## The $\mathfrak{C a v e n d i s h}$ Inmitational

## Jim Mahaffey Team Wins John Roberts Teams

The team of Lou Ann O’Rourke (non-playing captain), Marc Jacobus, Geoff Hampson, Eric Rodwell, Bobby Levin and Steve Weinstein seemed to have the John Roberts Teams firmly in their grasp with one round to be played. Even if the only team capable of catching them, Jim Mahaffey, Mike Passell, Sam Lev, Jacek Pszczola, Zhong Fu and Jie Zhao, won a blitz, O’Rourke's team could afford to lose by 13 international match points and still claim the title. And a loss by 14 or 15 imps would result in a 6-board playoff. But the "unbelievable" happened. Mahaffey did blitz, and O'Rourke lost by 17 imps .

Third was the team that beat O’Rourke, Seymon Deutsch, John Kranyak, Billy Cohen, Ron Smith, Curtis Cheek and Joe Grue. The final payoff spot was taken by the team of Romain Zaleski, Paul Chemla, Chris Compton and Brian Glubok. The full story is in this bulletin.

## CIP Auction Pool Hits \$958,500

At the cocktail party last night, a fun time was had by everyone. The food was excellent, the wine ran freely, and George Jacobs did his usual tip-top job in running the auction for the Cavendish Invitational Pairs. The total money raised was $\$ 958,500$. We did not break the million-dollar barrier, but the prize money for the top ten finishers will still be great.

Based on the auction, the favorites are Bobby Levin and Steve Weinstein, who went for $\$ 61,000$. They were just ahead of defending champions Geoff Hampson and Eric Rodwell, who were bought for $\$ 55,000$. The third-highest price of $\$ 50,000$ went for Geir Helgemo and Tor Helness. Interestingly, they were all bought by Jim Mahaffey, fresh from his success in the John Roberts Teams.


2008 CIP Champs
Eric Rodwell \& Geoff Hampson

2008 Cavendish Overall Winners

1. Geoff Hampson - Eric Rodwell
2. Gary Cohler - Sam Lev
3. Robert Levin - Steve Weinstein
4. Neil Chambers - John Schermer
5. Sjoert Brink - Bas Drijver
6. David Berkowitz - Bill Pollack
7. Jacek Pszczola - Jerzy Zaremba
8. Fred Gitelman - Brad Moss
9. Per Erik Austberg - Jon-Egil Furunes
10. Chris Compton - Bob Hamman

Auction Pool
\$275,240
\$176,940 \$18,522
\$117,960 \$12,348
\$88,470 \$9,260
\$78,640 \$8,232
\$68,810 \$7,204
\$58,980 \$6,174
\$49,150 \$5,144
\$39,320 \$4,116
\$29,490 \$3,088

## Previous Cavendish Invitational Pairs Winners

2008<br>2007<br>2006<br>2005<br>2004<br>2003<br>2002<br>2001<br>2000<br>1999<br>1998<br>1997<br>1996<br>1995<br>1994<br>1993<br>1992<br>1991<br>1990<br>1989<br>1988<br>1987<br>1986<br>1985<br>1984<br>1983<br>1982<br>1981<br>1980<br>1979<br>1978<br>1977<br>1976<br>1975<br>Eric Rodwell - Geoff Hampson<br>Steve Weinstein - Bobby Levin<br>Ton Bakkeren - Huub Bertens<br>Andrea Buratti - Massimo Lanzarotti<br>Sam Lev - Jacek Pszczola<br>Fred Gitelman - Brad Moss<br>Bobby Levin - Steve Weinstein Michal Kwiecien - Jacek Pszczola Marty Fleisher - Eric Rodwell Bobby Levin - Steve Weinstein Bob Hamman - Nick Nickell Michael Seamon - Harry Tudor Fred Stewart - Steve Weinstein Paul Soloway - Harry Tudor Neil Silverman - Kit Woolsey Fred Stewart - Steve Weinstein Amos Kaminski - Sam Lev Johan Bennet - Anders Wirgren Piotr Gawrys - Elyakim Shoufel Marty Bergen - Larry Cohen Bjorn Fallenius - Magnus Lindkvist Drew Casen - Jim Krekorian Matt Granovetter - Michael Rosenberg Irving Litvack - Joseph Silver Marty Bergen - Larry Cohen Robert Lipsitz - Neil Silverman Ed Manfield - Kit Woolsey James Cayne - Fred Hamilton Lou Bluhm - Thomas Sanders Roger Bates - Daniel Mordecai Roy Fox - Paul Swanson Alan Sontag - Peter Weichsel Alan Sontag - Peter Weichsel James Jacoby - Gerald Westheimer

## 2009 World Bridge Production Pairs

1. Barry Schaffer - Colby Vernay
2. Mike Cappelletti - John Morris
3. Jim Mahaffey - Gavin Wolpert
4. Lou Ann O’Rourke - Marc Jacobus
5. Mark Gordon - Pratap Rajadhyaska
6. Left Blank
7. Fred Hamilton - Leonard Ernst
8. Tom Carmichael - Joel Wooldridge
9. Left Blank
10. Leo Bell - John Jones
11. Bob Soni - Robert Todd
12. Cunningham - Treiber
13. Ken Badertscher - Leon Lowe
14. Kerry Sanborn - Steve Sanborn
15. Wafik Abdou - Gaylor Kasle
16. Left Blank
17. Jeff Smith - Ross Taylor
18. Marinesa Letizia - Linda Lewis
19. John Hurd - Partner
20. Josh Donn - Marshall Miles

Entries subject to change. New entries accepted until 8pm Friday Evening.

