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# Power Rosenblum and McConnell Get Underway 

On the day when the two major team championships started the top performance came in the Power Rosenblum where the French team led by Frank Multon scored three maximums to be the only team in either contest with a $100 \%$ record.

It will come as no surprise that the next best record in the Power Rosenblum belongs to Italy's team Lavazza, who have scored 7 IVP.

In the McConnell, where only two matches have been completed the English squad headed by Dhondy dropped only two points in going to the top of their group.

## You can say who is best

The Daily Bulletin staff are doing a short survey to get the opinion of the players at the World Championships as to who they believe to be the best men and women players. Look for the surveys around the hotel and take a couple of minutes to give your opinion. The survey is anonymous, so you can vote for yourself. You return your surveys to the Daily Bulletin office and see the results of your votes next week.

## WBF CONGRESS MEETING <br> Wednesday, 2 Ist August 2002

The WBF Congress meeting will be held on Wednesday, 21st August 2002 in the Saint François salon on the ground floor of the Hotel Fairmont Queen Elizabeth.

Delegates are cordially invited to join President José Damiani for a light breakfast at 9.00 a.m. prior to the start of the meeting at 9.30 a.m.

All NBOs represented here in Montreal are urged to send a delegate to this meeting - players, directors or other federation members can be delegates for their NBO if no official delegate is able to be present.

## POWER ROSENBLUM CUP

(Round-Robin Standings after 3 rounds)

| CROUA |  |  | CRA |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Pollack | USA | 59.00 |
| 2 | Burgay | POL | 59.00 |
| 3 | Lambardi | ARG | 57.00 |
| 4 | Noble | AUS | 57.00 |
| 5 | Delmouly | FRA | 48.00 |
| 6 | Byrne | ENG | 47.00 |
| 7 | Pagani | ITA | 41.00 |
| 8 | Lipkin | USA | 33.00 |
| 9 | Lahaie | CAN 24.00 |  |
| I0 | Heron | CAN 24.00 |  |


|  | CROUP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Munawar | INA | 63.00 |
| 2 | Hardeman | BEL | 52.00 |
| 3 | Taciuc | ROM | 51.00 |
| 4 | Panahpour | ENG | 50.00 |
| 5 | Cornell | NZL | 50.00 |
| 6 | Rubin | USA | 43.00 |
| 7 | Dechelettte | FRA | 42.00 |
| 8 | Chagnon | CAN | 38.00 |
| 9 | Cadi Tazi | MOR | 37.00 |
| 10 | Lachance | CAN | 19.00 |


| CROU |  |  |  |  | CR |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Multon | FRA | 75.00 |  |  |
| 2 | Woolsey | USA | 56.00 |  |  |
| 3 | Mathieu | GUA | 54.00 |  |  |
| 4 | Engel | BEL | 53.00 |  |  |
| 5 | Prokhorov | RUS | 46.00 |  |  |
| 6 | Ruia | IND | 42.00 |  |  |
| 7 | Goldsmith | USA | 39.00 |  |  |
| 8 | Blond | CAN 27.00 |  |  |  |
| 9 | Spengler | SWI 27.00 |  |  |  |
| I0 | Paulsson | CAN 24.00 |  |  |  |


| CROUP F |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Chemla | FRA | 67.00 |
| 2 | Kirubakara | IND | 57.00 |
| 3 | Naftali | ISR | 55.00 |
| 4 | Bandler | USA | 49.00 |
| 5 | Stakgold | USA | 46.00 |
| 6 | Freed | USA | 41.00 |
| 7 | Hobeika | FRA | 39.00 |
| 8 | Germon | MAR | 35.00 |
| 9 | Wells | CAN | 31.00 |
| 10 | Boyer | REU | 29.00 |


| CROU |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Lavazza | ITA | 71.00 |
| 2 | O'Rourke | USA | 65.00 |
| 3 | Muller | NED | 58.00 |
| 4 | Roche | CAN | 53.00 |
| 5 | Petersson | SWE | 47.00 |
| 6 | Colchamiro | USA | 41.00 |
| 7 | Carrera | COL | 34.00 |
| 8 | Dhondy | ENG | 31.00 |
| 9 | Societe Gener. FRA | 21.00 |  |
| IO | Lazer | AUS | 19.00 |


|  | CROUP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Miyakuni | JPN | 63.00 |
| 2 | Forrester | ENG | 62.00 |
| 3 | Zimmermann | FRA | 58.00 |
| 4 | Dalal | IND | 57.00 |
| 5 | Retek | CAN | 46.00 |
| 6 | Kutner | SWI | 38.00 |
| 7 | Ganzer | USA | 36.00 |
| 8 | Pagé | CAN | 32.00 |
| 9 | Pasquini | VEN | 30.00 |
| 10 | Samy | FRA | 21.00 |


| CROU |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Blumenthal | FRA | 65.00 |
| 2 | Gromov | RUS | 57.00 |
| 3 | Schwartz | USA | 54.00 |
| 4 | El Fassi | MOR | 50.00 |
| 5 | Courtney | ENG | 49.00 |
| 6 | Fraser | CAN | 45.00 |
| 7 | Kujirai | CAN 40.00 |  |
| 8 | Lungu | ROM | 35.00 |
| 9 | Prabhu | USA | 35.00 |
| I0 | Bernazzani | VEN | 13.00 |


| CROU |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Ceutsch | USA | 63.00 |
| 2 | Monachan | ENG | 57.00 |
| 3 | Dupuis | FRA | 56.00 |
| 4 | van Prooijen | NED | 56.00 |
| 5 | Bouveresse | GUA | 55.00 |
| 6 | Castong | CAN | 39.00 |
| 7 | Mondon | REU | 37.00 |
| 8 | Moazzemhuss | BAN | 33.00 |
| 9 | Chartrand | CAN | 23.00 |
| I0 | Thibault | CAN | 19.00 |


|  | CROUP M |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Morath | SWE | 64.00 |
| 2 | Jacobs | USA | 61.00 |
| 3 | Angelini | ITA | 57.00 |
| 4 | Barrett | USA | 56.00 |
| 5 | Fergani | CAN | 47.00 |
| 6 | Castellani | ITA | 45.00 |
| 7 | Grue | USA | 44.00 |
| 8 | Louchart | FRA | 36.00 |
| 9 | Yeung | HKG | 25.00 |
| 10 | Green | CAN | 12.00 |


