad | FRA | 62.24 | 58.22 | 60.23 |
| 7 | Raff - Sunser | USA | 59.68 | 53.44 | 59.67 |
| 8 | Li - Sun | CHI | 58.47 | 59.81 | 59.14 |
| 9 | Zorlu - Assael | TUR | 57.26 | 60.60 | 58.93 |
| 10 | Chemla - Cronier | FRA | 62.78 | 54.92 | 58.85 |
| 11 | Ino - Imakura | JPN | 55.18 | 62.30 | 58.74 |
| 12 | Richman - Prescott | AUS | 60.94 | 56.20 | 58.57 |
| 13 | Multon- Quantin | FRA | 60.76 | 55.95 | 58.35 |
| 14 | Bussek - Rohowsky | GER | 52.39 | 63.95 | 58.17 |
| 15 | Fantoni - Nunes | ITA | 60.29 | 55.71 | 58.00 |
| 16 | Morin - Chavannaz | FRA | 57.45 | 57.97 | 57.72 |
| 17 | Wold - Zeckhauser | USA | 58.30 | 56.69 | 57.49 |
| 18 | Thuillez - Sebbane | FRA | 59.10 | 55.61 | 57.35 |
| 19 | Smith - Czerniewski | ENG | 56.30 | 58.37 | 57.34 |
| 20 | Eber - Sapire | RSA | 56.98 | 57.65 | 57.31 |
| 21 | Finberg - Lair | USA | 58.36 | 56.22 | 57.29 |
| 22 | Stahl - Krishnan | IND | 48.03 | 67.25 | 57.25 |
| 23 | Hargreaves - Fraser | CAN | 55.29 | 59.16 | 57.23 |
| 24 | Bakkeren - Bertens | NED | 56.48 | 57.39 | 56.93 |
| 25 | Caplan - Caplan | CAN | 61.72 | 52.08 | 56.90 |
| 26 | Veron - Bonnet | GUA | 55.50 | 58.24 | 56.87 |
| 27 | Herbst - Herbst | ISR | 54.95 | 58.79 | 56.87 |
| 28 | Efraimsson - Morath | SWE | 59.26 | 54.27 | 56.77 |
| 29 | Cannell - Carruthers | CAN | 53.88 | 59.64 | 56.76 |
| 30 | Gromov - Petrunin | RUS | 56.25 | 57.24 | 56.75 |
| 31 | Bramley - Weinstein | USA | 55.80 | 57.50 | 56.65 |
| 32 | Ganzer - Stark | USA | 48.47 | 64.14 | 56.31 |
| 33 | Crozet - Huiban | FRA | 54.29 | 58.21 | 56.25 |
| 34 | Shek - Calderwood | ENG | 54.39 | 58.03 | 56.21 |
| 35 | Lev - Glubok | USA | 48.70 | 63.21 | 55.95 |
| 36 | Hampson - Greco | USA | 61.67 | 50.01 | 55.84 |
| 37 | Bates - Klar | USA | 55.56 | 56.06 | 55.81 |
| 38 | Garozzo - Dupont | ITA | 60.36 | 51.13 | 55.75 |
| 39 | Lara - Capucho | POR | 53.68 | 57.66 | 55.67 |
| 40 | Marcinski - Carriere | CAN | 63.12 | 48.15 | 55.64 |
| 41 | Frukacz - Iglewski | CAN | 54.54 | 56.68 | 55.61 |
| 42 | Vernay - Schaffer | USA | 53.68 | 57.50 | 55.59 |
| 43 | Abram - Van Cleeff | NED | 55.05 | 55.50 | 55.27 |
| 44 | Lortz - Ohlrich | USA | 50.62 | 59.83 | 55.22 |
| 45 | Ravenna - Madala | ARG | 57.82 | 52.55 | 55.18 |
| 46 | Fouillet - Kremer | FRA | 56.34 | 53.93 | 55.14 |
| 47 | Castellani - Catarsi | ITA | 59.65 | 50.59 | 55.12 |
| 48 | Jafer - Sultan | PAK | 53.69 | 56.31 | 55.00 |
| 49 | Jaroslaw - Moszynski | POL | 47.09 | 63.49 | 54.97 |
| 50 | Stoppa - Stretz | FRA | 55.83 | 54.08 | 54.96 |
| 51 | Kanningat - Bardhan | IND | 60.03 | 49.85 | 54.94 |
| 52 | Milner - Jacobus | USA | 52.96 | 56.84 | 54.90 |
| 53 | Bruggeman - De Groot | NED | 54.56 | 55.20 | 54.88 |
| 54 | Bareket - Roll | ISR | 51.56 | 58.15 | 54.85 |
| 55 | Robinson-Boyd | USA | 48.74 | 60.74 | 54.74 |
| 56 | Gartaganis - Gartaganis | CAN | 54.10 | 55.35 | 54.73 |
| 57 | Antoff - Dyke | AUS | 51.52 | 57.63 | 54.57 |
| 58 | Tatarkin - Khazanov | RUS | 56.35 | 52.48 | 54.41 |
| 59 | Toffier - Dechelette | FRA | 56.03 | 52.75 | 54.39 |
| 60 | Roren - Svendsen | NOR | 54.76 | 53.45 | 54.10 |