# John Roberts Teams Final Standings and Awards 

|  | Rank | Prizes | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jim Mahaffey - Mike Passell - Sam Lev - Jacek Pszczola - Jie Zhao - Zhong Fu | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 3 , 4 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ |
| Lou Ann O’Rourke - Marc Jacobus - Geoff Hampson - Eric Rodwell - Bobby Levin - Steve Weinstein | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 1 , 3 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ |
| Seymon Deutsch - John Kranyak - Billy Cohen - Ron Smith - Curtis Cheek - Joe Grue | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 1 , 4 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ |
| Romain Zaleski - Paul Chemla - Chris Compton - Brian Glubok | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 , 7 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 3}$ |
| Gaylor Kasle - Drew Casen - Jim Krekorian - Neil Chambers - John Schermer | $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ |  |  |
| Martin De Knijff - Frederic Wrang - Bjorn Fallenius - Peter Fredin - Peter Bertheau - Fredrik Nystrom | $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ |  |  |
| Roy Welland - Michael Rosenberg - Georgio Duboin - Guido Ferraro | $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ |  |  |
| Barry Goren - John Hurd - Jeff Meckstroth - Joel Wooldridge | $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ |  |  |
| Albert Faigenbaum - Dominique Pilon - Marc Bompis - Jean-Christophe Quantin | $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ |  |  |
| Bob Hamman - Hemant Lall - Gary Cohler - Michael Seamon | Best 3rd | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ |
| Kevin Bathurst - Justin Lall - Jason Feldman - Dan Zagorin | session score | $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ |  |
| Bruce Rogoff - Louk Verhees - Josef Piekarek - Alex Smirnov | $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ |  |  |
| Connie Goldberg - Billy Eisenberg - Ton Bakeren - Huub Bertens | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ |  |  |
| Pierre Zimmermann - Frank Multon - Michel Bessis - Thomas Bessis - Geir Helgemo - Tor Helness | $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ |  |  |
| Mike Moss - Gunnar Hallberg - David Berkowitz - Bill Pollack | $\mathbf{9 9}$ |  |  |
| Charles Wigoder - Zia Mahmood - Bob Blanchard - Shane Blanchard | $\mathbf{7 9}$ |  |  |

## 2009 Cavendish Invitational Auction

| \# | Pair |  | Bid | Purchased By |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sam Lev | Jacek Pszczola | 39,000 | Wigoder |
| 2 | Ton Bakkeren | Huub Bertens | 26,000 | Zaleski |
| 3 | Curtis Cheek | Joe Grue | 29,000 | Compton |
| 4 | Bjorn Fallenius | Peter Fredin | 32,000 | DeKnijff |
| 5 | Bart Bramley | Mike Passell | 23,000 | Hussein |
| 6 | Brian Glubok | Harry Tudor | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 7 | Michael Elinescu | Entscho Wladlow | 17,000 | Zimmerman |
| 8 | Bruce Rogoff | Louk Verhees | 14,500 | Zimmerman |
| 9 | Darren Wolpert | Dan Korbel | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 10 | Marc Bompis | Jean-Christophe Quantin | 16,000 | Zaleski |
| 11 | Christal Henner-Welland | Michael Rosenberg | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 12 | Josef Piekarek | Alexander Smirnov | 22,000 | Zimmerman |
| 13 | Drew Casen | Jim Krekorian | 18,000 | Weinstein |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Fred Stewart | Kit Woolsey | 14,500 | Zimmerman |
| 16 | Roy Welland | Chris Willenken | 19,000 | Rogoff |
| 17 | Martin DeKnijff | Frederic Wrang | 13,000 | Rogoff |
| 18 | David Berkowitz | Bill Pollack | 15,000 | Rogoff |
| 19 | Paul Chemla | Romain Zaleski | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 20 | Marty Fleisher | Chip Martel | 17,000 | Zimmerman |
| 21 | Neil Chambers | John Schermer | 16,000 | Welland |
| 22 | Geir Helgemo | Tor Helness | 50,000 | Mahaffey |
| 23 | Bob Blanchard | Shane Blanchard | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 24 | Gary Cohler | Michael Seamon | 27,000 | Zaleski |
| 25 | Bob Hamman | Hemant Lall | 15,000 | Rogoff |
| 26 | Connie Goldberg | Billy Eisenberg |  |  |
| 27 | John Diamond | Eric Greco | 15,000 | Rogoff |
| 28 | Fred Gitelman | Brad Moss | 26,000 | Welland |
| 29 | Roger Bates | Chris Compton | 14,500 | Zaleski |
| 30 | Nikolay Demirev | Nicolas L'Ecuyer | 15,000 | Welland |
| 31 | Jason Feldman | Dan Zagorin | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 32 | Geoff Hampson | Eric Rodwell | 55,000 | Mahaffey |
| 33 | Jill Levin | Jill Meyers | 13,000 | Zimmerman |
| 34 | Michel Bessis | Thomas Bessis | 15,000 | Welland |
| 35 | Zia Mahmood | Charles Wigoder | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 36 | George Jacobs | Ralph Katz | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 37 | Peter Bertheau | Fredrik Nystrom | 22,000 | Zaleski |
| 38 | Seymon Deutsch | John Kranyak | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 39 | Georgio DuBoin | Guido Ferraro | 35,000 | Mahaffey |
| 40 | Kevin Bathurst | Justin Lall | 13,000 | Rogoff |
| 41 | Albert Faigenbaum | Dominique Pilon | 13,000 | Zaleski |
| 42 | Perry Johnson | Jeff Meckstroth | 14,500 | Zimmerman |
| 43 | Boye Brogeland | Odin Svendsen | 24,000 | Mahaffey |
| 44 | Wojciech Kurkowski | Roger Lord | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 45 | Frank Multon | Pierre Zimmermann | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 46 | Gunnar Hallberg | Mike Moss | 12,500 | Themselves |
| 47 | Bobby Levin | Steve Weinstein | 61,000 | Mahaffey |
| 48 | Ahmed Hussein | Tarek Sadek | 14,500 | Mahaffey |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | Fu Zhong | Zhao Jie | 35,000 | Hussein |

# John Roberts Teams - Second Session <br> <br> by Phillip Alder 

 <br> <br> by Phillip Alder}

The final session of the first day contained quiet deals. What would you do with this hand? With only your side vulnerable, you pick up:

$$
\text { -KQ872 QQ4 } 4 \text { A } 10 \text { A } 1084
$$

The bidding begins like this:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | You |  |
|  | Pass | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | $? ?$ |

