# The $\mathfrak{C a w e n d i s h ~}$ Inmitational 

## LEVIN-WEINSTEIN TAKE 2007 CAVENDISH PAIRS



Bobby Levin and Steve Weinstein Levin and Weinstein have eight titles between them, all since 1993. This is the third win as a pair

## CHORUSH-LALL TAKE WBP PAIRS



Hemant Lall and Ira Chorush

## $2007 \mathbb{C}$ abendish $\mathfrak{J n v i t a t i o n a l}$ yinal Btandings

| 1 | 3455.65 | Bobby Levin - Steve Weinstein |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 2 | 2987.03 | Drew Casen - Mike Passell |
| 3 | 2747.24 | Bruce Rogoff - Louk Verhees |
| 4 | 2664.42 | Curtis Cheek - Joe Grue |
| 5 | 1880.38 | Geir Helgemo - Tor Helness |
| 6 | 1738.81 | John Kranyak - Gavin Wolpert |
| 7 | 1594.57 | Robert Blanchard - Sam Lev |
| 8 | 1525.53 | Michael Elinescu - Entscho Wladow |
| 9 | 1314.57 | Eric Greco - Geoff Hampson |
| 10 | 1235.23 | Peter Fredin - Michael Moss |
| 11 | 1229.49 | Martin Fleisher - Chip Martel |
| 12 | 1048.84 | Bart Bramley - Justin Lall |
| 13 | 1009.19 | Russ Ekeblad - Eric Rodwell |
| 14 | 968.07 | Doug Doub - Adam Wildavsky |
| 15 | 963.92 | Agustin Madala - Antonio Sementa |
| 16 | 947.35 | Tom Hanlon - Hugh McGann |
| 17 | 942.34 | Bob Hamman - Zia Mahmood |
| 18 | 932.58 | Ron Smith - Jason Feldman |
| 19 | 692.39 | Peter Bertheau - Fredrik Nystrom |
| 20 | 621.93 | Haojun Shi - Ze Jun Zhuang |
| 21 | 583.74 | Christal Henner-Welland - Michael Rosenberg |
| 22 | 547.57 | Franck Multon - Pierre Zimmermann |
| 23 | 538.08 | Marc Bompis - Jean-Christophe Quantin |
| 24 | 471.15 | Ton Bakkeren - Huub Bertens |
| 25 | 470.50 | Bjorn Fallenius - Richard Schwartz |
| 26 | 265.81 | Alain Levy - Paul Chemla |
| 27 | 119.42 | Patrick Huang - Bobby Wolff |
| 28 | 15.24 | George Jacobs - Ralph Katz |
| 29 | -160.84 | Gary Cohler - Howard Weinstein |
| 30 | -240.27 | Jeroen Bruggeman - Gert-Jan Paulissen |
| 31 | -328.58 | Neil Chambers - John Schermer |
| 32 | -426.54 | Jill Levin - Jill Meyers |
| 33 | -430.43 | Stephen Landen - Pratap Rajadhyaksha |
| 34 | -647.93 | Valerio Giubilo - Alfredo Versace |
| 35 | -661.34 | Gilad Altschuler - David Birman |
| 36 | -701.34 | Seymon Deutsch - Paul Soloway |
| 37 | -716.38 | Jacek Pszczola - Vitas Vainkonis |
| 38 | -725.07 | Bartosz Chmurski - Piotr Gawrys |
| 39 | -780.34 | David Berkowitz - Bill Pollack |
| 40 | -784.61 | Michel Eidi - Fulvio Fantoni |
| 41 | -805.12 | Chih-Kuo Shen - Jui-Yiu Shih |
| 42 | -877.20 | Perry Johnson - Jeff Meckstroth |
| 43 | -912.00 | Mathias Bruun - Stig Werdelin |
| 44 | -936.92 | Ashley Bach - Mike Cornell |
| 45 | -994.61 | Amos Kaminski - Shaya Levit |
| 46 | -1081.20 | Ahmed Hussein - Tarek Sadek |
| 47 | -1102.46 | Jens Auken - Soren Christiansen |
| 48 | -1127.77 | Roy Welland - Chris Willenken |
| 49 | -1266.12 | John Diamond - Jim Krekorian |
| 50 | -1354.77 | Chris Compton - Kyle Larsen |
| 53 | -1765.00 | Jie Zhao - Fu Zhong |
| 54 | -2089.46 | Wafik Abdou - Bill Eisenberg |
| 55 | -2963.81 | Fred Stewart - Kit Woolsey |
| 56 | -4096.72 | Albert Faigenbaum - Romain Zaleski |
| Fred Gitelman - Brad Moss |  |  |