| 61 | Habert - Wildansky | USA | 57.63 | 50.47 | 54.05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62 | Delmouly - Renouard | FRA | 54.11 | 53.95 | 54.03 |
| 63 | Clement - Bessis | FRA | 56.30 | 51.43 | 53.86 |
| 64 | Bianchedi - Muzzio | ARG | 56.56 | 50.85 | 53.71 |
| 65 | Feldman - Osberg | USA | 54.84 | 52.36 | 53.60 |
| 66 | Hackett - Waterlow | ENG | 53.00 | 53.88 | 53.44 |
| 67 | Ruia - Samant | IND | 58.52 | 48.13 | 53.33 |
| 68 | Naftali - Shinman | ISR | 53.12 | 53.38 | 53.25 |
| 69 | Panina - Rosenblum | RUS | 50.49 | 55.95 | 53.22 |
| 70 | Felton - Weisman | USA | 58.01 | 48.25 | 53.13 |
| 71 | Brenning - Flodqvist | SWE | 50.05 | 56.18 | 53.11 |
| 72 | Morse - Ross | USA | 46.86 | 59.36 | 53.11 |
| 73 | Allegrini - Palau | FRA | 52.83 | 53.31 | 53.07 |
| 74 | L'Ecuyer - Fergani | CAN | 47.90 | 57.99 | 52.95 |
| 75 | Lo - Schwartz | USA | 47.26 | 58.62 | 52.94 |
| 76 | Fallenius - Welland | USA | 53.16 | 52.69 | 52.92 |
| 77 | Smith - Willenken | USA | 51.97 | 53.72 | 52.85 |
| 78 | Arbour - Oddy | USA | 63.72 | 41.89 | 52.81 |
| 79 | Piganeau - Lalanne | FRA | 46.32 | 59.20 | 52.76 |
| 80 | Paulissen - Nab | NED | 50.56 | 54.96 | 52.76 |
| 81 | Brink - van Prooijen | NED | 54.25 | 51.20 | 52.73 |
| 82 | Michlmayr - Schneider | USA | 49.91 | 55.49 | 52.70 |
| 83 | Klimowicz - Fung | CAN | 57.03 | 48.33 | 52.68 |
| 84 | Petterson-Palmgren | SWE | 51.66 | 53.69 | 52.63 |
| 85 | Potier - Cuenca | FRA | 58.63 | 46.49 | 52.56 |
| 86 | Hertz - Kaplan | FRA | 42.92 | 62.15 | 52.54 |
| 87 | Demuy - Wolpert | CAN | 52.87 | 51.98 | 52.43 |
| 88 | Gitelman - Moss | USA | 61.87 | 42.91 | 52.39 |
| 89 | Burn - Sandqvist | ENG | 55.84 | 48.86 | 52.35 |
| 90 | Ladewig - Janicki | CAN | 54.61 | 49.79 | 52.20 |
| 91 | Andersson - Bergdahl | SWE | 52.82 | 51.58 | 52.20 |
| 92 | Zen - Wan | HKG | 49.89 | 54.24 | 52.15 |
| 93 | Lakatos - Homonnay | HUN | 48.33 | 55.88 | 52.10 |
| 94 | Zhang - Krnjevic | CAN | 57.92 | 46.21 | 52.06 |
| 95 | Atabey - Kolata | TUR | 50.06 | 54.02 | 52.04 |
| 96 | Lobber - Szavay | CAN | 52.16 | 51.89 | 52.03 |
| 97 | Pilon - llisel | FRA | 51.22 | 52.83 | 52.03 |
| 98 | Grue - Moss | USA | 52.83 | 51.18 | 52.00 |
| 99 | Villas-Boas - Thoma | BRA | 55.12 | 48.69 | 51.90 |
| 100 | Teramoto - Morimura | JPN | 55.21 | 48.41 | 51.81 |
| 101 | Afanasov - Polishchuk | RUS | 44.57 | 58.93 | 51.75 |
| 102 | Cohen - Gertner | USA | 49.32 | 54.03 | 51.67 |
| 103 | Prokopiou - Chatzopoulos | GRE | 53.67 | 49.54 | 51.61 |
| 104 | Johannesen - Johannesen | USA | 55.28 | 47.56 | 51.42 |
| 105 | McIntosh - King | ENG | 56.02 | 46.82 | 51.42 |
| 106 | leong - Leong | HKG | 48.21 | 54.59 | 51.40 |
| 107 | Destoc - Bonny | FRA | 52.02 | 50.66 | 51.34 |
| 108 | Mignocchi - Bathurst | USA | 58.64 | 44.04 | 51.34 |
| 109 | Clerkin - Cranmer | USA | 54.43 | 48.20 | 51.31 |
| 110 | Lin - Shen | CHI | 51.62 | 50.85 | 51.24 |
| 111 | Douglas - Harvey | BER | 52.80 | 49.57 | 51.19 |
| 112 | Jacob - Jedrychowski | NZL | 46.04 | 56.20 | 51.12 |
| 113 | Gotard - Piekarek | GER | 52.86 | 49.37 | 51.12 |
| 114 | MacKenzie - Weniger | CAN | 54.78 | 47.38 | 51.08 |
| 115 | Graversen-Clemmensen | DEN | 52.87 | 49.29 | 51.08 |
| 116 | Bitran - Pacault | FRA | 47.65 | 54.31 | 50.98 |
| 117 | Samuel - Samuel | USA | 50.61 | 51.31 | 50.96 |
| 118 | Poddar - Bapat | IND | 45.60 | 56.27 | 50.94 |
| 119 | Zhou - Liu | CHI | 47.51 | 54.00 | 50.76 |
| 120 | Wilsmor - Wyner | AUS | 54.31 | 47.12 | 50.71 |
| 121 | Hachimi - Berrada | MOR | 53.67 | 47.51 | 50.71 |


