## World Bridge Productions



Invitational

# Garner-Weinstein Lead Star-Studded Field in Y2K Cavendish Pairs 

No, not a couple of Steves. After the first two sessions, Steve Garner and Howard Weinstein are the leaders of the Y2K Cavendish Pairs. The Chicago-area pair finished second in each of yesterday's sessions to amass a score of 2163, 179 crossimps ahead of high-priced Bob Hamman and Zia Mahmood, who finished day one with an Orwellian score of 1984. Bob might be superstitious if he wasn't widely regarded as Big Brother himself. (Besides, Bob told your Daily Bulletin editors that he has several pairs of shoes that he bought in 1984, so his score is really just "karma.") In third place with 1644 are World Champions Billy Eisenberg and Freddie Hamilton. We have a message: "Smile, Freddie." Complete first-day standings and session places may be found on p .3.

"I haven't won this event since 1998, Zia, so l'm due.
And while I realize you're no Nick Nickell..."

## Special Notice: World Bridge Productions Pairs Pre-Auction

In order to generate interest and facilitate the live WBP Pairs auction, to be held on Saturday morning, May 13, provisions have been made to accept bids prior to the start of the live auction. Here's how it will work:

Arrayed around the Registration Desk (on the second floor of the Country Club, near the playing area) will be preliminary owners' cards for all expected participants. (Not all of these pairs may attend, but the vast majority is expected.) If you wish to bid on a pair you may do so by entering the amount of your bid on the pair's card
and signing your name opposite the bid amount (minimum bid = \$1000). These bids will be considered binding, just as if they been made in the live auction. If your bid is not topped in either the pre or live auctions you will become the owner of the pair and have all of the attendant rights and responsibilities. Remember, each pair must buy back $10 \%$ of their purchase price and may buy back up to $40 \%$ of themselves if they are so inclined.

Please confirm your bids with the appropriate parties at

## Conditions of Contest

In general, it is our intent to allow methods with which other contestants are expected to be familiar. It is also our intent to allow reasonable artificiality in auctions where the bidding side has guaranteed sufficient (high-card) values to invite game. If you have any questions about your own or your opponents' methods, the person to see is Barry Rigal.

## WBP Pairs Conditions of Contest

WBP Pairs contestants should be aware that the Conditions of Contest in effect for the Cavendish Pairs will also apply to that event, except that any details which are dependent on the number of tables (such as the total or average number of crossimps available on each board, or the movement) will be scaled back to take into account the smaller number of tables in the WBP event.

## Schedule

| Day | Time | Activity | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Saturday, May 13th | 11:00 AM | WBP Brunch (all invited) | Country Club, Fairway Rooms, $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor |
|  | 11:30 AM | WBP Auction | Country Club, Fairway Rooms, $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor |
|  | 12:30 PM | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Session, Pairs | Country Club, Ballrooms 3 \& 4 |
|  | 12:30 PM | $1^{\text {st }}$ Session, WBP Pairs | Country Club, Ballrooms 1 \& 2 |
|  | TBA | $4^{\text {th }}$ Session, Pairs | Country Club, Ballrooms 3 \& 4 |
|  | TBA | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Session, WBP Pairs | Country Club, Ballrooms 1 \& 2 |
| Sunday, May 14th | 12:00 PM | $5^{\text {th }}$ Session, Pairs | Country Club, Ballrooms 3 \& 4 |
|  | 12:00 PM | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Session, WBP Pairs | Country Club, Ballrooms 1 \& 2 |
|  | 5:30 PM | Awards Ceremony and Closing Cocktail Party | Country Club, Fairway Rooms, $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor |

## Entrants for the WBP Pairs (as of press time)

| 1 | Peter Friedland - David Siebert | 25 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | John Lantgen - Reese Milner | 26 |
| 3 | Jim M. Foster - Allen W. Hawkins Jr. | 27 |
| 4 | Richard Hunt - Mark Itabashi | 28 |
| 5 | Rob Crawford - Dan Jacob | 29 |
| 6 | Louise Childs - Gene Freed | 30 |
| 7 | Joe Elsbury - Esta Van Zandt | 31 |
| 8 | Jack Blair - Daniel Rotman | 32 |
| 9 | Stan Sather - Dennis Sorenson | 33 |
| 10 | Darryle Pedersen - R. Schwartz | 34 |
| 11 | Barry Schaffer - Colby Vernay | 35 |
| 12 | Peter Nagy - Joey Silver | 36 |
| 13 | Steve Beatty - Bernie Yomtov | 37 |
| 14 | K. Anand - Ghassan Menachi | 38 |
| 15 | Michael McNamara - David Yates | 39 |
| 16 | Geoorge Berger - Brian Glubok | 40 |
| 17 | Emil Dojaru - Sorin Pleacof | 41 |
| 18 | Wayne Chu - Leslie Amoils | 42 |
| 19 | Bill Roberts - John Roberts | 43 |
| 20 | Manuel Capucho - Maria João Lara | 44 |
| 21 | Phillip Becker - Kumar Bhatia | 45 |
| 22 | Venkatrao Koneru - Hemant Lall | 46 |
| 23 | Bill Jacobson - Keith Woolf | 47 |
| 24 | Bill Doroshow - Nate Ward | 48 |

```
Armand Barfus - Maurice Friedman
Russ Samuel - Sean Samuels
Christal Henner-Welland - Uday Ivatury
Ralph Cohen - Marvin Shapiro
Jonathan Greenspan - Beverly Perry
Leszek Rabiega - Edward Wojewoda
Alan Cokin - Jill Meyers
Cameron Doner - Mike Lucas
Steve Scott - Haig Tchamitch
Larry Cohen - Jill Levin
Srikanth Kodayam - Mark Ralph
Benito Garozzo - Rich Reisig
Bob Morris - Barbara Sion
Kurkowski - Roger Lord
Jurek Czyzowicz - Darren Wolpert
John Solodar - Judith Weisman
Sid Brownstein - Bill Wickham
Jack Coleman - Chris Compton
Rene Mancuso - Shawn Quinn
Joe Kivel - Jon Wittes
Petra Hamman - Nancy Passell
Disa Cheeks - Lynn Deas
Jim Robison - Jerry Weinstein
Michael Elinescu - Verone Lungu
```


## Cavendish Invitational Pairs Standings

| Current Place | Auction Rank | Pair | Score | Auction Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 13 | Steve Garner - Howard Weinstein | 2163 | 31,000 |
| 2 | 4/5 | Bob Hamman - Zia Mahmood | 1984 | 49,000 |
| 3 | 20/22 | Billy Eisenberg - Fred Hamilton | 1644 | 22,000 |
| 4 | 35/36 | Michael Courtney - Charles Wigoder | 1262 | 12,000 |
| 5 | 25/27 | Marty Fleisher - Eric Rodwell | 1235 | 17,000 |
| 6 | 28/31 | Drew Casen - Bill Pollack | 1014 | 16,000 |
| 7 | 10 | Curtis Cheek - Billy Miller | 896 | 37,000 |
| 8 | 41/50 | Chris Convery - Craig Gower | 712 | 10,000 |
| 9 | 4/5 | David Berkowitz - Larry Cohen | 695 | 49,000 |
| 10 | 20/22 | Billy Cohen - Ron Smith | 695 | 22,000 |
| 11 | 8/9 | Paul Chemla - Alain Levy | 690 | 40,000 |
| 12 | 32/33 | Michel Abecassis - Jean-Christophe Quantin | 646 | 15,000 |
| 13 | 41/50 | Seymon Deutsch - Paul Soloway | 577 | 10,000 |
| 14 | 11 | Mike Passell - Eddie Wold | 555 | 36,000 |
| 15 | 6 | Peter Fredin - Magnus Lindkvist | 502 | 44,000 |
| 16 | 24 | Bart Bramley - Sidney Lazard | 460 | 20,000 |
| 17 | 41/50 | Dan Morse - Adam Wildavsky | 361 | 10,000 |
| 18 | 19 | Sam Lev - Henry Mansell | 349 | 23,000 |
| 19 | 37/40 | Kerri Sanborn - Steve Sanborn | 313 | 11,000 |
| 20 | 23 | Boye Brogeland - Espen Erichsen | 208 | 21,000 |
| 21 | 3 | Norberto Bocchi - Giorgio Duboin | 198 | 51,000 |
| 22 | 41/50 | George Jacobs - Ralph Katz | 182 | 10,000 |
| 23 | 25/27 | Richie Schwartz - Alan Sontag | 134 | 17,000 |
| 24 | 25/27 | Russ Ekeblad - John Sutherlin | 110 | 17,000 |
| 25 | 28/31 | Bjorn Fallenius - Mike Moss | 96 | 16,000 |
| 26 | 1 | Robert Levin - Steve Weinstein | 5 | 55,000 |
| 27 | 37/40 | Sheila Ekeblad - Michael Seamon | -18 | 11,000 |
| 28 | 12 | Fred Gitelman - Brad Moss | -94 | 32,000 |
| 29 | 41/50 | Mike Albert - Marc Jacobus | -198 | 10,000 |
| 30 | 34 | Robert Blanchard - Jim Krekorian | -215 | 13,000 |
| 31 | 20/22 | Fred Stewart - Kit Woolsey | -220 | 22,000 |
| 32 | 28/31 | Michael Cornell - Lionel Wright | -267 | 16,000 |
| 33 | 41/50 | Andrzej Knap - Gerhard Schiesser | -355 | 10,000 |
| 34 | 41/50 | Aidan Ballantyne - Joe Jabon | -394 | 10,000 |
| 35 | 14/16 | Perry Johnson - Jeff Meckstroth | -415 | 26,000 |
| 36 | 37/40 | Grant Baze - Mike Whitman | -557 | 11,000 |
| 37 | 2 | Piotr Gawrys - Jacek Pszczola | -597 | 52,000 |
| 38 | 18 | Andy Robson - Rita Shugart | -637 | 23,500 |
| 39 | 14/16 | Roger Bates - Kyle Larsen | -758 | 26,000 |
| 40 | 7 | Gabriel Chagas - Geir Helgemo | -793 | 42,000 |
| 41 | 17 | Dano DeFalco - Guido Ferraro | -880 | 25,000 |
| 42 | 8/9 | Eric Greco - Geoff Hampson | -909 | 40,000 |
| 43 | 28/31 | Ishmael Del'Monte - Jason Hackett | -957 | 16,000 |
| 44 | 14/16 | Peter Boyd - Steve Robinson | -1032 | 26,000 |
| 45 | 41/50 | Richard Finberg - Mark Lair | -1075 | 10,000 |
| 46 | 37/40 | Simon DeWijs - Ricco van Prooijen | -1128 | 11,000 |
| 47 | 41/50 | Gary Cohler - Harry Tudor | -1212 | 10,000 |
| 48 | 35/36 | James Rosenbloom - Roy Welland | -1540 | 12,000 |
| 49 | 32/33 | Gaylor Kasle - George Steiner | -1554 | 15,000 |
| 50 | 41/50 | Chip Martel - Jan Martel | -1891 | 10,000 |

