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## It's Moving Day in the Cavendish Invitational Pairs

| 1 | 2220.00 | Sjoert Brink - Bas Drijver |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1852.00 | Robert Levin - Steve Weinstein |
| 3 | 1703.00 | Ionut Coldea - Cornell Teodorescu |
| 4 | 1268.00 | Robert Blanchard - Shane Blanchard |
| 5 | 1254.00 | Russell Ekeblad - Jan Jansma |
| 6 | 1225.00 | Jeff Meckstroth - Roy Welland |
| 7 | 1191.00 | Michel Bessis - Thomas Bessis |
| 8 | 1058.00 | Jacob Morgan - Michael Polowan |
| 9 | 938.00 | Billy Cohen - Ron Smith |
| 10 | 894.00 | Geoff Hampson - Eric Rodwell |
| 11 | 867.00 | Doug Doub - Adam Wildavsky |
| 12 | 856.00 | Martit Fleisher - Chip Martel |
| 13 | 694.00 | Fred Gitelman - Brad Moss |
| 14 | 633.00 | Erik Saelensminde - Jan-Peter Svendsen |
| 15 | 622.00 | Pablo Lombardi - Juan Carlos Ventin |
| 16 | 549.00 | Jacek Pszczola - Jerzy Zaremba |
| 17 | 394.00 | Paul Lewis - Linda Lewis |
| 18 | 391.00 | John Diamond - Jim Krekorian |

1 2220.00 Sjoert Brink - Bas Drijver
21852.00 Robert Levin - Steve Weinstein

3 1703.00 Ionut Coldea - Cornell Teodorescu
4 1268.00 Robert Blanchard-Shane Blanchard
5 1254.00 Russell Ekeblad - Jan Jansma
$6 \quad 1225.00$ Jeff Meckstroth - Roy Welland
7 1191.00 Michel Bessis - Thomas Bessis
81058.00 Jacob Morgan - Michael Polowan

9 938.00 Billy Cohen - Ron Smith
10 894.00 Geoff Hampson - Eric Rodwell
11 867.00 Doug Doub - Adam Wildavsky
12 856.00 Martin Fleisher - Chip Martel
13 694.00 Fred Gitelman - Brad Moss
14 633.00 Erik Saelensminde - Jan-Peter Svendsen
15 622.00 Pablo Lombardi - Juan Carlos Ventin
$16 \quad 549.00$ Jacek Pszczola - Jerzy Zaremba
18391.00 John Diamond - Jim Krekorian
19373.00 Geir Helgemo - Tor Helness

20 339.00 Marc Bompis - Jean Quantin
21 332.00 David Berkowitz - Bill Pollack
22 296.00 Fu Zhong - Jie Zhao
23 260.00 Bart Bramley - John Kranyak
24 243.00 Paul Chemla - Michel Lebel
25 169.00 Neil Chambers - John Schermer
26 118.00 Gary Cohler - Sam Lev
27 86.00 Michael Rosenberg - Christal Henner
$28 \quad 81.00$ Per Erik Austberg - Jon-Egil Furunes
29 -6.00 Richard Jedrychowski - Wojtek Olanski
30 -29.00 Michael Elinescu - Entscho Wladow
31 -47.00 Apolinary Kowalski - Vitas Vainikonis
32 -103.00 Chris Compton - Bob Hamman
33 -173.00 Knut Blakset - Mathias Bruun
34-199.00 Seymon Deutsch - Jaggy Shivdasani
35 -217.00 Albert Faigenbaum - Romain Zaleski
$36-351.00$ Peter Bertheau - Fredrik Nystrom

## 2007 WBP Pairs Winners



Hemant Lall \& Ira Chorush

## Cavendish Invitational Auction Pool Hits $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 2 0 0 , 0 0 0 !}$



Bob Blanchard


George Jacobs

The 2008 Cavendish Invitational Auction was a huge success hitting $\$ 1,200,000$. For the second year, the Cavendish Inviational Auction began with a pre-auction resulting in a higher starting price for the auctioned pairs.