## 

| 1780.08 | Ira Chorush - Hemant Lall |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1124.00 | Thomas Carmichael - Joel Wooldridge |
| 904.83 | Fred Hamilton - John Jeffrey |
| 736.00 | Jeff Fang - Erez Hendelman |
| 513.00 | Kathrine Bertheau - Johan Upman |
| 493.25 | Farid Assemi - Edward Wojewoda |
| 394.00 | Ann Levy - Sylvie Wellard |
| 392.00 | Mike Cappelletti - John Morris |
| 358.00 | Gail Greenberg - Jeffrey Hand |
| 307.00 | Mark Lair - Jim Mahaffey |
| 201.08 | Dan Jacob - Dick Yarington |
| 11.58 | Rajeev Gupta - Joyjit Sarma |
| -15.00 | Leonard Ernst - Wojciech Kurkowski |
| -66.00 | Michael McNamara - Sylwia McNamara |
| -106.17 | Sheila Ekeblad - Michael Seamon |
| -129.00 | Shane Blanchard - Owen Lien |
| -138.00 | Leo Bell - Marshall Miles |
| -162.00 | Connie Goldberg - Renee Mancuso |
| -180.00 | Frank Treiber III - James Cunningham |
| -310.92 | Marc Jacobus - Lou Ann O’Rourke |
| -318.00 | G. Margie Gwozdzinsky - Cathy Strauch |
| -467.00 | Joshua Donn - Bruce Rubin |
| -506.00 | Mike Albert - Gary Zeiger |
| -690.92 | Chester Johnston - Jim Murphy |
| -759.00 | Brian Glubok - David Hoffner |
| -821.00 | Bob Soni - Robert Todd |
| -914.92 | Alexander Kolesnik - Roberto Scaramuzzi |
| -1651.92 | Jim Eastham - Daniel McGuire |

$\mathfrak{C a m e n d i s h}$ Jnnitational Gection winners

| SESSION 1 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1st | Agustin Madala - Antonio Sementa |  |
| SESSION 2 |  |  |
| 1st | Michael Elinescu - Entscho Wladow | $\$ 4,000$ |
| 2nd | John Kranyak - Gavin Wolpert | $\$ 2,500$ |
| SESSION 3 |  |  |
| 1st | Russ Ekeblad - Eric Rodwell | $\$ 6,500$ |
| 2nd | Tom Hanlon - Hugh McGann | $\$ 5,000$ |
| 3rd | Amos Kaminski - Shaya Levit | $\$ 2,500$ |
| SESSION 4 |  |  |
| 1st | Drew Casen - Mike Passell |  |
| 2nd | Curtis Cheek - Joe Grue | $\$ 12,500$ |
| 3rd | Chih-Kuo Shen - Jui-Yiu Shih | $\$ 9,500$ |
| 4th | Roy Welland - Chris Willenken | $\$ 5,000$ |
|  | SESSION 5 | $\$ 2,500$ |
| 1st | Franck Multon - Pierre Zimmermann | $\$ 20,000$ |
| 2nd | Alain Levy - Paul Chemla | $\$ 12,000$ |
| 3rd | Bobby Levin - Steve Weinstein | $\$ 8,000$ |
| 4th | Peter Bertheau - Fredrik Nystrom | $\$ 5,000$ |
| 5th | Mathias Bruun - Stig Werdelin | $\$ 2,500$ |

# Canenidith Jnbitational Zains Simari Chart 

## Overall Awards

| $1^{\text {st }}$ | 274,400 | 28,420 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $2^{\text {td }}$ | 176,400 | 18,270 |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 117,600 | 12,180 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | 88,200 | 9,134 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ | 78,400 | 8,120 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | 68,600 | 7,104 |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | 58,800 | 6,090 |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ | 49,000 | 5,076 |
| $9^{\text {th }}$ | 39,200 | 4,060 |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | 29,400 | 3,046 |