What would you do, if anything?
After this deal, John Hurd apologized to his partner for going down, but he was given no chance by excellent Chinese defense.

| Board 22 | - 93 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: East | PA865 |  |
| Vul: E-W | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond \text { Q } 95 \\ & \text { BO } 743 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| West |  | East |
| - KQJIO |  | - 854 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 10 |  | $\bigcirc 9742$ |
| $\checkmark$ J 82 |  | $\checkmark$ K 6 |
| \& 4862 |  | 2KJ 105 |

## South

$\& A 762$
$\diamond$ KJ 3
$\diamond$ A 10743
$\& 9$

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hurd | Fu | Wooldridge | Zhao |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| 18 | Dble | INT (a) | Dble(b) |
| 20 | Pass | 24 | All Pass |

(a) Either long clubs or a spade raise and a desire for a club lead
(b) Three hearts

Zhong Fu led the diamond five, declarer ducking in the dummy and taking South's ten with his jack. West returned a diamond to the queen, king and ace. Jie Zhao shifted to his singleton club, which ran to dummy's ten. West called for a trump, South accurately ducking this trick, winning the next spade, and leading a heart to the queen and ace. After North gave his partner a club ruff, South cashed the
heart king, then correctly led his last trump to stop declarer from ruffing his diamond loser with dummy's spade eight. The defense took one spade, two hearts, two diamonds and one club ruff.

This deal also caught my eye:

| Board 24 | North - K 6532 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| DIr:West | ¢A63 |  |
| Vul: None | $$ |  |
| West |  | East |
| - 94 |  | , A Q 8 |
| $\bigcirc 82$ |  | $\bigcirc$ Q J |
| $\diamond$ J 954 |  | $\checkmark$ AK |
| * AJ 7 |  | -KQ10842 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - J 107 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K 109754 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 102$ |  |
|  | ¢ 93 |  |

It is said that bridge is a bidder's game, but if North and South leave all the bidding to East and West, they will do well. It is quite possible that East will end in three notrump. Then a heart lead nabs the first six tricks for the defense.

At several tables, though, North-South could not resist entering the auction. This was the sequence that I saw:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hurd | Fu | Wooldridge | Zhao |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | Pass | 2* | $2 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ | Pass | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 59 | All Pass |

John Hurd thought a long time when three hearts came back to him, and even longer over five clubs. But eventually he presumably decided that if his partner had a singleton heart, he would have either bid or made a takeout double over three hearts.

At another table, East opened two notrump. West responded three spades, a transfer to three notrump. (A response of three notrump would have been forcing. This is a sensible system, but few pairs are willing to take the risk. It is too easy to think that three notrump is to play.) Now North fell from grace, doubling three spades. So, when East corrected to three notrump, South led the spade jack, giving declarer ten top tricks.

Het's go back to the bidding problem at the beginning. Did you pass or make a game-try? The Losing Trick Count suggests trying, but partner's being a passed hand, the doubleton heart queen, and the relatively weak trumps all suggest caution.

This was the full deal:

Board 21
DIr: North
Vul: N-S
West
\& A 10
\& 866
$\diamond K 542$
\& 9762

## North

- 943
$\bigcirc$ A 1097
$\diamond 986$
* KJ 3

> East
> \&J65
> \&J 522
> QJ 73
> $\& Q 5$

## Bridge Base Online

Follow all of the action LIVE via Bridge Base Online Vugraph. World class commentary and lots of good bridge. www.bridgebase.com


Thank you Fred!

Where South rebid three clubs, a help-suit game-try, North, liking his clubs and an ace, jumped to four spades, despite having ten losers.

As you can see, it would have taken poor defense to let four spades make.

South
-KQ 872
$\bigcirc$ Q 4
$\diamond$ A 10

- A 1084


## The Last Day of the John Roberts Teams

## by Phillip Alder

Before we move to the last day, here are two bidding decisions. Decide what you would have done.
I. Only your side is vulnerable. You, East, hold:

$$
\& Q 1065 \vee 104 \diamond A K 752 \& K J
$$

The bidding starts like this:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Pass | I | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | 2 | Dble | Pass |
| $3 \varnothing$ | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| $4 \triangleright$ | Pass | ?? |  |

What would you do now?
2. As dealer with only your side vulnerable, you pick up:

$$
\text { Q Q } 84 \diamond \text { K } 1052 \diamond 9 \text { A } 10642
$$

The auction begins:


The final day of the John Roberts Teams started full of hope and expectation. But for two rounds it whimpered along the deals being dull and partscores abounding. However, the last round changed all that.

Regardless of what sadistic impulses we may harbor, winning bridge means helping partner avoid mistakes.
-Frank Stewart

In Round 7, the first of the day, Lou Ann O'Rourke's team strengthened its lead by beating Jim Mahaffey's team by 9 international match points to 3 , or $20-10$ in victory points, now ahead by 24 vps.

I was surprised by the decision of Jacek Pszczola (Pepsi) on this deal:


South
AA 732
『KJ 92
$\diamond 109$
\% 954

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lev | Rodwell | Pepsi | Hampson |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 20 | 24 | Dble | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | Pass | Pass |

Sitting behind Pepsi, I thought he was trying to decide between five clubs and six clubs, wishing he could bid five-and-a-half clubs, or that he had bid four clubs instead of three notrump. Then, suddenly, he passed.

True, Sam Lev made five, losing only two trump tricks, but six clubs was a decent slam.

At the other table, Bobby Levin and Stevie Weinstein had a bidding disagreement. This was their sequence:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weinstein | Fu | Levin | Zhao |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 20 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 28 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 38 | Pass | 4* | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 59 | All Pass |

Levin thought four notrump was a general slam-try; Weinstein believed that it showed controls in all of the side suits and that with a diamond void and two spade losers, he would have bid four hearts.