The pre-action, combined with many new faces in the bidder's gallery, resulted in reaching 1.2 million dollars.
The delicious food and open bar set the stage for a lively evening. Bob Blanchard, WBP Principal, kicked the auction off with a short history of the Cavendish.
George Jacobs once again served as the auctioneer and with his enthusiam, you could feel the excitement in the air. Last year's winners, Bobby Levin and Steve Weinstein, went for an all-time Cavendish record of $\$ 65,000$ purchased by Ramain Zaleski. Not to be out done, Geir Helegmo and Tor Helness went off for $\$ 74,000$ bought by Ruun Hauge.
The WBP would like to extend a special thanks to Jim Mahaffey, Pierre Zimmerman, Romain Zaleski, Ruun Hauge, Bruce Rogoff, Adam Wildavsky, Connie Goldberg, Linda Lewis, Barry Goren, Charles Wigoder, Frank Multon, Stig Farholt and Roy Welland. Thanks also to all of the unnamed bidders who participated in the auction and contributed to its great success.

## Appeals Announcement

Appeals of tournament directors' rulings (in all events) will not be handled in the same fashion as in previous years. Any director's ruling will be reconsidered (at the request of either side) by filing a timely request for reconsideration with the Director in Charge. Said request for reconsideration shall be in writing and must set forth in sufficient detail the reason(s) why the filling party believes that the ruling was incorrect.
Upon receipt of a request for reconsideration the tournament directing staff, along with whomever else the staff wishes to consult, will reconsider the ruling and render a decision. The directors may request a hearing when there are facts in dispute, but are not required to do so.

| Cavendish Invitational Pairs Overall Awards |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Position | Auction Pool | Player Pool |
| $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | \$275,240 | \$28,812 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 176,940 | 18,522 |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 117,960 | 12,348 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | 88,470 | 9,260 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ | 78,640 | 8,232 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | 68,810 | 7,204 |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | 58,980 | 6,174 |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ | 49,150 | 5,144 |
| $9^{\text {th }}$ | 39,320 | 4,116 |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | 29,490 | 3,088 |


| Cavendish Invitational Pairs Session Awards |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From Auction Pool to Owners |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ession |  |  |
| $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ 2,500 | 4,000 | 6,500 | 12,500 | 20,000 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 2,500 | 5,000 | 9,500 | 12,000 |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ |  | 2,500 | 5,000 | 8,000 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ |  |  | 2,500 | 5,000 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ |  |  |  | 2,500 |
| From Player's Pool to Players |  |  |  |  |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ |  |  |  | 5,000 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ |  |  |  | 3,000 |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ |  |  |  | 2,500 |

## 2008 Cavendish Invitational Auction

Casen - Passell
Bertheau - Nystrom
Cohen - Smith
Zhong - Zhao
Hampson - Rodwell
Cohler - Lev
Fleisher - Martel
Bessis - Bessis
Bramley - Kranyak
Fallenius - Fredin
Bathurst - Lall
Multon - Zimmermann
Henner-Welland - Rosenberg
Austberg - Furunes
Meckstroth - Welland
Stewart - Woolsey
Mahmood - Wigoder
Lewis - Lewis
Coldea - Teodorescu
Chemla - Lebel
Berkowitz - Pollack
Rogoff - Verhees
Jacobs - Katz
Blakset-Bruun
Levin - Weinstein
Doub - Wildavsky
Saelensminde - Svendsen
Elinescu - Wladlow
Morgan - Polowan
Compton - Hamman
Cayne - Versace
Blanchard - Blanchard
Lambardi - Ventin
Kowalski - Vainikonis
Gitelman - Moss
Levy - Mouiel
Brogeland - Shugart
Buchalter - Zur Campanille
Faigenbaum - Zaleski
Brink - Drijver
Mittelman - Ozdil
Deutsch - Shivdasani
Pszczola - Zaremba
Ekeblad - Jansma
Bompis - Quantin
Kaminski - Levit
Jedrychowski - Olanski
Diamond - Krekorian
Helgemo - Helness
Lungu - Savin
Altschuler-Birman
Chambers - Schermer
Grue - Wolpert
ElAhmady - Sadek
\$25,000
\$30,000
\$20,000
\$36,000
\$50,000
\$29,000
\$24,000
\$23,000
\$16,000
\$42,000
\$18,000
\$12,500
\$13,000
\$13,000
\$35,000
\$12,500
\$12,500
\$12,500
\$12,500
\$32,000
\$16,000
\$18,000
\$12,500
\$17,000
\$65,000
\$13,000
\$27,000
\$14,000
\$13,000
\$27,000
\$20,000
\$12,500
\$12,500
\$12,500
\$40,000
\$20,000
\$12,500
\$12,500
\$12,500
\$35,000
\$16,000
\$12,500
\$26,000
\$19,000
\$27,000
\$12,500
\$12,500
\$12,500
\$74,000
\$12,500
\$12,500
\$17,000
\$28,000
\$37,000

