# Defenders hold Group A lead; Sweden, Israel ahead in Women's 

## Open Olympiad

France led Group A after 16 matches, but Indonesia had a big day and shot up to second place. France actually lost two matches - to Indonesia 9-2I and to Ireland I2-18, but they also had two strong wins. Indonesia won all four matches, picking up 83 of a possible 100 Victory Points. The Indonesians are now only 8.5 points behind France.

Only a single VP further back was surprising New Zealand, who also won all four of their matches yesterday. Poland, Spain, South Africa and Japan all are within a single match of the leader. Pakistan, even without Zia Mahmood, are doing very well, standing eighth and definitely within reach of the crucial fourth place.

Italy also suffered two defeats - to Netherlands 8-22 and to Russia 14-16 -- but they are still out in front in Group B, although by only I. 5 VP. Meanwhile Israel continued their strong drive, picking up 78 VPs while winning all four matches to close in on front-running Italy. Chinese Taipei won three and lost a close one to place third, half a match behind Israel. Another 8.5 VPs back is Iceland.

Russia, certainly not one of the pre-tournament favorites, are very much in the running in fifth place. Norway began a strong comeback by winning 8 I VPs yesterday to climb to sixth place, followed by India.

## Women's Olympiad

Israel and Sweden are the leaders in Groups B and A respectively after 10 matches. The only team in the top four in Group B to win both matches yesterday was Italy, now in fourth place. Austria are second and the United States are third.

It was a tough day for the Americans - they had to play two of the leaders. They defeated Israel I713 but fell victim to Austria 8-22.

Israel have opened a 15 -point lead on Austria, and the Americans are another 4VPs back. Italy, Canada, France, Poland and India still are very much in the thick of the competition for qualifying berths in the quarterfinals. Canada had an especially good day yesterday, earning 45 of a possible 50 VPs for the day.

Sweden had two strong wins in Group A, but they picked up only 2 VPs on runnerup South Africa, which scored a blitz and tied their other match. Sweden now has a 5 VP lead. Germany, winners of the Venice Cup in China last year, are close behind, with China closing in fast to take fourth place. Mexico, Denmark, Great Britain, the Netherlands and Spain all are within less than a match of each other.

## General observations

The vugraph auditorium was standing room only last night as Ron Andersen, Edgar Kaplan and Barry Rigal continued their outstanding performance.

For the first time, the women are going to be on vugraph today. The fourth vugraph presentation of the day will feature the United States and Italy, standing third and fourth respectively in Group B.

The standings are beginning to make some sense now that the teams have played 16 matches in the Open and 10 in the Women's. The advantage of playing a series of weaker teams has slowly disappeared.

## RRAMNMRMEG

| $N$ OPEN OPEN OPEN QPEN OPEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OPEN OPEN OP |  |  | PPEN OPEN | OPEN |
|  | PEEN OPEN OP |  |  | PEN OPEN | OPEN |
|  | OPEN OPEN OP |  |  | OPEN OPEN | OPEN |
| A |  |  | B |  |  |
|  | FRANCE | 323 |  | ITALY | 325 |
| 1 | INDONESIA | 314 |  | ISRAEL | 323 |
|  | NEW ZEALAND | 313 |  | CHINESE TAIPEI | I 311 |
| 3 | POLAND | 309 |  | ICELAND | 303 |
| 5 | SPAIN | 305 |  | RUSSIA | 295 |
| 6 | SOUTH AFRICA | 300 |  | NORWAY | 294 |
| 7 | JAPAN | 298 |  | 7 INDIA | 292 |
| 8 | PAKISTAN | 288 |  | AUSTRALIA | 281 |
| 9 | DENMARK | 280 |  | GREAT BRITAIN | 280 |
| 10 | CANADA | 268 | 10 | TURKEY | 279 |
| 11 | BELGIUM | 263 |  | NETHERLANDS | 276 |
| 12 | ARGENTINA | 256 | 12 | BRAZIL | 274 |
| 13 | SWEDEN | 254 | 13 | USA | 267 |
| 14 | IRELAND | 245 | 14 | YUGOSLAVIA | 263 |
| 15 | CHINA | 240 | 15 | FINLAND | 257 |
| 16 | CROATIA | 240 | 16 | HUNGARY | 246 |
| 17 | AUSTRIA | 239 | 17 | MONACO | 241 |
| 18 | CZECH REPUBLIC | 236 | 18 | PORTUGAL | 240 |
| 19 | LEBANON | 235 | 19 | SLOVENIA | 226 |
| 20 | CHILE | 232 | 20 | HONGKONG | 226 |
| 21 | ROMANIA | 228 | 21 | GREECE | 225 |
| 22 | LITHUANIA | 226 | 22 | VENEZUELA | 225 |
| 23 | GERMANY | 224 | 23 | ESTONIA | 222 |
| 24 | MOROCCO | 223 | 24 | GUADELOUPE | 218 |
| 25 | LUXEMBOURG | 219 | 25 | SWITZERLAND | 217 |
| 26 | BANGLADESH | 213 | 26 | LATVIA | 214 |
| 27 | SAN MARINO | 213 | 27 | EGYPT | 213 |
| 28 | UKRAINE | 212 | 28 | TUNISIA | 182 |
| 29 | PHILIPPINES | 210 | 29 | MAURITIUS | 180 |
| 30 | SINGAPORE | 207 | 30 | JORDAN | 177 |
| 31 | COLOMBIA | 194 |  | MEXICO | 175 |
| 32 | MALAYSIA | 170 |  | THAILAND | 172 |
| 33 | LIECHTENSTEIN | 151 | 33 | BULGARIA | 158 |
| 34 | BERMUDA | 145 |  | FRENCH POLYNES | S. 153 |
| 35 | PALESTINE | 62 |  | KENYA | 145 |
|  |  |  |  | CYPRUS | 134 |


