# The Cawendish <br> <br> Innuitational 

 <br> <br> Innuitational}

Editor: Donna Compton

## It's Moving Day in the Cavendish Pairs

Fifty-four boards down and 81 to go. So, with the huge swings that can be generated in these deals, there is time for pairs to make large leaps up the standings. However, the same pair has been in the lead after each of the first two sessions: Martin De Knijff and Frederic Wrang.

To highlight the big gains, though, last year's winners, Bobby Levin and Steve Weinstein, were 17th after the first session and second overnight. But they are 653 imps behind the leaders (although that is really only about 30 "normal" imps because every result is imped 22 times).

Michael Elinescu and Entscho Wladow were even better ascenseurs, moving up from 21st to 5th.
The three-session World Bridge Production Pairs begins this morning. The auction for the pairs will take place during the breakfast in the La Sirena room.

## Cavendish Invitational Leaders After 2

1. 2061
2. 1408
3. 1272
4. 1153
5. 984
6. 946
7. 623
8. 608
9. 569
10. 507
11. 472
12. 471
13. 427
14. 401
15. 354
16. 338
17. 252
18. 237
19. 234
20. $\quad 163$
21. 143
22. -2
23. -89

Martin De Knijff - Frederic Wrang
Robert Levin - Steve Weinstein
Geir Helgemo - Tor Helness
Drew Casen - Mike Passell
Michael Elinescu - Entscho Wladow
Nicolas L'Ecuyer - Jim Krekorian
Josef Piekarek - Alexander Smirnov
Marc Bompis - Jean-Christophe Quantin
Michel Bessis - Thomas Bessis
Sjoert Brink - Bas Drijver
Chris Compton - Bob Hamman
Billy Cohen - Ron Smith
Bob Blanchard - Shane Blanchard
Bart Bramley - Nikolay Demirev
Seymon Deutsch - John Kranyak
Eric Greco-Geoff Hampson
Franck Multon - Pierre Zimmermann
Jie Zhao - Fu Zhong
Chip Martel - Kit Woolsey
Bruce Rogoff - Louk Verhees
Roy Welland - Chris Willenken
Glenn Grotheim - Ulf Tundal
Simon De Wijs - Bauke Muller
24. -116
25. -198
26. -205
27. -232
28. -249
29. -383
30. -444
-540
-553
-565
-583
-591
-614
-617
-636
-682
-721
-797
-858
-896
44/45. -1013
44/45. -1013
46. -1026

Ashley Bach - Michael Cornell
Steve Beatty - George Jacobs Bjorn Fallenius - Peter Fredin Boye Brogeland - Artur Malinowski Sam Lev - Jacek Pszczola Hemant Lall - Justin Lall Gary Cohler - Eric Robinson
Russ Ekeblad - Jan Jansma Gunnar Andersson - Marten Gustavsson Ahmed Hussein - Eric Rodwell
Steve Garner - Zia Mahmood
Michael Kamil - Michael Moss
Kerri Sanborn - Stephen Sanborn Mark Lair - Roger Lord Hamish Bennett - Billy Miller Christal Welland - Michael Rosenberg Perry Johnson - Jeff Meckstroth Curtis Cheek - Joe Grue Manuel Capucho - Maria Capucho John Diamond - Brian Platnick Huub Bertens - John Mohan Albert Faigenbaum - Dominique Pilon Paul Chemla - Romain Zaleski

| Cavendish Invitational Pairs Overall Awards |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Position | Auction Pool | Player Pool |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ | \$201,200 | \$25,508 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | \$129,340 | \$16,398 |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | \$86,230 | \$10,932 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | \$64,670 | \$8,200 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ | \$57,485 | \$7,288 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | \$50,300 | \$6,376 |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | \$43,115 | \$5,466 |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ | \$35,930 | \$4,556 |
| $9^{\text {th }}$ | \$28,740 | \$3,644 |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | \$21,560 | \$2,732 |

## Cavendish Invitational Pairs Session Awards

From Auction Pool to Owners Sessions

|  | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ | $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ | $\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}$ | $\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}$ | $\mathbf{5}^{\text {th }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ | 2,500 | 2,500 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 12,000 |
| $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ |  |  | 2,500 | 2,500 | 8,000 |
| $\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}$ |  |  | 1,000 | 1,000 | 5,000 |
| $\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}$ |  |  |  |  | 3,000 |