Lewis
Zimmerman
Welland
Lewis
Mahaffey
Wigoder
Zimmerman
Multon
Welland
Farholt
Welland
Pair
Wildavsky
Hauge
Zaleski
Pair
Pair
Pair
Pair
Zaleski
Rogoff
Wigoder
Pair
Farholt
Zaleski
Zaleski
Hauge
Wigoder
Wildavsky
Diamond
Mahmood
Pair
Pair
Pair
Zimmerman
Farholt
Pair
Pair
Pair
Zaleski
Goren
Pair
Zimmerman
Goldberg
Zaleski
Pair
Pair
Pair
Hauge
Pair

Pair
Welland
Zimmerman
Wigoder

## 2008 Cavendish Invitational Pairs Session I

The early rounds of Session One are a good time to test the water and see if your luck is in. Bramley/Kranyak duly bid a marginal slam, and dared their opponents to beat them.

## Bd: 3 Dlr: S Vul: E-W

## North

S. A 3
H. A Q 1043
D. AJ 92
C. J 10

## West

S. K Q 109

## East

H. K J 95
S. 65
D. Q 65
D. 108743
C. 43
C. 87652

South
S. J 8742
H. 762
D. K
C. A K Q 9

Bramley Kranyak
1S
2H 3 H
4D* 5C
5S 6H

* Serious slam-try

The slam looks virtually impossible to make on a spade lead, and indeed, Coldea and Fu were both doubled in 6H here for the spade lead. Fu received a diamond lead. Coldea a spade lead. Coldea won and played three rounds of clubs, ruffed and overruffed, then a diamond to the DK , a heart to the HQ, HA and now needed to ruff out the DQ in three rounds to make. His luck was in. But a better approach is to unblock the DK at trick two, then lead winning clubs, overruff the third club and ruff a diamond. Now you lead the fourth club. When West ruffs in, you pitch the spade, and can later ruff a diamond to dummy to take the heart finesse. On the diamond lead, Fu and Bramley won in dummy, led a heart to the 10 , ruffed a diamond and led a heart to the HQ. Now they could give up a trump and claim 12 tricks.

Bd: $5 \quad$ Dlr: $\mathrm{N} \quad$ Vul: $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$
North
S. A Q J 8
H. K Q 104
D. K 962
C. 2

## West

S. 107643

East
H. 95
-
D. Q 1054
H. J 82
C. K 8
C. A 1097543

South
S. K 952
H. A 763
D. J 8
C. Q J 6

| Helgemo | Martel | Helness | Fleisher |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1D | 2C | X |
| Pass | 3C | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | 4C | Pass | 4H |

All Pass

Hands up everyone who trusts their partner - I mean REALLY trusts them! At the table I was watching Helgemo hit on the S3 lead knowing the opponents had a 4-4 fit in both majors. Fleisher put in the SJ and followed with the S2 as Helness ruffed. Now should Tor underlead in clubs? I think so: Helgemo would not lead the S3 for suit preference with only CQ. (He knows that it is not an entry since declarer has promised a top club.)
Helness did not see it that way. He cashed the CA and played another club and only collected +50 (and even that needed his partner to produce very good diamonds). Anyone who could bid the N/S cards to 3NT will be leading the field in 130 boards time!