In Round 8, O'Rourke played on BBO against Romain Zaleski, Paul Chemla, Chris Compton and Brian Glubok. There were only two sizable swings. First:

| Board 12 |  | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - 10542 |  |
| Dlr:West |  | QJ108743 |  |
| Vul: N-S |  | $\checkmark$ K 92 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| - 873 |  | -KQ9 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q |  |  | ¢K952 |
| $\checkmark 73$ |  |  | $\checkmark 1085$ |
| \& A J 10753 |  |  | ¢ Q 84 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\text { A A J } 6$ |  |
|  |  | $\bigcirc 6$ |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ A Q J 64 |  |
|  |  | -K962 |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Compton | Levin | Glubok | Weinstein |
| 18 | Pass | INT | $2 \diamond$ |
| 3\% | $3 \bigcirc$ | 5* | Dble |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Rodwell | Zaleski | Hampson | Chemla |
| 2\% (a) | Pass | $2 \diamond$ (b) | Pass |
| 30 (c) | Pass | Pass | Pass |

(a) II-I5 points, six-plus clubs
(b) Inquiry
(c) Minimum

Compton and Glubok were caught speeding. And the defense was accurate. Levin led a diamond, Weinstein winning with his jack and shifting to a heart. Declarer won with his ace, played a spade to the king, which held, ran the club queen, led a club to his ten, then tried a spade to dummy's queen. South won with his ace, cashed the spade jack and diamond ace, and still had a trump trick to come for down three, plus 500.


Jeff Meckstroth \& Lou Ann O’Rourke

In the other room, North led the heart jack. Eric Rodwell won with his ace and cashed the club ace, getting the bad news. He continued with a club to the queen and king. South shifted to a low diamond to North's king.A heart return would have produced down two, but North led back a diamond to South's ace, to give this position:

|  | North <br> - 1054 <br> 81087 <br> $\diamond 2$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 2- |
| West |  |
| - 873 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q |  |
| $\diamond$ - |  |
| \& J 1073 |  |
|  | South |
|  | \& A 6 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ - |
|  | $\diamond \text { Q J } 6$ |
|  | $996$ |

Now there was only one lead to defeat the contract: the spade six. But South led the diamond queen. Rodwell ruffed, drew trumps, cashed the heart queen, and played a spade to dummy's queen. His second spade loser disappeared on the heart king.

Plus 500 and plus 110 gave O'Rourke 12 imps.
The second swing occurred on another five-club misadventure.


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compton | Levin | Glubok | Weinstein |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | 14 |
| Dble | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | 2. |
| Pass | Pass | 30 | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 58 | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Rodwell | Zaleski | Hampson | Chemla |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ (a) | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| Dble | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | 2 |
| Pass | Pass | 32 | All Pass |

## (a) Two-plus diamonds, II-I5 points

Given that one player cue-bid and the other passed, maybe the best choice is four clubs, which was chosen by Sam Lev in the match between Mahaffey and Kasle. (Over that, Pepsi surprisingly bid five clubs and lost the first three tricks.)

Plus 100 and plus 130 gave O'Rourke 6 imps . That team won the match by 20 imps to 6 , or $24-6$ in victory points.

Three notrump anyone?


Gaylor Kasle \& Jim Mahaffey

## No Electronic Devices in the Playing Area

Absolutely no electronic devices capable of sending or receiving signals (other than hearing aids) may be brought into the playing rooms under any circumstances. This applies to kibitzers as well as players. You may leave your electronic devises at the check-in table; however, WBP will not be held responsible for lost items.

As the final round started, only two teams could win, O'Rourke, with 175 vps , and Mahaffey, with I5I. O'Rourke was playing against Deutsch (who were third with 14 I vps ), and Mahaffey was on BBO against Goren (fourth with I39). And even if Mahaffey won a $30-0$ blitz ( 32 imps or more), O'Rourke would be safe with a loss by at most 13 imps. (If they lost by 14 or 15 imps , there would be a six-board playoff.)

Finally the deals livened up. This was the second:

Board 20
Dlr:West
Vul: N-S

> North
> A K Q 73
> $\diamond 742$
> $\diamond$ A 102
> $\&$ K 8

West

- J 1052
-9853
$\diamond$ K 9
- 1064

South

- 94
$\bigcirc A K Q$
$\diamond 74$
\& A Q J 975

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohen | Levin | Smith | Weinstein |
| Pass | INT (a) | Pass | 24 (b) |
| Pass | 3\% (c) | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ (d) |
| Pass | 49 (e) | Pass | $5 \diamond$ (f) |
| Pass | 79 (g) | All Pass |  |

(a) 15-I7 points
(b) Transfer to clubs
(c) Positive
(d) Roman Key Card Blackwood
(e) Three key cards
(f) Showing all five key cards, looking for seven, and asking for side-suit kings
(g) "I've got what you need, partner."

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | Grue | Hampson | Cheek |
|  | 19\% (a) | Pass | 20 (b) |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 30 |
| Pass | 4* | Pass | $4 \diamond$ (c) |
| Dble | $4 \bigcirc$ (d) | Pass | $5 \diamond$ (e) |
| Pass | 79 | Pass | 7NT |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

(a) 16-plus points
(b) 5-plus clubs, 12-plus points
(c) Roman Key Card Blackwood in clubs
(d) A diamond control and 3 key cards
(e) Interest in a grand slam, asking for side-suit kings

After Rodwell doubled four hearts and the tray went under the screen, there was quite a pause. Knowing that Joe Grue knew his RKCB reply, this told Curtis Cheek that Geoff Hampson was thinking of bidding five diamonds. And if North had no secondary honors in diamonds, he had to have the spade queen for his one-club opening. Hence Cheek's conversion to seven notrump.

As you have noticed, there were 13 top tricks, so Deutsch gained 2 imps .