## Section Places

$1^{\text {st }}$ Session $2^{\text {nd }}$ Session

$\quad \mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$
Hamman-Mahmood
Courtney-Wigoder
$2^{\text {nd }}$
Garner-Weinstein
Garner-weinstein

$3^{\text {rd }}$<br>Casen-Pollack<br>Cheek-Miller

## The Cavendish Pairs: Day One

## Session 1:

Sometimes you can tell when it is not going to be your day. Two of the pre-tournament favorites (Ron Smith-Billy Cohen were third last year and Bobby Levin-Steve Weinstein walked off with the brass ring) were innocent bystanders as their opponents took them to the cleaners.

| Bd: 1 | 4 K |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | $\bigcirc$ A8 |  |
| Vul: None | $\diamond$ K10975 |  |
|  | 2 AKJ93 |  |
| Q QJ |  | - 85 |
| $\bigcirc 1092$ |  | $\bigcirc$ J7654 |
| $\checkmark$ QJ64 |  | $\checkmark 82$ |
| Q1072 |  | $\bigcirc 8654$ |
|  | \& A10976432 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ KQ3 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A3 |  |
|  | ¢ --- |  |

Michael Cornell-Lionel Wright sitting N/S bid: 1 $\diamond$-1 $\mathbf{~ ; ~ 3 ~ 3 - ~}$ 34; 4e-4NT; 54-74. The Grand Slam is obviously not a good contract, but the sun was shining and everything in the spade suit was as nice as possible. That was +1510 for the New Zealanders and for Seymon Deutsch-Paul Soloway as well.

When Perry Johnson-Jeff Meckstroth, a pair with a great record in the Cavendish pairs and Teams, took on Ishmael Del'Monte and Jason Hackett, they were confronted with a pair who were not afraid to use the red card (and we're not referring to the one with "Stop" written on it).

| Bd: 5 | ¢ KJ96 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | $\bigcirc$ AK6432 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S |  | $\diamond 75$ |  |
|  |  | \% 6 |  |
| - A874 |  |  | 4 Q1032 |
| $\bigcirc 5$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ Q1087 |
| $\checkmark$ AQJ109 |  |  | $\checkmark$ K832 |
| \% 87 |  |  | \& K |
|  | - 5 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ J9 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 64$ |  |  |
|  | 2 AQ1095432 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Meckstroth | Hackett | Johnson | Del Monte |
| - | 18 | Pass | 32 |
| Dbl | Pass | 34 | 4* (invit.) |
| Pass | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 49 | Dbl | All Pass |  |

Del'Monte chose to lead the $\gtrdot \mathrm{J}$, which went to the king, and back came a club. Del'Monte found a trump shift, allowing Hackett to win the king and return the jack. Johnson won in hand as he discovered the bad news. He could not now avoid going two down for -300 , an excellent result for Del'Monte-Hackett as $4 \diamond$ would have been comfortable.