| Round 13 |  |  |  | Round 14 |  |  |  | Round 15 |  |  |  | Round 16 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INDONESIA | LUXEMBOURG | 22-8 | 43/13 | FRANCE | INDONESIA | 9.21 | 13/39 | BERMUDA | PALESTINE | 25-3 | 73/18 | SOUTHAFRICA | IRELAND | 23.7 | 66/31 |
| POLAND | CROATIA | $13-17$ | $16 / 24$ | BANGLADESH | CANADA | 8.22 | 33162 | JAPAN | AUSTRIA | 15-15 | 35/35 | CZECH REPUBLIC | GERMANY | 15.15 | 44/43 |
| SINGAPORE | CHILE | 14.16 | 41/44 | ROMANIA | LUXEMBOURG | 17-13 | 46/38 | LIECHTENSTEIN | MOROCCO | 21.9 | 43/16 | MALAYSIA | SINGAPORE | 22-8 | 43/14 |
| CZECH REPUBLIC | FRANCE | $9-21$ | $32 / 59$ | PALESTINE | ARGENTINA | 0.25 | 12185 | LITHUANIA | UKRAINE | $6-24$ | $20 / 57$ | PALESTINE | POLAND | 4.25 | 11/61 |
| PHILIPPINES | UKRAINE | 15-15 | $44 / 46$ | BERMUDA | MALAYSIA | 17-13 | 42/32 | IRELAND | FRANCE | 18.12 | 21/8 | ROMANIA | NEW ZEALAND | 12.18 | 17/32 |
| LIECHTENSTEIN | GERMANY | 15-15 | 30/30 | GERMANY | SWEDEN | $8-22$ | 25/53 | INDONESIA | CHILE | 17.13 | $34 / 26$ | CANADA | CROATIA | 12.18 | 20/34 |
| MALAYSIA | JAPAN | $8-22$ | $34 / 63$ | DENMARK | PHILIPINES | 18.12 | 43/28 | LEBANON | CROATIA | 12.18 | 32145 | CHILE | SAN MARINO | 16.14 | 45/38 |
| SPAIN | PALESTINE | 20-10 | 53/33 | PAKISTAN | CZECH REPUBLIC | 20.10 | 61/38 | NEW ZEALAND | COLOMBIA | 21.9 | $66 / 42$ | FRANCE | BANGLADESH | 25-3 | 76/21 |
| AUSTRIA | ROMANIA | 15-15 | $27 / 27$ | SOUTH AFRICA | POLAND | 23-7 | 54/20 | POLAND | CHINA | 23.7 | 54/19 | UKRAINE | LUXEMBOURG | 15-15 | 34/32 |
| CANADA | MOROCCO | $16-14$ | 37/30 | CHINA | NEW ZEALAND | 11.19 | 31/47 | SINGAPORE | SOUTHAFRICA | 14.16 | 32/38 | ARGENTINA | LIECHTENSTEIN | 14.16 | 24/29 |
| SAN MARINO | LITHUANIA | 15-15 | 27/29 | CROATIA | COLOMBIA | 17-13 | 48/38 | PHILIPPINES | PAKISTAN | 10.20 | $7 / 29$ | JAPAN | BERMUDA | 20.10 | 41/19 |
| IRELAND | BANGLADESH | 11.19 | $36 / 52$ | CHILE | LEBANON | 21.9 | 41/16 | GERMANY | DENMARK | 6.24 | $27 / 166$ | SWEDEN | SPAIN | 21.9 | 37/12 |
| NEW ZEALAND | BELGIUM | 24.6 | 55/18 | BELGIUM | SAN MARINO | 23-7 | 53/17 | MALAYSIA | SWEDEN | 6.24 | $12 / 49$ | AUSTRIA | DENMARK | 12.18 | 35/50 |
| ARGENTINA | LEBANON | $10-20$ | 16/37 | UKRAINE | IRELAND | 6.24 | $10 / 49$ | SPAIN | BELGIUM | 22-8 | 4019 | PAKISTAN | MOROCCO | 15-15 | $18 / 20$ |
| COLOMBIA | BERMUDA | 17.13 | $26 / 18$ | LITHUANIA | LIECHTENSTEIN | 14.16 | 40145 | ARGENTINA | ROMANIA | 12.18 | $25 / 40$ | BELGIUM | PHILIPPINES | 25-4 | 61/11 |
| SWEDEN | CHINA | 12.18 | 22/36 | MOROCCO | JAPAN | 18.12 | 32/20 | LUXEMBOURG | CANADA | 4.25 | $19 / 68$ | CHINA | INDONESIA | $7-23$ | 8/42 |
| DENMARK | SOUTH AFRICA | 25-5 | 63/21 | AUSTRIA | SPAIN | $13-17$ | 33141 | SAN MARINO | BANGLADESH | 14.16 | 30/34 | COLOMBIA | LEBANON | $10-20$ | $26 / 49$ |
| PAKIITAN | Bye | 18 |  | SINGAPORE | Bye | 18 |  | CZECH REPUBLIC | Bye | 18 |  | LITHUANIA | Bye | 18 |  |
| ITALY | RUSSIA | 14.16 | 27/31 | NETHERLANDS | Italy | 22-8 | 58/30 | THAILAND | SWITZERLAND | 15-15 | 15/16 | GREAT BRITAIN | HONG KONG | 15-15 | 39/38 |
| FINLAND | GREECE | 18.12 | 41/28 | ESTONIA | SLOVENIA | 25-5 | 66/21 | LATVIA | JORDAN | 10-20 | $32 / 54$ | HUNGARY | KENYA | 21.9 | 54/29 |
| MAURITIUS | ISRAEL | 1-25 | 12779 | FRENCH POLYNES. | RUSSIA | 4.25 | 38185 | MEXICO | USA | 7.23 | $15 / 49$ | NORWAY | MAURITIUS | 15-15 | 39/37 |
| HUNGARY | NETHERLANDS | 15-15 | 37/37 | SWITZERLAND | BRAZIL | 21.9 | 47/20 | CYPRUS | BULGARIA | $10-20$ | $29 / 49$ | SWITZERLAND | FINLAND | 3-25 | 2/55 |
| INDIA | BULGARIA | 15-15 | 23/21 | THAILAND | NORWAY | 1-25 | 23190 | HONG KONG | NETHERLANDS | 7.23 | $24 / 58$ | FRENCH POLYNES. | CHINESE TAIPEI | 5-25 | $14 / 60$ |
| MEXICO | KENYA | $10-20$ | 33/55 | KENYA | VENEZUELA | 7.23 | 33167 | ITALY | ISRAEL | 18.12 | $36 / 23$ | SLOVENIA | GREECE | 19.11 | $36 / 17$ |
| NORWAY | LATVIA | 25-1 | 78/13 | AUSTRALIA | INDIA | $6-24$ | $16 / 53$ | PORTUGAL | GREECE | 18.12 | 36/21 | ISRAEL | TURKEY | 16.14 | 32/25 |
| YUGOSLAVIA | SWITZERLAND | 17-13 | $37 / 26$ | MONACO | HUNGARY | $10-20$ | 45/67 | CHINESE TAIPEI | ICELAND | 19.11 | 35/16 | NETHERLANDS | ESTONIA | 25.4 | 71/20 |
| JORDAN | FRENCH POLYNES | 5-25 | $14 / 58$ | MAURITIUS | TUNISIA | 17-13 | 31/22 | FINLAND | GUADELOUPE | $13-17$ | 29/37 | BULGARIA | RUSSIA | $0-25$ | $1 / 82$ |
| SLOVENIA | USA | 17.13 | $34 / 24$ | GREAT BRITAIN | FINLAND | 17-13 | 59/49 | MAURITIUS | GREAT BRITAIN | 17-13 | $48 / 40$ | BRAZIL | MEXICO | 23-7 | 60/25 |
| TURKEY | CYPRUS | 25-2 | 7019 | GUADELOUPE | CHINESE TAIPEI | 11.19 | 22140 | HUNGARY | TUNISIA | 19.11 | $44 / 26$ | LATVIA | THALLAND | 14.16 | 45/48 |
| HONG KONG | ESTONIA | $10-20$ | 21/41 | GREECE | ICELAND | $9-21$ | 22147 | INDIA | MONACO | 14.16 | 36/39 | VENEZUELA | YUGOSLAVIA | 19.11 | 29/10 |
| CHINESE TAIPEI | EGYPT | $13-17$ | $18 / 29$ | ISRAEL | PORTUGAL | 25-4 | 61/10 | KENYA | AUSTRALIA | 13.17 | 39150 | JORDAN | AUSTRALIA | 12.18 | 17/29 |
| BRAZIL | PORTUGAL | 25-3 | 66/10 | EGYPT | TURKEY | 0.25 | 26/110 | NORWAY | VENEZUELA | 16-14 | 38/33 | MONACO | USA | 6.24 | 25/64 |
| ICELAND | THAILAND | 19.11 | 52/35 | BULGARIA | HONG KONG | 15-15 | 42143 | YUGOSLAVIA | EGYPT | 6.24 | 21/60 | TUNISIA | CYPRUS | 19.11 | 46/29 |
| VENEZUELA | GUADELOUPE | $10-20$ | 1/24 | CYPRUS | MEXICO | 13.17 | $48 / 56$ | BRAZIL | FRENCH POLYNES | .22-8 | 59/30 | EGYPT | INDIA | 12.18 | 36148 |
| AUSTRALIA | GREAT BRITAIN | 14.16 | 217 | USA | LATVIA | 20-10 | 52/29 | RUSSIA | SLOVENIA | 14.16 | $48 / 54$ | GUADELOUPE | ITALY | 13.17 | $29 / 40$ |
| TUNIISA | MONACO | 14.16 | 40143 | JORDAN | YUGOSLAVIA | 19.11 | 50/34 | TURKEY | ESTONIA | 21.9 | 51/25 | ICELAND | PORTUGAL | 16.14 | 42/35 |


|  |  | D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Round 9 |  |  |
| VENEZUELA | SPAIN | 12.18 | 54/68 |
| DENMARK | NETHERLANDS | 11.19 | 28146 |
| MOROCCO | GREAT BRITAIN | 9.21 | $24 / 55$ |
| HUNGARY | NEW ZEALAND | 19-11 | 51/33 |
| PHILIPPINES | SAN MARINO | $9-21$ | 31/59 |
| PAKISTAN | INDONESIA | 15-15 | 55/53 |
| SWEDEN | BRAZIL | 20-10 | 64/39 |
| MONACO | GREECE | 16.14 | 59/54 |
| CHINA | RUSSIA | 25.1 | 89/12 |
| SOUTH AFRICA | MEXICO | 15-15 | 39/37 |
| GERMANY | HONG KONG | 16-14 | 53/45 |
| THAILAND | COLOMBIA | 11.19 | $36 / 54$ |
| POLAND | AUSTRIA | 17.13 | 51/39 |
| BELGIUM | ITALY | 14.16 | 42145 |
| CHINESETAIPEI | JORDAN | 20.10 | 62/38 |
| JAPAN | CROATIA | 21.9 | 61/32 |
| MALAYSIA | TURKEY | 15-15 | 41/40 |
| FRANCE | FINLAND | 16-14 | 37/33 |
| ARGENTINA | INDIA | $10-20$ | $25 / 49$ |
| CANADA | JAMAICA | 24.6 | 71/27 |
| USA | ISRAEL | 17-13 | 45/35 |
| AUSTRALIA | Bye | 18 |  |




Facts: West led the $\diamond 4$, after which $3 N T$ by North made four, plus 430 for $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$. At the end of the play East called the Director, explaining that his partner (West) had made a wrong lead due to South's failure to Alert West as to the two-way meaning of North's 3NT bid (a strong balanced hand or solid spades). South denied any such agreement, and said that this was the first time that he had seen North hold this type of hand. Given the apparent lack of any N/S agreement that 3NT showed a solid suit, and the difficulty of West's finding the $\forall A$ lead, the Directors ruled that the table result would stand. The Appeal: E/W appealed. At the hearing E/W stated that on the N/E side of the screen North had described his 3NT bid as showing a solid six-card (or longer) spade suit, but West was not given this same information on the S/W side of the screen. Had West been told about this "gambling-bid" possibility, he might have chosen the attacking lead of the $\triangleleft \mathrm{A}$, after which 3 NT would have been set. West stated that he called the Director when he first saw the dummy because he had not been told about the systemic meaning of the 3NT bid.

The Committee's Decision: The committee determined that N/S had no agreement that 3NT in the present auction showed a solid suit. Rather, it was the judgment of the committee that North, in an effort to provide full-disclosure to the opponents, had inappropriately described his bid as showing what he actually held instead of (more properly) what his partnership's agreements were. The result at the table was allowed to stand, as the Directors ruled. North was admonished to refrain from offering gratuitous information to the opponents and to confine his explanations to descriptions of his systemic understandings. The E/W deposit was returned.

