## World Bridge Productions



## \author{ Presents the 

 <br> C Cvendish.}Invitational

# Dan Mordecai Squad Leads John "Jocko" Roberts Teams 

Only six of the twenty-four teams in this event include a woman, and only one of those six teams has more than one woman. (For extra credit, name the seven women entered in this event.) Well men, move over for Dan Mordecai's squad (Roger Bates, Sylvia Moss, Sue Picus) who lead the field with 141 VPs. In second place at $122 \mathrm{VPs}, 19$ behind Mordecai, is the team captained by Bob Blanchard (Piotr Gawrys, Krzysztof Jassem, Sam Lev). In third place with 116 VPs is the French team captained by Alain Levy (Herve Mouiel, Pierres Saporta and Zimmerman). Only one other team is within a match of the leaders (Malcolm Brachman, Mike Cappelletti, Jr., Geir Helgemo, Mike Passell, Eddie Wold with 113 VPs) while five more teams have scores in triple digits. Match-by-match results and the final day-one standings can be found on page 3, along with the prize awards. The auction results and team rosters are on page 2.

If you missed yesterday's wonderful opening brunch buffet, cut your losses and join us at tonight's gala cocktail party beginning at 6:15. The big pair auction will begin at 7:00 p.m., so bring your check book and "bid-em-up."

"This team is a steal at $\$ 10,000$. Why, Sam Lev alone is worth \$15,000."

"But George, Sam isn't on this team."

"Okay, Sam, did I get it right?"

## Gamblers Non-Anonymous

Those intending to gamble at the Rio during their stay are advised to register at the Total Award desk located in the casino area. It will be in your own best interest and that of the WBP for you to do so.

## Conditions of Contest: Expansions and Clarifications

In general, it is our intent to allow methods with which other contestants are expected to be familiar. It is also our intent to allow reasonable artificiality in auctions where the bidding side has guaranteed sufficient (high-card) values to invite game. If you have any questions about your own or your opponents' methods, the person to see is Barry Rigal.

## Schedule

| Day | Time | Activity | Location |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Thursday, May 9 | 12:00 PM | $3^{\text {rd }}$ (final) Session, Teams | Miranda Ballroom |
|  | $6: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | Cocktail Party | Brasilia 2 \& 3 |
|  | $7: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | Auction, Cavendish Pairs | Brasilia 2 \& 3 |
| Friday, May 10 | $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ Session, Pairs | Miranda Ballroom |
|  | 7:30 PM | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Session, Pairs | Miranda Ballroom |
| Saturday, May 11 | 10:30 AM | WBP Brunch (all invited) | Pavilion 11 |
|  | 11:00 AM | WBP Auction | Pavilion 11 |
|  | 12:30 PM | $3^{\text {rd }}$ Session, Pairs | Miranda Ballroom |
|  | 12:30 PM | $1^{\text {st }}$ Session, WBP Pairs | Pavilion 6 |
|  | $7: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ Session, Pairs | Miranda Ballroom |
|  | 7:30 PM | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Session, WBP Pairs | Pavilion 6 |
| Sunday, May 12 | $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ Session, Pairs | Miranda Ballroom |
|  | 12:30 PM | $3^{\text {rd }}$ Session, WBP Pairs | Pavilion 6 |
|  | 5:00 PM | Closing Cocktail Party | Pavilion 1 |

John "Jocko"" Roberts Teams: Rosters and Auction Results
Team\#
Members

## John "Jocko" Roberts Teams: Standings After Day 1 (6 Matches)

(Team Rosters on p. 2)