| 122 | Ganness - Amoils | CAN | 51.74 | 49.67 | 50.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 123 | Sinno - Fahs | CAN | 46.72 | 54.67 | 50.69 |
| 124 | Eisenberg - Kass | FRA | 50.91 | 50.48 | 50.69 |
| 125 | Apteker - Donde | RSA | 44.19 | 57.11 | 50.65 |
| 126 | Woolsey - Stewart | USA | 57.12 | 44.65 | 50.64 |
| 127 | Allfrey - Bakhshi | ENG | 50.34 | 50.91 | 50.63 |
| 128 | Miller - Cheek | USA | 48.54 | 52.69 | 50.62 |
| 129 | Koshi - Nakamura | JPN | 50.00 | 51.18 | 50.59 |
| 130 | Rombaut - Bessis | FRA | 48.33 | 52.97 | 50.56 |
| 131 | Katz - Cohler | USA | 44.87 | 56.23 | 50.55 |
| 132 | Tchamitch - Chkaibane | LEB | 46.13 | 54.90 | 50.51 |
| 133 | Vaidya - Agarwal | IND | 49.48 | 51.47 | 50.48 |
| 134 | Rajadhyaksha - Landen | USA | 53.07 | 47.83 | 50.45 |
| 135 | Jones - Krekorian | USA | 51.10 | 49.76 | 50.43 |
| 136 | Baqai - Dunitz | USA | 45.74 | 54.71 | 50.22 |
| 137 | De Saint Pastou-Faigenbaum | FRA | 54.01 | 46.66 | 50.19 |
| 138 | Dupuis - Libbrecht | FRA | 49.05 | 51.30 | 50.18 |
| 139 | Casen - Rautenberg | USA | 52.13 | 47.99 | 50.14 |
| 140 | Mohan - Vogel | USA | 51.87 | 48.37 | 50.12 |
| 141 | Bompis - Mari | FRA | 46.84 | 53.36 | 50.10 |
| 142 | Benali - Sbili | MOR | 50.02 | 50.09 | 50.05 |
| 143 | Prokhorov-Curlin | RUS | 55.26 | 44.84 | 50.05 |
| 144 | Hanayama - Hirata | JPN | 51.46 | 48.64 | 50.05 |
| 145 | Langevin-Cassar | FRA | 52.42 | 47.66 | 50.04 |
| 146 | Saxe - Gladyszak | USA | 40.08 | 59.85 | 49.97 |
| 147 | Miatra - D'Souza | CAN | 48.49 | 51.24 | 49.87 |
| 148 | Khandelwal - Khandelwal | IND | 52.43 | 47.25 | 49.84 |
| 149 | Marks - Popper | USA | 49.06 | 50.52 | 49.79 |
| 150 | Shivdasani - Shenkin | USA | 53.93 | 45.65 | 49.79 |
| 151 | Lobry - Delestre | FRA | 52.69 | 46.74 | 49.72 |
| 152 | Maidman - Marinov | USA | 50.32 | 49.09 | 49.71 |
| 153 | Cope - Holman | RSA | 48.54 | 50.77 | 49.70 |
| 154 | Dahlberg - Andersson | SWE | 46.14 | 53.24 | 49.69 |
| 155 | Kent - Daigneault | CAN | 48.63 | 50.71 | 49.67 |
| 156 | Tacivc -Voinescu | ROM | 49.49 | 49.72 | 49.60 |
| 157 | Silver - Granger | CAN | 51.07 | 48.10 | 49.58 |
| 158 | Barrett - Miles | USA | 50.11 | 48.98 | 49.55 |
| 159 | Ansari - Ansari | PAK | 51.34 | 47.69 | 49.52 |
| 160 | Baff - Sechler | USA | 49.46 | 49.46 | 49.46 |
| 160 | Nakano - Yarington | USA | 55.43 | 43.49 | 49.46 |
| 162 | Gerin - Mathieu | GUA | 38.55 | 60.35 | 49.45 |
| 163 | Deaves - Lafferty | CAN | 52.06 | 46.71 | 49.39 |
| 164 | Braia - Szakacs | ROM | 49.81 | 48.76 | 49.28 |
| 165 | Zara - Costin | ROM | 48.35 | 50.20 | 49.27 |
| 166 | Birman - Sagiv | ISR | 52.46 | 46.23 | 49.22 |
| 167 | Lungu - Rotaru | ROM | 47.51 | 50.87 | 49.19 |
| 168 | Shepherd - Rayner | CAN | 54.06 | 44.30 | 49.18 |
| 169 | Niemeijer - Trouwborst | NED | 51.59 | 46.73 | 49.16 |
| 170 | Mosca - Pasquarella | ITA | 44.86 | 53.45 | 49.16 |
| 171 | Rexford - Cotterman | USA | 53.05 | 45.25 | 49.15 |
| 172 | Engel - Pauly | GER | 46.29 | 51.89 | 49.09 |
| 173 | Garnier - Picard | GUA | 51.45 | 46.64 | 49.04 |
| 174 | Guariglia - Di Bello | ITA | 52.61 | 45.45 | 49.03 |
| 175 | Sengupta - Arvedon | USA | 52.70 | 45.35 | 49.03 |
| 176 | Gladysh - Khiouppenen | RUS | 54.92 | 42.96 | 48.94 |
| 177 | Bart - Dimich | CAN | 48.03 | 49.80 | 48.92 |
| 178 | Goldsmith - Schuster | USA | 52.28 | 45.79 | 48.91 |
| 179 | Kushari - Mukherjee | IND | 52.23 | 45.58 | 48.90 |
| 180 | Mauberquez - Allix | FRA | 48.55 | 49.21 | 48.88 |
| 181 | Kirobakaramoorthy-Prabakar | IND | 51.57 | 46.15 | 48.86 |
| 182 | Hay - Nunn | AUS | 47.27 | 50.32 | 48.80 |
| 183 | Padye - Ray | IND | 49.03 | 48.53 | 48.78 |
| 184 | Borst - Kamerbeek | NED | 43.71 | 53.81 | 48.76 |
| 185 | Aagaard - Jepsen | DEN | 49.31 | 48.17 | 48.74 |
| 186 | Yang-Gu | USA | 53.83 | 43.52 | 48.68 |
| 187 | Ekeblad - Molson | USA | 46.50 | 50.75 | 48.62 |