Committee: Edgar Kaplan, chairman;Robert Wolff, USA; Ernesto d'Orsi, Brazil; Joan Gerard, USA; Mazhar Jafri, Pakistan; Grattan Endicott, Great Britain; Barbara Nudelman, USA.
5 Olympiad Ladies Teams, Round Five;
Italy versus Turkey
Board 9. East/West Game. Dealer North.


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | 18 | 2. |
| 36 | Pass | 4\% | Pass |
| $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| 5\% ${ }^{(1)}$ | Pass | 6\% | All Pass |

${ }^{(1)}$ Described by N/S as slow.
Facts: 6 by West made six, plus 1370 for E/W. North summoned the Director when East bid 6\%, complaining that the tray had been on the S/W side of the screen for a long time before being returned following West's 5 bid. East stated that she believed that the tray had been on the S/W side of the screen only a "normal" length of time. After the play the Director determined that both West and South confirmed that West had taken a longer than normal amount of time before bidding $5 \boldsymbol{\%}$, West stating that she "had many problems with the bid." The Directors ruled that East's 5e bid could have been suggested by the unauthorized information from West's hesitation, and that pass was a logical alternative to $6 \%$. The contract was therefore adjusted for both pairs to 5 by West made six, plus 620 for E/W. The Appeal: E/W appealed the Directors' ruling. E/W testified that $4 \diamond$ had shown a diamond control (not necessarily the ace) and $4 \checkmark$ a heart control. East contended that West's $5 \%$ bid denied a spade control and that she bid 6 because she had the missing control. However, in response to committee members' questions the E/W team captain confirmed that West could have cuebid $4 \diamond$ holding the same hand but with $\diamond \mathrm{KQx}$ instead of $\diamond A K x$. It was also determined that 4NT by West over 4 would have been RKCB.

The Committee's Decision: The committee determined that West's break in tempo could have suggested East's $6{ }^{2}$ bid, and that pass was a logical alternative to $6 \%$. The contract was therefore adjusted for both pairs to 5 by West made six, plus 620 for $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$. Although there was some strong sentiment for retaining E/W's deposit, it was finally returned.

Committee: Edgar Kaplan, chairman; Richard Colker, USA; Steen Moeller, Denmark;William Pencharz, Great Britain; Ron Andersen, USA; and George Retek, Canada.

Olympiad Open Teams, Round Eight; Croatia versus Ukraine

Board 20. Game All. Dealer West.


All Pass
${ }^{(1)}$ Any two-suiter, five-five, II-I5 HCP.
${ }^{(2)}$ Explained as a signoff effort, pass or correct.
${ }^{(3)}$ Alerted by East and explained as pass or correct to 4\%
Facts: $5 \diamond$ by South went down one, minus 100 for N/S. The Director was called to the table and South stated that, had he been Alerted that East did not need to have spades for his 3 bid, he (South) would have bid only $4 \diamond$. West's failure to Alert 34 gave him the impression that West held hearts and clubs, hence his $5 \diamond$ bid. West stated that East was trying to sign off at all times, and that it was obvious in light of East's previous bidding that 31 was meant as pass or correct. The Directors ruled that South's $5 \diamond$ bid was made on his own bridge judgment, and that there had been no damage due to E/W's explanations or lack thereof. The table result was therefore allowed to stand.

The Appeal: N/S appealed the Directors' ruling. N/S stated that E/W came to the table with no convention cards, and when one was later retrieved N/S had to share it. N/S thus had no chance to prepare for E/W's 2 convention. South stated that North's double of 3 placed his heart values behind West's suit, but that East's 3s bid suggested at least a six-card suit behind North. Thus he bid $5 \diamond$. Had he known that 3 was pass or correct he would have bid only $4 \diamond$. West stated that he would then have bid 44, and North said he would have doubled that contract. When asked by the committee about the defense to 4 doubled North admitted that it could only be beaten double dummy. (A trump lead by North followed, after getting in with a heart, by one high club and a club ruff by South, with North retaining his club control. When asked about their carding methods N/S said that they played standard carding, thus making South's on the first round of that suit not clearly interpretable as a singleton.) East pointed out that he could have passed West's 24 opening with the long spade suit South claimed he thought he held, and that his $3 \%$ bid which was clearly explained to South as pass or correct and his later 31 bid made it clear that 3 was also intended as pass or correct.

The Committee's Decision: The committee agreed with the Directors that East's auction made it clear that 34 was pass or correct and not a long suit, that N/S were not damaged by E/W's explanations (or lack thereof), and that South was on his own when he bid $5 \diamond$. The table result was therefore allowed to stand. E/W were admonished for their failure to have two convention cards available promptly at the start of the match, and were informed by the Directors that any future failures would be met with disciplinary penalties. Although some sentiment was expressed for retaining $\mathrm{N} /$ S's deposit, it was returned.

Committee: Edgar Kaplan, chairman; Richard Colker, USA; Steen Moeller, Denmark;William Pencharz, Great Britain; Ron Andersen, USA; and George Retek, Canada.

# Colombia $v$ Canada 

Open Series (Round 8)

Fred Gitelman of Canada scored up a neat diamond game against Colombia in Round 8 of the Open.

Board 4. Game All. Dealer West.

- 92
© K J 82
$\diamond 107$
\& AK 972


David Moreinis felt he had told his story, so he passed over $5 \diamond$. Marcio Tawil's holdings in the majors weren't all that strong, so he decided to go for the set. Right he was - down one for minus 200 . This was the auction at the other table.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | $2 \&$ | $2 \&$ | $5 \diamond!$ |
| $5 \&$ | Dble | All Pass |  |

That $5 \triangleleft$ bid really did the Canadians in - who can
blame West for taking the push to 5¢? This also went down one trick for 200 to Colombia for a 9-IMP gain.

Colombia picked up another 12 IMPs on Board 6.
Board 6. East/West Game. Dealer East.

|  | ¢ K |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢QJ 94 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 986 |  |  |
|  | \& $A 742$ |  |  |
| -65 | N |  | - A 974 |
| - A 8632 | $w^{\text {N }}$ |  | $\bigcirc 7$ |
| $\checkmark$ J | W |  | $\diamond$ AQ 10543 |
| * J 10653 | $\mathbf{S}$ |  | * Q 9 |
|  | - QJ 10832 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K 105 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 72$ |  |  |
|  | 2 K 8 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Moreinis | Silver | Tawil | Kokish |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | 14. |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3NT |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Moreinis didn't have all that much, but he thought it was enough to give the Canadians grief. He also thought the $\rangle$ J might be an important card. Tawil obediently led a small diamond, and Silver took the king after some thought. He led his $\$ \mathrm{~K}$, and Tawil quickly took the ace and cashed out his diamonds. Then he switched to a heart, Colombia wound up with seven tricks - plus 500. At the other table the Colombians played and made a quiet 24.

## Indian rope trick

India v Great Britain<br>Open Series (Round 9)

J.M. Shah of India found an imaginative line of play to bring home a difficult notrump game against Great Britain on this deal from Round 9.

Board 6. East/West Game. Dealer East.

|  | - 7532 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q J 6 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 102$ |  |  |
|  | * Q 104 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \& K 864 \\ & \curvearrowright 109853 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | - A Q 9 |
|  | $w^{N}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A 4 |
| -863 | S |  | $\checkmark$ A Q 7 |
|  |  |  | * AJ 85 |
|  | - J 10 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 72$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 954 |  |  |
|  | * K 9763 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Vankataraman | Forrester | Shah | Robson |
|  |  | 2\% | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 28 | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 38 | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Shah won the opening lead with the queen and led the $\triangleleft$ J, ducked. Next came the $>4$, ducked to North. Another spade came back to the ace, and Shah cashed the $\vee A$ and led a spade to the king. He took the good $\$ 8$ and led a diamond to the 10 , queen and king. Next came a club, taken by North with the queen, and Forrester got out with a diamond to the ace. Shah put South in with the $\diamond 9$, and Robson was endplayed in clubs.

## An unusual 'cuebid'

An unusual "cuebid" on Board 16 of Round 10 would have averted a vulnerable game swing against Denmark in their match against Sweden.

Board 16. East/West Game. Dealer West.

## Nilsland

- A Q 4
- KQ 73
$\diamond$ QJIO 73
01

| Auken |  | Koch-Palmund |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 97532 |  | ¢ K 10 |
| $\bigcirc 98$ |  | $\bigcirc$ AJ 4 |
| $\diamond 2$ | W E | $\diamond$ AK 94 |
| 498532 | S | \& A Q 107 |

## Fallenius

- J 86

810652
$\diamond 865$
\& K 64

In the Closed Room, Sweden managed to make 3NT on the lead of the $\diamond 8$. In the Open Room, Nilsland opened 2s showing four hearts and a longer minor. Koch-Palmund doubled and Fallenius raised preemptively to $3 \nabla$. Koch-Palmund reopened with 3 NT . However,Auken reasonably doubted that Koch-Palmund had a source for nine tricks and he removed to 44. This failed by two tricks.

Had Auken made the unusual "cuebid" of 4 『, asking partner to choose between the black suits, the impregnable contract of 5 would have been reached.

The swing on this board contributed significantly to Sweden's 25-4 Victory Point margin.