| Team \# | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | Total | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 26 |  | 1 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  | 105 | 6/8 |
| 2 | 9 |  |  |  | 8 |  | 18 |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |  | 73 | 20 |
| 3 |  |  |  | 20 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 20 |  |  | 8 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83 | 13/15 |
| 4 |  |  | 10 |  | 25 |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |  | 30 |  |  |  | 10 | 122 | 2 |
| 5 |  | 22 |  | 5 |  | 11 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  | 19 |  |  | 79 | 17 |
| 6 |  |  | 27 |  | 19 |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 83 | 13/15 |
| 7 |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  | 26 | 7 |  | 18 |  |  |  |  | 27 |  |  |  |  | 105 | 6/8 |
| 8 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 15 |  | 26 |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |  | 6 | 105 | 6/8 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |  | 4 |  | 12 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 | 30 |  |  | 113 | 4 |
| 10 | 12 |  | 26 |  | 30 |  |  |  | 18 |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 108 | 5 |
| 11 | 4 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 9 |  | 10 |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74 | 19 |
| 12 |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 10 |  | 21 |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  | 83 | 13/15 |
| 13 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |  |  | 27 |  |  |  | 12 |  |  | 8 | 116 | 3 |
| 14 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 20 |  | 13 |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 28 |  |  | 76 | 18 |
| 15 |  | 28 | 22 |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 0 |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 9 |
| 16 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 22 |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  | 30 |  | 82 | 16 |
| 17 |  |  |  | 7 |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 30 |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 94 | 11 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 25 |  | 18 | 2 |  | 16 |  |  |  | 23 |  | 92 | 12 |
| 19 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 14 |  | 9 |  | 28 | 18 |  | 72 | 21 |
| 20 | 12 |  |  | 0 | 8 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |  |  |  | 22 |  | 66 | 22 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 4 | 30 |  | 22 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  | 99 | 10 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 12 |  | 20 |  | 47 | 23 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 7 | 12 | 8 |  | 10 |  | 5 | 42 | 24 |
| 24 |  |  |  | 20 |  | 28 |  | 24 |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  | 141 | 1 |

## John "Jocko" Roberts Teams Prize Awards



|  | Auctio <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Players |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ | $\$ 54,120$ | $\$ 20,196$ |
| $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ | $\$ 36,080$ | $\$ 13,464$ |
| $\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}$ | $\$ 26,240$ | $\$ 9,792$ |
| $\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}$ | $\$ 19,680$ | $\$ 7,344$ |
| $\mathbf{5}^{\text {th }}$ | $\$ 16,400$ | $\$ 6,120$ |
| $\mathbf{6}^{\text {th }}$ | $\$ 11,480$ | $\$ 4,284$ |

## Entrants for the WBP Pairs 2002

(Based on information available at press time. This is unofficial. Updates will be published as they become available.)

## Pair \#

Pair \#

| 1 | Russ Samuel - Shawn Samuel |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Bill Jacobson - Keith Woolf |
| 3 | Lou Ann O'Rourke - Jeff Roman |
| 4 | Lynn Baker - Kerri Sanborn |
| 5 | Barry Schaffer - Collby Vernay |
| 6 | Sheila Ekeblad - Mark Molson |
| 7 | Bruce Ferguson - Brenda Jacobus |
| 8 | Larry Cohen - Jill Levin |
| 9 | Steve Scott - Haig Tchamitch |
| 10 | Derrell Childs - Louise Freed |
| 11 | Don Lusskey - ? |
| 12 | Rob Crawford - Dan Jacob |
| 13 | Bill Doroshow - Nate Ward |
| 14 | Paul Erb - Leonard Ernst |
| 15 | Marty Baff - Phil Becker |
| 16 | Mike McNamara - David Yates |
| 17 | Leszek Rabiega - Edward Wojewoda |

18 Brenda Keller - Rick Whitworth
19 Peter Friedland - David Siebert
20 Marshall Lewis - ?
21 Richard Coren - Billy Eisenberg
22 Roger Lord - Jacklyn Sincoff
23 Victor Chernoff - Nels Erickson
24 Jon Greenspan - Krzysztof Jassem
25 Fred Hamilton - Rhoda Walsh
26 Leonard Holtz - Renee Mancuso
27 Kent Mignocchi - Beverly Perry
28 Kyle Larsen - Jo Morse
29 Jim Robison - Jerry Weinstein
30 George Mittelman - Peter Nagy
Roy Hoppe - Mike McCarthy
Les Amoils - Disa Cheek
Wirt Gilligham - Marjorie Michelin
Irving Litvack - Darren Wolpert

## Entrants for the Cavendish Pairs 2002

(Based on information available at press time. This is unofficial. Updates will be published as they become available.)

