

Thursday, 13 January 2000

Issue: 6

Jean Paul Meyer, Co-ordinator • Mark Horton, Editor Brent Manley & Brian Senior, Assistant Editors Stelios Hatzidakis, Layout Editor

Race for the eighth!

Orbis Bermuda Bowl

Both the Orbis Bermuda Bowl and the Orbis Venice Cup feature tight races for the top eight qualifying spots. Play in the quarterfinal stages of both events begins on Saturday. Each team has five more chances to make the cut.

Indonesia, which had slipped to third place after two losses on Wednesday, had a huge 14th round against one of the other strong contenders in the Orbis Bermuda Bowl to regain first place in the round-robin standings.

In the Orbis Bermuda Bowl, **Indonesia** routed **Poland**, 53-14, to surge back into first place with 256Victory Points, just three ahead of second-place **USA I**. Right behind the Americans are **Norway** with 250 VPs, followed by **Poland** with 242.

Indonesia lost earlier in the day to Italy, 56-29, and to Brazil, 37-31. USA I was clobbered by Poland in the first match of the day, 73-32, but came back with victories against South Africa, 68-43, and Sweden, 44-31.

Orbis Venice Cup

In the Orbis Venice Cup, **Denmark's** lead of 23 VPs starting play Wednesday was whittled to 3 after two losses and a narrow victory. After 14 matches, the **Danes** had 268 VPs to 265 for second-place **Austria**. **France** was within striking distance at 262 and **USA I** was close with 259.



Top Geir!

Denmark edged **Canada**, 41-37, to start off Wednesday, but dropped their next two matches, losing to **The Netherlands**, 47-33, and to **Argentina**, 45-32.

Austria moved from fourth to second in the standings with three wins, 66-41 over Argentina, 58-27 over USA I and 44-19 over New Zealand.

In the Seniors Exhibition, **Poland** finished atop the standings in the first phase and will face **North** America in the next round. **France** was second and will face **China** in play starting today. The **World Champs** will play **Australia** in the 5th/6th play-off. All matches will consist of 40 boards.



The ideal way to relax between matches!

	Round - 12)
Country		Imps

	Country		Im	ips	V	ps
Ι	Canada	Bulgaria	32	50	П	19
2	USA 2	Chinese Taipei	49	45	16	14
3	Australia	Bermuda	56	35	19	П
4	China	Norway	39	45	14	16
5	Pakistan	Argentina	39	59	Ш	19
6	New Zealand	Guadeloupe	48	42	16	14
7	Italy	Indonesia	56	29	21	9
8	Brazil	France	51	28	20	10
9	Poland	USA I	73	32	23	7
10	South Africa	Sweden	25	49	10	20

Round - 12

Australia

Great Britain

Hong Kong

France

Bermuda

Germany

Denmark

Austria

Indonesia

Country

15 New Zealand

18 The Netherlands Brazil

II India

13 Egypt

14 USA 2

16 China

17 Canada

19 Argentina

20 USA I

12 Colombia

KVUIIU - IJ						
	Country		Im	ps	V	ps
Ι	Bulgaria	USA 2	60	55	16	14
2	Chinese Taipei	Australia	60	47	18	12
3	Bermuda	China	43	86	6	24
4	Norway	Argentina	61	55	16	14
5	Canada	New Zealand	63	45	19	П
6	Guadeloupe	Italy	35	68	8	22

12 24 14 П 22 7 Indonesia Brazil 31 37 14 16 Poland 24 24 15 15 South Africa 68 43 20 10 10 Sweden Pakistan 69 35 22 8 1