| AUS | 53.38 | 43.74 | 48.56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENG | 49.41 | 47.70 | 48.55 |
| FRA | 45.37 | 51.59 | 48.48 |
| USA | 55.68 | 41.19 | 48.44 |
| FRA | 44.20 | 52.63 | 48.41 |
| JPN | 53.60 | 43.08 | 48.34 |
| USA | 48.37 | 48.27 | 48.32 |
| BRN | 39.50 | 57.02 | 48.26 |
| MOR | 45.61 | 50.69 | 48.15 |
| CAN | 50.39 | 45.67 | 48.12 |
| FRA | 46.44 | 49.65 | 48.04 |
| VEN | 46.99 | 49.09 | 48.00 |
| MEX | 48.76 | 47.06 | 47.91 |
| IND | 41.37 | 54.24 | 47.81 |
| SWI | 40.36 | 55.20 | 47.78 |
| WAL | 47.25 | 48.26 | 47.75 |
| AUS | 50.49 | 45.13 | 47.70 |
| EGY | 46.99 | 48.39 | 47.69 |
| NZL | 42.71 | 52.59 | 47.65 |
| FRA | 43.42 | 51.79 | 47.61 |
| IND | 51.45 | 43.63 | 47.54 |
| AUS | 50.15 | 44.79 | 47.47 |
| CAN | 43.95 | 50.77 | 47.36 |
| ITA | 56.80 | 36.89 | 47.24 |
| JPN | 42.99 | 51.28 | 47.14 |
| POL | 52.76 | 41.51 | 47.13 |
| CAN | 41.47 | 52.48 | 46.97 |
| USA | 47.29 | 46.66 | 46.97 |
| USA | 53.80 | 39.51 | 46.94 |
| CAN | 47.48 | 46.36 | 46.92 |
| PAK | 49.16 | 44.57 | 46.87 |
| ARG | 43.36 | 50.00 | 46.68 |
| BRN | 47.31 | 46.03 | 46.67 |
| POL | 45.91 | 47.40 | 46.65 |
| BEL | 43.43 | 49.65 | 46.54 |
| CAN | 47.69 | 45.20 | 46.45 |
| CAN | 44.16 | 48.64 | 46.40 |
| DEN | 46.20 | 46.60 | 46.40 |
| ROM | 40.78 | 51.69 | 46.23 |
| FRA | 44.50 | 47.88 | 46.19 |
| IND | 49.66 | 42.64 | 46.15 |
| IND | 37.54 | 54.67 | 46.11 |
| USA | 45.20 | 46.89 | 46.05 |
| ROM | 44.30 | 47.79 | 46.04 |
| BEL | 46.52 | 45.40 | 45.96 |
| IND | 38.77 | 53.01 | 45.89 |
| ENG | 50.30 | 41.30 | 45.80 |
| ENG | 52.69 | 38.88 | 45.78 |
| ISR | 55.02 | 36.53 | 45.78 |
| SWI | 43.00 | 48.52 | 45.76 |
| MEX | 47.55 | 43.96 | 45.76 |
| FRA | 48.11 | 43.34 | 45.73 |
| MOR | 48.23 | 43.22 | 45.73 |
| GER | 38.86 | 52.41 | 45.63 |
| VEN | 46.86 | 44.22 | 45.54 |
| USA | 50.08 | 40.92 | 45.50 |
| USA | 48.71 | 42.24 | 45.47 |
| SPA | 46.89 | 44.13 | 45.45 |
| NED | 49.38 | 41.05 | 45.38 |
| NOR | 41.67 | 49.01 | 45.34 |
| USA | 48.80 | 41.75 | 45.28 |
| ITA | 41.47 | 48.83 | 45.15 |
| JPN | 42.93 | 47.35 | 45.14 |
| IND | 44.06 | 46.09 | 45.11 |
| CAN | 45.11 | 45.04 | 45.07 |
| USA | 47.76 | 42.06 | 45.02 |