Pair \#
1 David Berkowitz - Larry Cohen
2 Paul Chemla - Philipe Cronier
3 Steve Garner - Howard Weinstein
4 Eric Greco-Geoff Hampson
5 Roger Bates - John Mohan
6 Boye Brogeland - Espen Erichsen
7 Marc Jacobus - Gaylor Kasle
8 Seymon Deutsch - Paul Soloway
9 Drew Casen - Bill Pollack
10 Gunnar Hallberg - Nick Sandquist
11 Steve Landen - Pratap Rajadhyaksha
12 Christian Mari - Herve Vinciguerra
13 Steve Beatty - George Steiner
14 Hector Camberos - Pablo Lambardi
15 Curtis Cheek - Billy Miller
16 Bart Bramley - Sidney Lazard
17 Alain Levy - Herve Mouiel
18 Linda Lewis - Paul Lewis
19 Ross Grabel - Jon Wittes
20 Geir Helgemo - Eddie Wold
21 Andrew Robson - Rita Shugart
22 Jim Krekorian - Rick Zucker
23 Perry Johnson - Jeff Meckstroth
24 Michael Courtney - Charles Wigoder
25 Bob Blanchard - Sam Lev
26 Mike Cappelletti, Jr. - Mike Passell
27 Joanna Stansby - Lew Stansby
28 Fred Stewart - Kit Woolsey
29 Billy Cohen - Ron Smith
30 Andrei Gromov - Aleksander Petrunin

Pair \#
31 Marty Fleisher - Eric Rodwell
32 Rose Meltzer - Peter Weischel
33 Mike Cornell - Lionel Wright
34 Bjorn Fallenuis - Roy Welland
35 Antonio Frances - Juan Torres
36 Doug Doub - Adam Wildavsky
37 Michel Abecassis - Michel Eidi
38 Jared Lilienstein - Glenn Milgrim
39 Pierre Saporta - Pierre Zimmerman
40 Steve Levinson - Barnet Shenkin
41 Peter Fredin - Magnus Lindkvist
42 George Jacobs - Ralph Katz
43 Chuck Berger - Michael Moss
44 Ishmael Del'Monte - Neville Eber
45 Gary Cohler - Mark Lair
46 Fred Gitelman - Brad Moss
47 Fulvio Fantoni - Claudio Nunes
48 Grant Baze - Michael Whitman
49 Luis Lantaron - Juan Ventin
50 Walid Alahmadi - Tarek Sadek
51 Garey Hayden - John Onstott
Georgio Duboin - Guido Ferarro
Piotr Gawrys - Amos Kaminski
Gene Freed - Jeff Olson
Brian Glubok - Jim Mahaffey
Tom Hanlon - Hugh McGann
Russ Ekeblad - Michael Seamon
Bob Hamman - Zia Mahmood
Bobby Levin - Steve Weinstein
Michal Kwiecien - Jacek Pszczola

## The John "Jocko" Roberts Teams: Day One

## Match One:

Board 2 woke up any contestants who were still asleep. In the match pitting the two top-ranked teams there was not much excitement at this table...

| Bd: 2 | ¢ Q10943 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir: East | $\bigcirc$ AJ82 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\diamond$ A |  |  |
|  | - QJ10 |  |  |
| - 2 | - 875 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 7$ | $\bigcirc 93$ |  |  |
| $\diamond$ KJ10765 | $\diamond$ Q9843 |  |  |
| * AK852 |  |  | 74 |
|  | - AKJ6 |  |  |
|  | P KQ10654 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 2$ |  |  |
|  | -63 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Garner | Pszczola | Weinstein | Kwiecien |
|  |  | Pass | 18 |
| $2 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond$ | $5 \diamond$ | 50 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Garner sat out $5 \Upsilon$, with the reasonable expectation that if partner had a trick he would set $5 \Upsilon$; if not, $6 \diamond$ doubled would cost 500 -no big deal. He was right up to a point, but the save was there had he wished to take it.

At the other table, however, Gromov was not going to pass that powerhouse in the East seat...