Round - 14					
Country		Im	ps	V	ps
Australia	Bulgaria	44	64	П	19
China	Chinese Taipei	39	52	12	18
Argentina	Bermuda	54	38	18	12
Pakistan	Norway	18	64	6	24
New Zealand	USA 2	6	63	4	25
Italy	Canada	31	69	7	23
Brazil	Guadeloupe	90	14	25	Ι
Poland	Indonesia	14	53	7	23
South Africa	France	44	47	14	16
Sweden	USA I	31	44	12	18
	Country Australia China Argentina Pakistan New Zealand Italy Brazil Poland South Africa	CountryAustraliaBulgariaChinaChinese TaipeiArgentinaBermudaPakistanNorwayNew ZealandUSA 2ItalyCanadaBrazilGuadeloupePolandIndonesiaSouth AfricaFrance	CountryImAustraliaBulgaria44ChinaChinese Taipei39ArgentinaBermuda54PakistanNorway18New ZealandUSA 26ItalyCanada31BrazilGuadeloupe90PolandIndonesia14South AfricaFrance44	CountryImpAustraliaBulgaria4464ChinaChinese Taipei3952ArgentinaBermuda5438PakistanNorway1864New ZealandUSA 2663ItalyCanada3169BrazilGuadeloupe9014PolandIndonesia1453South AfricaFrance447	CountryImpsVAustraliaBulgaria446411ChinaChinese Taipei395212ArgentinaBermuda543818PakistanNorway18646New ZealandUSA 26634ItalyCanada31697BrazilGuadeloupe901425PolandIndonesia14537South AfricaFrance444714

ORBIS VENICE CUP - RESULTS

8 France 9 USA I

Vps

6 24

9 21

18 12

14 16

22 8

6 24

14 16

14 16

10 20

20 10

Imps 19 61

30 60

58 42

42 50

47 15

27 72

37 41

48 56

41 66

72 48

	ł	ound - 1	3			
	Country		Im	nps	V	ps
П	Australia	Colombia	45	86	7	23
12	Great Britain	Egypt	22	88	2	25
13	Hong Kong	USA 2	17	64	6	24
14	France	Bermuda	101	30	25	2
15	India	China	40	97	4	25
16	Germany	Canada	39	43	14	16
17	Denmark	Netherlands	33	47	12	18
18	Brazil	Argentina	48	62	12	18
19	Austria	USA I	58	27	21	9
20	Indonesia	New Zealand	51	33	19	П

Round - 14 Country Imps

Vps

	•			•		
П	Egypt	Australia	24	34	13	17
12	USA 2	Great Britain	17	42	10	20
13	Bermuda	Hong Kong	15	68	5	25
14	New Zealand	France	38	53	12	18
15	China	Colombia	75	26	25	5
16	Canada	India	82	19	25	3
17	The Netherlands	Germany	59	20	23	7
18	Argentina	Denmark	45	32	18	12
19	USA I	Brazil	82	27	25	4
20	Indonesia	Austria	19	44	10	20

ORBIS BERMUDA BOWL - PROGRAMME

ROUND - 15 (10.00)

Ι	Bulgaria	China
2	Chinese Taipei	Argentina
3	Bermuda	Norway
4	Australia	New Zealand
5	USA 2	Italy
6	Canada	Brazil
7	Guadeloupe	Poland
8	Indonesia	South Africa
9	France	Sweden
10	USA I	Pakistan

ROUND - 16 (14.00)

I	Argentina	Bulgaria
2	Norway	Chinese Taipei
3	Pakistan	Bermuda
4	New Zealand	China
5	Italy	Australia
6	Brazil	USA 2
7	Poland	Canada
8	South Africa	Guadeloupe

Sweden

10 USA I

9

ROUND - 17 (17.20)

I	Bulgaria	Norway
2	Chinese Taipei	Bermuda
3	Argentina	New Zealand
4	China	Italy
5	Australia	Brazil
6	USA 2	Poland
7	Canada	South Africa
8	Guadeloupe	Sweden
9	Indonesia	USA I
10	France	Pakistan

ORBIS VENICE CUP - PROGRAMME

ROUND - 15 (10.00)

П	Australia	USA 2
12	Great Britain	Bermuda
13	Hong Kong	France
14	Egypt	China
15	Colombia	Canada
16	India	The Netherlands
17	Germany	Argentina
18	Denmark	USA I
19	Brazil	Indonesia
20	Austria	New Zealand

	KUUND -	
11	Bermuda	Australia
12	France	Great Britain
13	New Zealand	Hong Kong
14	China	USA 2
15	Canada	Egypt
16	The Netherlands	Colombia
17	Argentina	India
18	USA I	Germany
19	Indonesia	Denmark
20	Austria	Brazil

RAIIND. 16 4.00)

Indonesia

France

ROUND - 17 (17.20)

П	Australia	France
12	Great Britain	Hong Kong
13	Bermuda	China
14	USA 2	Canada
15	Egypt	The Netherlands
16	Colombia	Argentina
17	India	USA I
18	Germany	Indonesia
19	Denmark	Austria
20	Brazil	New Zealand