From Player's Pool to Players
$\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }} \quad 3,000$
$\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }} \quad 3,000$

Things Ain't What They Used To Be<br>by Sam Leckie, Scotland

As promised yesterday, I now give you my two selections as the likely winners of this year's event: Helgemo and Helness. Last year they faltered slightly on the run-in and finished eighth. My other pair will surprise you, but before naming them, do you recognize them from this description?
The first guy inherited the genes of a bridge player as his father was a W.B.F master and his natural flair for the game has earned him many titles. His partner is a gifted pianist and has an even more impressive record, to prove his talent is not limited to music. This pair has the credentials to take it all.
If you were here in 1999, you would have read that description of this pair in the brochure. Eleven years on, can you imagine how good they have become? Of course, I am talking about Billy Cohen and Ron Smith.

As I sat down to enjoy the fabulous meal and entertainment on Thursday evening, I had only three things on my mind: Not to eat or drink too much (for obvious reasons) and to try to forget the past three weeks playing that other game. After claiming a seat, a chap with an Antipodean accent accompanied by his lovely wife asked if he could join me. He seemed to know me because before I had a chance to ask his name, he said to me, "How's the poker going?"

Just the words I wanted to hear! He was Michael Cornell, and I should have known him.
Then a few minutes later an American voice asked if the seat on my other side was free. I turned to see the most immaculately dressed man ever at the Cavendish. His dinner suit, striped bow tie and cufflinks made me think he had just stepped out of a shop window. He also held a plate of food piled so high it reminded me of pictures of the volcanic explosion in Iceland. He placed that onto the table. At this point, I must digress and make a confession. I've learned that it is important first to go to the bar and order three glasses of white wine. This makes it unnecessary to go back for more later, and as the barman thinks you are ordering for two others, there's no problem. I don't know if it was the drinks or my dress - blazer and casual trousers - that gave the American a clue.
"I think I've come to the wrong affair," he said to me.
"This is the Cavendish," I told him. "But even if you have, you can eat your food and nobody will notice," I continued jocularly.
"I'm looking for the Toolmaster's Convention," he replied, "and I can't wait as I'm the first speaker of the evening."

Those were his final words before leaving the heaped plate of food in front of me. I immediately called a waitress and asked her to remove it. My, my, how times have changed!

## 2010 World Bridge Production Pairs

1. Mike Cappelletti - Jim Mahaffey
2. Marc Jacobus - LouAnn O’Rourke
3. Fred Gitelman \& Sheri Weinstock
4. Barry Schaffer - Colby Vernay
5. Maggie Mohan - Miriam Rosenberg
6. Andrew Rosenthal - Aaron Silverstein
7. Ross Taylor - David Colbert
8. Left Blank
9. Leo Bell - John Jones
10. Josh Donn - Jason Feldman
11. Howard Parker - David Siebert
12. Left Blank
13. Bob Soni - Robert Todd
14. Left Blank
15. Marshall Miles - William Wickham
16. Left Blank