## Session Awards

## From Auction Pool to Owners

|  | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ Session | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Session | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Session | $4^{\text {th }}$ Session | $5{ }^{\text {th }}$ Session |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ | 2,500 | 4,000 | 6,500 | 12,500 | 20,000 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ |  | 2,500 | 5,000 | 9,500 | 12,000 |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ |  |  | 2,500 | 5,000 | 8,000 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ |  |  |  | 2,500 | 5,000 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ |  |  |  |  | 2,500 |
| From Player's Pool to Players |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ |  |  |  |  | 5,000 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ |  |  |  |  | 3,000 |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ |  |  |  |  | 2,500 |

## 

Position
$1^{\text {st }}$
$2^{\text {nd }}$
$3^{\text {rd }}$
$4^{\text {th }}$
$5^{\text {th }}$
$6^{\text {th }}$

## Auction Pool <br> 9,850 <br> 6,567 <br> 4,766 <br> 3,582 <br> 2,985 <br> 2,090

Player Pool
4,850
3,234
2,352
1,764
1,470
1,030

## Session Awards

Third Session
$1^{\text {st }}$ Place

## 

## Bd: 5

Dlr: N Vul: N-S

## S. J

H. A K Q 10
D. J 109654
C. K 10
S. K Q 85
S. 102
H. J 872
H. 9543
D. 832
D. A Q 7
C. 43
C. J 765

## S. A 97643

H. 6
D. K
C. A Q 982

You certainly do not want to play 4S here. Admittedly, though if South opens and rebids diamonds, it will be tough to lead that suit and now declarer can make 10 tricks. Gawrys managed to find the killing lead - though on a helpful auction from Quantin-Bompis.

| South | North |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1D | 1S |
| 2S | 3C |
| 3NT | 4C |
| 4H | 4 S |
| All Pass |  |

After that start the defense simply had three trumps and their diamond trick on normal play. Versace declared 3NT when Giubilo passed at his $3^{\text {rd }}$ turn after an identical start to the above auction. However, David Birman did remarkably well when he led the S10 to the SQ and Altschuler shifted to the H 7 and HK - on which Birman discouraged. Now came a diamond; Birman took the DA and played a second spade, ducked by Versace (who had to guess who had the DQ ) around to the S 8 for the critical club shift. Birman covered Versace's C10 with the CJ and now there were no communications left between the two hands. So all Versace could do was come to the CK and try the D9. Birman took his DQ and exited with a club to dummy, and sat back for the third spade winner. Down one.
Could declarer have done better? Yes at double-dummy if he infers that the spades rate to be 4-2 with the opening leader quite likely to have the DQ (else he might have ducked the DA?) Declarer takes the second spade and
leads the C8 to the CK, overtakes the C10 with the CA, cashes the CQ and gets out with the C2 to the CJ!
The defense have been gifted a club trick but now have to return a red suit around to declarer, and end up taking two diamonds, one club and just one spade trick.

## Bd: 9

## Dlr: $\mathbf{N}$ Vul: E-W

S.
H. A 8754
D. 92
C. Q J 8643
S. 987543
S. A J 102
H. 102
H. 3
D. Q 875
D. K 10643
C. 7
C. A 52
S. K Q 6
H. K Q J 96
D. A J
C. K 109

It's sometimes hard to know what is a good result, and what is a bad one. Here for example, Rogoff-Verhees bid on to 5 S over 5 H , and went down 500 at unfavorable vulnerability. Is this a good or bad result? Well at many tables 5H doubled made 11 tricks.
The defense can get a diamond trick or club ruff but not both, and some pairs did worse than that: At the table of Madala-Sementa they doubled 1D, and over 3D Madala jumped to 4H. Sementa bid 5H over 5D and redoubled when it was doubled, before his partner could do so! The defenders failed to cash out correctly so that was +1200 !
This beat the result achieved by Landen-Pratap. Pratap opened the 5-6 hand 1 H and that got his side to 6 H ! On a trump lead, declarer claimed +980 !

> Congrats to the winners of the 2007 John Roberts Teams John Diamond, Gaylor Kasle, Drew Casen, Jim Krekorian, John Schermer \& Neil Chambers
> 2007 Cavendish Invitational Pairs
> ???
> 2007 WPB Pairs ???

## Bd: 12

Dlr: W Vul: N-S

|  | S. K Q |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | H. A J 84 |  |
|  | D. J 943 |  |
|  | C. Q J 3 |  |
| S. 842 |  | S. A 109 |
| H. 753 |  | H. 109 |
| D. 75 |  | D. A K Q 1082 |
| C. K 9875 |  | C. A 10 |

S. J 7653
H. K Q 62
D. 6
C. 642

Real men like to overcall 3NT. Jill Levin as East heard 1D on her right - wouldn't you bid 3NT here? (After all who knows what the opponents can make in the majors?) As it is, you can use dummy's entry to finesse in diamonds. Nine tricks: What's the problem?