| CROU |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Kowalski | POL | 67.00 |
| 2 | Smith | USA | 63.00 |
| 3 | El Ahmady | EGY | 55.00 |
| 4 | lohnson | USA | 54.75 |
| 5 | Wenning | GER | 49.00 |
| 6 | Yamada | JPN | 48.00 |
| 7 | Marcinski | CAN | 36.00 |
| 8 | Carruthers | CAN | 32.25 |
| 9 | DeLestre | FRA | 22.00 |
| IO | Castanho | BRA | 11.00 |


|  | CROUP K |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Welland | USA | 58.00 |
| 2 | Bizon | POL | 57.50 |
| 3 | Li | CHN | 57.00 |
| 4 | Abram | NED | 54.00 |
| 5 | Friedman | CAN | 53.00 |
| 6 | Voldoire | FRA | 47.25 |
| 7 | Poddar | IND | 45.00 |
| 8 | Dohnert | VEN | 22.00 |
| 9 | Hicks | CAN | 20.25 |
| 10 | Peel | USA | 20.00 |


| CROUR |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Cayne | USA | 63.00 |
| 2 | Zorlu | TUR | 61.00 |
| 3 | Silver | CAN | 56.00 |
| 4 | Hackett | ENG | 50.00 |
| 5 | Rigal | USA | 47.00 |
| 6 | Willis | CAN 47.00 |  |
| 7 | Shugart | USA | 42.00 |
| 8 | Rao | IND | 33.00 |
| 9 | Hammeed | BHR 27.00 |  |
| IO | Ren | CHN 21.00 |  |


| CROU |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Lindqvist | SWE | 63.00 |
| 2 | Del Monte | AUS | 62.00 |
| 3 | Cassar | FRA | 53.00 |
| 4 | Higashiguchi | JPN | 53.00 |
| 5 | Tudor | USA | 51.00 |
| 6 | Morse | USA | 50.00 |
| 7 | Nunn | CAN | 38.00 |
| 8 | Birman | ISR | 32.00 |
| 9 | Dalvi | IND | 26.00 |
| I0 | Diamond | USA | 13.00 |


| CROU |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Nickell | USA | 66.00 |
| 2 | Herbst | ISR | 61.50 |
| 3 | Czerniewski | ENG | 55.00 |
| 4 | Zen Wei Pen | HKG | 55.00 |
| 5 | Attanasio | ITA | 53.00 |
| 6 | Cuenca | FRA | 42.50 |
| 7 | Gartaganis | CAN | 40.00 |
| 8 | Fave | FRA | 30.00 |
| 9 | Lula | TRA | 25.00 |
| I0 | Fong | SNG | 17.00 |

## McCONNELL CUP

(Round-Robin Standings after 2 rounds)

| CROUP Q |  |  |  | GROUP R |  |  |  | CROUP S |  |  |  | CROUP T |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Baker | USA | 44.00 |  | Dhondy | ENG | 48.00 |  | Terraneo | AUT | 43.00 |  | Radin | USA | 43.00 |
| 2 | Wei-Sender | USA | 42.00 | 2 | Vriend | NED | 40.00 | 2 | Pigeaud | FRA | 40.00 | 2 | Gordon | CAN | 41.00 |
| 3 | Bessis | FRA | 39.00 | 3 | Mancuso | USA | 36.00 | 3 | Letizia | USA | 36.00 | 3 | Tornay | USA | 41.00 |
| 4 | Sutherlin | USA | 39.00 | 4 | Nishida | JPN | 34.00 | 4 | Arrigoni | ITA | 36.00 | 4 | Auken | GER | 38.50 |
| 5 | Zhang | CHN | 36.00 | 5 | Klar | USA | 31.00 | 5 | Sanborn | USA | 35.00 | 5 | Casen | USA | 35.00 |
| 6 | Faivre | FRA | 21.00 | 6 | Lewis | USA | 26.00 | 6 | Adachi | CAN | 25.00 | 6 | Curetti | FRA | 24.00 |
| 7 | Ichihashi | JPN | 19.00 | 7 | Compton | USA | 26.00 | 7 | Daryanani | VEN | 24.00 | 7 | Clement | FRA | 21.50 |
| 8 | Grenside | AUS | 17.00 | 8 | Kerlero | FRA | 25.00 | 8 | Naito | JPN | 19.00 | 8 | Taktak | MOR | 19.00 |
| 9 | Lacroix | CAN | 15.00 | 9 | Klein | REU | 7.00 | 9 | Clifford | USA | 17.00 | 9 | Nakakawaji | JPN | 7.00 |

## GIVE UP TO WIN

## PO Sundelin

Joanna Stansby executed what may prove the best played hand of this tournament. Here's her story:

Mixed 2nd qualifying session
Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

- 92
$\bigcirc$ A 3
$\diamond$ K QJ 1092
* J 86
- AQ 1054
$\bigcirc 8$
$\diamond$ A 7653
- A 3

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lew |  | Joanna |  |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | 19 |
| 2 | 24 | Pass | 34 |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | 4 | Pass | Pass |

Dble

The defence started with two rounds of hearts. "I ruffed" says Joanna. "I could count eight tricks in trumps and the minors, so I needed to get a couple of ruffs in dummy and/or a squeeze. West must have had some reason for his double, and since it wasn't aces it had to be foul breaks. I couldn't afford having my diamond ace ruffed so I just exited with a small diamond. East triumphantly continued the suit but I let her hold the trick, and then there was nothing they could do. I actually needed only one ruff since there was an automatic double squeeze where no one could hold clubs, so even if they return a trump after the first diamond I still make." 590 was worth 399 matchpoints out of 414 .

It seems that a club return at trick 4 and 6 may make life more difficult for declarer as it breaks up the squeeze. "Not at all", says Joanna. " West's best defence is to throw clubs on the diamonds, which will produce the following ending:"

"I will now ruff a club high, and play a small diamond. West must ruff or else I take the rest on crossruffs. But I overruff, draw the trumps ending in dummy to enjoy the established club as my tenth trick."