Levin-Weinstein continued their unfortunate start when a Flannery auction misfired here. Playing against HammanZia, this was the auction:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hamman | Levin | Zia | Weinstein |
| - | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2 |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT | $4 \dot{e}$ |
| Dbl | $4 \diamond$ | Dbl | All Pass |

Hamman led the $\diamond A$ and followed it up with the $\diamond Q$, overtaken by Zia to switch to the $\vee$ Q! Now Weinstein was down -800.

| Bd: 4 | 4 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir: West | $\bigcirc$ Q1053 |  |
| Vul: Both | $\checkmark 10863$ |  |
|  | \% KQ63 |  |
| - AKQ |  | - J107542 |
| ¢ J9 |  | $\bigcirc$ AK2 |
| $\diamond$ KJ972 |  | $\checkmark 4$ |
| \& 1097 |  | \% J42 |
|  | ¢ 986 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 8764$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AQ5 |  |
|  | A85 |  |

When Johnson-Meckstroth played this deal Jeff (West) opened a 14-16 notrump and Perry transferred to reach 4e from Jeff's side, placing Jason Hackett on lead with the KQ. So it was simple for him to lead a top club and then for the defense to take their four minor-suit winners. It was not so easy to defeat 4 with the spade hand the declarer. Typically a heart lead to the nine, ten and ace saw declarer lead a diamond. At at least two tables South ducked the $\diamond A$ and regretted it. Against Harry Tudor and Gary Cohler the defense took the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ and continued hearts. Either way, declarer was home with ten tricks.

Michael Abecassis took advantage of a defensive slip on Board 8 to bring home a very tough game contract against Simon DeWijs and Ricco van Prooijen. This was Abecassis-Quantin's auction to 44.

| Bd: 8 | ¢ K84 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: West | $\checkmark$ A9 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\checkmark$ AJ |  |  |
| KJJ9642 |  |  |  |
| ¢ Q106 |  |  | ¢ AJ9752 |
| $\checkmark$ J63 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ K7 |
| $\checkmark$ KQ109 |  |  | $\diamond 872$ |
| - A103 |  |  | Q Q |
| $\pm 3$ |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q108542 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 6543$ |  |  |  |
| \% 87 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Quantin |  | Abecassis |  |
| $1 \diamond$ | 2\% | 2s | Pass |
| 3s | Pass | 49 | All Pass |

On a club lead Abecassis rose with the ace and drew trumps with the aid of a finesse. Then he led a diamond to the king and ace and back came a sneaky low heart. Michael flew with the king and exited with a low heart. North was forced to win the ace and cash the K, then exit with the J . Abecassis ruffed and ran his trumps, reducing to a show-up squeeze. On the last trump South had to keep his $\triangle \mathrm{Q}$ and reduce to one diamond, so now Michael could play the queen in the knowledge that the jack would fall from North.

Gaylor Kasle was one of the very few declarers to bring home $6 \checkmark$ here.

| Bd: 9 | , K8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | $\bigcirc$ KQJ95 |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\checkmark$ A95 |  |
|  | \& AQ7 |  |
| - QJ109 |  | ¢ 76543 |
| $\bigcirc 7432$ |  | $\bigcirc$--- |
| $\checkmark$ J |  | $\diamond$ K87432 |
| \% K1063 |  | -54 |
|  | - A2 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A1086 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q106 |  |
|  | * J 982 |  |

On an unopposed sequence he declared 68 as South (better not to ask why!) And received the lead. He won the king and drew four rounds of trumps as East pitched a couple of diamonds and a couple of spades. Now Gaylor played ace and a second diamond to the queen and saw the $\$ 9$ discarded on his left after some thought. Since his LHO had started with five red cards and his RHO with six, the odds favored the double finesse in clubs rather than playing for the doubleton king onside-and that's what he did!

| Bd: 10 | ¢ 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: East | $\bigcirc$ Q7652 |  |
| Vul: Both | $\diamond$ A854 |  |
|  | ¢ 953 |  |
| , K2 |  | ¢ 1084 |
| $\checkmark 10984$ |  | $\bigcirc$ AKJ3 |
| $\diamond$ QJ |  | $\diamond 1064$ |
| 9 AK872 |  | \% QJ10 |
|  | ¢ AQJ9765 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$--- |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K973 |  |
|  | \% 64 |  |

The field opened the South hand 4s and went quietly one down; +100 for E/W looked completely normal. But Fred Stewart-Kit Woolsey got into the auction when at their table Stewart could open $1 \diamond$ as East with his uninspiring 11 count. Over the 3s overcall Woolsey bid 3NT and that was that. On a spade lead declarer had six black-suit winners and one heart finesse later he had his game! We have but two word for the unfortunate $N / S$ pair here: "snake bit."

| Bd: 15 | 4 --- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | $\bigcirc$ AKJ |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\diamond$ Q10432 |  |
|  | * AKJ52 |  |
| - 109862 |  | ¢ KQ |
| $\bigcirc 984$ |  | $\bigcirc 10765$ |
| $\diamond$ K65 |  | $\diamond$ J987 |
| * 64 |  | \% Q108 |
|  | - AJ7543 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q32 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A |  |
|  | ¢ 973 |  |

This is a tough board to judge for N/S. On a heart lead nine tricks look the limit in notrump. In fact on that very lead Drew Casen-Bill Pollack beat 4NT to continue their good set. But Richie Schwartz-Alan Sontag reached a dubious with a heart lead. Sontag (North) won in hand, then unblocked the $\diamond$ A and ruffed two diamonds using a heart and a spade ruff as entries. When the $\triangleleft K$ dropped it was easy to lay down the and claim when trumps split.

