APPEAL	Non NABC+ THIRTEEN
Subject	Unauthorized Information (UI)- Tempo
DIC	Bernie Gorkin
Event	Flight AX Pairs
Session	Second
Date	July 21, 2006

BD	# 30	James Dressler	,
VU	L None	▲ A7	
DLI	R East	▼ J7	
		◆ QJT76	
		♣ AT85	
	Don Mamula		Linda Mamula
٠	954		▲ J82
۷	K 9 6 3	Summer 2006	♥ 8542
•	K 3 2	Chicago, Illinois	s ◆ 954
*	Q 4 3		🔶 K 7 6
		David Langer	
		▲ KQT63	
		▼ AQT	
		♦ A 8	

West	North	East	South	Final Co
		Pass	1♠	Opening
Pass	$1NT^{1}$	Pass	2*	Table Re
Pass	$2 \bigstar^2$	Pass	2NT	Director
Pass	3NT	Pass	Pass	Panel Ru
Pass				

Final Contract	3NT by North
Opening Lead	♦9
Table Result	3NT by N making 5, N/S +460
Director Ruling	2♠ by S making 5, N/S +200
Panel Ruling	2 ♠ by S making 5, N/S +200

(1)	Forcing.
(2)	Break in Tempo (BIT)

J92

٠

The Facts: A BIT was acknowledged but the length was disputed. 2NT instead of 2♠ would have been 11/12 HCP. South stated that the 2NT bid over 2♠ shows 16/17 HCP and is a standard bid. They play 12-14 HCP 1NT openings.

The Ruling: Eight players with about 2,000 masterpoints (MP) and six players in the "A" category were polled. Three of the eight 2,000 MP players passed 2♠ and two thought a pass reasonable. Four of the "A" player passed 2♠. Pass was therefore determined to be to be logical alternative and 2NT was determined to be demonstrably suggested by the BIT. Therefore, in accordance with 12A2, 16A2 and 73, the table result was adjusted to 2♠ by South making five, N/S +200.

The Appeal: N/S said that their system called for South to show 16/17 HCP by 2NT over partner's two-level sign-off after a forcing NT bid and response (N/S play weak one notrump openings of 12-14 HCP). As such, South claimed North's BIT, which was not long but was noticeable, had no influence on his decision to bid 2NT. His system required him to do so with the hand he held. An immediate 2NT over 1NT would have shown 18/19 HCP.

West felt the hesitation was longer than N/S did. However, as a distinct BIT was acknowledged by N/S, the length of the hesitation was not examined in depth.

The Decision: N/S claim to be much better bridge players than their masterpoint holding would indicate. Except for an illness, they would have been teammates on a team in the 0-5000 Spingold that had reached the semi-final stage by Friday.

Many players of different masterpoint holdings and skill level (2,000 to 10,000 masterpoints and experts) were asked about this auction and the systemic requirement to bid 2NT after 2 (playing 12-14 HCP 1NT openings). The opinions were almost evenly split between 2NT being a judgment call and a systemic requirement. All were asked for an opinion of what call to make over 2 clearly there was a group who played weak notrumps that required a hand with a good 16 or bad 17HCP to bid 2NT over the 2 sign-off. Others felt the decision was a judgment call.

N/S said they had system notes to demonstrate their position that 2NT was required systemically. They did not have the notes with them, but South said he would bring them the following day. However, when contacted on Saturday, South said he "had not gone to his office to get them when he had gone home Friday night." South admitted that this failing made it hard to allow his 2NT bid to stand.

Based on this, more players were asked if the 2NT was suggested by the BIT. There was player agreement that pass was a logical alternative and, while the slow 2♠ bid could either show a weak hand with two spades and four clubs or a good hand considering bidding 2NT, the latter seemed more likely suggested by the hesitation. North said he would need six hearts or diamonds to bid 2♦ or 2♥, though South felt five cards in a red suit would be sufficient length to be able to bid that suit after 2♣. 2NT was found to be demonstrably suggested by the BIT. Pass was determined to be a less successful logical alternative. Therefore, the director's ruling was upheld. See laws 16A2 and 12C2. The appeal was determined to have merit as N/S' contention about systemic requirements were mentioned by several players.

The Committee: Peter Marcus (Reviewer), Ron Johnston and Gary Zeiger.

Players Consulted: Several players with 2,000; 5,000 and 10,000 masterpoints and experts.