## 2010 Cavendish Invitational Auction

| \# | Pair |  | Bid | Purchased By |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Curtis Cheek | Joe Grue | 29,000 | Compton |
| 2 | Sjoert Brink | Bas Drijver | 32,000 | Melchers |
| 3 | Steve Sanborn | Kerrie Sanborn | House | Not for Auction |
| 4 | Jack Zhao | Fu Zhong | 23,000 | Diamond |
| 5 | Eric Greco | Geoff Hampson | 42,000 | Zimmermann |
| 6 | Mark Lair | Roger Lord | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 7 | Seymon Deutsch | John Kranyak | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 8 | Franck Multon | Pierre Zimmermann | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 9 | Hamish Bennett | Billy Miller | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 10 | Ashley Bach | Michael Cornell | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 11 | Bart Bramley | Nikolay Demirev | 13,000 | Rogoff |
| 12 | Paul Chemla | Romain Zaleski | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 13 | Robert Blanchard | Shane Blanchard | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 14 | Martin DeKnijff | Frederic Wrang | 14,500 | Zimmemann |
| 15 | Billy Cohen | Ron Smith | 18,000 | Diamond |
| 16 | Josef Piekarek | Alex Smirnov | 23,000 | Zimmemann |
| 17 | Hemant Lall | Justin Lall | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 18 | Steve Beatty | George Jacobs | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 19 | Manuel Capucho | Maria Capucho | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 20 | Chris Compton | Bob Hamman | 14,000 | Mahaffey |
| 21 | Chip Martel | Kit Woolsey | 13,000 | Diamond |
| 22 | Gunnar Andersson | Marten Gustavsson | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 23 | Bruce Rogoff | Louk Verhees | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 24 | Russ Ekeblad | Jan Jansma | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 25 | Bjorn Fallenius | Peter Fredin | 28,000 | Welland |
| 26 | Michael Elinescu | Entscho Wladow | 13,000 | Zimmemann |
| 27 | Christal Henner-Welland | Michael Rosenberg | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 28 | Gary Cohler | Eric Robinson | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 29 | Boye Brogeland | Artur Malinowski | 25,000 | Zimmemann |
| 30 | SamLev | Jacek Pszczola | 35,000 | Zimmemann |
| 31 | Nicolas L'Ecuyer | Jim Krekorian | 13,000 | Rosenthal |
| 32 | Steve Garner | Zia Mahmood | 24,000 | Zimmermann |
| 33 | Albert Faigenbaum | Dominique Pilon | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 34 | Glenn Grotheim | UlfTundal | 24,000 | Elinescu |
| 35 | Robert Levin | Steve Weinstein | 62,000 | Diamond |
| 36 | Michel Bessis | Thomas Bessis | 23,000 | Platnick |
| 37 | Huub Bertens | John Mohan | 14,500 | Zimmemann |
| 38 | John Diamond | Brian Platnick | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 42 | Perry Johnson | Jeff Meckstroth | 13,000 | Zimmermann |
| 43 | Ahmed Hussein | Eric Rodwell | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 44 | Michael Kamil | Michael Moss | 13,000 | Zimmermann |
| 45 | Simon DeWijs | Bauke Muller | 25,000 | Diamond |
| 46 | Geir Helgemo | Tor Helness | 49,000 | Mahaffey |
| 48 | Roy Welland | ChrisWillenken | 17,000 | Rogoff |
| 49 | Marc Bompis | Jean-Christophe Quantin | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 50 | Drew Casen | Mike Passell | 16,000 | Goren |

## The Pairs - Session 1

## by PhillipAlder

The Invitational Pairs had an interesting start, with tough deals.

The first two were quasi-slams for North-South. On Board I, Geir Helgemo and Tor Helness bid and made six clubs when the defenders did not cash their two top heart tricks. But ten pairs went down in the slam.

And on Board 2, Sam Lev and Jacek Pszczola made six hearts when the lead wasn't a diamond.

Then came ...

|  | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Board 3 | -K87432 |  |  |
| Dlr:South | ¢A 4 |  |  |
| Vul: E-W | $\checkmark$ - |  |  |
|  | *AQ962 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| A Q 106 | -195 |  |  |
| ¢1083 | 8K965 |  |  |
|  |  | $\diamond$ Q 1095 |  |
| +107 |  |  |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - - |  |  |
|  | QQJ 72 |  |  |
|  | 勺18432 |  |  |
|  | +K543 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Cheek | Fredin | Grue | Fallenius |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond(\mathrm{a})$ | 14 | Dble | Pass |
| INT | 38 | Pass | 4e |
| Pass | 4 | Pass | 520 |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

(a) Two-plus diamonds, II-I5 points

The auction seemed to call for a trump lead, but Joe Grue selected the diamond ten. (Not that a trump lead defeats the contract by force.) Curtis Cheek falsecarded by playing his ace, and Peter Fredin ruffed.

Declarer trumped a spade in the dummy, then thought for a long time. Finally, he ruffed a diamond in his hand, trumped a spade in the dummy, and ran the heart queen to East's king.

Again, I expected Grue to lead a trump (not that it works even now), but he returned a diamond.

North ruffed, cashed his heart ace, trumped a spade, threw a spade on the heart jack, and crossruffed three more tricks for his contract.