"'lll trade you a Hamman and a Zia for a Mantle and a Koufax."

| Bd: 16 | ¢ AK10532 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | $\checkmark$ J5 |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\diamond$ Q5 |  |
|  | 2 Q64 |  |
| - 84 |  | ¢ Q76 |
| $\checkmark$ Q |  | $\bigcirc 7643$ |
| $\diamond \mathrm{J} 9873$ |  | $\diamond$ AK1042 |
| \% 87532 |  | \% K |
|  | ¢ J9 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK10982 |  |
|  | $\diamond 6$ |  |
|  | \% AJ109 |  |

Sometimes the most innocent of deals produce swings. Guido Ferraro played the normal on a top diamonds lead. That looks comfortable enough does it not, just looking at the N/S cards? Eddie Wold led a top diamond, then shifted to the K . Ferraro won and took the spade finesse (wouldn't you?) And Wold won and led a second diamond, forcing Ferraro to ruff in dummy. But now declarer was stuck in dummy. He could only lead hearts or clubs, so now one defender could get a ruff in one suit and give his partner a ruff in the other. Down one-and it's hard to see that declarer did anything wrong. The alternative line of cashing to the $\boldsymbol{\$ A K}$ might fail if trumps are four-one.

| Bd: 19 | 4 K8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | $\bigcirc$ Q97 |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\checkmark 652$ |  |
|  | -KQ932 |  |
| - 964 |  | @ J5 |
| $\bigcirc$ J10853 |  | $\bigcirc$ AK4 |
| $\checkmark$ A108 |  | $\diamond$ KJ943 |
| $\underset{\sim}{-16}$ |  | - J105 |
|  | - AQ10732 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 62$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q7 |  |
|  | ¢ 874 |  |

Casen-Pollack were living a charmed life: they were one of the very few pairs to be allowed to make $4 \bigcirc$ here. When South opened a weak 2 Casen, as East, reopened with a double and Pollack jumped to game in hearts. Now on the $\$$ lead South overtook to shift to a low club. After much thought Pollack followed the logical line of taking an immediate heart finesse, then cashing the §K.. Now instead of drawing the last trump he left room for a defensive error by leading a diamond to the ace and taking the diamond finesse. When South won his $\diamond Q$ he had two routes to success. Two rounds of spades would let North pitch his diamond. Dummy could ruff and lead a diamond but North would ruff and cash a club. Or South could lead a club himself and let North shift back to spades to let the third spade promote the $\vee Q$. What South could not do, as he discovered to his regret, was cash a spade and then shift to clubs. The hand was over now
since North no longer had a spade to lead to promote his trump trick.

When two heavy hitters met in Round 8 they traded slam swings, with honors emerging roughly even.

| Bd: 22 | - J1097 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | $\bigcirc$ K3 |  |  |
| Vul: E/W |  | $\diamond$ J9 |  |
|  |  | - AQJ107 |  |
| - 6 |  |  | - AK842 |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ865 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ Q104 |
| $\diamond 1087632$ |  |  | $\diamond$ AKQ54 |
| \& 6 |  |  | \% --- |
|  |  | Q53 |  |
|  |  | 972 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | K985432 |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Cohen | Gawrys | Berkowitz | Pszczola |
| - | - | 1\% | 3\% |
| Pass | 5* | Dbl | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | $6 \checkmark$ | All Pass |

Both sides were guessing as a result of the preemption; Piotr Gawrys chose to go very quietly rather than look for the sacrifice (which would have been very cheap). Larry Cohen claimed +1370 on the heart finesse. Since some missed the slam, some sacrificed, and some went on to $7 \diamond$ the Americans gained a sizeable swing on this deal.

Elsewhere, Adam Wildavsky doubled $6 \bigcirc$ as South on an auction where diamonds had not been bid:

| West | North Morse | East | South Wildavsky |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | 19 | 30 |
| Pass | 3NT | Dbl | 4* |
| 48 | 5\% | 68 | Dbl |

Dan Morse led a spade, but got in with the $\wp \mathrm{K}$ to give his partner a diamond ruff.

"Now here's the plan...Yo, Eddie, pay attention."