| 254 | Saks - Blond | CAN | 40.58 | 49.40 | 44.99 | 274 | Glickman - Davidson | CAN | 40.30 | 45.51 | 42.91 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 255 | Blackman-Watkins | BAR | 48.53 | 41.29 | 44.91 | 275 | Frerichs - Wenning | GER | 41.27 | 44.41 | 42.84 |
| 256 | Berton-Bishop | CAN | 44.04 | 45.60 | 44.82 | 276 | Vilanou - Combeau | FRA | 45.36 | 40.13 | 42.74 |
| 257 | Schwartz - Bove | CAN | 43.42 | 46.19 | 44.80 | 277 | Samy - Samy | FRA | 35.75 | 50.28 | 42.72 |
| 258 | Sridharan - Tikare | IND | 44.78 | 44.74 | 44.76 | 278 | Marcoux - Marcoux | CAN | 48.18 | 37.11 | 42.64 |
| 259 | Hishmat - El Desouki | EGY | 50.44 | 39.05 | 44.74 | 279 | Bonaccorsi - Frazzetto | ITA | 48.97 | 35.83 | 42.40 |
| 260 | Merkel - Collins | CAN | 43.88 | 45.16 | 44.52 | 280 | Sourour - Ohana | MOR | 41.41 | 42.53 | 41.97 |
| 261 | Debus - De Mesmaecker | BEL | 42.80 | 46.04 | 44.42 | 281 | Hammond - Lane | ENG | 42.36 | 41.39 | 41.88 |
| 262 | Nader - Sappaio | BRA | 48.15 | 40.46 | 44.31 | 282 | Tylman - Weisz | CAN | 44.39 | 39.46 | 41.83 |
| 263 | Weinstock - Poplilov | ISR | 41.32 | 46.98 | 44.15 | 283 | Benoit - Giard | FRA | 36.49 | 46.35 | 41.42 |
| 264 | Courtney - Wigoder | ENG | 46.29 | 41.97 | 44.13 | 284 | Ortmann - Ortmann | DEN | 42.79 | 39.17 | 40.98 |
| 265 | Konig - Wallis | AUS | 51.22 | 36.96 | 44.09 | 285 | Schulmann - Gonfreville | FRA | 39.47 | 41.94 | 40.70 |
| 266 | Crossley - Passal | USA | 45.76 | 41.20 | 43.48 | 286 | Pasquini - Ammirata | VEN | 38.17 | 42.81 | 40.49 |
| 267 | Ionita - Teodorescu | ROM | 45.98 | 40.89 | 43.44 | 287 | Cyr - Michaux | CAN | 45.24 | 35.61 | 40.43 |
| 268 | Shah - Shah | ENG | 44.32 | 42.35 | 43.33 | 288 | Hall - Schwartz | USA | 35.39 | 43.19 | 39.29 |
| 269 | Bineau - Droulez | FRA | 41.28 | 45.23 | 43.26 | 289 | Goldenblatt - Habert | CAN | 37.58 | 40.76 | 39.17 |
| 270 | Faguet - Delcourt | MAR | 37.00 | 49.44 | 43.22 | 290 | Viola - Viola | ITA | 37.52 | 40.32 | 38.92 |
| 271 | Wickham - Brownstein | USA | 36.26 | 50.18 | 43.22 | 291 | Candura - Scalabrino | ITA | 36.00 | 40.78 | 38.39 |
| 272 | Sumita - Fukuyama | JPN | 47.59 | 38.80 | 43.20 | 292 | Sutherland - Sutherland | CAN | 33.66 | 43.09 | 38.37 |
| 273 | Turk - Cousin | FRA | 37.90 | 48.23 | 43.06 | 293 | Milton - Youngerman | USA | 33.78 | 42.14 | 37.96 |