Plus 400 was worth 96 imps to the Swedes.

| Board 4 |  | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4K109642 |  |
| Dlr:West |  | $\bigcirc 963$ |  |
| Vul: Both |  | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond 55 \\ & \qquad 53 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| West |  |  | East |
|  |  |  | -187 |
| QQJ75 |  |  | 882 |
| $\diamond$ AKQ 8 |  |  | $\diamond 9642$ |
| -98 |  |  | \& A 74 |
| South |  |  |  |
| - 3 |  |  |  |
|  |  | QAK 104 |  |
|  |  | $\diamond 1073$ |  |
|  |  | -KQ1062 |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Zia | Levin | Garner | Weinstein |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $3>$ | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | Pass | Pass |

East could not bid two diamonds, which would have shown at least game-invitational values; and not wanting to respond one notrump, he settled for a pre-emptive three diamonds. (Helness so disliked those options that he passed! Bauke Muller, South, balanced with two clubs, Helgemo doubled, and Helness jumped to three diamonds, which was passed out. Simon De Wijs, North, led a club, South winning and shifting to his singleton spade. If declarer had won with his ace and drawn trumps, he would have made his contract. But he finessed. Then it went spade king, spade ruff, heart ace-king for down one, which gave North-South 125 imps .)

Back at Zia's ranch, against three notrump, Bobby Levin (North) led a low spade. Declarer won with dummy's jack, played a heart to his queen, then ran the club eight, Steve Weinstein (South) winning deceptively with his queen. South shifted to a low diamond, West winning with his king and cashing the ace before running the club nine. South produced the ten, which gave this end-position:

|  | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | ¢K10964 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 93$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ - |  |
|  | 2- |  |
| West |  | East |
| A Q |  | - 87 |
| QJ75 |  | $\bigcirc 8$ |
| $\checkmark$ Q 8 |  | $\checkmark 96$ |
| \%- |  | $\pm$ AJ |
|  | South |  |
|  | - - |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK 10 |  |
|  | $\diamond 10$ |  |
|  | ¢K62 |  |

The defense has taken two tricks. Looking at all four hands, how can South defeat the contract? And if South leads his last diamond, what can happen?

I'll leave you to mull that over for a moment.


What a trap deal! Six clubs by East looks great, but South leads his singleton spade, then gets a spade ruff at trick three.

I heard of two pairs who were warned when South made a lead-directing double of a four-diamond bid. Since at both of those tables West was going to be the declarer in clubs, one would have thought that the Easts would have been cautious. But no - they blasted into slam and went down.
Now back to the problem set a moment ago. Weinstein could have defeated three notrump for sure by cashing a high heart to void dummy of the suit, then leading his
last diamond. But when he led his diamond immediately, Zia could have cashed the spade ace, squeezing South into submission.

However, Zia led a low heart from his hand. South took his three tricks in the suit for down one.

Plus 100 gave North-South 129 imps. Plus 600 would have given East-West 167 imps . These swings are huge.

On Board 9 three pairs (Ashley Bach-Michael Cornell, Boye Brogeland-Artur Malinowski, and Billy Cohen-Ron Smith) snuck through six spades. Maria and Manuel Capucho faced accurate defense from Sjoert Brink and Bas Drijver to go down one.

## A Declarer-Play Problem by Phillip Alder

Let us momentarily return to the second session of the John Roberts Teams. These are your hands, rotated to make South the declarer:

Board 17
DIr:South
Vul: None
North

- 953
©KJ5 3
$\diamond$ QJ 8
\& Q 42
South
$\triangle A K Q 4$
$Q$ Q 962
$\diamond 32$
\& A 7
West North East South
INT (a)
Pass 24 (b) Pass 3\& (c)

Pass 3NT All Pass
(a) 15-17 points
(b) Usually a quantitative raise to two notrump (or perhaps long clubs, weak or strong)
(c) Maximum

West leads the diamond ace and continues with a low diamond to dummy's jack. When you call for the heart jack, East wins with his ace and shifts to the club ten. How would you continue?

The answer is on page 10.

Sitting East, you deal with neither side vulnerable and pick up:

4 AJ 2
ค9763
$\diamond 3$
\& 8762

| West | North | East <br>  <br>  <br> 18 | Dble |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | ?? |  |  |

What would you do?
We will return to that in a moment.
Can a bidding box offer a hint to a player? If you cannot imagine such a thing, Pepsi might disagree with you. Sitting East with only his side vulnerable, he picked up:

- QJ 9

『863
$\diamond$ AK 7
\& 1072

After two passes, he opened one club. Lefty made a takeout double, partner raised to two clubs, and righty made a responsive double. What would you have done?