## Italy v USA

Open Series (Round I2)
By Barry Rigal
Andrea Buratti and Massimo Lanzarotti are now firmly established in the Italian team. Their forte may be declarer play, but they were able to demonstrate some particularly effective defense against the United States in Round 12.

Board 18. North/South Game. Dealer East.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \& 52 \\
& \text { \&Q96 } \\
& \diamond \text { KJ542 } \\
& \& \text { Q } 10
\end{aligned}
$$

- Q 108
$\checkmark$ A 2
$\diamond 83$
\& AKJ 764

- K 97
- 1084
$\diamond$ A Q 7
29832
. A 643
VKJ753
$\diamond 1096$
- 5

Against Goldfein and Robbins, who arrived in 3NT by INT - 3NT, Buratti put his finger on the 86 . Lanzarotti won the king and returned the three (trying to indicate a $4-4$ split). Buratti of course unblocked the queen. Now declarer ran some clubs, but in the end he decided to believe the opponents and played a spade up rather than take the diamond finesse; down one.

Board 20. Game All. Dealer West.


What would you lead as North after $1 \diamond-3 \vee$ - Pass - Pass - 3NT?

Buratti led the 6 to the queen (yes, the queen) and ace. Goldfein can hardly be blamed for misreading the position. He took the ace and played a spade. Buratti rose with the ace perforce and, for want of anything better to do, returned a club. Now Lanzarotti produced the ten and that was two down.

Note that if Lanzarotti had played the ten at trick one, declarer could hardly go wrong - since it really never costs to duck.

## A world record?

By Patrick Jourdain
In Round I3 of the Open, the IMP score of the Great Britain-Australia match was Great Britain 7,Australia 2 (and two of Britain's points came from a substitute board).

Does anyone know of a lower match score (total IMPs) in a world championship match of 16 boards? Please let us know.

On the same 16 deals, the Philippines and Ukraine ended in a $15-15$ tie - but the IMP score was Ukraine 46, Philippines 44; 90 IMPs instead of 9 .

## A Nordic Disaster

By Nissan Rand
Iceland v Israel. Round 7 Open
Board 6. East/West Game. Dealer East.

- K 8
- 107
$\diamond$ K 765
\& K 10972
- AJ 104

Q Q J 3
$\diamond$ J 32

* A Q 4

| N | - 9765 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢K98 |
|  | $\checkmark 984$ |
| S | * 153 |
| - Q 32 |  |
| PA6542 |  |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 10 |  |
| 986 |  |

In the Closed Room, the Icelandic South opened I§ and it went double, redouble. East bid is and, when North competed with $2 \boldsymbol{2}$, West bid $2 \mathbf{4}$, ending the auction. The contract was defeated by two tricks as the defenders were able to take three diamonds, a heart, a club and two spades, including a heart ruff; 200 to Iceland.

In the Open Room, South again opened $I \boxtimes$ but the Icelandic West preferred a INT overcall to the double chosen in the other room. North doubled and West did as he was told when he redoubled after East's forcing pass. So that was the final contract, INT redoubled.

Ophir Herbst led 10 , which was won by dummy's jack and a spade led to the ten and king. Ophir switched to a low diamond to brother llan's queen. A club was returned to declarer's ace and hearts were played, Ilan winning the second round. And the defense was now in a position to take three clubs and three more diamonds for three down; +1600.

This major disaster provided the entire margin of Israel's 18 - 12 victory.
(As Nissan has promised us a whole series of 'disaster' articles, we are eagerly awaiting the backlash from the other teams' supporters entitled 'Israeli Disaster'. Eds).

## Unblock the aces

By Heinz Guthwert, Finland

The Finnish Open team started slowly, but on Tuesday morning the play improved with wins over Portugal, Mexico and Jordan. Then they had to meet Chagas and Co. from Brazil in Round 12. This was Board 17.

Board 17. Love All. Dealer North.

|  | - AJ 10532 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 7$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AJ 1092 |  |
|  | * A |  |
| Q K | N | - Q 986 |
| $\bigcirc$ QJ 62 |  | $\bigcirc 1043$ |
| $\checkmark$ K 8653 |  | $\diamond$ Q |
| - Q 32 | S | \& KJ986 |
|  | - 74 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK 985 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 74$ |  |
|  | 210754 |  |

In the Open Room the Brazilians rested in a partscore-2 making three. This was the bidding in the Closed Room:

| West | North <br> Kauko Koistinen | East | South <br> Juuri-Oja |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \$$ | Pass | INT |

A club was led to the four, queen and ace. How should you continue?

One way is to hope for a gentle trump distribution (3-2) and diamonds 4-2 with an honor doubleton in the East hand. This makes by playing the $\diamond 9$ or $\diamond 10$. Each way you will be able to either drop an honor or take a finesse in diamonds. East will get a small diamond ruff, but you will lose only one diamond and two spades.

Kauko Koistinen, however, had other thoughts - and how right he was. He cashed the $\diamond$ A followed by the
 trumps and a diamond. Maybe it's somewhat double dummy, but it was the road to success.

## Grand slam territory

Rashid Ghazi and Nishat Abedi of Pakistan had a good auction to get to a grand slam on Board 26 in Round 12.

| Board 26. Game All. Dealer East. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - A 97642 |  |  |  |
| ¢K 10843 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ - |  |  |  |
| \% K 8 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q Q } 3 \\ & >7652 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | ¢ 1085 |
|  |  | E | Q 9 |
| $\diamond 10863$ |  |  | $$ |
| 2 1064 | W |  |  |
| - KJ |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ K 972 |  |  |  |
| * A Q J 72 |  |  |  |
| West | North <br> Ghazi | East | South |
|  |  |  | Nishat |
|  |  | Pass | 120 |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | 2 - |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 38 | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 49 | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | $6\rangle$ (1) | Pass | 7\% |

All Pass
${ }^{(1)}$ Two key cards plus a void

A nicely controlled action to an excellent contract.
Nishat won the opening trump lead with the king, led to the $\vee A$, ruffed a diamond, led a spade to the king, drew two more rounds of trumps, cashed the 4 , ruffed a spade, then got back to the good spades with the 8 K .

Eric Kokish and Joey Silver of Canada also got to the excellent grand. This was their auction:

| West | North Silver | East | South <br> Kokish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | 1080 |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ (1) | Dble | Pass ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | $4 \diamond^{(3)}$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | 6\% |
| Pass | $6\rangle$ | Pass | 7\% |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

(1) Transfer
${ }^{(2)}$ Fewer than four hearts and fewer than three spades
${ }^{(3)}$ Shortness

A quite different auction but the same end result, reaching the top spot of $7 \%$

Kokish also had no trouble finding his 13 tricks for a fine result.

## Leading Questions

By Mark Horton

When Sabine Zenkel gives you a lead problem, you know it will be a tough one. This one comes from Germany's Round 3 encounter with Monaco.

Board 12. North/South Game. Dealer West.

- K 1042
- 1083
$\diamond 1095$
\& K 109

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass |
| $5 \boldsymbol{5}$ | All Pass |  |  |

What should Sabine lead?
While you are making up your mind, here is another one, and this time Andrea Rauscheid was in the hot seat.
-KJ984
$\bigcirc$ A 2
$\diamond 10985$
-9 5

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $1 \&$ | $1 \$$ | Dble |
| INT | Dble | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \$$ | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

Well? Back to Sabine's problem:

- K 1042
- 1083
$\diamond 1095$
* K 109

| ¢ A 3 | N | - Q 98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ - | $w^{\sim}$ | ¢KJ976 |
| $\checkmark$ KJ832 | W E | $\diamond$ Q 4 |
| \& A Q 8754 | S | * J 32 |
|  | - J765 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AQ 542 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 76 |  |

If, like Sabine, you led a spade you can consider yourself unlucky.

Of course problems like this are a matter of style, but those players who don't like to lead away from a king would no doubt have gone for a heart, and at first glance it appears that that will lead to the defeat of the contract. However, declarer can ruff and play a diamond. South wins and switches to a spade, taken by the ace. Declarer cashes the ace of trumps and plays winning diamonds, discarding spades from dummy. If North ruffs in with the 10 , declarer can overruff, return to hand with a heart ruff and play another diamond.

Now what about number two?
Board 28. Noth/South Game. Dealer West.

- A 102

8J6
$\checkmark$ K 7
\& AKJ876
. 76

- K 10985
$\diamond$ A 62
* Q 42

| N | ¢ KJ 984 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc$ A 2 |
| W E | $\checkmark 10985$ |
| S | ¢ 95 |
| - Q 53 |  |
| QQ 743 |  |
| $\checkmark$ QJ 43 |  |
| 2 103 |  |

How many of you found the $\ulcorner A$ ?
Well, Andrea did, which is maybe why she is a world champion! (In the other room West was on lead which made things a little easier!)

# TRAPPED AT TRICK TWO 

By Jan van Cleeff

40 VPs behind the much desired fourth place, the Netherlands were desperately in need of good results. In Round 14 of the Open section the Bermuda Bowl winners of 1993 met the powerful team from Italy. While the Azzuri were having a good time in the round-robin, Dutch chances for qualification for the quarterfinals were already reduced to almost zero. It was a big surprise when Holland beat the Italians, 22-8. The hand below produced almost half of the 28 -IMP difference.

Board 26. Game All. Dealer East.

- AKJ
$\bigcirc$ AQ 102
$\diamond A 83$
- AJ 3


Playing against Muller-De Boer in the Closed Room, Bocchi-Duboin somehow or another managed to make 13 tricks in 44.