On the next hand:

| Bd: 23 | 4 A63 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South |  | PK1082 |  |
| Vul: Both |  | $\checkmark$ J |  |
|  |  | - A10843 |  |
| - Q98742 |  |  | \$ KJ105 |
| $\bigcirc 6$ |  |  | $\bigcirc 974$ |
| $\diamond 986$ |  |  | $\diamond 7542$ |
| - KJ5 |  |  | - Q2 |
|  | 4--- |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AQJ53 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKQ103 |  |  |
|  | -976 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Cohen | Gawrys | Berkowitz | Pszczola |
| - | - | - | 18 |
| 19 | 2 | 34 | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 4 | Dbl | Rdbl (1) |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | $6\rangle$ (2) |
| $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | Pass | Dbl |
| Pass | 78 | All Pass |  |
| (1) $1^{\text {st }}$-round control |  |  |  |
| (2) 2 key cards + good diamonds + void |  |  |  |

Gawrys was prepared to gamble on the trump position; he could be fairly sure he was going to buy good enough diamonds to discard the club losers from dummy. As it was, $7 \oslash$ was bid fairly often but this was still a decent pickup for the Poles.

## Session 2:

| Bd: 3 | ¢ J8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | $\bigcirc$ J8643 |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\checkmark$ A65 |  |
|  | \% Q82 |  |
| - KQ43 |  | - 10 |
| ¢ K102 |  | $\bigcirc$ Q97 |
| $\checkmark$ J42 |  | $\diamond$ KQ1073 |
| - A104 |  | \& K953 |
|  | - A97652 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A5 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 98$ |  |
|  | ¢ J76 |  |

When South opens a weak 2 the normal continuation is for East to reopen with a double and for West to jump to 3NT, since the penalty available from passing is likely to be unsatisfactory. Both Boye Brogeland and Jeff Meckstroth were confronted with this position and on the s.J lead they made the first critical decision to duck. When a spade was continued they pitched a heart from dummy and the defense did well not to win the but to let declarer score the trick cheaply. Now the declarers drove
out the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ and the defense won the third round to play a heart. Where Paul Chemla was defending against Meckstroth he cashed his two major-suit aces, but Jeff had the rest. Perhaps the best defense here is for Alain Levy to shift to the $Q$ on winning the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$-but declarer can still survive if he reads the position correctly.

How often has your partner opened 18 and your first bid is to ask your partner for a stop in the suit? It's probably never happened before, but check out the pedantry as regards bids and calls. When Jason Hackett-Ishmael Del'Monte played Billy Miller-Curtis Cheek, the auction went:

| Bd: 1 |  | 4 Q932 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North |  | $\bigcirc$ A1064 |  |
| Vul: None |  | $\checkmark$ AJ4 |  |
|  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{c}} 107$ |  |
| - 65 |  |  | @ KJ74 |
| $\bigcirc$ KQJ9753 |  | $\bigcirc 82$ |  |
| $\checkmark 10953$ |  |  | $\diamond 6$ |
| \% --- |  |  | 2. AJ8642 |
|  |  | ¢ A108 |  |
|  |  | $\bigcirc$--- |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ KQ872 |  |
|  |  | - KQ953 |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| - | 18 | 20 | Pass |
| Pass | Dbl | Pass | Pass |
| $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass | Pass | 30 |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

In the context of a four-card major system where $1 \bigcirc$ could have been 85432 , the $3 \checkmark$ bid checked for a heart stop. Jason bid 3NT of course and they handled ten tricks when Hackett could bring in the clubs easily enough.

What is the best reason you've heard for taking a practice finesse? Espen Erickson came up with one we hadn't heard before.

| Bd: 6 | ¢ 86 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | $\bigcirc$ Q8742 |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\diamond$ KJ |  |
|  | - AJ82 |  |
| -10943 |  | - J7 |
| $\bigcirc$ A95 |  | $\bigcirc$ KJ103 |
| $\diamond$ Q754 |  | $\diamond 1032$ |
| - 53 |  | \& 10764 |
|  | ¢ AKQ52 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 6$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A986 |  |
|  | - KQ9 |  |

Espen played 3NT on a club lead and as you will have noticed, there are nine cold tricks. Espen won the club and took the diamond finesse! When it held he had ten tricks, so now he ran the spades, revoking on the second one. He corrected it but too late, then cashed his ten winners and gave up the revoke penalty-in this case just one trick. When his opponents asked him why he took the diamond finesse he said, "I had to, in case I was going to revoke!" Sounds right.

| Bd: 7 | ¢ ${ }^{\text {A }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | $\bigcirc$ A652 |  |
| Vul: Both | $\checkmark$ Q98 |  |
|  | \% KJ7642 |  |
| - J 52 |  | - KQ96 |
| ¢ J9865 |  | $\bigcirc 743$ |
| $\diamond$ K75 |  | $\checkmark$ A104 |
| -105 |  | - A 93 |
|  | - 10874 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ KQ10 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J632 |  |
|  | - Q8 |  |

Working out how to beat 3NTis far from trivial here. Obviously after a N/S auction such as: Pass-1NT; 2s-2仓; 2NT-3NT East needs to lead a spade-but that, while necessary, is not sufficient. Both Geoff Hampson and Steve Sanborn declared 3NT on a top spade lead. Hampson won the A and played a club to the queen and a club to the king. At least two defenders (including Steve Robinson against Geoff) won the second club and played a spade to their partner's jack. But it was not clear to the defense to cash out now, so the $\diamond A K$ were not taken and 3NT made.