Now back to the previous question, with the $3=4=1=5$ nine-count. Since partner is Ferdinand (third in hand), giving him some leeway might not be a bad plan. You could respond two notrump, Truscott, showing four-plus hearts and a maximum pass. If partner makes any sort of game-try, you will accept with alacrity.

I heard of some players who insisted on game, a couple via a four-club fit-showing jump, which surely ought to promise better clubs.

This was the full deal:

Board 14
Dlr: East
Vul: None

West
\& 8
©QJIO 82
$\diamond$ K 54
\& K 105

North
Q Q 643
PAK
$\diamond A 10986$
\& 43

|  | East |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Q A 2 |
|  | $\bigcirc 9763$ |
|  | $\checkmark 3$ |
|  | \& 4762 |
| South |  |
| ¢K 1095 |  |
| $\bigcirc 54$ |  |
| $\diamond$ QJ 72 |  |
| - QJ 9 |  |

- AJ 2

〇9763
$\diamond 3$
\& 8762
\& K 1095
$\bigcirc 54$
$\diamond$ QJ 72
\& QJ9

Of course,West did not have to open with his hand, but probably more than half the field did.

Four hearts is going down two and the opponents cannot make game on double-dummy defense.

At one table where East jumped to four clubs, South bid four spades, and after two passes, East doubled. West, not expecting this to be a pure penalty double and thinking they had a double fit, retreated to five hearts. This was doubled and down 500.

Four spades would probably have failed. West would lead a low club, and East would win and shift to his diamond. Then as long as East ducks one round of spades to see his partner play seven-eight as suit-preference for clubs, they would triumph. (If West had the heart ace and no club king, he would play his spades eight-seven.)

## Smoking Policy

There is no smoking in the hotel. Smoking is allowed in the Casino area and outside.

Now back to Pepsi's predicament. Perhaps you would expect him to pass, having such a flat hand. But he liked his fourth club and hoped to push the opponents too high. He decided to rebid three clubs. However, there was no three-club card in his bidding box! The box was trying to tell him something. He would not listen, though, and borrowed the three-club card from his screen mate, Alex Smirnov.

| This was the full deal: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North |  |  |
| Board 16 |  | -K5432 |  |  |
| Dlr:West |  | QQ 752 |  |  |
| Vul: E-W |  | $\diamond 106$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\because \mathrm{Q}$ |  |  |
| We |  |  |  | East |
| ¢ 7 |  |  |  | Q Q 9 |
|  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc 863$ |
|  | 9852 |  |  | $\checkmark$ AK 7 |
|  | 863 |  |  | \& A 1072 |
|  |  | South |  |  |
|  |  | A 10 | 86 |  |
|  |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{KJ}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark 43$ |  |  |
|  |  | ¢KJ |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |  |
| Lev | Piekarek | Pepsi | Smirnov |  |
| Pass | Pass | 1900000 | Dble |  |
| 2\% | Dble | 3\% | All Pass |  |

Alex Smirnov's double would not appeal to everyone, and Smirnov did very well not to compete at the threelevel.

Three clubs went down two, giving North-South 102 imps. True, if Pepsi had passed, maybe his opponents would have stopped in two spades and still gained 46 imps, but perhaps after South rebid two spades, North would have raised. Three spades down one would have been plus 39 imps for East-West.

Board I8 was a slam deal that almost the whole field bid. Not so the very next deal:


Only nine out of 23 pairs got to six hearts. This was one successful sequence:

| North | South |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bertens | Mohan |
|  | 18 |
| 18 | $2 \varnothing$ |
| 39 | $3 N T$ |
| 58 | 68 |
| Pass |  |

That was worth 153 imps .

## Write for the Bulletin

We're looking for oustanding bridge deals on bidding and play. Please include your name and session/bd number. You may submit the deals to Phillip Alder in person or email to phillipalder@prodigy.net.

## Appeals Announcement

Appeals of tournament directors' rulings (in all events) will be handled in the following manner. Any director's ruling will be reconsidered (at the request of either side) by filing a timely request for reconsideration with the Director in Charge. Said request for reconsideration shall be in writing and must set forth in sufficient detail the reason(s) why the filing party believes that the ruling was incorrect.
Upon receipt of a request for reconsideration the tournament directing staff, along with whomever else the staff wishes to consult, will reconsider the ruling and render a decision. The directors may request a hearing when there are facts in dispute, but are not required to do so.