In the other match:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lev | Hurd | Pszczola | Wooldridge |
|  | INT | (a) | Pass |
| Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ | (c) | Pass |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 6NT |

(a) 14-16 points
(b) Gerber
(c) Two aces

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meckstroth | Fu | Goren | Zhao |
|  | 14 | Pass | 20 (a) |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 30 |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass |
| Pass | Redble | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 4NT (b) | Pass | 59 (c) |
| Pass | 5NT (d) | Pass | 7e (e) |
| Pass | 7NT | All Pass |  |

(a) Two-over-one game-force
(b) Roman Key Card Blackwood
(c) Two key cards and the club queen
(d) Asking for side-suit kings
(e) "I've got what you need, parter."

Surely John Hurd should have opened one spade. With 16 prime points and an excellent five-card suit, his hand is worth more than 16 points.

The Chinese had a good auction to seven notrump to gain 13 imps .


Pepsi and Sam Lev

The next deal had its funny side in the O'RourkeDeutsch match.

| Board 21 |  | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ¢ 532 |  |
| DIr: North |  | $\bigcirc 10963$ |  |
| Vul: N-S |  | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond \text { QJ } 73 \\ & \& 53 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| West$\begin{aligned} & \& Q 109 \\ & \diamond A Q 5 \\ & \diamond 2 \\ & \& K Q 10976 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | East |
|  |  |  | 4 A 8764 |
|  |  |  | ¢J2 |
|  |  |  | $\diamond 109865$ |
|  |  |  | $\bigcirc 4$ |
|  |  | South |  |
|  |  | - K J |  |
|  |  | QK 874 |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ AK 4 |  |
|  |  | * A 82 |  |
| West Cohen | North | East | South |
|  | Levin | Smith | Weinstein |
|  | Pass | Pass | 1\% |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Rodwell | Grue | Hampson | Cheek |
|  | Pass | Pass | 19 (a) |
| 20 | Pass | Pass | Pass |

(a) Precision: 16-plus points

O'Rourke played in clubs at both tables!
Against Weinstein, Billy Cohen led the club king. When declarer ducked, Cohen continued with the club nine. South won with his jack, played a diamond to dummy's queen, and returned a heart to his king and West's ace. Another trump went to South's ace. Declarer tried to cash the diamond ace, but West ruffed, drew South's last trump, and shifted to the spade ten. Ron Smith won with his ace and cashed the heart jack, at which point declarer conceded down three. He had taken only one spade, one diamond and two clubs.

Rodwell had no trouble in two clubs from his side, but Deutsch gained 5 imps.

In the other match, these were the auctions:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lev | Hurd | Pszczola | Wooldridge |
|  | Pass | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All P |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Meckstroth | Fu | Goren | Zhao |
|  | Pass | Pass | 18. (a) |
| 29 (b) | Pass | Pass | Pass |

(a) Natural
(b) Also natural; two diamonds would have shown at least 5-5 in the majors

Four hearts was no fun. West led the club king, which Joel Wooldridge ducked. A spade shift went to East's ace and a second spade was won by South. A diamond to the queen was followed by a heart to the king and ace.A low club was ruffed with the heart jack, a diamond was ruffed with the heart five, and the heart queen was cashed for down three.

The Chinese lost their way against Jeff Meckstroth's two-club contract. North led the diamond queen. South overtook with his king and shifted to a low trump, declarer winning with his king. West led his club queen to South's ace, ruffed South's diamond-ace continuation, and drove out the club jack. Now South led the spade king. Declarer won with dummy's ace and called for the heart jack, which South failed to cover. This allowed Meckstroth to take the rest, repeating the heart finesse, drawing trumps, and cashing two spades with the aid of the fall of the jack.

Plus 300 and minus 130 gave Mahaffey 5 imps and the lead by 23-0.

## Smoking Policy

There is no smoking in the hotel. Smoking is allowed in the Casino area and outside.

## Appeals Announcement

Appeals of tournament directors' rulings (in all events) will be handled in the following manner. Any director's ruling will be reconsidered (at the request of either side) by filing a timely request for reconsideration with the Director in Charge. Said request for reconsideration shall be in writing and must set forth in sufficient detail the reason(s) why the filing party believes that the ruling was incorrect.
Upon receipt of a request for reconsideration the tournament directing staff, along with whomever else the staff wishes to consult, will reconsider the ruling and render a decision. The directors may request a hearing when there are facts in dispute, but are not required to do so.

Goren got on the scoreboard here:

Board 22
North
DIr: East

- A 873

Vul: E-W
© J 102
West
QQ65
$\& A Q 96$
$\diamond J 1065$
$\& 109$
$\diamond \mathrm{Q}$
Q Q 8642
East

- 10
\& 7543
$\diamond K 9873$
$\& A K J$
South
\& KJ 942
คK8
$\diamond$ A 42
\& 753

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohen | Levin | Smith | Weinstein |
|  |  | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | $3 \diamond(a)$ | Pass | 3. |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

(a) Limit raise with four or five trumps

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rodwell | Grue | Hampson <br> I $\diamond$ (a) | Cheek |
|  |  | I |  |
| Dble | $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |


| (a) Two-plus diamonds, II-I5 points |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lev | Hurd | Pszczola | Wooldridge |
|  |  | Pass | 1. |
| Pass | 2NT | (a) | Pass |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 31 |

(a) Four-plus spades, game-invitational strength or more

| West Meckstroth | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fu | Goren | Zhao |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ (a) | 14 |
| Dble | 3 (b) | 3 | 34 |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | 49 | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Pass |

(a) Two-plus diamonds, II-I5 points
(b) A mixed raise: typically, nine losers (7-9 points) with four trumps

Perhaps Zhao was double-crossed, bidding three spades to push his opponents into four hearts. However, four hearts would probably have made. The only way to beat it is diamond ace, diamond ruff, spade to the king, diamond ruff - hardly likely. (Note that four hearts by West is much easier to defeat.)

Everyone took eight tricks in spades, so Goren gained 6 imps for minus 50 and plus 300 . And O'Rourke won 3 imps for two fewer undertricks.