## World Wide Coverage

Monday's Bill Gates Press Conference attracted the attention of the World's media, giving Bridge tremendous exposure. Before our reporter had run out of money he had purchased copies of Le Devoir, The Ottawa Sun, The Globe \& Mail, La Presse, le Journal au Montreal, National Post and Ottawa Citizen, all of which carried detailed reports.
It was almost impossible to turn on the radio or television without immediately hearing or seeing long extracts.
Under the headline Bill Gates v The Computer, CBS ran a leading article on www.cbsnews.com
So too did the leading Dutch newspaper De Telegraaf at: www.krant.telegraaf.nI
We suspect by the time you read this the story will have gone all around the world.

## In the Lead

Entering the second final session of the Mixed Pairs,Americans Becky Rogers and Jeff Meckstroth held a slim lead over Judi Radin and Zia Mahmood, also playing under the stars and stripes.

While both pairs avoided collapse, neither had the kind of monster set they would have liked to set them apart from their competitors. Both pairs were, however, still in contention with a session to play.

Rogers and Meckstroth started well against JoAnna and Lew Stansby, bidding a slam that, had the defense gotten off to the best opening lead, would have required some card reading by Meckstroth.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

© A 3

- KJ 92
$\diamond A$ Q 103
\& K Q 5

\& K 109864
ค 543
$\diamond 52$
0 14


Becky Rogers
West
Meckstroth
18
28
38
68

## North

Pass
Pass
Pass
Pass
All Pass
${ }^{(1)}$ Described as a completely random game try.

Lew Stansby led a passive trump, and Meckstroth was claiming shortly after drawing trumps and losing the diamond finesse. Even a spade lead would have not defeated the contract so long as Meckstroth took the right view in clubs.

That was a good board, but the next one was not.
Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.


| West | North | East <br> Rogers <br> Meckstroth | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | Dass | INT |
|  |  | All Pass |  |

Rogers meant her double to show one minor or both majors, but they had not discussed what they were doing over a weak INT, which the Stansbys employ. Meckstroth, with a pretty good hand, was happy to sit for the double.

The opening lead of the $\diamond 7$ went to the 4,5 and 9 , and JoAnna played a club to dummy's 10 . Rogers won the queen and returned a diamond. Meckstroth ducked, won the club continuation and cashed his diamonds upon getting in with the \&A. Declarer had her seven tricks, however, and a fine score of plus 180.

Not long after that, a light opener by Rogers landed her in a game with no legitimate play.

|  | ard 10. Dea | East. All |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -1076 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{KJ}$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 75$ |  |  |
|  | * Q 9 |  |  |
| - 5 | N |  | - KJ8 4 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 108 |  |  | คA9752 |
| $\checkmark$ AK 8 |  |  | $\diamond$ Q 6 |
| \& K 106542 | 2 S |  | \& J 3 |
|  | - A Q |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 43$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 10 | 432 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{A}$ |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Meckstroth |  | Rogers |  |
|  |  | 18 | Pass |
| 2080 | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| $3{ }^{(1)}$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |

${ }^{(1)}$ Splinter
The East-West hands were ill fitting, but Rogers made the most of a bad situation. South led the $\diamond$, won in hand. Rogers played the to South's ace and won the diamond continuation in dummy. She took the right view in trumps, running the 8, but there was just too much work to do. As badly as the cards lay, Rogers did well to hold the contract to down one. In fact, when the board was scored she had tied a player who was one level lower in hearts. On the next round, Meckstroth played skillfully to get the maximum number of tricks for his side in a notrump game.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.


West

| Meckstroth |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass |
| 2e | Pass |
| 2\& | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |

North led a low heart to the 5 , queen and 6. The heart return went to the jack, king and ace, and Meckstroth continued with the top spades from his hand, followed by the $\diamond 9$ to dummy's queen. When he cashed the $\mathbf{~ K}$ and got the bad news in that suit (North discarding the 84 ), Meckstroth continued with the Q to the king and ace. He cashed the $\diamond K$, noting the fall of the jack, then followed with the $\mathcal{J}$ and 810 . Meckstroth had all the information he needed (North was 2-3 in the majors, increasing the odds that he was $4-4$ in the minors; with a five-card minor, North might have led the suit). Meckstroth played a diamond to dummy's 8 , conceding his spade loser at the end for a excellent plus 660.

Board 21 was significant for the top two pairs at the end of the second final session: Babette Hugon-Jean-Jacques Palau of France (No. I) and Rogers-Meckstroth.

Board 2I. Dealer North. N/S Vul.


For Palau, West, this deal was the first he and Hugon played. He landed in doubled, presumably after a club preempt by North. The K went to the ace, and Palau ruffed a club, following with the top two diamonds from hand and a diamond ruff. On the third round of clubs from dummy, if South discards her $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$, West must go down. She would be sure of three trump tricks and a heart.

South was reluctant, however, to establish the diamond in declarer's hand by pitching the queen, so she let go a heart. Palau then ruffed his last diamond in dummy, ruffed a club to hand and exited with his singleton heart. Declarer won and played another heart. Palau ruffed with his $\$ 8$ (his ninth trick) and, holding the - K J 9, could get out of his hand with any spade, confident that South was going to have to give him trick No. 10 forthwith. That was plus 590 and a great start to the day.

Meckstroth and Rogers played the deal against Sabine and Jens Auken, and Meckstroth also profited from a slip by a defender.

| West | North | East <br> Meckstroth | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rogers | $3 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | Pass |
| All Pass | Pass | Pass | Dble |

North started with the e K , taken by Meckstroth with the ace. He played a spade to his 9 and exited with the $\vee 8$ to South's 10 . She cashed the $\mathbf{~ A}$ to remove dummy's last trump and was still on the way to down one when she played the $\odot A$. Meckstroth ruffed, cashed the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and put South in with the $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$.

Sabine Auken had to play up to dummy, but she could still have
defeated the contract with a low heart return. Meckstroth could have discarded one losing diamond on the $\vee \mathrm{K}$, but he would still have a diamond trick to lose. South, apparently hoping partner had a diamond honor, exited in that suit, allowing Meckstroth to run it to dummy's jack. The other diamond loser went on the 8 K and he, too, had plus 590.