ChrisWillenken and Roy Welland got six hearts through on Board 21 to gain 291 imps. And the first deal of the next round was dynamite.

Board 22
Dlr: East
Vul: E-W

West
\& 53
®K 3
$\diamond$ KJ 754
\& Q 874

North
AAK 10964
ค 106
$\diamond-$

* KJ 1063


## East

Q
คAJ 9752
$\diamond$ A Q 863
2
South

- J 872

คQ 84
$\diamond 1092$
2A95

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greco | Fredin | Hampson | Fallenius |
|  |  | 18 | Pass |
| INT | 49 | $5 \diamond$ | 54 |
| $6 \diamond$ | 68 | Dble | All Pass |

Fredin took out insurance when he bid six spades. He knew six diamonds could well be failing, but maybe it was laydown, and six spades would either be cheap or make.

The defenders took the first two tricks in hearts, then West shifted to a diamond.

Declarer ruffed, cashed his spade ace, played a spade to dummy's eight, cashed the club ace, and immediately led a club to his jack. He was confident that East was at least 6-5 in the red suits to bid five diamonds.

Thus six spades doubled went down only one, but it still gave East-West 167 imps. One North-South pair made six spades doubled to gain 298 imps . There was also seven plus 650 s. The best East-West result was five diamonds doubled and made by Glenn Grotheim and Ulf Tundal for 335 imps .

## Bridgemate Scoring

We have had a lot of score corrections after the sessions. To date, all of the corrections should have been recognized at the table and fixed before sending the scores to the main computer. E-W, please take time to check the scores before you hit "OK".

Then next board was a dull game, but then came an interesting defensive problem (rotated to make South the declarer):

|  | North ${ }^{\text {Q }}$ Q 10762 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Board 24 |  |  | Q Q 10762 |
| Dlr:North | QQJ 976 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\diamond 108$ |  |  |
| ¢ 7 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | East |
|  |  |  | - A 98 |
|  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ A 1054 |
|  |  |  | $\checkmark 2$ |
|  |  |  | AK 1092 |
| West | North | East | South |
| Fallenius | Greco | Fredin | Hampson |
|  | Pass | 1\% (a) | $1 \diamond$ |
| INT | Dble (b) | Redble | $2 \diamond$ |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | 21 |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |
| (a) Could be only | a doublet |  |  |
| (b) Takeout |  |  |  |

West leads the spade jack and dummy plays low. Plan the defense.


This was the next deal:

(a) Could be only two cards
(b) Negative, but not guaranteeing four hearts
(c) A good three-card raise to two spades

Once Drew Casen had limited his hand with two spades and Mike Passell had shown a diamond suit, Casen made an excellent three-heart cue-bid to announce his maximum. Then Passell had no hesitation in bidding four spades.

Willenken, anticipating a double fit, sacrificed in five clubs. Although this proved expensive, going down four for minus 800, it turned out that plus 650 (or 680) was already big for East-West.

Plus 800 was worth 193 imps , but plus 650 would have been plus 137 imps , and 680 would have gained 150 imps .

## No Electronic Devices

Absolutely no electronic devices capable of sending or receiving signals (other than hearing aids) may be brought into the playing rooms under any circumstances. This applies to kibitzers as well as players. You may leave your electronic devices at the check-in table; however, WBP will not be held responsible for lost items.

Now back to the defensive problem against two spades doubled:

```
North
Q Q 10762
QQJ976
\(\diamond 108\)
\& 7
East
4 A 98
คA 1054
\(\diamond 2\)
- AK 1092
```

Suppose you win with your spade ace and return a spade. Declarer wins with his king, partner following with the three. Then South runs the heart eight, partner signaling with the three (upside-down count).After winning with your ten, what would you do?

If you prefer to duck the first trick, declarer wins with his king and runs the heart eight to your ten. You are back on lead.

With both sides vulnerable, you deal and pick up:
\& K 6

- K 54
$\diamond 54$
\&A98542
Would you open one club or pass?