## Next:

| Board 23 |  | North <br> - K Q 63 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 6$ |  |
| Vul: Both |  | $\checkmark 92$ |  |
|  |  | - A 10754 |  |
| West |  |  | East |
|  |  |  | - AJ 10754 |
|  | Q J 74 |  | $\bigcirc 10$ |
|  | 10874 |  | $\checkmark$ A Q J 3 |
| -92 |  |  | \& K |
|  |  | South |  |
|  |  | - 8 |  |
|  |  | ¢A98532 |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark 65$ |  |
|  |  | - Q 863 |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Cohen | Levin | Smith | Weinstein |
|  |  |  | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ (a) | 38 | 34 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | Pass | Pass |

(a) They do not use Lebensohl

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | Grue | Hampson | Cheek |
|  |  |  | Pass (a) |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ (b) | 19 | Dble (c) |
| Pass | INT (d) | 2 | All Pass |

(a) Cheek had a heart in his diamonds
(b) Two-plus diamonds, II-I5 points
(c) The heart is still in his diamonds
(d) $1 \mathrm{I}-13$ points

In three notrump, Cohen took one spade, five diamonds and one club for down three. (North led his heart king and shifted to a low club.)

Against two spades, South led the diamond six. Declarer won in the dummy, ran the spade nine (pinning South's singleton eight), then played another spade. Hampson now lost only one spade, one heart and one club.

Plus 300 and plus 170 gave O'Rourke 9 imps and the lead by 5 .

Which famous player once said, "When I die, everyone else will move up one rung on the ranking ladder."

Answer: Bob Hamman

On BBO:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lev | Hurd | Pszczola | Wooldridge |
|  |  |  | 2 |
| Pass | 38 | 34 | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Meckstroth | Fu | Goren | Zhao |
|  |  |  | 2 |
| Pass | Pass | 39 | Pass |
| 49 | Pass | Pass | Pass |

Against three spades, Wooldridge led the heart ace and shifted to his spade eight. But later Pepsi misguessed clubs, so lost one spade, one heart and two clubs.

There was more at stake in the other room, where Barry Goren was in four spades. Declarer, after winning the first trick with dummy's diamond king and unblocking his jack, played a spade to his jack and cashed the spade ace. Now he had to lose four tricks: two spades, one heart and one club.

Plus 140 and plus 100 gave Mahaffey 6 imps.
The next deal added to Mahaffey's lead.

Board 24
North
Dlr:West
Vul: None

- 876

QQ 1052
$\diamond 85$
\& 432
West

- 92
『 876
$\diamond$ A 97
K Q 109

East

- J 10 $\bigcirc$ A 9
$\diamond$ Q 102 \& J 8765
South
- AKQ 54
©K 43
$\diamond$ KJ 643
s-

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohen | Levin | Smith | Weinstein |
| Pass | Pass | 1\% | Dble |
| 18 | Pass | 20 | 24 |
| 30 | 31 | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Rodwell | Grue | Hampson | Cheek |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ (a) | 19 |
| Dble | 28 (b) | Pass | $3>$ (c) |
| Pass | $3{ }^{1}$ | All Pass |  |

(a) Two-plus diamonds, II-I5 points
(b) Weak spade raise, typically 5-7 points with three spades
(c) Game-try

Cheek promised a really good hand to try for game opposite the weak raise, but Grue wasn't bidding four on his hand, despite the fourth trump.

The board was flat at plus 170 in each room.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lev | Hurd | Pszczola | Wooldridge |
| Pass | Pass | 18 | 19 |
| Dble | 2 | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 4 | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Meckstroth | Fu | Goren | Zhao |
| Pass | Pass | 20 (a) | Dble |
| $2 \triangleleft$ (b) | Pass | 3\% (c) | 3 |
| 4* | Pass | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass |  |

(a) Six-plus clubs, II-I5 points
(b) Inquiry
(c) Minimum

Lev led his club king. Declarer ruffed, played his heart king, which East ducked, drew two rounds of trumps, and led a heart to the ten and ace. Pepsi returned a club. South ruffed and played a heart to dummy's queen. Now declarer led a diamond, but he misguessed, putting up his king. West won with the ace and played the heart jack. Declarer ruffed, but had to lose a diamond and a club to go down one.

At the other table, Meckstroth also led the club king. Jie Zhao ruffed, drew two rounds of trumps, and led the heart king. East won with his ace and erred by shifting to the diamond two. (Another club stops the overtrick.) Declarer guessed correctly by playing his jack. Now South took seven spades, one heart and three diamonds.

Plus 50 and plus 450 gave Mahaffey 11 imps and the lead by 34 , sufficient for a blitz.


Tor Helness \& Geir Helgemo

Board 25
Dlr: North
Vul: E-W

West
s Q J 876
Q-
$\diamond$ A K J 5
\& K 984

North
\& A 10542

- K 85
$\diamond 76$
1065


## East <br> $\pm$ K 3

คA 10964
$\diamond 10983$
Q Q 2

South
$\pm 9$
QQJ732
$\diamond$ Q 42
\& AJ 73

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohen | Levin | Smith | Weinstein |
|  | Pass | Pass | 18 |
| 19 | $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Rodwell | Grue | Hampson | Cheek |
|  | Pass | Pass | 18 |
| 19 | 2® | 29 | 38 |
| 49 | Dble | All Pass |  |

Smith did not like passing over his partner's takeout double of two hearts, but the contract had to go down one.

Hampson's imaginative raise to two spades worked out badly when North could double four spades. West could have escaped for down one, but he did not take the diamond finesse and went down two.