Another aggressive bid by Rogers worked out badly for her side as she landed in another no-play game. This time, however, she didn't get out for down one.

Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

|  | - Q 83 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKJ5 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 10984 |  |  |
|  | \& 10 |  |  |
| - AK96 | N |  | -105 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 864 |  |  | 810973 |
| $\checkmark 7$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ AJ 5 |
| 2 AKQ 5 | S |  | *) 963 |
| - J 742 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 2$ |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q 632 |  |  |  |
| \& 8742 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Meckstroth | Rogers |  |  |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 180 | Dble | 18 | Pass |
| 4 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |

The contract can always be defeated two tricks if South starts with her singleton trump, but she started with a low spade. Rogers could have managed down one: A, spade ruff, club to dummy, spade ruff, then play on clubs or hearts. North makes four trump tricks but that's all. Rogers didn't enter the Mixed Pairs to settle for down one, and North didn't have to be so well-heeled in hearts, in which case she might have made her contract.

Accordingly, she won the spade lead in dummy, played a diamond to the ace, ruffed a diamond, played a club to her hand and ruffed her last diamond. She then played a low heart away from the now-doubleton $\triangleleft$ Q. North won the jack, cashed the top two trumps and punched Rogers' last trump with a diamond. He was able to ruff the second round of clubs and cash a diamond winner for plus 200.

## Sonicwall - Our firewalls protect whilst Bill Gates plays! - Sonicwall (www.sonicwall.com) sponsor of firewalls to the WBF.



## Tigress Bridge

Zia and Judi Radin were lying fourth going into the last session of the Mixed Pairs final and still very much in con-- tention for the title. Canada's Rhoda Habert and Joey Silver were not in contention, but they dealt a serious blow to their opponents' chances on this deal.

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- 196532
$\bigcirc 2$
$\diamond 1098$
- J 64

| $\& K 8$ |
| :--- |
| $\vee$ QJ 974 |
| $\diamond$ J2 |
| A 822 |



- 1074
-A653
$\diamond A 74$
\& Q 105
- AQ
© K 108
$\diamond$ K Q 653
\& 97

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Silver | Zia | Habert | Radin |
| INT | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| $2 \varnothing$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | 24 | $3 \varnothing$ | Pass |
| Pass | 34 | Dble | All Pass |

Joey opened an off-centre weak no trump and when that came around to Judi Radin she doubled for penalty. Joey decided to run from the double and bid his long suit, which saw Judi double again. Zia was happy to bid his long spade suit and to compete in the suit when Rhoda went 3 V . Now the tigress pounced with a sharp double. She led a trump and Zia went up with the ace and returned the
QQ to Joey's king. He switched to the queen of hearts to Rhoda's ace. Now, what is the winning defence at this point?

With the 9 K established for a discard and, eventually, the diamonds to produce all the side-tricks declarer needs, it is necessary to get two defensive club tricks before declarer can get to dummy.

Rhoda switched to the queen of clubs to the king and ace and Joey returned a club. Zia had to run that to the ten and Rhoda cashed the ace of diamonds for down one and the magic +200 on a partscore deal. Note that a low club switch would not have been good enough. Declarer plays low from dummy and the best West can do is to put in the eight - winning leaves a quick entry to dummy for the king of hearts - but now the club suit is frozen and the defence has no answer.

## The FORCE

by Wolf Stahl

The FORCE was with me on the last round of the Mixed Pairs Final. People were handing me impossible contracts any way I needed them.

For example, on Board 5 I got an unfavorable lead against my 3NT contract which, if continued, would cut me off from my hand and virtually required me to solve $\$ 95$ on table with $\$ \mathrm{Al} 07643$ in my hand for only I loser! So I played the nine from the table, hoping for the male player on my left to cover and bring about an ho-nour-crash. He didn't and the female on my right won the trick with the king. She continued the attack on my communications, leaving me in an awkward spot. For all I knew the spades could be 4-I with the male now still having QJ8, giving me three spade losers. He would be playing the jack when I led the five from dummy. As an alternative I tried a little ploy, playing the $\vee \mathrm{J}$ from hand, having J7 opposite AK982 in dummy. The lady player didn't cover having Q1053...Bravo!

So without much confidence I reverted to my original "plan", if you can call it that. I took the $\vee A$ and led the $\$ 5$ from dummy. Sure enough, West played the jack and I had to take the ace. But East contributed the queen from KQ doubleton originally!! I claimed for 12 tricks and 178 out of 180 MPs.

The full deal:


On Board I I picked up:
\& AJ
$\bigcirc$ K Q J 9
$\diamond$ KJ 9

- A953

I opened $I \oslash$, showing four-plus hearts. The lady on my left jumped to $3 \boldsymbol{3}$ - weak - and the bidding came round to me again. I bid 3 NT and after the 2 Q-lead dummy appeared with:

- 643
$\bigcirc$ A 5
$\diamond 1087542$
- J 4

After East contributed the 8 I had to take the ace for fear of a spade switch. Now what? The obvious way is to play the $\diamond$ K hoping to pin the singleton queen on the left. If that didn't work I
would be two or three down. I checked the bidding and the number of points we had and saw that for once we were in a normal contract. So I had to go down less than the other declarers. I thought playing the diamonds to be two-two with the ace onside would be the better chance. So l led the $\vee \mathrm{J}$ registering the signals. They seemed to be consistent with the pre-emptress to have a doubleton in that suit, giving her a 3-2-2-6-shape, if West didn't have a singleton diamond. I played the second heart round and now led the $\diamond 7$ (!) from dummy. My intention was to get West thinking in case he held AQ6 or AQ3 in that suit. He would have to believe that I was using my only entry to dummy to finesse twice against his queen. In that case he had to take the ace immediately. After much thought he played the ace, I unblocked the jack and now East played her singleton queen!! Amazed at my fortune I claimed for II tricks and I50 MPs.

The full deal:
Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.