Geir Helgemo

## Bd: $7 \quad$ Dlr: S Vul: Both

## North

S. K 9843
H. 92
D. 93
C. K Q J 8

West
S. A J 6
H. 4
D. K Q 5
C. 1096542

South
S. Q 752
H. A K Q 86
D. A
C. A 73

The wildness continued.

| Woolsey | Pszczola | Stewart | Zaremba <br> 1C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | 1S | 3D | 4D |
| 5D | Pass | Pass | $6 S$ |

Over Pszczola's forcing pass to 5D, Zarembra had enough extras to drive to slam. Stewart led the D2, suggesting the club void. Pepsi won and now knew he needed Stewart to have bare SJ or S10 or else there would be a club ruff. He advanced the SQ from dummy and now could not get trumps wrong with no club ruff coming.
The inference might seem clear but it was one that was drawn by remarkably few declarers. In fact, Pepsi was the only declarer to make slam. At least one played 6Sxx, down one, turning over +600 imps in the process.


Tor Helness

Bd: 13 Dlr: N Vul: Both

North
S. A 72
H. Q 76
D. A K 1098
C. 52

## West

S. 85
H. 10954
D. 765
C. J 763

## South

S. Q 109
H. A K J 832
D.
C. Q 1084

A number of declarers demonstrated that they did not know the difference between the improbable and the impossible. They played 4H as South after a 1S overcall on their right, and correctly took the SA at trick one. Now what? If trumps are not $4-0$, you can draw trumps ending in dummy and play a spade to the SQ.
But a better approach I believe is to take the DAK (pitching a spade) and play a club from dummy. Even if the defenders get a trump promotion in spades, you can surely arrange to ruff back to hand in diamonds and use the HQ to make a second club play from dummy, and eventually trump a club in dummy. If you play a heart at trick two you are doomed, as the cards lie.

## Bridgemate.us Joe Steele

For the first time in Cavendish History, Bridgemate Pro is used to score the game. There are no pick-up slips for caddies to deliver to the directors and no scores for the directors to enter into the computer. All of the scoring is done by the players at the table!

Bd: 18 Dlr: E

## North

S. A K J
H. 962
D. A 9
C. J 10654

Vul: N-S

## East

S. 108765432
S. Q 9
H. K Q 53
H. J
D. K Q J 643
D. 752
C. 2
C. 8

## South

S. -
H. A 10874
D. 108
C. A K Q 973

Brad Moss played 6C here (4S-5C-Pass-6C). On the DK lead, Moss won and ruffed a spade, drew the trump with the CK and led a club to the dummy, cashed the spade winners and ruffed a diamond. Since his RHO had pitched the HJ, he could exit from hand with a low heart now and claim the contract.
Should East have pitched the HJ? Declarer's decision to play two rounds of trumps and allow him to discard perhaps argues against it (if declarer had HA87xx he would surely not have given you the chance to unblock a stiff heart honor). Had East not pitched his HJ, declarer would have had to guess if East had a singleton heart honor or doubleton double honor in hearts.
Brink and Drijver were having a huge set - this board turned over a massive swing in their favor. After a 4 S opening by Drijver, the opponents bid to 6C. Brink saved in 6S and the opponents bid on to 7C, doubled and down 200, instead of collecting 1100.