ORBIS BERMUDA BOWL					
	RANKING				
	AFTER 14 RO	NNN9			
I	INDONESIA	256			
2	USA I	253			
3	NORWAY	250			
4	POLAND	242			
5	USA 2	239			
6	BULGARIA	236.5			
7	ITALY	227.5			
8	BRAZIL	227			
9	FRANCE	227			
10	SWEDEN	224			
П	ARGENTINA	204.5			
12	CHINA	197			
13	AUSTRALIA	195.5			
14	PAKISTAN	184			
15	CANADA	183			
16	CHINESE TAIPEI	181			
17	SOUTH AFRICA	179			
18	NEW ZEALAND	175			
19	GUADELOUPE	160			
20	BERMUDA	128			

ORBIS VENICE CUP RANKING AFTER **14** ROUNDS

I	DENMARK	268
2	AUSTRIA	265
3	FRANCE	262
4	USA I	259
5	NETHERLANDS	254
6	USA 2	252
7	GERMANY	247
8	CHINA	238.5
9	CANADA	237.5
10	GREAT BRITAIN	189
П	AUSTRALIA	186.5
12	EGYPT	185
13	ARGENTINA	181
14	INDONESIA	180
15	BRAZIL	180
16	NEW ZEALAND	169
17	COLOMBIA	155.5
18	HONG KONG	152
19	INDIA	150
20	BERMUDA	143

ORBIS SENIORS TEAMS RESULTS

	Round - 9					
	Country Imps Vps					
21	North America		34	39	14	16
22	Australia	World Champ.	53	44	17	13
23	France	Poland	17	50	8	22

KOUNA - IV						
	Country		Im	ips	۷I	ps
21	France	North America	56	28	21	9
22	World Champ.	Poland	48	47	15	15
		Australia	38	37	15	15

ORBIS SENIORS TEAMS - SEMI FINALS

21 North America 22 China

Poland France

ORBIS SENIORS TEAMS - PLAY OFF FOR 5/6

23 Australia

World Champions

ORBIS SENIORS TEAMS FINAL ROUND ROBIN RANKING

Ι	POLAND	189.2
2	FRANCE	162.5
3	CHINA	147
4	NORTH AMERICA	138
5	WORLD CHAMPIONS	131.8
6	AUSTRALIA	127

VuGraph Matches Thursday 13 January 2000



Orbis Bermuda Bowl 10.00 USA 2 v Italy 14.00 USA 1 v France

17.20 Bulgaria v Norway

Results & On line VuGraph

If you want to follow the results on the internet or see the online VuGraph simply go to:

www.bermudabowl.com

Anti-doping Code

All participants at this championship have been advised of General Condition of Contest 30 which states:

"All players and officials are required to accept the regulations determined by the Olympic Movement Anti-doping Code"

The WBF Executive Council has established procedures for this tournament to comply with The Code which include:

- I. Two (2) members from each semi-finalist team in the Bermuda Bowl and Venice Cup will be required to give urine sample(s) to a qualified laboratory technician selected by the WBF for the purposes of testing for violation of the Anti-doping Code. The selection of the players will be made randomly and by lot by the WBF. The testing will be performed by a laboratory approved by the IOC.At the time the sample is taken, players should inform the WBF about any prescription medication being taken in a sealed envelope.
- 2. The type of "dope" which will be included in the testing includes so-called "recreational" drugs such as cocaine, marijuana, hashish as well as so-called performance enhancing substances. Even caffeine over a specific concentration is prohibited. The WBF is informed that 8-12 cups of American coffee consumed over a 3-hour period can produce a positive result for caffeine. A complete list of the prohibited substances is available on the internet at www.olympic.org or is available in the office of the WBF secretary at the Southampton Princess Hotel on the mezzanine level.
- 3. Any violations revealed by the test results (which may not be received for several weeks) will be referred to a special committee appointed by the WBF President for resolution which could include disqualification of the offending player or his/her entire team. Any appeal of the decision of the committee by any player subjected to discipline related to the anti-doping policy shall be to the IOC Court of Arbitration for Sport.

Orbis Venice Cup - Round 10

Austria started the day in third place while Canada stood eighth – good enough, but a lot less comfortable with one of the favourites, China, breathing down their necks.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.