KJ 9

- A953

| - KQ9752 | N | - 108 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 1064$ |  | $\bigcirc 8732$ |
| $\diamond$ A 63 | W E | $\checkmark$ Q |
| 98 | S | \& K Q 10762 |
|  | - 643 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 5 |  |
|  | $\diamond 1087542$ |  |
|  | - 14 |  |

Waking up at night I realized what West had been thinking. With his holding of $\diamond A 63$ he was seeing all the small cards of that suit, so there was no real bridge-reason for the $\diamond 7$ from dummy. He had also registered the even count of his partner in hearts, thus attributing me with six of that suit. Together with two side aces and my alleged singleton $\diamond$ K I would have nine tricks.

I'm still trying to work out why West played the jack on Board 5 , however. I got a little clue in that he blamed his partner for the catastrophe, saying she should have played the queen from KQ...

Probably much of the FORCE in bridge, as in life, is to gratefully and gracefully accept the chances LADY LUCK is offering. And I suppose it is within the nature of man to blame especially the female partner in the Mixed when the FORCE isn't with him. I know I did so notably in the first round of the final. Sorry, Ingrid J.

## SENIOR TEAMS

Any Senior Players looking to make up a team for the Seniors Teams, please contact Nissan Rand, Room 1276 in the Queen Elizabeth Hotel.

## EQUIPES SENIORS

Les joueurs seniors qui chercheraient des partenaires pour former une équipe pour jouer le championnat senior doivent contacter Nissan Rand chambre 1276 Hôtel Queen Elisabeth.

## Plate Transnational Mixed Pairs

The pairs who were unlucky enough not to qualify for the final are playing a different set of boards, and while the main interest naturally centers on the race for the World title there have been several interesting deals.

A more than decent grand slam was defeated by bad breaks or was it?

| Dealer East. Love All |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢ A 107 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q J 82 |
|  | ¢K 863 |
| ¢ Q 32 | N |
| ¢ J 10984 | W E $\quad \vee \mathrm{K} 532$ |
| $\diamond 75$ | W E $\quad \diamond$ K 10943 |
| 9 72 | S \& Q 1094 |
| ¢ K J 98654 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 76 |
|  | $\diamond 6$ |
|  | $\% A J$ |

Alan \& Dorothy Truscott were one of the pairs who bid to 74 - well done indeed, but when West led a heart declarer naturally won and cashed the ace of spades intending to ruff two hearts in the dummy.

Another declarer, missing that line, cashed the king of spades at trick two. When the bad break came to light a diamond to the ace was followed by a diamond ruff, a spade to the ten and the queen of diamonds. East's king was a happy sight, but West's queen of spades appeared on the same trick and the contract was down. If declarer takes the ruffing finesse at trick four and then relies on the club finesse the grand slam will be home - but we doubt anyone would play that way?


This deal contained an instructive defensive point, missed by almost the entire field.

A fairly common auction went like this:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | 20 | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 2 | 2. | Pass |
| Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

East leads a spade and West is in with the ace. Is it really so difficult to play the king of clubs? Or a spade? Or the ace of diamonds? Those West's who played a trump were soon conceding -620.

This deal was a similar story, where the vast majority let a game through:

Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

```
Q Q J
\odotQ IO 5
\diamond 3
&KJIO8632
```

- 73
$\bigcirc$ AKJ4
$\diamond$ K Q J 82
\& A 5

- 94

898763
$\diamond 1097$

- Q 97
- AK 108652
$\bigcirc 2$
$\diamond A 654$
\& 4


## The 8th Red Sea Bridge Festival



The 8th Red Sea Bridge Festival will be held at the Royal Beach International Conference Centre, Eilat, Israel, from November 10th to 17th 2002.
The programme includes Open Pairs, Teams, IMP Pairs, and a heat of the National Simultaneous
Pairs. There is also an Invitational Masters Tournament.
Total prize Money is in excess of US\$10,000.
There is a range of attractive hotel packages available.
For information and registration:
David Birman, 50 Pinkas Street, Tel Aviv, Israel
Tel: 97236058355 , Fax: 97235465582
Email: birmand@inter.net.il

| West | North | East |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Dble | All Pass |  |

West can get away with leading a top heart followed by a trump, but for my money the trump lead stands out a mile.

We'll finish with an amusing story that featured a special piece of play by declarer:

DealerNorth. E/W Vul.

```
@ }
\vee864
\DeltaAKQ 8 2
&1087
```

- AK 102
$\bigcirc$ AJ 9
$\diamond 103$
K Q 92
$W^{N} \quad \mathrm{E}$
- Q 43
- KQ 75
$\diamond 7654$
\& AJ
- 1965

ค 1032
$\diamond$ J 9

* 6543

| West | North <br> Marcoux | East | South <br> Marcoux |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass! | $1 \diamond!!$ |  |
| Dble | $\mathbf{3} \diamond$ | Dble | Pass |
| $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ | All Pass |  |  |

North naturally started with three top diamonds, South discarding a club, and West was forced to ruff. Declarer, Canada's Bernard Marcoux, a former Bols winner, knew that South had psyched, and he carefully cashed three clubs and three hearts, ending in dummy before playing the last heart. South, with nothing but trumps was forced to ruff, so declarer scored the ten of spades, ruffed a club with the queen of spades and took the last two tricks with the top spades for a rather good score.