This is what happened in the Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Versace | Jansen | Lauria | Westerhof |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | $2{ }^{(1)}$ | Pass | $2 \checkmark^{(2)}$ |
| Pass | $2 \mathrm{NT}^{(3)}$ | Pass | $3{ }^{(4)}$ |
| Pass | 4\% ${ }^{(5)}$ | Pass | $4 \checkmark^{(6)}$ |
| Pass | 44 | Pass | 4NT ${ }^{(7)}$ |
| Pass | $5 \square^{(8)}$ | Pass | 5 ${ }^{(9)}$ |
| Pass | $6{ }^{(10)}$ | All Pass |  |
| ${ }^{(1)}$ Any strong hand |  | ${ }^{(6)} \mathrm{Re}$-tran |  |
| ${ }^{(2)}$ Relay |  | ${ }^{(7)}$ RKCB |  |
| ${ }^{(3)}$ 21-23 balanced |  | ${ }^{(8)} 2$ or 5 | cards |
| ${ }^{(4)}$ Five or more spades |  | (9) Anticipa | g the worst |
| ${ }^{(5)}$ Maximum |  | ${ }^{(10)} 5$ key card |  |

Lorenzo Lauria found the best lead - a spade. This solved one problem for declarer, though there were some more to go. With all cards open one could see that both the 9 K and Q were placed wrongly.

While players and kibitzers were still studying the dummy, Piet Jansen, after winning the first trick, fired back a low diamond at trick two.

Who could blame East for taking the $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ ?
After having solved the diamond problem, a couple of tricks later Piet Jansen solved his last problem (in clubs) by ruffing a heart, merrily noting the fall of the $\checkmark$ K, whereafter the $\triangle$ Q took care of the club loser.

Jansen-Westerhof proved to be one of the very few pairs who bid and made 6s on the hand.

## A word from Slovenia

The Slovenian Bridge Federation and the Slovenian team wish to express their thanks to the following enterprises for donations that made it possible for Slovenia to attend these championships: Mobitel, a communications company; IBE, a consulting and engineering firm; Bayer Parma, a pharmaceutical firm; ZZI, an engineering company, and LEK, a pharmaceutical chemical company.

## Mixed Teams

## World Championships

## starting

## on Tuesday October 29

Pre-registered teams have to confirm before Saturday at the latest and pay their entry fees to the assistant treasurer, Christine Francin.

Other teams,intending to play, who have members from the Olympiad who are not likely to qualify for the quarterfinals, should register with Mrs. Francin prior to Sunday, October 27. You will find her at the first floor, in the Room Epsilon (Jose Damiani's office).

- On Thursday, October 24 from 9h to $10 h$
- On Friday, October 25 from 10 h to 12 h
- On Saturday, October 26 from IOh to I2h
- On Sunday, October 27 from I8h to 19h
- On Monday, October 28 from 9 h 30 to I Ih and 18 h 30 to 19 h 30

Those teams who will make their team from the Open and Women's series should register as soon as you know but no later than October 28.

## NOTES

I Drop-in rules
All teams are expected to start playing on Day one with the following execptions:

- When a proposed team has two preannounced members of the same sex who are still playing in the Team Olympiad quarterfinal stage, that team has a bye and will enter the Mixed Team championship only after the quarterfinals of the Team Olympiad are concluded and the above-mentioned two players become eliminated from the event. Should the two players qualify for the Team Olympiad semifinals, then the Proposed Team will be unable to participate in the Mixed Teams Championship.
- When a proposed team has preannounced one male and/or one female member who is still participating in the Olympiad at the quarterfinal stage, that Mixed Team will start playing on Day one. Should any pre-announced team member be eliminated at the conclusion of the quarterfinal stage of the Team Olympiad, that member is allowed to join the Mixed Team Championships at that point.

2 Mixed pairs looking for teammates may leave their names at the hospitality desk.

## Special announcement

 for players born in 1941 or earlierThe two highest ranking teams (where all team members were born prior to 1942) in the Swiss, who did not qualify for the semifinal, will play a 30 -board playoff at 20 h on Friday, November I. The winning players will receive a certificate of recognition as the best senior mixed team and 50 WBF masterpoints.

## Austria v Poland \& Israel v USA

Ladies Series (Round 9)
Round 9 saw the top four teams in Women's Group $B$ in opposition. We bring you the best of the action from both matches.

Board I. Love All. Dealer North.
AK 32
-AJ987
$\diamond 52$
K K

| - QJ 865 | N | - 974 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 4$ |  | 81053 |
| $\diamond A K$ | W E | $\checkmark 1076$ |
| \& AJ5 32 | S | \& Q 1076 |
|  | - 10 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q 62 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ QJ9843 |  |

In Austria v Poland, Erhart/Weigkricht had a free run to 4 §, making exactly, while Bamberger/Fischer saved in 5\% doubled. Harasimowicz/Janczewska took their spade ruff so that was three down; -500 and 2 IMPs to Poland.

There was a major swing in our other match, however.

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deas | Birman | Chambers | Poplilov |
|  | 18 | Pass | $4 \triangleright$ |
| 49 | Dble | All Pass |  |

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Porat | Levitina | Tzur | Blanchard |
| - | $1 \otimes$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| $4 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $5 \&$ | 5 |
| Dble | $5 \vee$ | Dble | All Pass |

In the Open Room, the immediate jump to $4 \checkmark$ pretty well forced Deas into bidding 4s and that was promptly doubled by Daniella Birman for -300 .

In the Closed Room, Jillian Blanchard thought she was too good for $4 \nabla$ so showed a good $4 \bigcirc$ bid via 3NT. That left room for Ruth Porat to show her two-suiter, either spades and a minor or big with both minors. Having made a non-descriptive game raise, Blanchard felt that she had to bid again, showing her diamonds, because there was a danger of a double fit. Levitina converted to 5 but that had three top losers for -100 and 9 IMPs to Israel.

Board 2. North/South Game. Dealer East.


In Austria v Poland, Krogulska opened l\& (Polish) as West and Erhart overcalled $2 \diamond$, either hearts or at least $5-5$ in spades and a minor, intermediate strength. Weigkricht jumped to $3>$, for correction, and played there for +140 .

In the other room, Doris Fischer had to open $\mathrm{I} \diamond$ as
is would have been strong. Harasimowicz overcalled 18 and Bamberger doubled. Elisa Janczewska bid 3e, showing a heart raise, and now Fischer bid 4\%, where she played. She lost just a heart and a diamond; + 150 and 7 IMPs to Austria.

In Israel v USA, Porat opened INT as West, Levitina overcalled 28 and Migri Tzur-Albu bid 24. Blanchard raised straight to 4 『, normally enough, but there were four inescapable losers; - 100. In the other room a le opening made it easier for North/South to stop in 3 +140 and 6 IMPs to Israel.

Board 6. East/West Game. Dealer East.

| - 984 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ¢ J 109875 |  |
| $\checkmark 5$ |  |
| \& Q 62 |  |
| N | ¢ K 6 5 32 |
| W E | $\bigcirc \mathrm{AQ}$ |
| S | $\diamond$ Q 1094 |
|  | ¢ 74 |
| - Q 7 |  |
| ¢K643 |  |
| $\checkmark$ AK 6 |  |
| 2) 953 |  |

After a 1s opening from East, everyone seemed to think that the South hand was worth a takeout double - a borderline decision in my view. That allowed North to compete vigorously in hearts. Poland were content to defend 44, conceding -620 , but predictably Maria Erhart went on to $5>$, doubled for -800 and 5 IMPs to Poland.

Both Norths bid up to 5 in our other match. Birman got there slowly and it was relatively easy to double her for -800. In the other room, Porat bid 2NT over the double, showing a good spade raise, and Irina Levitina leaped all the way to 5 . Though Tzur-Albu doubled that, Porat went on to 54. Her break of discipline cost her 14 IMPs as 5 lost the first three tricks after a diamond lead. Credit that $5 \checkmark$ bid though for creating pressure.

| Board 8. Love All. Dealer West. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - AK |  |  |
| ¢ J 108 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ K Q J 63 |  |  |
| \& 952 |  |  |
| ¢ 43 | N | - Q 7 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 762$ | $w^{\text {N }}$ | $\bigcirc$ A Q 953 |
| $\checkmark$ A 10 | W E | $\diamond 984$ |
| 2 A Q J 63 | $\mathbf{S}$ | 2-K10 4 |
| - J1098652 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 4$ |  |  |
| $\diamond 752$ |  |  |
| \& 87 |  |  |

USA and Austria were both allowed to play a peaceful 4 . The other two East/Wests had to decide whether to go on to 5 over 4.

Krogulska opened a Polish Club and Erhart overcalled $1 \diamond$. I from Banaskiewicz and a weak 2s from Terry Weigkricht. When Krogulska raised to 3จ, Erhart jumped to 4s and Eva Banaskiewicz had an awkward problem, holding extra values including a possible double fit but a dreadful spade holding. She chose to go quietly but that was the wrong decision this time; -50 . And 9 IMPs to Austria.

Porat opened INT and Levitina was able to make a natural $2 \diamond$ overcall, a rarity in this event, I suspect. A forcing $3 \vee$ from Tzur-Albu and 4 from Blanchard. Porat liked her hand and bid $5 \bigcirc$ and the diamond lead meant twelve tricks and a push.