## 2008 Cavendish Invitational Pairs Session II

Bd: 3<br>Dlr: S<br>Vul: E-W

North
S. J 72
H. K Q 1075
D. 43
C. A K 3

## West

S. Q 94
H. J 9843

East

## D. 9

S. K 863
H. 2
C. 8764
C. J 95

## South

S. A 105
H. A 6
D. AK 652
C. Q 102

The job of a Bulletin Editor is, alas, criminally underpaid. The only way one can scrape a marginally less than honest crust is by blackmailing the players - and of course when we get to see or hear all the results, nothing can be swept under the carpet. So today's problem is to guess which declarer climbed to the Goldilocks level of 4NT (not too low - not too high) on a diamond lead, and missed the $100 \%$ safety play.
You simply cash the HA and lead to the H10, ensuring 10 winners. Even after the misguess, declarer could have recovered (cross to a top diamond to lead a spade to the jack and get a spade-heart endplay at the end). But not surprisingly declarer was unable to recover from his earlier misplay and emerged with only 9 tricks.
At another table, Vainikonis opened 1NT and heard his partner bid 2D, transfer to hearts. When this got doubled, he redoubled, and his partner wrapped up 9 tricks for the unusual non-vulnerable score of +760 .

## Leaders of the Pack!

Sjoert Brink and Bas Drijver
are leading the field after two sessions of the 2008 Cavendish Invitational Pairs

## Bd: 8 <br> Dlr: W Vul: None

## North

S. 1082
H. 874
D. A K 942
C. J 6

## West

S. 975
H. A K Q J 6
D. J 76
C. 104

## South

S. A 43
H. 10
D. Q 853
C. A Q 973

Both Bramley and Kranyak found themselves with tough decisions here after 1H P 4H. Kranyak as South bravely doubled (we'd call it foolhardily if partner had a flat Yarbrough). Bramley bid 5D and was doubled there. On a trump lead, he won in hand and advanced the CJ, correctly covered and Bramley drew a second trump. Now his RHO was surely $4-4-1-4$ so he made the percentage but unsuccessful play of a club to the C9. Down one. Since many tables played 4H down -50 this was only a loss to par -but still painful!

## Bd: 11 Dlr: S Vul: None

## North

S. K 4
H. J 985
D. 1064
C. 10763

## West

S. A Q 85
H. 104
D. A K Q 8

## East

S. J 63
H. K 72
D. J 97
C. A 95
H. 9532
C. K 852

## East

S. K Q J 6
textbooks!
Kevin Bathurst played 3NT from the West seat after a 19-21 2NT opening. North found the H 5 lead to the queen and back came the S2 for the king. North now appears to have a textbook shift to the HJ pinning the H10, to live happily ever after...
No: In North's textbook the shift to the S2 had attitude connotations. A second spade meant declarer had 11 tricks instead of eight. (For this defense to be right declarer had to have erred by ducking trick one so perhaps continuing spades is unlikely ever to be right.)
Billy Cohen and Steve Weinstein declared 3NT from the other side (1D-1NT-3NT) on a low spade lead. They flew with the ace, cashed four diamonds, led the C9 to the CQ, a club to the ace and took the restricted choice position in clubs by finessing the C 8 for 9 tricks.
Jean Christophe Quantin was on lead to 3NT on the same auction with S109xx and HAQxx. He found an anti-systemic S9 lead (just trying to show a bad suit). Declarer also rose with the ace, cashed the diamonds, and found the opening leader to have three diamonds and surely no more than four hearts. So he misguessed clubs and now had only 8 tricks. Perhaps a better approach after winning the SA is to play on clubs via the finesse, before playing diamonds. If you misguess, you can still recover if either the SK or the HA is onside for you because you have retained your diamond entry to hand.

## Bd: 12 Dlr: W Vul: N-S

## North

S. 8
H. A 92
D. AK 765
C. J 743

## West

S. J 93
H. K 1083
D. 103
C. K Q 106

## East

S. A 107
H. J 754
D. 9842
C. 92

## South

S. K Q 6542
H. Q 6
D. Q J
C. A 85

This is another hand where the objective is to reach the right game, the right way up. Lambardi-Ventin managed it on the auction 1D-1S-2C-2H-3H-3NT. The 3H call showed
three or four cards with a positional stopper. On the H3 lead around to the HQ, declarer unblocked the DQJ and led the SQ to ensure his nine tricks.
Since the field played 4S down or 3NT from the wrong side on a heart lead, this was worth a bundle of imps.