Casen found a key variation in the defense-he ducked the second club as East against the Sanborns. When Steve played a third club Casen and Pollack both knew the necessity of cashing out; Drew took the top spades to leave his partner on play (just in case declarer was going to misguess diamonds) and Pollack played a diamond for one down.

| Bd: 12 | , AQ42 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | $\bigcirc$ A |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\diamond 984$ |  |
|  | * A10432 |  |
| - 109 |  | ¢ KJ76 |
| $\bigcirc$ K942 |  | $\bigcirc 1073$ |
| $\diamond 62$ |  | $\diamond$ AK1073 |
| * KQ976 |  | \& 8 |
|  | ¢ 853 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ QJ865 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ QJ5 |  |
|  | 2 J5 |  |

Hamman and Zia had shown themselves to be a pair capable of being in the right place at the right time-and this deal was no exception. When North opened 2s (Precision style) Zia doubled, without much to spare, and Hamman passed. Zia ignored the old rule about leading trumps here, preferring a top diamond, and the defense cashed three rounds of diamonds before shifting to the 10. Declarer, Anton Knep, won the A, cashed the $\vee \mathrm{A}$, and exited with a low spade. Zia won the $\mathbf{~ J}$, cashed the king, and led a third spade which was ruffed low in dummy and overruffed. Knep ruffed Hamman's 8 K and led a club to dummy's jack and Hamman's queen, but when Hamman produced another heart declarer had to ruff in hand and was left with yet another trump loser. That was down three and +800 for Hamman-Zia.


Both declarer and defender had a chance for a really nice play here. Michel Abecassis reached 6NT on an uninformative auction and Brad Moss led the 9 which, as it happened, gave nothing away. Abecassis won the A, cashed the K , then played a third club and Moss won to play a fourth club as Fred Gitelman pitched hearts. Abecassis threw the 10 from hand and cashed three top hearts, letting Gitelman follow, and on these Moss pitched his club winners and a spade. This was the six-card ending:


Abecassis now played the to the king and a spade to
his ace, on which Moss dropped the Q ! He was trying to look like a man with $\diamond$ Jxxx left-but Abecassis refused to finesse in diamonds, preferring to play the suit from the top on the basis that Brad was capable of the false-card. And right he was!

| Bd: 18 | , QJ4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | $\bigcirc$ K54 |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\checkmark$ AQ |  |
|  | * AK1032 |  |
| - A8 |  | 4 K1076532 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q73 |  | $\bigcirc$ A62 |
| $\checkmark 109754$ |  | $\checkmark$ J62 |
| - Q94 |  | \% --- |
|  | ¢ 9 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ J1098 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K83 |  |
|  | \$ 18765 |  |

On one of the most challenging deals of the set, the question is whether you can make either 3NT or 5 best defense. 3NT is easy to defeat. On a spade lead the defense can set up their suit while declarer has only eight tricks available (a diamond trick has to be sacrificed in order to reach dummy to take the club finesse necessary to run that suit) while on a diamond lead the same problem is exacerbated by the fact that you create diamond winners for the defense to cash. On a heart lead though (found against both Steve garner and Michael Courtney) declarer is in with a chance. Against garner West put up the $\gtrdot$ Q and now it was all about overtricks. Against Courtney the auction had been:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | 1 | Pass |
| 1 NT | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | 2 | $3 \boldsymbol{3}$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

On the low heart lead West ducked dummy's jack, but Michael was up to the situation and immediately finessed in clubs! If it lost he would have nine tricks one way or another on a spade or a heart continuation. But when the club finesse won, he unblocked diamonds and had the luxury of a heart finesse for +630 .

As for 5 , strangely enough the problems are much the same as in 3NT, depending on the lead. Berkowitz-Cohen let through 5 on a diamond lead. But on a spade lead and continuation the position is more delicate. Jim Krekorian played a club to the king and could not recover. Three entries to dummy are needed to finesse in hearts and clubs, and the threat of a spade overruff forces declarer to overtake the $\diamond Q$ to draw trumps at once. But now as long as the defense simply covers the club lead from dummy there are not enoough entries to finesse twice in hearts.