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Petrunin | Gitelman | Gromov | B. Moss |
|  |  | $3 \diamond!$ | $3 \diamond$ |
| $5 \diamond$ | $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

When $6 \Upsilon$ was down one, that was 13 imps to Petrunin.
Martel and Stansby also engineered a 13 -imp pickup, but surprisingly Chip Martel did not open the East hand.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stansby | Hamman | Martel | Zia |
|  |  | Pass | $2 \diamond(1)$ |
| 2NT(2) | $3 \stackrel{\wedge}{ }(3)$ | $4 \diamond$ | $4 N T(4)$ |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Pass | $6 \checkmark$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| (1) Flannery; (2) Minors; (3) Undiscussed; (4) RKCB |  |  |  |

Zia brilliantly arranged to play $6 \bigcirc$ from the North seat, and on a diamond lead the contract would have rolled home. But Martel was up to the challenge; he led a club for down one.

Bates and Mordecai did the best of any N/S pair I could find. After Bates (South) opened $1 \bigcirc$ his LHO overcalled 4NT. Can you blame his partner, East, for applying maximum pressure by immediately jumping to $7 \diamond$ ? Doubled that was down 800 .

The other big swing of the set came on a marginal Grand Slam.

| Bd: 4 | ¢ J9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | $\bigcirc 54$ |  |
| Vul: Both | $\diamond$ Q9853 |  |
|  | * J1096 |  |
| A AK1075 |  | $\triangle$ Q |
| Q K108 |  | - AQJ93 |
| $\diamond$ K7 |  | $\diamond$ A1064 |
| - 874 |  | * A32 |
|  | - 86432 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 762$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J2 |  |
|  | \& KQ5 |  |

In the top-ranked match Garner and Weinstein bid:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Garner | Pszczola | Weinstein | Kwiecien |
| 14. | Pass | 20 | Pass |
| 30 | Pass | 4*(1) | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 50 | Pass | 5NT | Pass |
| 78 | All Pass |  |  |
| (1) Serious slam try |  |  |  |

Over 5NT Garner knew he could not show his values precisely. So rather than bid $6 \diamond$ and trap his partner into a slow 6 P bid he just leaped to the Grand Slam.

On the trump lead Weinstein won, unblocked the $Q \mathbf{Q}$ at trick two, and crossed to the $\diamond K$ to cash the AK. If they had stood up he would have had a high crossruff; as it was, when North ruffed the third spade Weinstein needed to ruff two diamonds in dummy and for the 10 to live. All was well when North proved to be two-two in the majors and the contract made.

Effectively this same line of play was followed by Sylvia Moss playing with Sue Picus to wrap up the Grand Slam.

Far more pairs missed the Grand Slam than bid it, although essentially it only requires four-three spades, with the extra chances involving the doubleton J that exist in the actual layout.

## Match Two:

In the match between the Round 2 leaders, Mordecai and Kasle, imps went flying in all directions. Sue Picus and Sylvia Moss bid two slams off two cashing tricks and gained 10 and 5 imps respectively for their team.. (The first slam was off a cashing ace-king but made twelve tricks on an unfortunate opening lead; the other, also off a cashing ace-king, gained 5 imps in unlikely fashion when 4NT went down three at the other table.)

In spite of those gains, this turned out to be the big swing deal of the match:

| Bd: 16 | ¢ J1093 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | $\bigcirc 732$ |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\diamond 972$ |  |
|  | * J 75 |  |
| ¢ 8 |  | ¢ AQ7654 |
| $\bigcirc$ KQ6 |  | $\checkmark$ AJ |
| $\diamond$ AJ653 |  | $\diamond$ KQ4 |
| \& Q1043 |  | * A 2 |
|  | ¢ K2 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 109854$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 108$ |  |
|  | \% K986 |  |

Both E/W pairs bid to $7 \diamond$. How should you play it on a trump lead? Both Marc Jacobus and Krzysztof Jassem in another match elected to play for three-two diamonds by leading to the $\Delta A$ and ruffing a spade. When the $\Delta K$ fell the hand was over. An alternative and plausible line is to win the $\diamond Q$ and then cross to the $\diamond J$. If trumps are four-one you need a very favorable spade lie; if trumps split you can revert to ruffing out the spades. The problem with the latter line is that the play has to proceed as follows if spades do not behave: $\diamond Q$, $\diamond J$, spade to the ace, spade ruff, heart to the jack, spade ruff, heart to the ace, spade ruff, OK pitching the 2. For this line to succeed you need the hand with a doubleton spade either to have only two trumps or to have begun with five hearts, so that it cannot throw them all away on the spades. In all probability, this means that the line adopted by the two declarers here was the right one.