Bd: 18 Dlr: E
Vul: N-S

## North

S. A 964
H. J 109
D. AK 765
C. 8

West
S. Q J 7
H. 7652

East
D. Q J 83
H. A K Q 43
C. A 5
D. 92
C. J 62

## South

S. 1053
H. 8
D. 104
C. K Q 109743

There is always a Bulletin prize for the pair reaching the worst contract of the event that cannot be defeated. Look at 4 H here on the lead of the CK. (Yes, a diamond lead sets the game so long as the defenders continue the suit - they did not against Coldea so this hand does not quite qualify for the award.) Declarer wins, draws three rounds of trump, knocks out the Spade ace. He wins the spade return, eliminates spades ending in hand, and leads a diamond to the DJ and DK. The defense can cash two diamonds and give declarer two discards for his clubs, or return a low diamond and give up on their diamond trick instead. All the game needs is 7-1 clubs and the three key cards with the singleton club. Herve Mouiel duly followed this line to bring home the game.


Bd: 21 Dlr: N Vul: N-S
North
S. A 83
H. J 98
D. Q 765
C. J 63

## West

## East

S. Q J 7542
S. 1096
H. A 104
H. K Q 65
D.
D. A 32
C. 10854
C. A 72

South
S. K
H. 732
D. K J 10984
C. K Q 9

Normally you need at least half the deck to invite game with a limit bid and make a forcing response. But distribution is a good compensation. Drijver-Brink are doing their best to take on the mantle of Meckwell.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Pass | 1C | 1D |
| 2C(1) | 3D | 3S(2) | Pass |
| 4 S | All Pass |  |  |

(1) Spades, limit+
(2) Forcing

So there they were in 4 S with the DA lead. Drijver found a good deceptive line; he ruffed the DJ, ran the SQ (just in case someone wanted to cover) and ruffed the diamond return to knock out the missing spade honor. He could then duck a club and play for a Club/Heart squeeze if the hearts were 4-2.
Levin-Weinstein defended 4 S by West on a diamond lead. Under the ace, Levin dropped the D4, suit preference. Weinstein's S3 was also suit preference for clubs so Levin shifted to the CK at trick three. Down one.

## Second in Line

for the top prize
after two sessions
(And last year's winners)
Steve Weinstein and Bobby Levin