## QUALIFYING WOMEN PAIRS

(After 2 Sessions)

| 1 | Ling - Zhang | CH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Savolainen-Reisig | FIN |
| 3 | Zhang - Wang | CH |
| 4 | Wheeler - Gates | USA |
| 5 | Spiro - Stevenson | USA |
| 6 | Gordon - Reus | CAN |
| 7 | Berkowitz - Glasson | USA |
| 8 | Clement - Dumon | FRA |
| 9 | Brock - James | ENG |
| 10 | Weinstein - Bjerkan | USA |
| 11 | Sokolow - Molson | USA |
| 12 | Jeanin-Naltet - Lemaitre | FRA |
| 13 | Lalanne - Riberol | FRA |
| 14 | Amano - Sekizawa | JPN |
| 15 | Poplilov - Zur-Campanile | ISR |
| 16 | Spanou - Maglara | GRE |
| 17 | Gwozdzinsky - Wexler | USA |
| 18 | Morse - Miller | USA |
| 19 | Naito - Takashi | JPN |
| 20 | Eaton-Clinton | CAN |
| 21 | Lesur - De Guillebon | FRA |
| 22 | Lourie - Epstein | USA |
| 23 | Florin - Parain | FRA |
| 24 | Birman - Sagiv | ISR |
| 25 | Wenning - Heinrichs | GER |
| 26 | Morcos - Sarwat | EGY |
| 27 | Lily - Maud | EGY |
| 28 | Steiner - Letizia | USA |
| 29 | Nabil - Rafali | MOR |
| 30 | Mancuso - Wittes | USA |
| 31 | Levy - De Heredia | FRA |
| 32 | Wood - Michaels | USA |
| 33 | Hugon - Varenne | FRA |
| 34 | Sanders - Fernandez | USA |
| 35 | Jacobus - Hyatt | USA |
| 36 | Moretti - Blouquit | FRA |
| 37 | Hodgson - Paul | CAN |
| 38 | Bryant - Summers | CAN |
| 39 | Sutherlin - Allison | USA |


| 40 | Vogel - Greenberg | USA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | Wang - Sun | CHI |
| 42 | Kabbaj-Sebti | MOR |
| 43 | Renoux - Menil | FRA |
| 44 | Bonaventure - Colin | CAN |
| 45 | Casen - Rodwell | USA |
| 46 | Ohta - Setoguchi | JPN |
| 47 | Mahfood - Reid | JAM |
| 48 | Faivre - Rossard | FRA |
| 49 | Mott - Frazier | AUS |
| 50 | Wener - Godel | CAN |
| 51 | Asakoshi - Kosaka | JPN |
| 52 | Lewis - Barrett | USA |
| 53 | Paulsson - Redrupp | CAN |
| 54 | Berlage-Smith - Kugler | CAN |
| 55 | Khouri - Saba | EGY |
| 56 | Hamman - Jackson | USA |
| 57 | Kotronarou - Mitropoulou | GRE |
| 58 | Leenhardt - Pigeaud | FRA |
| 59 | Ohana - Taktak | MOR |
| 60 | Rosenberg - Yangz | MEX |
| 61 | Burn - King | ENG |
| 62 | Hiramuri - Nakakawaji | JPN |
| 63 | Gordon - Moss | USA |
| 64 | Savko - Hanlon | USA |
| 65 | Raimbault - Curetti | FRA |
| 66 | Bloom - Holroyd | RSA |
| 67 | Cools Lartigue - Fulford | JAM |
| 68 | Haemmerli - Nikitine | SWI |
| 69 | Stutz - Goldner | SWI |
| 70 | Gave - Peli | FRA |
| 71 | Nishida - Nakao | JPN |
| 72 | Saltsman - Koffler | CAN |
| 73 | Bernazani - Stern |  |
| 74 | Beringer - Delestre | FRA |
| 75 | Key - Brown | USA |
| 76 | Patel - Singh | IND |
| 77 | McCartney - Lyons | AUS |
| 78 | Grenside - Farr Jones | AUS |

