APPEAL NABC+ SIXTEEN Subject: Misinformation (MI) DIC: Steve Bates Event: NABC Swiss Session: 2nd Qualifying, April 8, 2006

	Jacob Morgan
Board #21	♠ K J 8 4
Vul: N-S	♥ A J
Dlr: North	♦ A T 7
	♣ J T 9 4

Mark Feldman	Bart Bramley
▲ 5	♠ A Q T 7
♥ 8 4 3	♥ T 9 6 2
♦ 8 6 5 3 2	♦ K Q 4
♣ 8 6 5 2	♣ K Q
	Marty Harris
	♦ 9 6 3 2
	∀ K Q 7 5
	♦ J 9

♣ A 7 3

Note: The spot cards are guesses as spot cards were noted by xs on Appeals Form.

West	North	East	South
	$1 \bigstar^1$	1NT	Dbl
2♠	Dbl	Pass	Pass
Rdbl	Pass	2NT	Pass
3♦	All Pa	SS	

(1) Could be as short as two.

The Facts: On the lead of a diamond, $3 \blacklozenge$ failed by three tricks, +150 N/S. The director was called prior to the final pass.

The Ruling: E/W submitted system notes that clearly showed the 2 call was natural in this auction. The director ruled that the table result would stand.

The Appeal: N/S noted that E/W play that, without the double, that West's $2 \triangleq$ is for the minors. N/S thought that was how West intended it and why East selected Diamonds rather than Hearts over the Redouble. N/S thought that with an accurate explanation, they would have had a better chance of doubling $3 \blacklozenge$.

E/W's system notes clearly state that suit bids are natural over a double of 1NT. West psyched 24, taking advantage of the favorable vulnerability to try to make it difficult for the opponents to reach a good spade game. As a matter of bridge logic, West's redouble was clearly for takeout. East was unsure whether to play diamonds or hearts, but once diamonds were not doubled, it was clear to pass.

The Decision: E/W's notes clearly stated that suit bids over a double of 1NT are natural. E/W's agreements were properly explained, so the score could not be adjusted. The committee discussed whether to issue an Appeal Without Merit Warning (AWMW) but concluded by not issuing one.

The Committee: Doug Doub (Chair), Mike Kovacich and Kathleen Sulgrove.

Commentary:

Goldsmith	AWMW. Not close unless N/S were very inexperienced.
Polisner	West's 2♠ bid is either a misbid or a psyche. In either case, it is NOT MI and no adjustment is possible. Failure to give an AWMW is improper.
Rigal	Again, an AWMW got away. The non-offenders got to see their opponent's system file and still pursued the case, one that they should have known they had no chance to win.
Wildavsky	This appeal had no merit.
Wolff	Clear bias in favor of convention disruption because of name identification. There is no doubt in my mind that West intended his two spade bid the same as without the interference, e.g. a minor suit takeout simply because that is what he had. To speak of a psych is "sophistry" at its extreme and any and everyone will agree. Should West have spoken out and agreed, I think so, but why should he hurt himself in the committee? I assume that the word psych didn't begin with it coming from West's lips. Anyway this committee bought another bridge.
Zeiger	Pray tell, how could the Committee not give an AWMW? N/S are nice guys, but that doesn't give this waste of time any merit. I don't care if West psyched or forgot. Either way, the system notes proved no MI.