## Cavendish Invitational Pairs \&WBP Pairs - Conditions of Contest, 2008

## 1A - Cavendish Pairs

There will be no more than 60 pairs entered. Play will consist of three-board rounds and there will be no more than 45 rounds.
1B — WPB Pairs
Format will be based upon the number of entries. Play will be conducted over three sessions.
For both events, the boards will be played simultaneously, barometer style, but scores will not be posted until the end of each session. Screens will be used in the Cavendish but not in the WBP.
2. The events will be conducted in accordance with the latest edition of the Laws of Duplicate Bridge (The Laws). Whenever the use of screens 3. precludes unauthorized information the Tournament Director may waive certain provisions of The Laws.
3. Absolutely no electronic devices capable of sending or receiving signals (other than hearing aids) may be brought into the playing rooms under any circumstances. This applies to kibitzers as well as players.
4. Players are required to be in their places at the announced starting times. A penalty of 50 IMPs will be assessed for each five-minute lateness segment, beginning 10 minutes after the starting time for first offenders, and for each five minutes of tardiness after the announced starting time for repeat offenders.
5. Discussion of boards during a round is prohibited. In each session a player making any remark that, in the judgment of a director might be overheard at another table, will be penalized 50 IMPs for the first offense, 100 IMPs for the second infraction, and 150 IMPs for each offense thereafter. These are automatic and not appealable.
6. Players are free to leave the playing area when they conclude each round, but are prohibited from comparing results in the playing area. Any pair detected doing so will be assessed automatic, non-appealable penalties as in 5 above.
7. For each three-board round, 25 minutes ( 17 minutes for two-board rounds) are allotted, plus a two-minute grace period. A new round may not be started until the previous round's play has been completed at all tables. Pairs failing to complete play within the allocated time will be given a written warning for a first offense. A second infraction will result in a 50 IMP penalty; subsequent offenses, up to 200 IMPs. The cumulative penalties for slow play will not exceed 300 IMPs in any single session. Penalties for slow play do not carry over to another session and are administered by the Chief Director, in consultation with the Tournament Committee. In the absence of a player's report to a Director regarding an opponent's slow play or the player himself, it shall be deemed that both pairs are equally at fault. Slow play penalties are not appealable.
8. It is strongly recommended that at trick one declarer take about 15 seconds before playing to the opening lead and that the player in third seat take about 10 seconds before playing. Thereafter, significant breaks in tempo before selecting small cards will be strongly discouraged.
9. The Alert Procedure is mandatory. Players shall alert their calls as they are made, and their partner's calls when the bidding tray is moved to their side of the table. It is the Alerter's responsibility to ensure that his screenmate realizes that an alert has been made. A player may ask for an explanation of a bid, IN WRITING at the appropriate turn to bid and play, and the answer must also be given IN WRITING. All bids or calls which have a conventional meaning (other than Stayman, Blackwood, strong and artificial 2-club openings) are subject to an alert. Each player shall have a convention card completely filled out and, if possible, a hard copy of all system notes available for inspection.
10. No pre-alerts are required for carding agreements, except that leading low from a doubleton must be pre-alerted. Any method of leads against suit or notrump contracts is permitted, but the partnership may play only one structure of honor and low card leads against suit contracts. A different structure of leads may be played in defense of a notrump contract, but only one method is allowed. Normal or upside-down signals and discards for attitude, count or suit preference are permitted, but variable, or encrypted, signals are not.
11. Any irregularity in the Alert procedure may result in score adjustments for Misinformation or Unauthorized Information. Both players are required to know their bidding agreements and to alert and explain their agreements properly and identically. The appropriate laws will be applied if damage to the opponents result therefrom, and even if no damage ensues from an alert infraction, a procedural penalty may be assigned. In general, players should assume that if no alert is made, no alertable call has been made. Therefore, if there is any doubt in a player's mind as to whether or not a call is alertable, the player should alert.
12. The North and South players control the bidding tray. The screenmate is permitted to make a screen huddle to normalize the tempo by removing the bid card from the bidding box, showing it to North or South, but withholding it from being placed in the tray.
13. Players (behind screens) should endeavor to place their bidding cards in the tray without creating sounds which would be heard on the opposite side of the screen.
14. In general, any convention or treatment that is familiar to the average tournament player, or can be explained to the average player within 10 seconds, is allowed. Methods of a destructive nature are not authorized, nor are the following:
a. Forcing or strong pass systems;
b. Multi 2 and similar conventional opening bids;
c. Two-suited weak two/three-bid openings which specify only one (or neither) of the suits held; anchor suit must contain at least five cards, except that two of a major showing that suit and a minor is permitted - even if the major is only a four card suit.
Preemptive bids that do not specify which suit is held;
Artificial bids or sequences that require a lengthy explanations;
Canapé style overcalls or opening bids if the first-bid suit may be shorter than four cards;
Any system, convention or treatment that would require a pre-alert (in ACBL parlance) and written suggested defenses.
Transfer openings and transfer responses, subject to the following exceptions:

1. Any transfer response structure to a notrump opening, overcall or rebid is permitted, as are transfer responses showing at least high-card game invitational values.
2. Transfer responses over a $1 *$ opening bid, as long as a 1 a response promises at least invitational values.
3. Each board will be scored by International Match Points as follows: each pair's score will be compared with every other score achieved by pairs in the same direction. The maximum swing on any single comparison will be 17 IMPs times the number of comparisons. Average-plus and averageminus scores will be calculated according to a pre-determined formula, as will the adjustment for a fouled board.
4. Any Director's ruling (other than penalties under sections 4, 5, 6 and 7) may be appealed to the Appeals Committee designated by the Tournament Committee. If a pair or team wishes to lodge an appeal, it must post US $\$ 50$ which will be forfeited if the Appeals Committee deems the appeal to be substantially without merit. Decisions of the Appeals Committee are not subject to further appeal; however see 20 below.
The Tournament Committee will decide on the acceptability of substitutes should the need arise.
5. 

Disciplinary penalties may be imposed by the Tournament Committee for violations of conduct by players or their guests.
18. Kibitzers will be permitted to enter the room only at the beginning of a round or match.
20. Any of the above notwithstanding, the Tournament Committee may take any action it deems necessary in the best interests of the event and its participants.

## Schedule of Events

| 9:00am | Saturday, May 10, 2008 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Breakfast | LaCascada |  |
| 9:30am | Auction, WBP Pairs |  |  |
| 10:30am | CIP 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Session | Estancia Ballroom | (27 Boards) |
|  | WBP Pairs $1^{\text {st }}$ Session | Grand Ballroom 3-4 |  |
| 4:00pm | CIP 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Session | Estancia Ballroom | (27 boards) |
|  | WBP Pairs $2^{\text {nd }}$ Session | Grand Ballroom 3-4 |  |
|  | Sunday, May 11, 2008 |  |  |
| 10:30am | Final Session CIP | Estancia Ballroom |  |
|  | Final Session WBP Pairs | Grand Ballroom 3-4 |  |
| 3:00pm | Closing Party | Lobby Bar Terraces |  |

## 2008 Cavendish Invitational Pairs Session II

## Bd: 23 Dlr: S Vul:Both

## North

S. Q J 1084
H. J
D. Q 73
C. 10983

West
S. K 32
H. A 10874
H. Q 32
D. 965
D. A J 1084
C. K 4
C. J 765

## South

S. A 976
H. K 965
D. K 2
C. A Q 2

> From the department of "If You Bid'em Up, Play‘em Up!"

Roy Welland as South opened a 14-16 notrump, broke the transfer to spades by jumping to 3S and was treated to the lead of the CK - necessary (but not sufficient) to give him a chance.
He won the CA and played the SA and another spade. West won the SK and shifted to the D9, round to declarer's K. A spade to dummy saw Welland take the chance to finesse in hearts (nothing personal, Jack and Fu). When the defender failed to cover the HJ with the HQ , declarer could take the club finesse and wrap up 10 tricks.
Now consider 4S on a low diamond lead round to south. Declarer leads a low heart from hand at once. What would
you play as west? If you duck you deserve to find declarer with HKQ - don't you? So you win HA; what next? Does partner have DA or CA. Brad Moss was left in no doubt when Fred Gitelman dropped the HQ under the ace - suit preference for the higher suit, diamonds. Well done!

Bd: 26 Dlr: E Vul: Both
North
S. K Q 98
H. 73
D. 9432
C. 1076

## West

S. A 74

## East

H. 86
H. A Q 10
D. J 1086
D. A K 7
C. A K Q J
C. 9542

## South

S. 1052
H. K J 9542
D. Q 5
C. 83

3NT by East on a heart lead is only about overtricks. It’s more fun on the challenging spade lead. Declarer ducks to North's SQ for the heart shift. It looks natural to finesse but if you do, you probably go down. The finesse loses, another spade comes back, and now unless you guess diamonds you are sunk.
But you can ensure the contract at trick two: Rise with the HA, cross to a club, and you can finesse diamonds into the safe hand. You get seven minor tricks and two aces, at the minimum.

No Electronic Devices in the Playing Area.