Jill was lucky in a sense that the opening was 1D, natural, and not a precision 1D, or a nebulous club, or even 1 NT ; then it was tough to guess diamonds. - Mind you, at several tables the strong hand passed throughout!

## Bd: 18

Dlr: E Vul: N-S
S. Q 108
H. K Q 74
D. K 6
C. Q 832
S. J 9632
S. K 7
H. -
H. 108532
D. J 1053
D. A 982
C. J 654
C. K 7
S. A 54
H. A J 96
D. Q 74
C. A 109

These days playing the 4-4 major suit fit is so passe. H. Weinstein-Cohler bid 1NT-3D (optional Stayman) 3NT. S. Weinstein heard his LHO open 2C (hearts and a minor) and bid 2NT raised to 3NT.

In 3NT on a low spade lead, Howard Weinstein puts in the S8 at trick one and was delighted by the result. He
took the SK with the SA and now passed the C9 at once, so that if a diamond came back he could finesse the second club into the safe hand. As it was, he had three spades, three clubs, four hearts and one diamond for +660 .

Mike Passell played 4H on a low spade lead and guessed to put on the S8 as well. Now he had avoided a spade loser so when he found the 5-0 heart break all he needed was to guess the clubs and he had his contract. By contrast, Louk Verhees led a diamond after a strong no-trump auction to 4 H . Declarer played to ruff a diamond early and ended up misguessing spades - down 300!!

## Bd: 19

## Dlr: S Vul: E-W

$$
\text { S. J } 98
$$

H. 98642
D. K 4
C. 754

| S. K 1053 | S. A 7 |
| :--- | :--- |
| H. J 7 5 | H. A K 3 |
| D. 5 3 10 2 | D. A 9 2 |
| C. Q 10 | C. A J 6 3 |

S. Q 642
H. Q 10
D. Q J 1076
C. K 9

In 3NT by East, you or I would know to lead $4^{\text {th }}$ highest from our longest and strongest - wouldn't we? Verhees led the normal DQ and Rogoff guessed to overtake. When this was ducked, Rogoff returned the D4 and East put in the D9 on the second round. Verhees won and could see that he needed to shift; what was the right suit to play?

On a low spade shift (ever assuming partner is kind enough to possess the SJ and S9 so that the shift does not cost) declarer will surely win the SK and take a club finesse, so he will have little option but to drop your HQ in the ending.

Verhees instead switched at trick three to the H10! Declarer did not put up the HJ. Instead he won in hand, led a spade to the SK, and took a club finesse. Verhees won and returned a club, and declarer now went for the endplay. After the SA and two clubs he had reduced to:

Continued on next page.

## J

9854

| 105 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| J 6 3 |  |
| Q |  |
|  |  |
| A 8 |  |
|  |  |

Q 6
Q
J 7
$\qquad$

When he cashed the DA and crossed to dummy with a club, North pitching a low heart, then the SJ, East could legitimately hope that North had begun life with S. QJxx and H. Qxxx. If that were so, a spade play would now endplay North to lead a heart around to the HJ. Unlucky - down one.

## Bd: 26

## Dlr: E Vul: Both

S. A K 92
H. 5
D. Q 63
C. A J 873
S. 106
S. Q J 74
H. K 1086
H. A Q 74
D. A 742
D. K 105
C. Q 65
C. K 4
S. 853
H. J 932
D. J 98
C. 1092

On this deal, Alfredo Versace did very well, I thought. As North he defended 4H by Verhees, West, and led three rounds of spades, despite knowing his partner had three and declarer two. The point was that declarer could not play to ruff a club or the fourth round of spades would kill the discard, and if declarer drew trump, he would lose the club ruff.

At many tables, East declared 4H on a C10 lead. Declarer won and played the spade to the 10. Again the winning theoretical defense is to keep leading spades as found by Billy Pollack but remarkably few other declarers. This sets the hand when partner's diamonds are far weaker.

## Cabendish - bession 5

The first round contained enough excitement to wake up even the most jaded bridge player. Each of the five leading pairs had as least one disaster, and HelnessHelgemo had two.