West	North	East	South
Gordon	Erhart	Reus	Terraneo
	Pass	Pass	$ \diamond $
\square	♠	2♠	3♠
All Pass			

West	North	East	South
Weigkricht	Cimon	Fischer	Saltsman
	Pass	Pass	I \diamond
$I\heartsuit$	I ♠	2♠	Dble
Pass	Pass	3♡	All Pass

The two auctions were the same up to two spades, showing a good heart raise. Now Sylvia Terraneo competed with Three Spades while Barbara Saltsman preferred to double – it is possible that Saltsman was less secure about finding partner with a fifth spade. The double didn't get the job done, as Francine Cimon allowed her opponents to play Three Hearts.That had five top losers, but -50 was a bargain for the Austrians.

Sharyn Reus led a heart against Three Spades and Dianna Gordon won and switched to a trump. Maria Erhart won the ace of spades, ruffed her heart loser, cashed a top diamond and played a spade to the king. When both spades and diamonds behaved, she had 12 tricks for +230 and 5 IMPs to Austria.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.



Austria v Canada

West	North	East	South
Gordon	Erhart	Reus	Terraneo
			Pass
Pass	I \diamond	Dble	3♢
3♡	Pass	4 \diamond	Pass
4 ♡	5◇	Pass	Pass
5♡	All Pass		
West	North	East	South
Weigkricht	Cimon	Fischer	Saltsman
			Pass
Pass	2♢	Dble	5◇
Pass	Pass	Dble	All Pass

Issue: 6

Cimon's third seat weak two bid allowed her partner to get the bidding up very high and very quickly. With no suit yet mentioned, East/West had little option but to take the money in Five Diamonds Doubled. That money proved to be 500.

In the other room, The one-level opening (which might have been three cards) meant that Terraneo could only raise to the three level. Once hearts were in the picture, East/West could judge to go on to Five Hearts. Erhart led a top diamond and switched to a club. Gordon took the ace, drew trumps and claimed; +650 and 4 IMPs to Canada.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.



Terraneo opened a strong club and her rebid was two way, either natural or strong and balanced. Her subsequent pass confirmed the natural type and Erhart competed with Three Hearts over Three Clubs. If you knew where everything was, you could do pretty well in a heart contract after the start of a club lead to the ace and a low diamond switch. But, of course, Terraneo did not know where everything was. She rose with the ace of diamonds and ruffed her club loser then played a spade to the ten and king. A diamond came through to the jack, queen and king, and she tried the gueen of hearts. This was allowed to hold and a low heart continuation went to the jack. Reus cashed the ten of diamonds then played a club. The defense had a heart and a spade to come for down two; -200.

West	North	East	South
Weigkricht	Cimon	Fischer	Saltsman
Pass	Pass	Pass	I♡
	INT	Dble	Rdbl
4 ♣ All Pass	4♡	5♣	Dble

Saltsman opened a natural One Heart and then redoubled to show a strong hand. Terry Weigkricht's jump to Four Clubs proved to be counterproductive for her side as it pushed Cimon to bid more hearts than she really wanted to do. Doris Fischer went on to Five Clubs and Saltsman doubled. After a heart lead, there were two diamonds, a spade and a heart for the defense; -300 and 11 IMPs to Canada.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.



When Terraneo overcalled, Erhart leaped straight to game in her long suit. When the diamonds were evenly divided, she had 11 tricks; +400.

West	North	East	South
Weigkricht	Cimon	Fischer	Saltsman
		IV	2 뢒
Pass	2 ◇	Pass	2♠
Pass	3♢	All Pass	

Cimon contented herself with a simple diamond bid and then repeating the suit over saltsman's Two Spade rebid. Saltsman showed discipline in passing because of the apparent misfit but this was not the time for caution; +150 but 6 IMPs to Austria.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.



West	North	East	South
Gordon	Erhart	Reus	Terraneo
Pass	\square	I ♠	2NT
Pass	3♡	Dble	4 ♡
All Pass			

Erhart stated that she had misread the four of diamonds as being the ace, hence the odd opening bid. Two No Trump was at least a limit raise in hearts and Three Hearts showed a minimum.When Reus doubled for take-out, Terraneo just assumed that it would be taken out so raised to game without allowing Gordon to show her preference. Of course, her preference might well have been to pass out the double. One must assume that a double of Four hearts from West would have been value-showing rather than penalty. The contract drifted three down for 150, better than Three Hearts Doubled down two from declarer's point of view.