## Third After Two Sessions



Geir Helgemo


Tor Helness

Here is the full deal on the defensive problem:

| Board 24 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢Q 10762 |  |
| Dlr:North | ¢QJ976 |  |
| Vul: None | $\diamond 108$ |  |
|  | ¢ 7 |  |
| West |  | East |
| ¢ J 3 |  | - A 98 |
| ¢K32 |  | $\bigcirc$ A 1054 |
| $\diamond$ QJ 65 |  | $\checkmark 2$ |
| Q Q 54 |  | - AK 1092 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - K 54 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 8$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AK 9753 |  |
|  | \% 863 |  |

To beat two spades doubled, you must tap the dummy by playing two rounds of clubs. You can win the first trick and return a trump; or duck the first trick, take the second with the heart ten and (optional) cash the spade ace, but you must now play two rounds of clubs, leaving declarer with insufficient trumps to establish and cash the hearts.

Also, suppose the play goes spade to the ace, spade, heart to the ten, club, club ruffed, heart queen run to West's king, club ruffed, and the heart jack. You must cover. Then, when declarer ruffs and plays off his top diamonds, you must discard on the second, not ruff.

What did Fredin do?
He ducked the first spade, won with his heart ten and cashed the club king, but then shifted to his singleton diamond.

Now if Hampson had won with his ace and continued with the diamond king, he would have been in control (but that was hard to realize). Instead, declarer immediately played another spade. This gave Fredin a second chance to force the dummy with a club, but he returned his last spade. Now Hampson could just concede two heart tricks.

Plus 470 was worth 272 imps for HampsonGreco. However, minus 100 would still have given 99 imps to the Americans. You might have noticed that the Swedes are laydown for three notrump, which was reached by 13 pairs.

If the last four sessions are as exciting, everyone will be exhausted come Sunday afternoon.

## A Declarer-Play Problem Answer

This was the full deal:

Board 17
DIr:South
Vul: None

West

- 62
$\bigcirc 874$
$\diamond$ AK 106
\& 8653
South
- AKQ4
-Q962
$\diamond 32$
\& A 7

| West | North | East | South <br> INT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | (a) |

(a) 15-17 points
(b) Usually a quantitative raise to two notrump (or perhaps long clubs, weak or strong)
(c) Maximum

After the diamond ace, a diamond to dummy's jack, the heart jack to East's ace, and the club-ten shift, it looks too dangerous to take the club finesse. If it loses, you will concede (at least) one spade, one club and three diamonds. And you could well have nine top tricks via four spades, three hearts, one diamond and one club.

At least, that is what declarer thought. He won with his club ace and could no longer make the contract.

Who found that great club shift? Geoff Hampson. He knew declarer had the ace-jack of clubs for his three-club rebid, so things were desperate.

At the other table, East returned a diamond. But afterWest took his two tricks, declarer was able to try the spades and, when they did not break 3-3, fall back on the club finesse. (No doubtWest wished he had led the diamond ten at trick two. Then East could have won the fourth round of diamonds and led a club. But that play could have been very silly.)

This must be a candidate for the Defense of the Year award from the International Bridge Press Association.


## Schedule of Bevents

## Saturday, May 8, 2010

| 9:00am | Breakfast | La Sirena (Grand Ballroom Level) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9:30am | Auction, WBP Pairs |  |  |
| 10:30am | CIP 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Session | Estancia Ballroom | (27 Boards) |
|  | WBP Pairs 1 |  |  |
| 4: Session | La Cascada |  |  |
| 4:00pm | CIP 3rd Session | Estancia Ballroom | (27 boards) |
|  | WBP Pairs 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Session | La Cascada |  |

Sunday, May 9, 2010

| 10:30am | Final Session CIP | Estancia Ballroom |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TBA on Sat | Final Session WBP Pairs | La Cascada |
| 3:00pm | Closing Party | Lobby Bar \& Terrace |

## 2009 WBP Pairs Winners



2009 WBP Pairs Awards
Auction Pool Players Pool
\$6,944 \$3,674
\$4,862
\$2,572
\$2,084
\$1,104
3rd Session Award \$1,000

Leo Bell \& John Jones

## The world-wide standard in scoring

The Bridgemate II is the latest addition to the popular and widely-used Bridgemate Scoring System. Bridgemates are used by more than 2,500 clubs in $35+$ countries; it is the premier scoring system of 25 national bridge organizations as well as the World Bridge Federation and European Bridge League. Built on a ten-year track record of proven reliability, Bridgemate II offers even more functionality and user-friendliness, all in a modern and slim design.

The Bridgemate keyboard has large keys which makes it easy to use. Each button clearly indicates its function. The display uses large characters to show texts.
Bridgemate.US