By Tony Gordon \& Brian Senior


In Israel v USA, Chambers made 6 $\checkmark$ and Porat 6\%; no swing.

There was a major swing in Austria v Poland, but there need not have been. Austria played $6 \diamond$ after South had opened with a weak two bid. It was reasonable for declarer to play South for diamond shortage now but it was unsuccessful. When you work out a balance sheet of gains and losses for your various gadgets, do you remember to put this type of thing in the plus column, as the weak two caused declarer's misguess?

In the Closed Room, Weigkricht opened a multi. Krogulska doubled then bid $3 \%$ when 2 came round to her. She was going to play there, I suspect, had Erhart not copmpeted with $3 \vee$. Now Krogulska tried 3NT whether this was natural or showed secondary diamonds, she had it. Erhart led A and Weigkricht followed with the eight, showing an odd number. Erhart looked at that for a bit and decided that partner would have played the jack from J 108 , count signals or no count signals. She switched to a heart and declarer had the rest; +690 and a rather fortunate +13 IMPs for Poland.

Board I3. Game All. Dealer North.

- A 64

A AJ 632
$\diamond 107$

- 197
- K Q 3
- Q 104
$\diamond A Q 9854$
- 10

| N | - 1972 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | -K97 |
| W E | $\diamond 632$ |
| S | +654 |
| (1085 |  |
| $\bigcirc 85$ |  |
| $\diamond \mathrm{KJ}$ |  |
| \& AK Q 8 |  |



Doris Fischer, Austria

In each match, one table played 3NT by South after West had bid diamonds. The other table played 3e. Lynn Deas looked no further than her long suit and led a low diamond against Matilda Poplilov, giving the ninth trick; +600 . Krogulska led $\$ K$, then continued spades when that was ducked. Weigkricht won the third round and ran the clubs, but there was no miracle; -100 .

3\% made an overtrick in Israel v USA and exactly in Austria v Poland, giving 10 IMPs to Israel and 6 IMPs to Poland, yet it should fail on accurate defense. On a spade lead, declarer eventually wins and plays on hearts. East wins the king and switches to a diamond and that is five tricks for the defense. But both defenses lost their way.

Board 14. Love All. Dealer East.

```
4 32
&AJ10965
\diamondQ IO 6
& Q J
```



```
- AK 105
© K 7
\(\diamond K 75\)
-10972
- Q 4
- Q 83
\(\diamond\) J 932
- A 854
```

- 19876
$\bigcirc 42$
$\diamond$ A 84
- K 63

498
$>42$
$\checkmark$ A 84

* K 63

A dull 3s for East/West? That was the case in Israel v USA, but both tables were in game in Austria v Poland. Krogulska went down without spotting the winning line but Gabi Bamberger brought home her contract. After a heart lead and diamond switch, she cashed two top spades, cashed her red winners and exited with a diamond. It didn't matter who won that, the club position was the one she needed. The blockage means the defense can only get one club trick and must then give a ruff and discard; +420 and 10 IMPs to Austria.

Board 20. Game All. Dealer West.

- J 1092
-1086
$\diamond$ KJ 83
* 62

| ¢ A Q 8764 | N | - 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 7$ | N | $\bigcirc$ A Q 53 |
| $\diamond 106$ | W E | $\checkmark$ Q 52 |
| \& Q 103 | S | \& K 9854 |
|  | - K 5 |  |
|  | QJ942 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A 974 |  |
|  | \& $\mathrm{A} J 7$ |  |

Krogulska/Banaskiewicz had a slightly conservative auction on this one: 14-INT-24-Pass, making an overtrick when the defense switched to clubs rather than diamonds; +140.

Everybody else was in notrump, where a winning club guess allows eight tricks but a losing guess means at most six tricks. Bamberger/Fischer played 2NT down two, after: 14-INT - 2 - 2NT - Pass, pretty normal as it is more convenient to play clubs the losing way, unfortunately; - 200 and 8 IMPs to Poland.

Juanita Chambers got out for one down in 2NT for USA, but Tzur-Albu were in game after: 14-2 3NT - Pass. Blanchard led a heart to dummy's king and declarer played 2 Q then ran the ten. Blanchard continued hearts but on the third club Levitina pitched her last heart so that now Blanchard found the nice switch of the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$. With no entry to hand, declarer could make only five tricks; -400 and 7 IMPs to USA.

All of that meant two 17-13 wins, to Poland and to USA respectively, tightening up the top of the group even more.

## Chinese Taipei v Yugoslavia <br> Open Series (Round II)

Board II. Love All. Dealer South.

- K Q 109
- J 10863
$\diamond A K$
AK

| - 532 | N | - J 76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ - |  | $\bigcirc$ AKQ 52 |
| $\diamond$ J1096432 | W E | $\diamond$ Q 8 |
| -964 | S | 20 Q 2 |
|  | (A84 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 974$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 75$ |  |
|  | * 108753 |  |

Can you beat $4\ulcorner$ by North/South? The best lead is a club, but declarer can draw one round of trumps to find the bad news, then switch to cash all side suit winners after winning the club return. When he leads the fourth spade he neutralizes West's trumps.

However, while Lin/Shen played 3NT for plus 430 at the other table,Vlajnic opened Is and Wu overcalled I $\$$. When Zipovski passed to show 0-4 HCP,Vlajnic decided to collect undertricks. But plus 200 still lost 6 IMPs.

Board I2. North/South Game. Dealer West.

## - 642

$\vee$ J 98
$\diamond A$ Q 1093

- A 4

| - 93 | N | - K 75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 43 |  | $\bigcirc 7652$ |
| $\diamond$ J 7542 | W E | $\checkmark$ K 6 |
| 2 KJ 6 | S | 210953 |
|  | - A Q J 108 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK IO |  |
|  | $\checkmark 8$ |  |
|  | 2 Q 872 |  |

## Board 9 Revisited

Open Series (Round 9)

Board 9. East/West Game. Dealer North.

|  | - QJ42 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q 72 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ 10 |  |
|  | 9 75 |  |
| - 763 | N | - AK 108 |
| $\bigcirc 10943$ |  | $\bigcirc 6$ |
| $\checkmark 2$ | W E | $\diamond$ Q 985 |
| Q Q 10832 | S | 20196 |
|  | - 95 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A J 85 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 7643 |  |
|  | 2 A 4 |  |

In the report on New Zealand $v$ Indonesia (Open), we saw how $4 \checkmark$ failed after a top spade lead and club switch. It looked as though this defense left declarer with too much to do. However Tom Hanlon of Ireland received just this defense and still succeeded in bringing home his contract.

Hanlon won the club switch and played 9 K and a heart to the ace. Now he played a spade to the queen and king. East put his partner in with a club and back came a heart. Hanlon won in hand and ran the $\diamond 10$ successfully. Now he drew the last trump and this trick squeezed East in spades and diamonds; just made.

The defense might have done better, of course. If East covers the $\diamond 10$ he forces the king. There is no entry to the diamond menace and no squeeze.

Both tables reached 4s. When Shen declared on the lead of the $\diamond 4$, he won the ace and played the \& and a club. He ducked the trick, and now, with the K coming down, he was in no trouble - he actually made 650.

But Zipovski played a club to his queen and king at trick two. Tai very carefully played the $\$ 3$ to Wu's 5 . Declarer then ruffed a club and took a heart finesse. Tai won the $\vee \mathrm{Q}$ and exited with a diamond. Now declarer had to lose a club (when Tai ruffed a club with the $\mathbf{~} 9$ ), and a trump trick at the end since declarer had no entries to dummy at trick II.
(The right play is probably a low club from dummy at trick two, I think.)

Finally, you would be hard-pressed to figure out how Yugoslavia lost 3 IMPs on Board 15.

Board 15. North/South Game. Dealer South.

## - 2

81092
$\triangleleft 432$

* 1098654
- 8654

865
$\diamond$ J 865

- A 32

| N | ¢ A 1093 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc$ AKQ 8 |
| W | $\checkmark$ AKQ 109 |
| © K Q J 7 |  |
| $\bigcirc 1743$ |  |
| $\diamond 7$ |  |
| \& K Q J 7 |  |

Both tables had one-bid auctions.
For Chinese Taipei Shen opened a Precision $1 \diamond-$ passed out for minus 500.

But Zipovski opened a mini-Roman $2 \diamond$, and this also was passed out for minus 600!

It all finished in a win for Chinese Taipei by 18-12.

## Good sportsmanship

A Bulgarian player had a momentary lapse and passed a forcing bid, but Andrea Buratti of Italy did not want to get a favorable result that way. Here is Board 15 from Round 7 of the Open.

Board I5. North/South Game. Dealer South.

- Q 10653
© K Q J 4
$\diamond 6$
1053
\& 72
$\vee A 1085$
$\diamond$ Q 93
Q 14

| N | - 9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc 963$ |
|  | $\diamond$ KJIO542 |
| S | ¢976 |
| - AK 84 |  |
| $\bigcirc 72$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 87 |  |
| \& AK 82 |  |


| West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | Pass !! |  |
| 100 |  |  |

Clearly the Bulgarian North was thinking about something else. Buratti did not bid - instead he turned to his opponent and said, "Feel free to change your call if you wish."

The Bulgarian player certainly wanted to change he had solid values opposite a forcing club. As a matter of fact, Bulgaria climbed all the way to 64, a good contract. However, the play was not perfect and Bulgaria actually went down one.