West	North	East	South
Weigkricht	Cimon	Fischer	Saltsman
Pass	Pass	♠	Dble
Pass	2♡	Dble	All Pass

For some reason, Cimon did not open the crisp seven-count! Fischer's One Spade opening was doubled for take-out and she in turn doubled the Two Heart response for take-out. Weigkricht passed, of course, but could they beat it?

The lead was the queen of diamonds to the king. Cimon played a spade to the king, cashed the ace of diamonds, then played a second spade to the queen. Fischer cashed the jack of diamonds, on which Weigkricht pitched the club jack, then switched to the king of clubs to dummy's ace. A diamond was ruffed and a club given up. When the defense played another club, Cimon could ruff and, with six tricks in the bag, simply make two trump tricks by force to make her contract. +470 was worth 12 IMPs to Canada, who led by 33-16.

Board 10. Dealer East. All Vul. ▲ A Q 9 8 6 5 ♡ Q 8 K Q 8 7
 🛧 🛧 🛦 K 7 4 2 🔶 | 3 Ϋ-♡ A K 9 7 2 0 | 6 5 3 ♦ A 4 2 S 109654 뢒 K O 3 ♠ 10 ♡ | 10 6 5 4 3 ◊ 10 9 872 North West East South Gordon Frhart Reus Terraneo $|\diamond$ Pass Pass INT Pass

2	2♠	All Pass	1 455
West	North	East	South
Weigkricht	Cimon	Fischer	Saltsman
		ا ♣	Pass
$ \diamond$	I ♠	Pass	Pass
Dble	2♢	2♡	Pass
2NT	All Pass		

Curiously, it was at the table where East/West bid spades that North/South managed to buy the contract in spades. The curious opening bid by Reus was simply a miss-sorting error and nothing of devious intent. Reus led a top heart against Two Spades and switched to the king of clubs, on which Gordon deposited the nine. Perhaps Reus expected the ten from that holding, because when Erhart led the queen of diamonds from hand she took her ace and attempted to cash the queen of clubs. Erhart ruffed, played diamond king and ruffed a diamond. She threw her heart loser on the jack of clubs, ruffed a heart and led the eight of diamonds to the jack. She lost two trumps from here but was home with eight tricks; +110.

At the other table, the lead against Two No Trump was a low spade to dummy's jack. Weigkricht led the king of clubs to the bare ace and Cimon switched to the king of diamonds, ducked, and continued with the queen. Weigkricht won the ace and played two more rounds of clubs, establishing the suit. With the jack of diamonds as an entry to the clubs, the winning defense now is to put declarer in hand with the fourth club. She can cash her clubs and put North in with the fourth diamond to get a second spade trick, but has no access to the two heart winners in dummy and goes one off. Who would have thought that the problem would be a shortage of entries to the East hand? But Saltsman could not read the position and switched to a heart after winning the club jack. Weigkricht had eight tricks now for +120 and a 6 IMP gain.

Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul.



The canapé auction made North declarer, and the slam a lot worse proposition as either red suit position could be attacked at trick one. You might make Six Clubs double dummy, but in real life it looks normal to go down. Erhart received a heart lead and put in the queen. When that held she played two top clubs then ace of spades and ran the ten of spades to the king; Down one for -50. Winning lines require more finesses or risk going down with trumps 2-2 if declarer embarks on a cross-ruff from the start.



Sharun Reus, Canada

West	North	East	South
Weigkricht	Cimon	Fischer	Saltsman
	Pass	Pass	$ \diamond $
l ♠	Pass	INT	2 뢒
Pass	2♠	Pass	3 📥
Pass	4 🙅	Pass	5 📥
All Pass			

Cimon did not see an opening bid in the North cards and there was never any danger of the Canadians getting overboard. Five Clubs was a comfortable contract and duly made for +400 and 10 IMPs to Canada.

Two boards later, came more of the same.

Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

Q 9 7 4 Q 3 2 J 7 5 4 2	 > K ♣ A 2 ▲ J > II > A 	K 8 7 6 4 N ♣ E ☆ S ♣	A K 6 5 J 8 4 Q 9 3 J 9 5
West	North	East	South
Gordon	Erhart	Reus	Terraneo
			Pass
Pass	🐣	Pass	IV
Pass	2 🜩	Pass	2 ◇
Pass	2♡	Pass	3 🛧
Pass	4NT	Pass	5 뢒
Pass	6 🙅	All Pass	

One Club was strong and One Heart showed 6+ HCP but less than three controls. Erhart was looking for a five-card diamond suit in the dummy, which would have given the slam a reasonable play, at least. And, of course, a slightly better heart holding would also have helped. As it was, the contract was very poor. Erhart ruffed the second spade, played ace of clubs and a club to the queen, and ran the ten of hearts. When that lost, she was one down; -50.

West	North	East	South
Weigkricht	Cimon	Fischer	Saltsman
			Pass
Pass	🛧	Pass	$ \diamond$
Pass	2♡	Pass	3 🛧
Pass	3♢	Pass	4♣
Pass	4♠	Pass	5 🛧
All Pass			

The natural auction seemed to be far better controlled and once again the Canadians stopped comfortably in game. +400 was again worth 10 IMPs. Canada led by 57-27 with one board to go, but Austria finished with a bang.



Both Norths had a free run to Four Hearts and received a diamond lead. Both Cimon and Erhart won the queen and played a heart to the king then cashed the heart queen. On seeing the 4-1 break, Erhart crossed to the ace of diamonds to lead a low club to her jack. The idea was to make it more difficult for West to win the first club if she held a doubleton honour, and also to set up a ruff and discard position to put pressure on whoever won the defensive club tricks. Reus won the king of clubs and switched to a low spade. This ran to the queen and Erhart played a club to the queen and ace. She won the spade return, drew trumps and had ten tricks; +620.

When Cimon saw the bad trump break, she played the jack of clubs from hand. That lost to the king and Fischer resisted the temptation to switch to a spade. She exited passively with a diamond to dummy's ace and Cimon played a club to her ten. When that held, she crossed to the ace of hearts and played a spade to the queen and king. Back came a second spade. She won the ace and exited with a third spade but Weigkricht could win that and play a trump and the contract was two down; -200 and 13 badly needed IMPs for Austria,

The last board pulled the Austrians up to only a 40-57 IMP loss, converting to 11-19 VPs. Austria slipped to joint fourth, while a big win from China meant that Canada also slipped, to joint eighth, despite their win.

Airline Reconfirmation

You must make your own arrangements about reconfirming flights – please don't ask the hospitality desk to do it for you!

SYSTEMIC GERMS

By Alan Truscott

Some of you will have noticed that Bob Hamman, the World Bridge Federation's top-ranked player, is carrying around a large book. He is doing so reluctantly. It was prepared by two worldclass experts and has 450 pages. It lists the counter-measures his team is using against the methods of the 57 pairs they may have to play against in the Bermuda Bowl.

He cites an opponent who used a canapé overcall of one spade over one diamond to show either clubs, or hearts, plus three or four cards in spades, but maybe two. The system card did not give any point count, but the style was 'aggressive'. This requires advance preparation by the opening bidder's side, since you may need to play in spades.

Unlike most of the pairs in the event, Hamman was, up to a point, prepared for this. Since he is allowed to have prepared defenses at the table, he consulted his book and his partner did the same. This wasted 10 minutes, and they were still at some disadvantage: They were in a position that they had never been in before. The opponents had experienced the situation, and there were nuances that were not available to Hamman.

Other players in this situation are much worse off. Few teams have the foresight and financial resources to pay an expert to prepare such a book. They have to rely on a quick look at the opponents' methods before the match starts, after they have discovered which pair they will be facing.

The World Bridge Federation has long held to the position that all methods are acceptable in world team championships provided they are fully disclosed in advance. I shared that opinion, but Hamman has changed my mind. I believe that purely destructive conventions – systemic germs – should be barred by regulation, as they are in world pairs contests. (Eliminating Brown Sticker conventions is an incomplete solution.)

Unlike almost all the readers of this, I have read the convention cards of the Bermuda Bowl partnerships. There are many problems. The worst of them would be eliminated by the following simple regulation:

The first action by a partnership must EITHER guarantee 9 high-card (4-3-2-1) points OR guarantee length (4+ cards) in a specified suit.