Plus 100 and plus 500 gave Deutsch 12 imps and the lead by 7.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lev | Hurd | Pszczola | Wooldridge |
|  | Pass | Pass | 2 |
| Dble | 38 | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Meckstroth | Fu | Goren | Zhao |
|  | Pass | Pass | 18 |
| 19 | $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Pass |

Both declarers took seven tricks, giving Mahaffey 5 imps.
Board 26 gained Mahaffey 2 imps, but it was flat in the other match.

|  | North |
| :--- | :--- |
| Board 27 | $\stackrel{\text { A Q } 8}{ }$ |
| Dlr: South | $\diamond 8642$ |
| Vul: None | $\diamond$ A K |
|  | e K Q 106 |


| West |
| :---: |
| ¢ 542 |
| 8975 |
| $\diamond 10642$ |
| 9 954 |

North

- A Q 8

〇 8642
\& K Q 106
East
\&KJ 9763
$\diamond Q J$
$\diamond 983$
$\& A 3$

South

- 10
$\checkmark$ AK 103
$\diamond$ QJ 75
\& 872

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohen | Levin | Smith | Weinstein |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \%$ | 1s | Dble |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 39 |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Rodwell | Grue | Hampson | Cheek |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond$ (a) |
| Pass | 2\% | 29 | $3 \%$ |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | 6\% | All Pass |  |

## (a) Two-plus diamonds, II-I5 points

Grue chose a great moment to respond two clubs, not one heart.

Against six clubs, East led the heart jack, Rusinow. Declarer won with dummy's king, played a club to the king and ace, won the trump return with his ten, cashed the spade ace, ruffed a spade, played a diamond to his ace, ruffed a spade, led a diamond to his king, drew the last trump, and claimed. He took one spade, two hearts, four diamonds, three clubs and two spade ruffs.

Minus 480 and plus 920 gave Deutsch 10 imps and a win by 29 imps to 12 , or $25-5$ in victory points. O'Rourke had finished with 180 victory points. If Mahaffey won a blitz, his team would win by I vp.

## I think we're all a little masochistic. Otherwise, why would we continue to play bridge? <br> $\sim$ Editor

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meckstroth | Fu | Goren | Zhao |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 18 | 19 | 28 |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

Declarer took 12 tricks. Now there was a long delay. Mahaffey was ahead by 4 I imps. But if Hurd and Wooldridge got to a small slam, Mahaffey would win by only 29-I and would also have 180 vps . There would be a playoff.

This was the auction:

| West | North | East | South <br> Lev |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hurd | Pszczola | Wooldridge |  |
| Pass | 18 | $1 ヵ$ | $2 \triangleleft$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

The board was flat and Jim Mahaffey, Mike Passell, Sam Lev, Jacek Pszczola, Zhong Fu and Jie Zhao had won by I vp.


Georgio Duboin \& Guido Ferraro

# Bridge Psychology 

## by Sam Leckie, Scotland

About 14 years ago a new phenomenon appeared in international bridge - the bridge psychologist. Such a person was included in the party that made up many a country's team. The main objective was to concentrate on man and woman management to help improve performance. Whether or not they still exist today I do not know, because I am not in tune with bridge at that level these days. In 1996, however, at the European Championships in Portugal, Great Britain certainly had one and I remember interviewing a young, attractive Jane Townsend for the Daily Bulletin. My first surprise was to discover she did not play bridge. She considered that an advantage because she could not become involved in the technical aspects of the game. She then continued, "How to handle amber, joy or whatever emotion is never considered, yet these emotions must affect the ability to perform. The mind can only concentrate fully for 40 minutes, and it is my job to help each person to refocus as quickly as possible."
"How could you help me to improve my game when I played at this level?" I asked her.
"To answer that I need to know how you reacted in a specific situation. Tell me," she continued, "how were you after a
dreadful set of boards?"
"I became angry, depressed and verbally abusive to my partner," I replied ashamedly.
"Where did you feel anger?" she asked.
I told her I did not understand the question.
"Was it in your mind or was it physical?" she explained.

Slowly, very slowly I was appreciating what she was about. Her solution to my problem depended on my type of anger. By concentrating on each member of the team individually, she could tell by looking at a range of things, including skin-tone and breathing. How they were feeling emotionally and did not even require to talk to them. Quite fascinating.

I must say I went into the interview feeling quite skeptical, but when I left I realized she knew her job, although I was still not sure I did.

This was all a long time ago and in the past few years I have moved from tournament bridge to tournament poker. I sometimes wonder what Jane is doing these days? "I am sure whatever move she's made has been a great deal more profitable than mine!"

## Cavendish Invitational Pairs \& WBP Pairs - Conditions of Contest, 2009

## 1A - Cavendish Pairs

There will be no more than 60 pairs entered. Play will consist of three-board rounds and there will be no more than 45 rounds.

## 1B — WPB Pairs

Format will be based upon the number of entries. Play will be conducted over three sessions.
For both events, the boards will be played simultaneously, barometer style, but scores will not be posted until the end of each session. Screens will be used in the Cavendish but not in the WBP.
2. The events will be conducted in accordance with the latest edition of the Laws of Duplicate Bridge (The Laws). Whenever the use of screens precludes unauthorized information the Tournament Director may waive certain provisions of The Laws.
3. Absolutely no electronic devices capable of sending or receiving signals (other than hearing aids) may be brought into the playing rooms under any circumstances. This applies to kibitzers as well as players.
4. Players are required to be in their places at the announced starting times. A penalty of 50 IMPs will be assessed for each five-minute lateness segment, beginning 10 minutes after the starting time for first offenders, and for each five minutes of tardiness after the announced starting time for repeat offenders.
5. Discussion of boards during a round is prohibited. In each session a player making any remark that, in the judgment of a director might be overheard at another table, will be penalized 50 IMPs for the first offense, 100 IMPs for the second infraction, and 150 IMPs for each offense thereafter. These are automatic and not appealable.
6.