## Schedule of Events

Subject to Confirmation

## (Today)

McConnell Cup

Round 3b,
10.15-II.15

Round 4,
12.50-14.20, I4.30-16.00

Round 5,
16.50-18.20, I8.30-20.00

Power Rosenblum Cup
Round 4,
10.15-II.15, II.25-I2.40

Round 5,
14.00-I5.I5, I5.25-16.40

Round 6,
17.20-18.35, 18.40-20.00

## (Tommorrow)

McConnell Cup
Round 6,
I0.00-II.30, II.40-I3.10
Round 7,
14.30-16.00,16.10-17.40

Power Rosenblum Cup
Round 7,
I0.00-II.I5,II.25-I2.40
Round 8,
14.00-15.I5, I5.25-16.40

Round 9,
I7.20-I8.35,18.40-20.00

## SCHEDULE OF CAN-AM 2002

Wednesday August 21

| Bracketed KO\#2 | 3rd Session |
| :--- | ---: |
| Stratiflighted Pairs | Ist Session |
| Ladies Swiss | Ist Session |
| Open Swiss | Ist Session |
| Continuous Pairs\#2 | 5th Session |
| Bracketed KO\#2 | 4th Session |
| Stratiflighted Pairs | 2nd Session |
| Ladies Swiss | 2nd Session |
| Open Swiss | 2nd Session |
| Continuous Pairs\#2 | 6th Session |
| Horizontal Swiss | Ist Session |

## $B G$ smothers $B G$

by Brian Glubok

When Brian Glubok faced Bill Gates in the first session of the Mixed Pairs qualifying, I fully expected something mystical to happen.After all, Bill and I share more than our initials. Both of us have played with Fred Gitelman, both were educated in Massachusetts in the Seventies (I studied at Amherst while Bill was launching Microsoft at Harvard) and we both have an entrepreneurial streak (l have a paper route in lower Manhattan while Bill is founder-chairman of the world's largest corporation).

On the first deal of our set, Bill made all 13 tricks in a vulnerable heart slam. This slightly spectacular result was surpassed on the second deal, where Gates declared $2 \boxtimes$.

Board 22. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

|  | - 73 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 4 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A Q 1032 |  |  |
|  | \& Q 1085 |  |  |
| - J 1094 | N |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ 7 |  |  | 93 |
| $\checkmark 984$ |  | E |  |
| - 192 | S A7643 |  |  |
|  | - Q 8652 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 108652$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 5 |  |  |
|  | 2 K |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Gates | Osberg |  |  |
|  |  | INT | 20 ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| Pass | 2 | All Pass |  |

## ${ }^{(1)}$ Majors.

My partner, Jane Thompson of Canada, led her top two spades. She then cashed the A and shifted to a diamond. Gates won and played diamond winners and the Q , discarding two spades from dummy. Along the way, he ruffed a club in dummy, leading to this five-card ending:


Gates ruffed the 10 in dummy, both opponents following. He then led a trump from the South hand. I rose with the ace and played a spade for Jane to ruff, which she did, with the $\oslash K$. This was the two-card ending.


Jane had to return a club and I was skewered in the extremely rare ending known as a smother play. Suddenly, my "certain" trump trick evaporated.

All in all, it was indeed a mystical round: a vulnerable slam occurs on perhaps one in a hundred deals - rare but not unheard of. The position that occurred in the second deal, by contrast, is so rare that bridge player might play an entire lifetime without seeing it.A smother play, it is fair to say, is as rare as a Seattle street without a Starbucks.


Bill Gates

## The winning edge

It's usually good strategy to be aggressive at matchpoints. A better strategy is to combine aggression with skill at card play. The ultimate, of course, is to be aggressive, skillful and .....lucky.

Becky Rogers and Jeff Meckstroth, winners of the Mixed Pairs, didn't have much to work with in the second final session, in which they scored only about $54 \%$ to lie second, nearly two percentage points out of first.

The Americans - Rogers lives in Las Vegas, Meckstroth in Tampa FL - mounted a charge in the third final session, scoring just a whisker less than $70 \%$ to overtake France's Babette Hugon and Jean Jacques Palau, who earned the silver medal, just ahead of Sabine and Jens Auken, who also had a huge game in the third final session.

On this deal, Rogers showed the skillful part of the winning formula against one of the best mixed pairs from the U.S., Lisa and David Berkowitz.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

- J 1042

Q Q 764
$\diamond$ A 4
1053

- A 63
$\triangleright$ KJ 109
$\diamond$ K 63
\& Q 86

, K Q
- A 853
$\diamond$ Q 852
\& K J 9

West<br>David

Pass

North
Meckstroth

Pass
East
Lisa
Pass
Pass

## South

Rogers
INT

David Berkowitz led the $\vee$ J, ducked all around. He continued with the $\vee I 0$, on which Lisa discarded the encouraging $\%$. Rogers won the $\varangle A$ and played the $₫ \mathrm{Q}$, ducked by David. He also ducked when Rogers played the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$. She then played a heart from hand, taken by David with the king, followed by a low club to Lisa's ace. Lisa returned the $\diamond$ J, which went around to dummy's ace. Rogers followed with the $\$$ to David's ace, and he got out of his hand with a heart to dummy's queen. This was the position as Rogers cashed the 10 :


Rogers pitched her low diamond and David threw his low club. Rogers, reading the layout accurately, played a club to the king, dropping David's queen for eight tricks. Note that if Berkowitz had stiffed his $\forall K$ instead of the $\Leftrightarrow Q$, Rogers could have played a diamond, forcing David to lead from his 2 Q at the end for the same result. Plus 120 was worth 155 out of 180 matchpoints.

The following deal illustrates how close to the edge one must stroll to come home with loads of matchpoints.

Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- Q 105
$\bigcirc$ J 2
$\diamond$ A 10876
\& 732
$\otimes 962$
$\otimes A 965$
$\diamond 42$
$\& A 654$


AJ 87
$\bigcirc$ KQ 73
$\diamond$ QJ 5
\& 108

- K 43

○ 1084
$\diamond K 93$
\& K Q 9

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Meckstroth |  | Rogers |
|  |  | $1 \diamond^{(1)}$ | Dble |
| 18 | INT | $2{ }^{(2)}$ | Pass |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 2NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

(1) Precision.
(2) Four-card support.

Rogers' vulnerable takeout double on a 4-3-3-3 hand with II high-card points might be a bit much for some players, but it had the virtue of most likely making Meckstroth declarer. After West's I $\vee$ bid, Meckstroth had no qualms about bidding INT on his doubleton $\vee \mathrm{J}$, and he was right there with 24 on his three-card suit when 28 came back to him.

Rogers, of course, knew Meckstroth could not have more than three spades, and she thought better of letting even a superb declarer such as Meckstroth play a 3-3 fit.

Obviously, had the opponents just stopped to double, they would have earned most of the matchpoints. That is not clear, of course. As it was, it was North-South who made off like bandits.