$50.10 \quad 50.56 \quad 50.33$
$42.86 \quad 57.28 \quad 50.07$
$47.62 \quad 52.44 \quad 50.03$
$46.66 \quad 53.03 \quad 49.85$
50.6148 .6449 .63
$\begin{array}{lll}46.56 & 52.52 & 49.54\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}54.25 & 44.80 \quad 49.52\end{array}$
$46.46 \quad 52.47 \quad 49.40$
$46.76 \quad 51.47 \quad 49.11$
$\begin{array}{llll}50.15 & 47.84 & 49.00\end{array}$
52.4844 .5548 .51
45.7550 .48 48.11
$49.70 \quad 46.40 \quad 48.05$
$\begin{array}{llll}43.72 & 52.23 & 47.89\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}52.73 & 42.40 & 47.57\end{array}$
$48.3346 .36 \quad 47.34$
$47.7246 .09 \quad 46.91$
$41.04 \quad 52.44 \quad 46.74$
$47.6245 .06 \quad 46.34$
$47.57 \quad 44.40 \quad 45.98$
41.7049 .9245 .81
$51.62 \quad 39.42 \quad 45.52$
$\begin{array}{lll}42.51 & 48.05 & 45.28\end{array}$
$41.55 \quad 48.84 \quad 45.19$
$39.98 \quad 50.24 \quad 45.11$
$44.48 \quad 45.30 \quad 44.89$
$46.96 \quad 42.35 \quad 44.66$
50.4037 .8244 .11
$\begin{array}{llll}43.17 & 44.38 & 43.78\end{array}$
$42.05 \quad 44.80 \quad 43.43$
47.93 38.10 43.11
$\begin{array}{lll}44.94 & 40.60 & 42.81\end{array}$
$40.08 \quad 44.87 \quad 42.48$ $43.37 \quad 40.71 \quad 42.04$
$42.6640 .99 \quad 41.82$
$40.49 \quad 41.64 \quad 41.06$
$31.78 \quad 49.90 \quad 40.84$
$\begin{array}{llll}39.83 & 34.30 & 37.06\end{array}$
$40.79 \quad 30.31 \quad 35.55$

## CAN-AM 2002

## UNE COULEUR SOLIDE?

Allan Coyne jouait dans le tournoi par paires open samedi après-midi quand il reçut la main suivante:

## Don: O Vul: N-S

- 97643

ค AV 5
$\diamond A 743$
\& D

| Ouest | Nord | Est | Sud <br> Coyne |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Passe | 12 | $1 \diamond$ | 14 |
| Passe | $3 \&$ | $3 \diamond$ | $3 S A$ |

Quand son partenaire a étalé le mort suivant :
$\& A D$
$\diamond 106$
$\diamond$ D 5
$\&$ ARV 10863

Coyne s'est exclamé: " Quelle couleur minable, partenaire. II te manque le 9 . " remarque qui allait avérer prophétique.

Voici toute la donne:

$$
\text { AD }
$$

$\diamond 106$
$\diamond$ D 5
$\&$ ARV 10863

| - V95 | N | - R 102 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -D987 |  | -R432 |
| $\diamond 8$ | O E | $\diamond$ RV 10962 |
| \& 97542 | S | - - |
|  | - 87643 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AV 5 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A 743 |  |
|  | \% D |  |

L'entame: 8 de carreau.
Comme vous pouvez le constater Coyne avait, 10 levées: 7 à trèfle, et les 3 as. Cependant il jouait en tournoi par paires, il lui incombait de faire le maximum possible de levées. Il s'est dit que, comme Est avait enchéri deux fois tout seul et n'avait pas fait de barrage tout de suite, il devait avoir quelque chose dans sa main outre ses 6 carreaux au RV 109 - peut-être le roi de pique ou le roi et la dame de coeur. En tout cas il assumait que, s'il gardait ses fourchettes intactes, Est aurait des difficultés à défausser sur les trèfles et devrait probablement lui concéder une levée supplémentaire (la dame de pique?) à la fin.

Cela dit, il a joué sa dame de trèfle prise de l'as et a appris la mauvaise nouvelle. Les trèfles étaient 5-0 et il devait donc con-
céder un trèfle pour affranchir sa couleur. Désormais il n'avait plus que 9 levées tandis que les autres en feraient au moins 10 . Ceci lui vaudrait un zéro sur la feuille ambulante.

Ouest a rejoué un cœur et, après pris de l'as, Coyne a fait l'unique chose qu'il pouvait pour essayer de rattraper le champ : il a essayé l'impasse à pique et a chuté son contrat quand elle a échoué. Voilà une malheureuse issue pour un vrai joueur de tournoi par paires, qui avait dix levées de tête.

## It pays to look ahead

Two former WBF Daily Bulletin editors had one of those I rare matchpoint gems in the regional afternoon session. Henry Francis, editor from 1977 to 1998, and his wife Dorthy, his assistant for many years in the Seventies and Eighties, compiled a $77.98 \%$ game.

Dorthy saw the problem on this deal and found the answer. It's a fine illustration of how important it is to make a plan before playing the cards.

| I |  |  | 94 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | 10752 |  |
|  |  |  | KJ 94 |  |
| I |  |  | K 85 |  |
| I | -1065 |  | N | ( AQJ873 |
| I | -K83 |  | ${ }^{N}$ | $\bigcirc 9$ |
| I | $\diamond$ A 732 |  |  | $\checkmark$ Q 8 |
| I | 996 |  | S | \& A Q J 7 |
| I |  |  | K 2 |  |
| I |  |  | AQJ 84 |  |
| I |  |  | 1065 |  |
| I |  |  | -1042 |  |
| I | West | North | East | South |
| 1 | Henry |  | Dorthy |  |
| 1 | Pass | Pass | 14 | 2 |
| I | 2. | 38 | 4 | All Pass |

South cashed the heart ace on opening lead and continued with the heart queen to dummy's king as Dorthy pitched | a diamond. She led the spade ten and carefully followed with | the seven, losing to the king. South shifted back to hearts, and Dorthy ruffed with the eight, carefully preserving the three.