Players are free to leave the playing area when they conclude each round, but are prohibited from comparing results in the playing area. Any pair detected doing so will be assessed automatic, non-appealable penalties as in 5 above.
7. For each three-board round, 25 minutes ( 17 minutes for two-board rounds) are allotted, plus a two-minute grace period. A new round may not be started until the previous round's play has been completed at all tables. Pairs failing to complete play within the allocated time will be given a written warning for a first offense. A second infraction will result in a 50 IMP penalty; subsequent offenses, up to 200 IMPs. The cumulative penalties for slow play will not exceed 300 IMPs in any single session. Penalties for slow play do not carry over to another session and are administered by the Chief Director, in consultation with the Tournament Committee. In the absence of a player's report to a Director regarding an opponent's slow play or the player himself, it shall be deemed that both pairs are equally at fault. Slow play penalties are not appealable.
8. It is strongly recommended that at trick one declarer take about 15 seconds before playing to the opening lead and that the player in third seat take about 10 seconds before playing. Thereafter, significant breaks in tempo before selecting small cards will be strongly discouraged.
9. The Alert Procedure is mandatory. Players shall alert their calls as they are made, and their partner's calls when the bidding tray is moved to their side of the table. It is the Alerter's responsibility to ensure that his screenmate realizes that an alert has been made. A player may ask for an explanation of a bid, $\mathbf{I N}$ WRITING at the appropriate turn to bid and play, and the answer must also be given IN WRITING. All bids or calls which have a conventional meaning (other than Stayman, Blackwood, strong and artificial 2-club openings) are subject to an alert. Each player shall have a convention card completely filled out and, if possible, a hard copy of all system notes available for inspection.
10. No pre-alerts are required for carding agreements, except that leading low from a doubleton must be pre-alerted. Any method of leads against suit or notrump contracts is permitted, but the partnership may play only one structure of honor and low card leads against suit contracts. A different structure of leads may be played in defense of a notrump contract, but only one method is allowed. Normal or upside-down signals and discards for attitude, count or suit preference are permitted, but variable, or encrypted, signals are not.
11. Any irregularity in the Alert procedure may result in score adjustments for Misinformation or Unauthorized Information. Both players are required to know their bidding agreements and to alert and explain their agreements properly and identically. The appropriate laws will be applied if damage to the opponents result therefrom, and even if no damage ensues from an alert infraction, a procedural penalty may be assigned. In general, players should assume that if no alert is made, no alertable call has been made. Therefore, if there is any doubt in a player's mind as to whether or not a call is alertable, the player should alert.
12. The North and South players control the bidding tray. The screenmate is permitted to make a screen huddle to normalize the tempo by removing the bid card from the bidding box, showing it to North or South, but withholding it from being placed in the tray.
13. Players (behind screens) should endeavor to place their bidding cards in the tray without creating sounds which would be heard on the opposite side of the screen.
14. In general, any convention or treatment that is familiar to the average tournament player, or can be explained to the average player within 10 seconds, is allowed. Methods of a destructive nature are not authorized, nor are the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Forcing or strong pass systems; } \\
& \text { Multi } 2 \text { and similar conventional opening bids; } \\
& \text { Two-suited weak two/three-bid openings which specify only one (or neither) of the suits held; anchor suit must contain at least five } \\
& \text { cards, except that two of a major showing that suit and a minor is permitted - even if the major is only a four card suit. } \\
& \text { Preemptive bids that do not specify which suit is held; } \\
& \text { Artificial bids or sequences that require lengthy explanations; } \\
& \text { Canapé style overcalls or opening bids if the first-bid suit may be shorter than four cards; } \\
& \text { Any system, convention or treatment that would require a pre-alert (in ACBL parlance) and written suggested defenses. } \\
& \text { Transfer openings and transfer responses, subject to the following exceptions: }
\end{aligned}
$$

1. Any transfer response structure to a notrump opening, overcall or rebid is permitted, as are transfer responses showing at least high-card game invitational values.
2. Transfer responses over a $1 \boldsymbol{*}$ opening bid, as long as a $1 \wedge$ response promises at least invitational values.
3. Each board will be scored by International Match Points as follows: each pair's score will be compared with every other score achieved by pairs in the same direction. The maximum swing on any single comparison will be 17 IMPs times the number of comparisons. Average-plus and average-minus scores will be calculated according to a pre-determined formula, as will the adjustment for a fouled board.
4. Any Director's ruling (other than penalties under sections 4, 5, 6 and 7) may be appealed to the Appeals Committee designated by the Tournament Committee. If a pair or team wishes to lodge an appeal, it must post US $\$ 50$ which will be forfeited if the Appeals Committee deems the appeal to be substantially without merit. Decisions of the Appeals Committee are not subject to further appeal; however see 20 below.
The Tournament Committee will decide on the acceptability of substitutes should the need arise.
Disciplinary penalties may be imposed by the Tournament Committee for violations of conduct by players or their guests. Kibitzers will be permitted to enter the room only at the beginning of a round or match.
Any of the above notwithstanding, the Tournament Committee may take any action it deems necessary in the best interests of the event and its participants.

Cavendish Invitational Cocktail Party \& Auction


## Schedule of Bivents

Friday, May 8, 2009

| 10:30 | CIP 1 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Session | Estancia Ballroom | (27 boards) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4:00pm | CIP 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Session | Estancia Ballroom | (30 boards) |
|  | Saturday, May 9, 2009 |  |  |
| 9:00am | Breakfast | LaCascada |  |
| 9:30am | Auction, WBP Pairs |  |  |
| 10:30 | CIP 3r Session | Estancia Ballroom | (27 Boards) |
|  | WBP Pairs 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Session | Grand Ballroom 3-4 |  |
| 4:30pm | CIP 3rd Session | Estancia Ballroom | (30 boards) |
|  | WBP Pairs 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Session | Grand Ballroom 3-4 |  |

Sunday, May 10, 2009

TBD Final Session CIP Estancia Ballroom
Final Session WBP Pairs Grand Ballroom 3-4
3:00pm Closing Party
Lobby Bar Terraces


## The new standard in scoring

The Bridgemate® wireless scoring system is an advanced system to simplify and speed the collection of results of your bridge sessions. There is a small electronic handheld device, called a Bridgemate, at each table. North keys each contract and result into the Bridgemate, which (after approval by East or West) transmits the data wirelessly to a special receiver attached to the scoring computer. The Bridgemate software reads the results of each board and writes the info into a "results" file where is it available to the scoring program.

The Bridgemate keyboard has large keys which makes it easy to use. Each button clearly indicates its function. The display uses large characters to show the texts.
Bridgemate.US 434-361-1397 www.bridgemate.us sales@bridgemate.us