The Opening Brunch Banquet: There's nothing like a sumptuous meal to loosen up the wallet

At the other table Chris Compton, also in $7 \diamond$, was treated to a low club lead by George Steiner on an auction where dummy was due to have the \&A. Compton looked at this for a long while and eventually elected to run this to his hand, playing for Steiner to be pulling a fast one on him.. One can perhaps sympathize with this view, but the fact remains that cost him 19 imps (although Mordecai still narrowly won the match).

## Match Three:

Board 19 offered the chance of a double game swing and the leaders at the end of the first session took full advantage.

| Bd: 19 | s--- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | - K10987 |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\diamond 10763$ |  |
|  | \& AQ86 |  |
| $\rightarrow$ AJ4 |  | ¢ K107632 |
| $\bigcirc 42$ |  | - 65 |
| $\diamond$ A54 |  | $\diamond$ KQJ92 |
| K9754 |  | \& --- |
|  | ¢ Q985 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AQJ3 |  |
|  | $\diamond 8$ |  |
|  | ¢ J1032 |  |

Compton-Bates played in 4 doubled when South quite reasonably thought he had good defense; only the club void with East messed up his calculations. By contrast, at other tables N/S got more active:

| West | North | Eas | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohen | S. Mos | kowit | Picus |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond(1)$ | 10 | 14 | $4 \diamond(2)$ |
| Pass | 48 | 49 | Pass |
| Pass | 58 | Pas | Pass |
| Dbl | All Pas |  |  |
| (1) Precision; (2) Splinter |  |  |  |

Once Sylvia Moss worked out to bid $5 \bigcirc$ her side was always gaining at least 12 imps since that contract will do no worse than one down. Berkowitz led a spade to the jack, ruffed, and Moss ducked a diamond to Cohen, who played a club. Moss ducked and Berkowitz ruffed to return a trump. But Sylvia could win and use club entries to take three diamond ruffs, to finish with +650 .

Woolsey and Stewart found a way to blow their opponents out of the auction with the N/S cards. Playing their version of Precision South opened $2 \diamond$ (10-15 HCP, short diamonds) and North jumped directly to 4ワ. When East passed that was good for a double game swing since Bramley-Lazard at the other table reached 4 on the E/W cards and collected +620 .

## Match Four:

Mouiel and Levy had started their set against Cornell and Wright with the following bidding catastrophe...

| Bd: 1 | - K83 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | $\bigcirc$ A653 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\diamond$ AKQ |  |  |
|  | * AQ9 |  |  |
| ه 97542 | - Q6 |  |  |
| ¢ KQ1042 | $\bigcirc$ J8 |  |  |
| $\diamond 82$ | $\diamond$ J107654 |  |  |
| - 7 | +1054 |  |  |
|  | - AJ10 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 97$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 93$ |  |  |
|  | * KJ8632 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Cornell | Mouiel | Wright | Levy |
|  | 20 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| 28 | 2NT | Pass | 3 ${ }_{\text {(1) }}$ |
| Pass | 4* | Pass | 49 |
| All Pass! |  |  |  |
| (1) Transfer (clubs) |  |  |  |

Mouiel believed his partner had forgotten their system; Levy was confident he was showing long clubs and a spade control. 4e down two was not a success, with easy and 7e makable.

It seems harsh to blame Levy for putting his partner under pressure in this way. However, their next bidding misunderstanding worked out much better.

| Bd: 6 | - A52 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | $\bigcirc$--- |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\diamond$ AQ1094 |  |  |
|  | * A8642 |  |  |
| - QJ94 | - 83 |  |  |
| ¢ K843 | $\bigcirc$ QJ962 |  |  |
| $\diamond 763$ | $\diamond 82$ |  |  |
| - 19 |  |  | * K1053 |
|  | - K1076 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A1075 |  |  |
|  | 人 KJ5 |  |  |
|  | - Q7 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Cornell | Mouiel | Wright | Levy |
|  |  | Pass | 1ヶ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond(1)$ | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 30 | Pass | 4, |
| Pass | $6 \diamond$ | All Pas |  |
| (1) Inverted |  |  |  |

3 was supposed to show five-five in the minors, but Levy forgot and raised what he thought was his partner's spade suit. Mouiel now clarified things for Levy, and reached the perfect spot.