The defenders cashed the first four heart tricks, as Meckstroth pitched a spade from dummy and two clubs from hand. East then made the serious error of cashing the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$, followed by another spade.All Meckstroth had to do from there was knock out the sA for his seventh trick. Since East-West have an easy plus 140 in $2 \rrbracket$, minus 100 was another 155 MPs for the winners.

On this deal, more aggressive bidding paid off with a big number for the winners.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

|  | - A 53 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 7 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KJ 83 |  |
|  | \& Q 976 |  |
| - 942 | N | ¢ K 1076 |
| Q Q 532 | $W^{\text {N }}$ | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |
| $\diamond 109$ | W E | $\diamond$ Q 76542 |
| * A 1054 | S | \& KJ |
|  | - Q J 8 |  |
|  | ¢J109864 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A |  |
|  | -832 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2 |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | Pass |
| 2NT ${ }^{(1)}$ | Pass | 38 | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | 3 | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Lebensohl: relay to 3 to indicate a weak hand.
Rogers was going to take eight or nine tricks in $2 \checkmark$ - and EastWest should have let well enough alone. It's annoying, however, to feel as though someone is stealing from you, which East may have believed in making her own aggressive move with a takeout dou-
ble on that moth-eaten collection of cards. The layout didn't have to be that bad for East-West, although East must surely have known it was possible.

Meckstroth was happy to apply the ax and the result was plus 500 on a board where plus 110 or 140 was their maximum. That score earned the winners 152 matchpoints.

## Own Goal

In yesterdays bulletin the hand featuring Jan Jansma and Jovi Smederevac - He Shoots He Scores - saw a vital tab being omitted., making Jan West rather than North! We are sure we didn't really need to tell you this as Jan would never have bid Two Clubs on the West cards.

Baron Barclay All the latest books software \& gifts

Queen Elizabeth Hotel
Open before and after each session

09.30-10.00
$12.30-14.00$
16.00-17.30
20.00-21.00


## Hilton Hotel

II.30-13.00
18.30-19.30
23.00-24.00


## Meckstroth dethroned

Yesterday's account of the Mixed Pairs winners contained a note that Jeff Meckstroth has now won world championships in four different events, an impressive feat.

There is a player in Montreal who can go Meckstroth one better. It is Bobby Wolff, who has won the Bermuda Bowl, Olympiad (1988), Open Pairs (1974) --and the World Mixed Teams (1972) and the World Senior Teams (2000).

## What a start

The first set of the Power Rosenblum and everyone is looking for a good start. This was the first significant swing deal of the match between England's KAUFMANN and GRAVESEN of Denmark.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.
$\stackrel{Q}{2}$
$\vee K 7$
$\diamond J 3$
$\&$ AJ 1065432


I never actually saw this auction before. The Danish North opened with a natural 4\% pre-empt and his partner raised to game. Peter Kaufmann made an aggressive take-out double but, from his point of view, this was unlikely to come to too much harm as he held decent defence with two aces and his partner would only bid at this level if he had plenty of distribution and thought he could make something. What business has the pre-

## Birds of a Feather

When Argentina's Pablo Lambardi arrived in Montreal he did not have a partner for the Mixed Pairs Championship. Luckily, he managed to get fixed up shortly before the start of play with Padma Daryanani, representing Venezuela, but the first session was played with very little system and even with Pablo being unsure of his partner's name. However, at dinner that evening they got talking and Pablo kept being struck by how many experiences they seemed to have in common, things that had happened to both of them at different times in their lives. He asked, 'Excuse me, but do you happen to have a birthday in the last few days in November? The reply was, 'Yes, the 25th'.
They do say that Birds of a Feather Flock Together, but it is quite a coincidence to meet a strange partner from another country like this and find that you have the same birthday, as November 25th is also when Pablo was born.
emptor got in redoubling? After all, at this vulnerability the $5 \%$ raise could have been made on $K \times x x$ and out. Well, the redouble caught the English pair well and truly as South had just what was needed to make eleven tricks for a very nice +800 .

At the other table Dick Shek opened a Precision 28 as North and he and Gus Calderwood chalked up +460 in 3NT, only to lose 8 IMPs.

Kaufmann and Rosen got their revenge a few boards later when they bid smoothly to a grand slam on this next deal.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.
Q QJ 763

- 105
$\diamond$ J 109
- J 52

| - AK 3 | N | 4 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AK 92 |  | Q Q 764 |
| $\diamond 6542$ | W E | $\diamond$ AK 7 |
| * K 10 | S | \& A Q 843 |
|  | -10984 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 183$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 83 |  |
|  | 2976 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kaufmann |  | Rosen |  |
| INT | Pass | 20 | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| 4980 | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 5\% | Pass | 78 | All Pass |

One NO Trump was 15-17 and 2\% Stayman. When Neil Rosen found a heart fit he splintered and the 4e cuebid was just what he wanted to hear, filling in his side suit as it did. He used RKCB and, on discovering three key cards, bid the grand. There were no problems in the play so that was +2210 .

In the other room the Danes had a mix-up and stopped in $6 \%+1$ for 13 IMPs to KAUFMANN, who led by 36 IMPs at the half-way stage of the match.


## CAN-AM 2002

## Hoping for the worst

By Gary Mugford, Brampton ON

It's not often that declarer hopes for a 5-0 trump break, but it happened Monday night in the Can-Am Stratified Open Pairs.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

- A9632
-KJ5
$\diamond 1086$
\& 63

| $\qquad$ QJ |
| :--- |
| $\vee 108764$ |
| $\diamond$ AQ 54 |
| 19 |

K 10754
$\stackrel{\diamond}{\diamond} \quad-\quad$
2 Q 10542

- 8
© A Q 932
$\diamond J 97$
\& AK 87

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | $1 / 8$ |
| Pass | 28 | 24 | 38 |

I stretched the law of total tricks be competing to $3 \checkmark$ after my partner, Danny Loannidis, also of Brampton, had made a three-card raise of my opener. East's 24 bid prompted West to end the auction with a double.

Fearing that East had 5-I-5-2 shape, I nevertheless hoped hearts were 5-0. I won the opening spade lead in dummy and cashed two high clubs, playing a third round. West discarded a spade as dummy ruffed low. A spade was ruffed with the $\vee Q$ and the fourth club was ruffed.