Now Dorthy was ready. She cashed the ace of spades, | crossed to dummy with the ace of diamonds and took a sucI cessful club finesse. Her early care paid off handsomely here - she was able to get back to dummy by leading the spade

I three to the six so that she could take a second club finesse.
| Then when she cashed the club ace, the suit broke, and she took the I3th club for her IIth trick and a tie for top on the board.

We've heard it said that those who can't play edit daily bulletins. Dorthy's play provides a good retort to such a statement. (Silly us for thinking it was so the rest would have a chance. Editors)

## CAN-AM 2002 RESULTS

## SAT/SAM PM PAIRES CONTINUES

72 Pairs


LES PAIRES B/C PAIRS
62 Pairs

|  | B | C |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.62 | I |  | Marthe Bouchard, Montreal PQ; Louise |
|  |  |  | Bouchard, Sainte-Sophie PQ 383.08 |
| 8.72 | 2 |  | Donna Snyder - Mitchell Snyder, |
|  |  |  | Earlington PA 381.50 |
| 3.78 |  | 1 | Carol Rothenberg, Rye Brook NY; Carol |
|  |  |  | Cohen, New York NY 326.79 |
| 2.84 |  | 2 | Stanley Pearson, Saint-Laurent PQ; Steve |
|  |  |  | Merovitz, 318.50 |

PRES. CONT.\#3 - SAMEDI SOIR
54 Pairs

|  | A | B | C |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.61 | I | I | I | Korkut Ergun - Sakir Sarimsakci, |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Istanbul TK |  |  |  |  |  |

PAIRES A - FLIGHT A PAIRS
30 Pairs

19.601 Yves Bonny, Montreal PQ; | Jacky Destoc, FR |
| :--- | :--- |$\quad 389.50$

14.702 Charles Assouline, Montreal PQ; Benjamin
11.033 Alan Schwartz, Montreal PQ; Paul Janicki,

Alan Schwartz, Montreal PQ; Paul Janicki,
Markham ON
8.274 Normand Houle, Montreal PQ;

Mary Vickers,Apex NC 342.50
6.205 Elaine Duff, Willowdale ON; Dan McCaw, Toronto ON 337
4.656 Joyce Sillins - Jonathan Greenspan,

New York NY 336.50

## COMBIEN DE POINTSY A-TIL DANS CETTE DONNE???

Cette donne me parvient de Robert Tranquille qui l'a jouée dans le Suisse vendredi soir.

Voici la main de Robert qui était en 4ème position:

- AV 82

คAR7653
$\diamond A 4$
\& $A$
et voici les enchères qu'il a entendues :

| Sud | Ouest | Nord | Est |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Robert |
| 14 | Passe | ISA ${ }^{(1)}$ | Contre |
| 2 | $3 \checkmark$ | 3s | 498 |
| 4 | 5 | Passe | 6 |
| 61 | Contre! | Passe | Passe (??!!!! |
| Passe |  |  |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ forcing
Êtes-vous sûr que tout le monde joue avec le même jeu de cartes? Une fois revenu de son choc, Robert s'est appliqué à défendre la main. Son partenaire a entamé le 2 de trèfle(????) que Robert a pris de l'as et voici le mort qu'il a vu:


Maintenant essayons donc, avec Robert, de reconstituer les autres mains!

Combien de piques a le déclarant? Au moins 6. Pourquoi a-til parlé trois fois jusqu'au niveau de 6? Il ne peut pas avoir plus de 7 piques puisque le mort en a 2 et Robert en a 4. Il doit avoir quelque chose d'autre d'intéressant dans sa main pour continuer à enchérir. Peut-être a-t-il une main bicolore?

Maintenant regardons l'entame du partenaire de Robert. II a nommé carreau seul jusqu'au niveau de 5 . Il doit en avoir au moins 7 et probablement 8 . Malgré le fait que Robert a nommé cœur et lui-même carreau, il a entamé du 2 de trèfle!!! Pourquoi? C'est sans doute un singleton. Mais Robert est également un singleton! Le déclarant en a donc 6 et probablement juste 6 piques, puisque l'entame a été d'un singleton pour une coupe. L'entameur doit donc détenir un pique. Ce qui donne au déclarant une seule carte rouge! Maintenant Robert a défendu comme s'il pouvait voir toutes les mains.

Il a joué l'as de cœur suivi du roi que le déclarant a coupé. Le déclarant a essayé de tirer les atouts et a perdu complètement le contrôle de la main. - 1700 en match par quatre!

Croyez-le ou non, le contrat a été identique à l'autre table mais le déclarant a fait un peu plus attention et n'a chuté que de 1100 pour un beau petit gain pour l'équipe de Robert.