On a trump lead Levy won in dummy and led a club toward his queen. Wright hopped up with his king to play a second trump, but Levy won, unblocked the \&Q, ruffed a heart, ruffed a club, and claimed twelve tricks for an 11 -imp pickup when the contract at the other table was 3 NT .

## Match Five:

When Bramley-Lazard took on Sandquist-Hallberg, the former dug themselves into a pit.

| Bd: 10 DIr: East Vul: Both | - A96 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 1053$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 973$ |  |
|  | * A543 |  |
| - J7 |  | ¢ 108532 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q42 |  | $\bigcirc$ K8 |
| $\diamond$ A42 |  | $\diamond$ KQ65 |
| Q QJ976 |  | - 82 |
|  | - KQ4 |  |
|  | - AJ976 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J108 |  |
|  | * K10 |  |

Hallberg (South) opened his 14 -count a strong notrump and Sandquist did well to pass this around to Bramley (East), who could double to show spades and diamonds or hearts and clubs. Lazard ran to 2e (pass-or-correct) and ultimately left Bramley holding the baby in $2 \diamond$ doubled.

Hallberg led the $\diamond J$ and Bramley won in hand to lead a spade. Hallberg needed no second chance to get trump promotions going. He won the $\stackrel{\wedge}{ }$, then cashed the VA on which Sandquist discouraged. Now the K and a club to the ace let Nick lead a third club. Hallberg was allowed to score his $\diamond 8$ and now a spade to Sandquist's ace for a fourth club meant +500 for the defense.

## A Rolling Stone Gathers Moss, Moss, and More Moss

You may have noticed that there are three different Moss's playing in this event. All three are related, and each is on a different team. Test your Moss-Quotient by matching each Moss with his/her correct familial status. (No fair peeking at the roster for first names.)
A. S. Moss

1. Father
B. M. Moss
2. Father's ex-wife
C. B. Moss
3. Father's son
(Answers on p. 9)

## Many a Slip...

Alain Levy showed us what we must be one of the neater declarer plays of the event. On Board 22, where 3NT by West was normally down one, Alain, West, declared 3NT after opening a strong notrump. He was playing against no less a pair than Kwiecien-Pszczola.

| Bd: 22 | - 63 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | $\bigcirc 8643$ |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\diamond 10754$ |  |
|  | - AJ2 |  |
| - 852 |  | @ QJ109 |
| $\bigcirc$ K2 |  | $\bigcirc$ AJ5 |
| $\diamond$ AK96 |  | $\diamond$ Q83 |
| - KQ63 |  | +1094 |
|  | ¢ AK74 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q1097 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J2 |  |
|  | + 875 |  |

On the $\vee 6$ lead (five, nine king) Levy led a spade to the nine, which held. South won the second spade to play the $\mathbf{7}$ and North made a slight slip when he ducked Levy's queen. That allowed Levy to clear the spades (on which North pitched a
heart) and South exited with a club, won by North with the jack. North, who could not afford to cash his last club since it would set up Levy's ninth winner, exited with a heart. Levy took the ace in this six-card ending:

|  | ¢ --- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 8$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 10754$ |  |
|  | - A |  |
| - --- |  | \& Q |
| $\bigcirc$--- |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| $\diamond$ AK96 |  | $\diamond$ Q83 |
| * K6 |  | +10 |
|  | - 7 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q10 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J2 |  |
|  | + 8 |  |

The $\stackrel{Q}{ }$ forced North to discard his last heart (as declarer pitched his low club). Levy cashed the $\diamond Q$, led a diamond to the ace, and then endplayed poor North with the \&A to lead into his diamond tenace. Nine tricks made!

## Beware Greeks...

Since we're on the subject of neat declarer plays, consider this little gem perpetrated by Paul Soloway. It occurred on Board 15 from yesterday's second match.

| Bd: 15 | - 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | © Q109 |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\diamond$ KQJ764 |  |
|  | - 1073 |  |
| - 6 |  | - K85 |
| $\checkmark$ K853 |  | $\bigcirc$ A7642 |
| $\diamond$ A8 |  | $\diamond 95$ |
| * AQJ952 |  | * K84 |
|  | ¢ AQJ97432 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{J}$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 1032$ |  |
|  | - 6 |  |

At those tables where South opened 4@, West had to chose his poison. Soloway, like several others who held his cards, reasonably bid his six-card suit and the auction suddenly came to a screeching halt in $5 \%$. North led the 10 and now our declarers surveyed dummy with an odd mixture of hope and trepidation.