I then cashed the $\vee \mathrm{K}$, which confirmed the "bad" trump split. I got off dummy with a diamond, waiting for West to have to ruff in and play into my heart tenace. Making $3 \checkmark$ doubled was a near top.

## Duck and Sluff

Current World Seniors Teams champion, Gene Freed brought us this hand from the Can-Am Regional.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- J 7
$\bigcirc$ K 104
$\diamond$ QJ 84
\& K 942


98
QQJ9632
$\checkmark A 2$
A A 85

- K 106532
- A 85
$\checkmark 107$
\& 103

| West | North <br> Erickson | East | South <br> Freed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | $1 /$ | 14 |
| Dble | INT | $2 \vee$ | 2 |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Nels Erickson led the jack of spades, which was allowed to hold the trick. He continued with a second spade to the ten and queen and declarer played the queen of clubs. When that held the trick declarer switched his attention to diamonds, playing three rounds. North returned a low club to the ten and jack and now declarer led a heart to the queen and Freed ducked! A second heart was played to North's ten and Erickson led the 99 to dummy's ace. On this trick Freed pitched the ace of hearts! Down to nothing but hearts, dummy had to play a heart and Erickson won the king and cashed the K and $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$ for two down and an excellent score for North/South. It isn't often that you get the opportunity to duck an ace only to discard it two tricks later.


## CAN-AM 2002

| DIMANCHE MATIN - SUNDAY MORNING PAIRS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I2 Pairs |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A | B | C |  |  |
| 2.43 | I |  | William Blake, Lake Charles LA; <br> John Potter, Panama City FL | 56.00 |  |
| 2.12 | 2 | I | Clarke Hopkins - Paul Cherry, <br> Toronto ON | 54.00 |  |
| 1.59 | 3 | 2 | Preston Carlisle, Ogdensburg NY; <br> Marke Antonsen, Mapleridge BC | 52.00 |  |
| 1.23 | 3 |  | Carol Netchvolodoff, Washington DC; | 51.50 |  |
| I.68 | I |  | Linda Logan,Thornhill ON <br> Charles Primeau, Montreal PQ; David <br> Dow, Kingston ON | 49.50 |  |

DIMANCHE PM - SUNDAY AFT PAIRS
64 Pairs

|  | A | B | C |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6.37 | I |  | Alain Brisebois, Montreal PQ; <br> Isabelle Brisebois, Ottawa ON | 146.50 |
| 4.78 | 2 |  | Celia Anshell - Chana Schecter, <br> Cote Saint-Luc | 140.50 |
| 3.58 | 3 |  | Lily - Maud, Egypt <br> Clarke Hopkins - Paul Cherry, | 134.50 |
| 5.16 | 4 | I | 132.00 |  |
| 3.08 | 3 | I | Toronto ON <br> Alain Schad - Michel Picard, <br> Montreal PQ | 126.50 |

DIMANCHE SOIR - SUNDAY EVE PAIRS
60 Pairs


CONTINUOUS PAIRS I - OVERALL
287 Players
10.05 I/2
10.05 1/2

Helen Hausmann, Englewood N
I28.22\%
8.23 3/4

Werner Hausmann, Englewood NJ
I28.22\%
8.23 3/4

Normand Rheault, Trois-Rivieres PQ
125.80\%

Antoine Georges, N Berges NJ I25.80\%
DIMANCHE PM - SUNDAY AFT PAIRS
64 Pairs
A B C
6.37 I Alain Brisebois, Montreal PQ; Isabelle Brisebois, Ottawa ON
146.50
5.164 I Clarke Hopkins - Paul Cherry, Toronto ON
132.00
3.083 I Alain Schad - Michel Picard, Montreal PQ
126.50

## SUNDAY FLIGHT "A" SWISS

15 Teams
16.10 I

Jonathan Steinberg, Toronto ON; Bert Eccles, Montreal PQ; Boris Baran, Cote Saint-Luc PQ; John Duquette, Oshawa ON 121.00
12.082 Philip Gordon, Las Vegas NV; Kevin Bathurst,

Thousand Oaks CA; lan Boyd, Calgary AB;
Daniel Doston, Dartmouth NS; Steven
Lariviere, Toronto ON; Daniel Lavee,
Thornhill ON
97.00

Henri Barki, Westmount PQ; Hayk Bosnakyan,
Montreal PQ; Sarko Kassabian, Dol-des-Ormea
PQ; Roger Dunn, Brossard PQ; Jean
Castonguay, Lery PQ
95.00

Yucheng Ding, Chaoyang, Beijin CP; Zijian
Shao, Shanghai CP; Xiaodong Qiao, Calgary
AB; Mark Han, San Frsncisco CA; Zhiqiang
Ren, Beijing CP;Yongling Dong,CP 93.00


CONT.PRS I OF 5 SESSION
NORTH-SOUTH
A B C
I William Blake, Lake Charles LA; John Potter, Panama City FL 56.00
I Carol Netchvolodoff, Sanfacon, Charl. PQ Logan, Thornhill ON 51.50
EAST-WEST
I I Clarke Hopkins - Paul Cherry, Toronto 54.00 Serge Gregoire - Helene Washington DC; Linda
48.00

DIMANCHE PM - SUNDAY AFT ONLY SESSION
NORTH-SOUTH
A B C
I Celia Anshell - Chana Schecter, Cote Saint-Luc PQ
140.50

2 I Clarke Hopkins - Paul Cherry, Toronto 132.00
32 I Dan Chocron, Cote Saint-Luc PQ; Steve
Messier, Montreal PQ
125.00

## EAST-WEST

I I I Jocelyne Parent, Saint-Hippolyte PQ; Isabelle Charest,
124.50

222 Yves Gervais - Suzanne Leveille, Montreal PQ
33 Sheldon Zimmerman -
Brandon Zimmerman, Cote
NORTH-SOUTH
A B C
I Helene Beaulieu -
Patrice Roy, Sherbrooke PQ
131.50

2 I Ginette Berube, Rosemere PQ; Benoit etu, Repentigny PQ
123.00



Daily Bulletin Staff