## Pony on Form

By Patrick Jourdain (GB)
The key Round 10 match in the Women's between old rivals Germany and Netherlands went to the Venice Cup champions by a margin of $20-10$, thanks partly to this fine display by Pony Nehmert:

Board 24. Love All. Dealer West.


At the other table the Dutch stopped in a safe 3\%, so much depended on whether Nehmert could cope with the layout.

The defense began with a diamond to the ace and another diamond. Nehmert discarded a spade and won in dummy. She came to hand with the $\oslash \mathrm{A}$, and led a club. South's was ruffed away. Nehmert returned to dummy with a spade ruff and led a winning club. South ruffed and was overruffed. A second spade ruff with the trump king gave declarer her ninth trick, and then another club from dummy ensured that the $\vee 7$ would make en passant. Well done!

## When fire meets ice, watch out!

By Bjorn Eysteinsson, Iceland
The people of Iceland are seeing another powerful, magnificent eruption inside a glacier. Two weeks ago, the biggest glacier in Europe, Vatnajokull, was torn apart by a volcano that is spewing matter up 3000-4000 meters.

Board 19. East/West Game. Dealer South.
Q Q 63
$>Q 1052$
$\diamond \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{QJ}} 2$

* 63
- AKJIO 2
-KJ743
$\diamond 3$
- Q 9

- 98
- A 98
$\diamond 754$
2 J 8754
- 754

86
A 10986

* AK 102

South, Jovanovic, opened 2 showing $5+$ diamonds and 4+ clubs with II-15 HCP. Jorgensen, West, a Yokohama warrior, bid $3 \diamond$ to show both majors with better spades. North, Markovic, passed and waited with his axe! Thorvaldsson bid $3 \bigcirc$ - and North doubled.

North led a club to South's king, and South cashed the A and the $\diamond$ A before continuing with a second diamond. Thorvaldsson took his top spades and ruffed a spade with the $\vee 8$. He ruffed a diamond and then ruffed another spade with the 9 . Then he took the 8 A and played the good ${ }^{\text {J }}$ on which he threw his last spade. North had to ruff and play a heart from his queen-10 into dummy's king-jack. Plus 730 .

Once again the Ice extinguished the Fire.

## Battle Of The Giants?

Here it was Round 15 of the Open Series and the WBF's newest NCBO, Palestine, were dead last in their group and still looking for their first win. Could this be their chance? They are playing Bermuda, just one place above them and also having a rough time of it.

Board 8. Love All. Dealer West.
A AK 10963

- Q 8763
$\diamond 9$
-2


How would you handle that North collection after a Is opening on your right? You'd pass throughout, did you say?

Chicken! Both players in this match came into the auction. John Burville of Bermuda overcalled $2 \triangle$ and heard it go: Dble - 3 - 4s to him. Christmas! He doubled and though the defense slopped a trick that was still a highly satisfying +500 .

In the other room, the Palestinian North was less successful. He passed and heard: $2 \diamond$ (GF) - Pass - $2 \diamond$ to him. Now he tried $3 \bigcirc$ and saw a prompt double on his left. That ended the auction and despite dummy's useful major suit holdings he had to go one down for - 100 and 12 IMPs to Bermuda.

Board I2. North/South Game. Dealer West.

```
& 10
8AKJ532
\diamond
* AKJ93
```

-KJ843
$\bigcirc 4$
$\diamond$ A 1076

- 1082

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Burville |  | Wakefield |
| Pass | 18 | $2 \checkmark$ | $2 \bigcirc$ |
| 24 | 3\% | 34 | Pass |
| 4. | 5 | Pass | Pass |
| 54 | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

I thought John Burville and JoeWakefield had a welljudged auction in the Open Room. Burville bid a quiet 3e on the second round, hoping to get a feel for partner's suitability, then bid $5>$ over the opposing 4 . When 5ame round to him he made a forcing pass to invite slam and Wakefield doubled. That collected +500 and a well-deserved 12 IMP swing to Bermuda.

## Board 16. East/West Game. Dealer West.



Palestine had one great triumph in the match, though it did have a an element of luck about it. North opened 24 and the Palestinian East bid $3 \%$, strong takeout. West jumped to 5 and East bid 58 . West definitely wanted to play in clubs so went back to 6 and it proved to be quite cold; +1370.

In the other room, East doubled for takeout and West, Vera Petty embarked upon a cunning plan. She decided that she wanted to play 50t that it would be better if the strong hand was protected from the opening lead. Not to worry, they play Lebensohl and if she bid 2NT it would oblige her partner to bid 3 and now she could raise to $5 \%$ and be dummy. However, partner did not bid 3e, she bid 3NT. It sounded natural to Vera and she decided to pass, figuring that nine tricks were easier than eleven. Six spade tricks later Vera wanted to know what was going on. East had forgotten about Lebensohl and was simply raising a natural 2NT bid to the obvious game.

The upshot of all this was a 17 IMP Palestinian pickup. Alas, they still await their first win. Bermuda had much the better of things and ran out winners by 25-3 VPs. Ah well, there is always tomorrow, and Palestine did well to send a team this year. They will be learning from the experience of their first major championship and their friendliness at the table guarantees that they will be welcome again in the future.

Palestine had a free run in the Closed Room. When South raised the opening $1 \triangleleft$ bid to $2 \checkmark$, North just asked for aces, bidding the slam when he found there was only one missing. Dummy had one of the key queens but the other was a sure defensive trump trick so the slam had to go one down:-100.


All IBPA members in good standing are invited to a luncheon with a prize-giving ceremony on

## Tuesday, 29 October, at noon

in the La Terrasse Restaurant, Rodos Palace
Please collect your invitation from Evelyn Senn in the Press Room.
(Evelyn will not be available on Thursday)

## Sweden v Denmark

Open Series (Round IO)
The most interesting deal in this match was Board 10 .
Board IO. Game All. Dealer East.

| $$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& } 9543 \\ & \text { Q Q } 54 \\ & \diamond \text { K } 10742 \\ & \& 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $$ |  |
| - A 10 <br> คAJ6 <br> $\diamond A$ <br> AQJIO854 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Auken | Nilsland | Koch-Palmund | Fallenius |
|  |  | Pass | 120 |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | 2\% |
| Pass | $2 \Delta{ }^{(1)}$ | Pass | $2 \nabla^{(2)}$ |
| Pass | 21 | Pass | 3\% |
| Pass | 38 | Pass | 4* |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 6\% |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| 1) Spades |  |  |  |

After a very long and convoluted auction, Nilsland and Fallenius landed in the club slam. Either a heart or a club lead would allow declarer to make his slam, but it appeared that a spade or a diamond lead would doom the contract.

Nilsland led a diamond, so it looked as if the Swedes were going to be unhappy. Fallenius cashed the A and continued with the queen to East's king.A diamond lead kills the impending squeeze and guarantees the defeat of the contract - but Koch-Palmund returned a heart.

Fallenius won this and ran the clubs. On the last club, Auken was hopelessly squeezed - there was no way he could hold the $\Delta K$ and four spades. Since Denmark stopped in game at the other table, Sweden gained 12 IMPs.

An aggressive bid by Lindkvist made life difficult for Denmark on Board 5.

Board 5. North/South Game. Dealer North.

| - 852 A J 8 <br> $\diamond$ KJIO 953 <br> \& 6 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| - KJ4 | N | -103 |  |
| QQ1096532 |  | K |  |
| $\diamond 6$ |  | E $\diamond$ | Q 872 |
| -97 |  | S \& AQJ5 2 |  |
| - A Q 976 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 74$ |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 4$ |  |  |  |
| \& K 10843 |  |  |  |
|  | North | East | South |
| Lindkvist | Christensen | Lindberg | Blakset |
|  | Pass | 1\% | 14 |
| $4 \bigcirc$ ! | 49 | Pass | Pass |
| Dbl | All Pass |  |  |

That $4 \checkmark$ bid was NOT matched at the other table Auken bid $2 \square$ and played it there. But Christensen faced a real problem. He had three cards in partner's overcalled suit, an ace, a king and a singleton that could possibly be useful so he took the plunge. Blakset had to take an 800 -point bath. Denmark made 28 at the other table, but that was little consolation - 12 IMPs to Sweden.

# Playing with an international 

By Tommy Sandsmark, Norway, and Peter Lund, Denmark

We were asked to fill in for Benito Garozzo and Lea DuPont and play against Bobby Wolff and Rebecca Rogers on Wednesday morning. The concept is quite interesting. You have two international pairs competing against each other (like in a real team match), and their scores become the DATUM scores.After the session all the scores obtained at the other tables are compared with those at the celebrity table and scored in IMPs against the datum scores.