If hearts were two-two (less-than-likely), declarer could win trick two (whatever South played), draw trumps, and pitch his losing diamond on dummy's fifth heart. If South shifted to a diamond at trick two and hearts were not two-two then the player with three hearts would be able to cash a diamond when he got in with a heart to set 5 one trick. In fact, at the other table that is precisely what happened: South overtook the 10 with the jack at trick one and shifted to a diamond and down went declarer. Was there anything Paul could do to forestall such a fate?

Well, there were no guarantees, but at trick one Paul called for...the K . That's right, the K !

Consider the effect this had on South. After winning his ace, he stopped to consider how to proceed at trick two. With the a K no longer in dummy, it seemed easy to lead a high spade hoping to promote a trump trick for partner. (Of course we can see that declarer would not have played the $\Delta \mathrm{K}$ at trick one if a trump promotion were possible.) The lure of the easy spade play was just too much to resist. Had Paul reflexively played low at trick one it is still possible that South would have chosen to try for a trump promotion by playing another spade. But by playing the king, Paul made South's losing option much more attractive.

# Picking the Winner is Easy-Peasy 

by Sam Leckie

May I gently remind you that I cleaned up last year with you guys when I took six pairs against the field and KwiecienPszczola came through on the line for me. I flew home with all my Scottish $£ 5$ notes intact and a fistful of your dollars.

I don't imagine that you would fall for these same odds again so this year l'll only take five pairs against the field. The deal is that you pay $\$ 10$ up front and if one of my pairs doesn't win you have your money returned together with a beautiful blue Scottish $£ 5$ note signed by yours truly. (Believe me, this could be a collector's item as there's not many of them going around.) However, if you prefer I'll match your $\$ 10$ bill with one of mine.

MY five selections, in alphabetical order, and my reasons for picking them are as follows:

1. Garner-Weinstein
2. Gromov-Petrunin
3. Hamman-Mahmood
4. Kwiecien-Pszczola
5. Levin-Weinstein

Steve told me they love evennumbered years.
Their reputation precedes them. Now married, Zia can give it his full concentration and break his duckand his partner's not bad either. 26 years since holders retained the cup. It's time it happened again. The girls back home would never forgive me if I missed out Bobby.

How can you find me if you want to bet? Well, there's no problem there. Just stand around the cakes and drinks table. I spend most of my spare time there-and l've got the figure to prove it!

## New Appeals Procedure

This year appeals will be conducted without the Appeals Committee knowing the identities of the parties involved. All information will be conveyed to and from the AC by a Director. Points and counterpoints will be in writing, if so requested by any party to the appeal.

However, the ultimate goal of the appeal is to arrive at
the best possible decision. If the AC decides that face-to-face contact with the involved parties is necessary, it will be free to arrange it.

We hope this new procedure will prove satisfactory to everyone involve. We invite your cooperation.

## Special Notice: World Bridge Productions Pairs Pre-Auction

In order to generate interest and facilitate the live WBP Pairs auction, to be held on Saturday morning, May 11, provisions have been made to accept bids prior to the start of the live auction. Here's how it will work:

Arrayed around the Registration Desk will be preliminary owners' cards for all expected participants. (Not all of these pairs may attend, but the vast majority is expected.) If you wish to bid on a pair you may do so by entering the amount of your bid on the pair's card and signing your
name opposite the bid amount (minimum bid $=\$ 1000$ ). These bids will be considered binding, just as if they had been made in the live auction. If your bid is not topped in either the pre- or live auctions you will become the owner of the pair and have all of the attendant rights and responsibilities. Remember, each pair must buy back 10\% of their purchase price and may buy back up to $40 \%$ of themselves if they are so inclined.

Please confirm your bids with the appropriate parties at

[^0]
[^0]:    Answers to Moss-Q: A-2; B-1; C-3