| Bd: 19 | - 65 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | $\checkmark$ KJ93 |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\checkmark 9832$ |  |  |
|  | - AK4 |  |  |
| - ${ }^{\text {A }}$ |  |  | ¢ Q1074 |
| $\bigcirc 107642$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ AQ |
| $\checkmark 74$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ AKQJ10 |
| \% Q1076 |  |  | - 82 |
|  | 4 KJ982 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 85$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 65$ |  |  |
|  | 2. 1953 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Duboin | Chagas | Bocchi | Helgemo |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | 18 | Dbl | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| $2{ }^{2}$ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Norberto Bocchi struck a keen blow against ChagasHelgemo when he reached 3NT here. Helgemo avoided the fatal spade lead but Bocchi won the $\$ 6$ lead in hand and did very well to advance the 8 . The only defense now is to shift to a spade, dislodging dummy's entry. When Chagas continued with hearts declarer repeated the club finesse and claimed. Note that Bocchi's line almost requires a defensive error (if one spade honor is onside he should play spades; if they are both wrong his line fails on best defense). But there's no arguing with success!

Jason Hackett and Ishmael Del'Monte played the last round against Hamman and Zia. On the first of the deals Jason had 8-1/2 playing tricks in his own hand and his partner had a strong opening bid-they collected eight tricks between them!

| Bd: 25 | ¢ A1074 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir: North | $\bigcirc 854$ |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\checkmark$ A2 |  |  |
|  | \& 10865 |  |  |
| - Q96 |  |  | - J832 |
| $\bigcirc$--- |  |  | $\bigcirc$ AKQJ10963 |
| $\checkmark$ K107 |  |  | $\diamond 9$ |
| - AKJ9432 |  |  | *--- |
| 4 K5 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 72$ |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ QJ86543 |  |  |  |
| \& Q7 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Del'Monte | Hamman | Hackett | Zia |
| - | Pass | 18 | 3 - |
| 40 | $4 \diamond$ | 48 | Pass |
| 5\% | Pass | 5 | All Pass |

Nobody doubled but the defense otherwise got the most out of the hand. Zia led the K followed by a second spade to the ace, a spade ruff, a diamond to the ace and
a second spade ruff, after which Zia graciously conceded the last eight tricks to Jason's 150 honors. Sporting. (We would have asked how he was going to play it!)

## I'm Good—But My Partner Is Even Better

by Sam Leckie, Scotland

The story goes that Steve Wynn only decided to purchase the Desert Inn when he heaqrd that Chagas-Helgemo-Hamman-Zia were playing together in the Dreyfus Cup. " $\$ 270$ million is nothing," he said. "If it's good enough for these guys, it's good enough for me."

Joking apart, about the only major decision I make each year is which team to follow in the Dreyfus Cup. This year it was no contest. The stoicism and unflappability of Hamman and Helgemo, playing with the exciting extraverts Zia and Chagas, respectively, would be worth the plane fare alone, not to mention the postmortem discussions. I didn't have to wait long for the fireworks to begin.

| (Chagas) | (Helgemo) |
| :---: | :---: |
| - AK82 | \& Q |
| $\bigcirc$ J5 | $\bigcirc$ AQ1098763 |
| $\diamond$ AK | $\diamond 86$ |
| AKJ63 | - Q4 |
| 20 | 38 |
| 3NT | 68 |
| 78 |  |

With the $9 K$ badly placed, this contract went one down. Not a flicker of emotion was shown by either player. "Zia won't be too happy about that," I thought to myself, hardly able to wait for the comparison and to watch the sparks fly. "You chaps are a new partnership and are bound to have a few minor errors like this," said Zia. Minor errors! I couldn't believe my ears.

In another match, after winning by only 3 imps , Hamman muttered sarcastically, "We murdered them." Zia buttered it, "Don't be so sure. With the VP scale we're using here
we might have a maximum!" In fact, they won 18-12-2 VP's per imp!

Another point l've noticed is that each player seems to revel in his partner's brilliant play or bid. Helgemo's eyes seemed to light up when he described Chagas' play on this hand, whilst Chagas defended his partner's opening bid even when his opponent objected most strongly.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Helgemo) } \\ & \text { ب AK42 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | (Chagas) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | - 9 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |  |  | $\bigcirc 9543$ |
| $\diamond$ AJ85 |  |  | $\checkmark$ KQ2 |
| - Q754 |  |  | - K10932 |
| West | North | East | South |
| 1NT | Pass | 2\% | $2{ }^{1}$ |
| Dbl | Pass | 30 | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| 4\% | Pass | 5\% | All Pass |

South led the $\triangle A$ and protested that Chagas should have told him that Helgemo could hold a singleton. "I had no idea," replied Chagas, "But I have great sympathy for the bid and indeed would probably have done the same myself." It took Chagas only a second to take the spade switch in dummy and play the other top spade. What should North do holding ace-jack-six in trumps? Not to ruff showed his holding while ruffing gave away a trick. No wonder Helgemo's eyes lit up in admiration.

Before play began I asked Gabriel if he has ever played before with Geir. "No," he replied, and then produced his usual broad smile and added, "But that's the way I like it."