On this board Tommy failed to remember that Peter is Peter and therefore failed to set the contract:

North/South Game. Dealer North.

|  | - Q J 32 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 6$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A Q 2 |  |  |
|  | \& K Q 86 |  |  |
| - K 985 | - 76 |  |  |
| ¢ A 95 |  | ¢8432 |  |
| $\checkmark 106$ |  | $\checkmark$ KJ743 |  |
| 29753 | S A 10410 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ QJ 107 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 985$ |  |  |
|  | * J 42 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lund | Wolff | Sandsmark | Rogers |
|  | 1 NT | Pass | $2 \%$ |
| Pass | 2 ¢ | Pass | 2 NT |
| Pass | 3 NT | All Pass |  |

Tommy led a small diamond to the ten and queen. Wolff had to guess which entry to knock out first, the $\checkmark$ A or the A. He misguessed and laid down his $\vee K$.At this time Tommy was trying to show his count so he put in the deuce, but as soon as he had done that, he realized that we were to Peter, i.e. to play high in order to show interest in the suit led (diamonds). Therefore, Peter decided not to win with the ace and give Tommy another diamond, which would have set the contract, as Tommy had the crucial as an entry to the diamond. Bobby, now realizing the position of the $\vee \mathrm{A}$, changed to clubs and knocked out Tommy's ace; +600 for

## North/South.

There were, however, some moments of joy as well. This board, for example. You are sitting East (dealer with East/West game) and hold:
$\Delta K Q J 5$
$\vee 1075$
$\diamond A Q 9862$

You open I $\diamond$, partner responds I and North contributes 2\%. What is your rebid?

Tommy thought his hand had grown enormously, so he bid $3 @$.This was what Peter had:

- 9432
- Q 86
$\diamond$ K 7
\& K 984
Peter now realized the real value of his $\diamond K$ and landed in the spade game. The lead was the ruffed, and after a couple of rounds of trump, Bobby was in with the ace and exited with a spade. The favorable diamond layout then gave the declarer II tricks - a nice game on small values. The full hand:
- A 76
$\bigcirc$ A
$\diamond J 105$
* AQJ1063
- 9432

Q Q 86
$\diamond K 7$
2K K 984


Thank you, Bobby and Becky for a most enjoyable game, and thank you, Greek Federation for letting us play in this tournament! It was great fun!

## Active ethics that's really active

A strange accident occurred in the Australia-India match in Round 14 of the Open. This was the deal:

Board 2. Game All. Dealer North.

| 4 A 87643 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 3$ |  |
| $\checkmark 75$ |  |
| * AKQ 7 |  |
| N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K Q J } 95 \\ & 954 \end{aligned}$ |
| W E | $\diamond$ A 83 |
| S | 982 |
| - 2 |  |
| 8 K 102 |  |
| $\checkmark$ KJ 104 |  |
| 2) 10963 |  |

Bruce Neill of Australia opened the North hand I》 - a transfer to spades in his system. But he failed to alert. Not surprisingly, East bid is and pushed the board to the other side of the table before Neill could stop him. The director was called and he allowed East
to change his call - which he did - to pass. Then, since the bids had been seen on the other side of the table, the director informed the table at large that North/South could not use the privileged information they had received, but East/West were free to use the information.

South now bid INT, the bid he would have made without the information. West bid $2 \checkmark$ and Neill rebid 24, even though he knew he was going to run into a stack on his right. But was the bid he would have made in ordinary circumstances. 2 became the contract.

East led a heart to West's jack, and West tried to cash the $\varangle A$. Neill ruffed, went to the board with the and pitched a diamond on the 9 K . Then he did exactly what he would have done if the situation had been normal - he led the A and another spade - even though he knew East was then going to be able to draw all his trumps.

That's exactly what happened. But the contract was down only one because East no longer had a heart to get to partner. All the defense took the rest of the way was the $\forall A$.

An excellent example of Active Ethics on the part of Bruce Neill.

# Olympic Bridge Festival 

## BARBARA RILEY AND ANN MARIE SWARTZ WERE THE BEST TEAMMATES OF THE "INTERNATIONALS"

Eighteen pairs played in the 2-session event of "Playing with an International". The organizers of the Olympic Bridge Festival would like to thank all the International Players who honored the event by their presence:<br>Lea Dupont - Rebecca Rogers - Peter Lund - Tommy Sandsmark - Robert Wolff - Benito Garozzo<br>"When Mr. Garozzo came to the hospitality desk and asked where the play room was, the girl who was in charge told him he could not play because he had missed the morning session!!!!! but that he could play in next day's mixed pairs event and that she could find for him a nice lady as his partner..."<br>\section*{AGNES WESSELING AND REMCO BRUGGEMANN TAKE THE LEAD AFTER THE MORNING SESSION OF THE "PLAYING WITH AN INTERNATIONAL" EVENT}

A pair from Netherlands, Agnes Wesseling and Remco Bruggemann took a comfortable margin of 17 IMPs over the amazing juniors from Israel after the first session. The "teammates" of the leaders were Rebecca Rogers and Bobby Wolff.

The results of the first session are :

| I. Wesseling A. | (NTH) | Bruggemann R. | (NTH) | +59 IMPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Dubinski S. | (ISR) | Flat D. | (ISR) | +42 IMPs |
| 3. Uzunhasan M. | (TUR) | Solakoglu F. | (TUR) | +32 IMPs |
| 4. Riley B. | (HKG) | Swartz A. | (USA) | +25 IMPs |
| 5. Hadjidakis A. | (GRE) | Christodoulou P. | (GRE) | +19 IMPs |
| 6. Voloj F. | (COL) | Kestenley B. | (COL) | +I8 IMPs |
| 7. Alexiou M. | (GRE) | Sfinias J. | (GRE) | +8 IMPs |
| 8. Van der Gaast N. | (NTH) | Ten Kate C. | (NTH) | +6 IMPs |

## barbara riley and ann marie swartz Win the second session dominating in the whole event

In the second session of the event, a ladies pair from USA and Hong Kong which was fourth after the first session finished first 19 IMPs ahead another ladies pair from Colombia. They had Lea Dupont and Benito Garozzo as "teammates".

The results of the second session are:

| I. Riley B. | (HKG) | Swartz A. | (USA) | +67 IMPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2. Voloj F. | (COL) | Kestenley B. | (COL) | +48 IMPs |
| 3. Honkavuori R. | (FIN) | Castren S. | (FIN) | +23 IMPs |
| 4. Davou M. | (GRE) | Stroubis G. | (GRE) | +16 IMPs |
| 5. Wesseling A. | (NTH) | Bruggemann R. | (NTH) | +6 IMPs |
| -. Ploumi P. | (GRE) | Saratsis P. | (GRE) | +6 IMPs |
| 7. Panagiotidou D. | (GRE) | Constantinidis M. | (GRE) | +2 IMPs |
| 8. Dubinski S. | (ISR) | Flat D. | (ISR) | +I IMPs |

After their splendid performance the two ladies won comfortably. The Final Standings of the Playing with an International event are :

| I. Riley B. | (HKG) | Swartz A. | (USA) | +92 IMPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2. Voloj F. | (COL) | Kestenley B. | (COL) | +66 IMPs |
| 3. Wesseling A. | (NTH) | Bruggemann R. | (NTH) | +65 IMPs |
| 4. Dubinski S. | (ISR) | Flat D. | (ISR) | +43 IMPs |
| 5. Uzunhasan M. | (TUR) | Solakoglu F. | (TUR) | +19 IMPs |

## Register for today's event

Mixed Pairs " 2 sessions" (morning at II.00, afternoon at I8.00)

Total PRIZES: \$6,000

## TOMORROW'S EXCURSION

## FRIDAY ISLANDTOUR

Departure 09.00 Return 17.30 Price GRD 8,800
Please notice that the entrance fees to the archaeological sites are not included in the above prices.

The Hospitality Desks of Rodos Palace and Capsis Metropolitan Hotel are ready to provide you any necessary information about the excursions that we offer.

NOTE: Unfortunately, today's excursion to Lindos has been postponed for Saturday 26 October.

## An easy grand slam missed by almost everybody

Board 26. Game All. Dealer East.

|  | 1- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AQJ985 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AKQ 743 |  |
|  | \& 10 |  |
| Q 76 | N | - A 10943 |
|  |  | $\bigcirc 1042$ |
| $\checkmark$ J 1085 | W E | $\checkmark 962$ |
| 4. K Q 8764 | S | - J 3 |
|  | ¢ KJ852 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 763$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ - |  |
|  | ¢ A 952 |  |

In this board of the 3rd session of the Continuous Pairs the grandslam in hearts is a laydown. Among the 16 North / South pairs two reached 7 hearts (one was doubled). There may be some bidding problems if South opens one spade and there is a preemptive bid in clubs by West because it is not so easy to discover which Ace South holds. The bidding of one of those pairs (Han-Jung Hsia and Harry Lin from Chinese Taipei) was:

| West | North | East <br> Pass | South <br> 19 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $5 \%$ |
| Pass | $7 \otimes$ | All Pass |  |

The other pair who reached 7 hearts were Peter Newell and Reid Martin from New Zealand, but we must also mention Gustav Madis and Leo Jakobson who were doubled in six hearts...

Both pairs make slam in two suits...
Board 27. None. Dealer South.


This board is from the 4th session of the Continuous Pairs.After an exciting auction, a lot of pairs reached different slams. Only a few of them made their contract, because as it is easily seen, the success of the contract depends on who has the lead. North / South pairs may attempt six hearts or six clubs. Six clubs is unbeatable if declarer guesses the heart position. Only North can make six hearts because if South plays the hand East can double for a fatal club lead. East/West pairs may attempt six spades or six diamonds. Six diamonds by East is unbeatable unless South underleads his Ace of hearts... and only West has some chances in six spades. He ruffs the club lead and must reach his hand via a heart to his King, unless South takes his ace and give his partner a diamond ruff. If he doesn't guess hearts he goes two off.