## Bd: 1

Dlr: N Vul: None
S. A 109
H. A J
D. A Q 53
C. Q J 63
S. K Q J 85432
S.
H. 92
H. Q 764
D. 106
D. 982
C. 4
C. AK 10852
S. 76
H. K 10853
D. K J 74
C. 97

4SX down 500 was the norm here, and both LevinWeinstein and Casen-Passell managed this. But Helgemo-Helness defended 4SX by leading the S9. Declarer, Eric Rodwell, won and cashed two clubs to shake a heart loser AND avoid the trump promotion, so the Norwegians managed only +100 .

Grue-Cheek defended 5DX. Grue led the CAK on which Cheek pitched the H2 (reverse) hoping for the HA and a ruff. Grue expected his partner to have the HA and so he led a low H. Now the spade losers went away. -550.

## Bd: 3

Dlr: S Vul: E-W
S. Q 954
H. J 6
D. 4
C. K Q J 876
S. K 8762
S. A J 103
H. K 10
H. A 932
D. K J 98
D. 1073
C. 43
C. A 10
S.
H. Q 8754
D. A Q 652
C. 952

At most tables, N/S got to sacrifice in 5C over 4S. Rogoff-Verhees were allowed to play 4S after South had opened a systemically light 1 H then rebid diamonds to show a sub-minimum canapé. On a top club lead, Verhees
guessed trumps and had 10 tricks. Casen-Passell escaped for -300 when on a non-trump lead declarer managed to take two ruffs. Eckblad led the CA, C10 and collected +800 against Helness-Helgemo.
Bd: 4
Dlr: W Vul: Both
S.
H. 94
D. 754
C. AK Q J 10872
S. A Q J 95
S. K 76432
H. 3
H. K 1086
D. J 1083
D. 962
C. 963
C.
S. 108
H. A Q J 752
D. A K Q
C. 54

Reaching 7C is not enough - you need to be lucky enough to find the HK onside, and lucky enough to be able to persuade your opponents not to save. Eidi-Fantoni saved against Levin's 6C and conceded 800. HelnessHelgemo saved against 7C and conceded 1100. SolowayDeutch showed how to reach the grand slam: 3NT (P) 6NT (X) 7C. 3NT denied guards and Soloway elected to run from 6NTX - quite a good idea on this hand!

The second round contained three potential grand slams (one going down on a 3-0 trump break). RogoffVerhees righted the ship (bidding the small slam on the hand where this was the limit, and having their opponents miss their slams) while Casen-Passell also had their opponents miss two slams but missed their own as well.

After six wild deals, no one could have been that happy with their results but by my calculations RogoffVerhees had taken the lead.
Bd: 14

## Dlr: E Vul: None

S. 732
H. K Q 9
D. 1097
C. 8532
S. J 5
S. K 64
H. 62
H. A J 1075
D. Q J 2
D. K 85
C. A Q J 976
C. K 10
S. A Q 1098
H. 843
D. A 643
C. 4

Cheek-Grue were having a set where nothing seemed to be going right for them. But at least on this deal Curtis guessed what to do. After his RHO opened 1NT he overcalled 2D (diamonds \& a major), raised to 3NT. He led the SA, hoping to be able to work out who had a doubleton spade honor, and the sight of dummy needs the continuation of the SQ obvious. 3NT was now down one for a big pick-up.

Bd: 17
Dlr: N Vul: None
S. 652
H. Q J 84
D. $K$
C. A J 1073
S. Q J 9
S. A K 3
H. K 32
H. A 10975
D. J 1082
D. A 96
C. 962
C. K 4
S. 10874
H. 6
D. Q 7543
C. Q 85

| East | South West | North |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 H | P | 2 H | P |
| 2N | P | 3 H | P |
| 4 H | All Pass |  |  |

Pratop-Laden bid to 4 H here on a $3^{\text {rd }} / 5^{\text {th }}$ diamond lead Pratap put up dummy's DJ, forcing the DK, and he won the DA. Now he led a heart to the HK, a heart towards his hand, and Casen ducked smoothly. Of course, Casen could tell from the auction that his partner was relatively unlikely to have an entry. Pratap now had to decide what to play for: hearts 4-1 with the CA right, or hearts 3-2. Not surprisingly he went up with the HK , and down went the contract.

## Join Us Next Year for the 2008

Cavendish Invidiational Pairs

May 7-11th, 2008