Many partnerships will demand, but I hope not get, exceptions for the methods they favor. Some of the effects would be:

- I. To bar Multi. (Highly controversial). This was manageable in its original form, but the convention cards show that there are many varieties. And that affects the opponents.
- 2. To bar opening one notrump bids if the minimum is below nine points.
- 3. To bar opening bids, such as two spades, that show a weak preempt in an unspecified suit.
- 4. To bar opening bids that show either a weak two in the next suit or a strong hand.
- 5. To bar overcalls, such as the one spade overcall quoted above, that can be weak and have no anchor suit.
- 6. To bar Crash over strong club openings unless 9 points are guaranteed.
- 7. To bar two clubs over an opposing one notrump to show an unspecified one-suiter unless 9 points are guaranteed.
- 8. To bar many of the methods that are used here over the opposing one notrump unless 9 points or an anchor suit are guaranteed. (In this area, almost every pair has a different method.)
- 9. To bar strong-pass systems and ferts, which are now out of fashion.
- To bar an opening four-club bid showing a preempt in either minor. (This is not Brown Sticker, because it is above 3NT.)
- 11. This does NOT bar the Gambling 3NT opening, because it has the 9-point minimum.

The WBF is understandably reluctant to do anything that interferes with the Laws. But the Laws provide for regulation by sponsoring bodies, modifying the Laws. Regulations are often imposed by NCBOs, and by the WBF itself for world pairs events.

If the WBF will adopt the regulation suggested above the great majority of players will be happy. Players who dream up conventions designed purely to disrupt the opponents' bidding will have to mend their ways. This is not a total solution, but Hamman's book will be much smaller next time around. Wealthy teams, who can pay for a book, will have a reduced advantage. And systemic germs will not slow the play down.

Meeting of IBPA Executive



The first meeting of the Executive Board of the International Bridge Press Association will take place Friday morning at 9:30 in the Boardroom, Lobby level.

The Player's Dinner

The Bermuda teams will host the players, captains, their spouses and members of the Press at the Players' Dinner on Friday at 20.30. Weather permitting, this will be held on the Poolside patio, otherwise in the Atlantic Room. Invitations can be picked up at the Hospitality Desk between the afternoon and evening matches and on Friday morning.

There are a limited number of extra tickets available for others who wish to attend. Price \$50.00 each.

Last minute Fireworks

The Round 9 matches in the Orbis Bermuda Bowl & Orbis Venice Cup featured a quiet set of boards (well one of our reporters fell asleep at the table he was watching!) that suddenly exploded into life at the end.

The featured match on VuGraph involved USA I and New Zealand. Despite the funereal pace of play, there was still a fair sized audience when the penultimate board (remember they start with boards 19 & 20) settled on the table.

Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul



2 🙅	Pass	2♠	Pass
3NT	Pass	4 뢒	Pass
4 ◇	Pass	4 ♡	Pass
4♠	Pass	5NT	Pass
6♣	All Pass		

With South on lead Six Clubs was not really at risk. East won the opening lead of the two of diamonds. There was no losing line from this point, and declarer quickly claimed 12 tricks and +920.

West	North	East	South
Crombie	Hamman	Cornell	Soloway
	Pass	2 뢒	Pass
2♠	Pass	2NT	Pass
3NT	Pass	4 🙅	Pass
4 \diamond	Pass	4 ♡	Pass
4♠	Pass	6◇	All Pass

A complex auction led to Six Diamonds played from the short side. Everyone could see that a spade lead would be fatal, and that is what they expected Bob Hamman to put on the table. They were disappointed, as the opening lead was the three of clubs. When you have a powerful holding in the opponent's trump suit, it is usually a good idea to try and talk declarer out of a finesse, but this was the wrong time. Keep in mind there are inferences from the bidding that are not as clear as usual, as we are not exactly sure what information was available to North.

Declarer won in hand and took a diamond finesse. When that held he returned to hand with a heart to the jack and repeated the diamond finesse. When South showed out, he cashed the ace of diamonds, pitching a club from his hand, and overtook the queen of hearts with the king to take a club finesse. Declarer could now cash the three aces in dummy in any order and exit with a spade, not caring who won it. A good recovery, but should he have been given the chance?

This board cost Great Britain a mountain of points in their match against Denmark in the Orbis Venice Cup. In the Open Room, Drogmüller & Steen-Møller reached 6NT played by East, and declarer used a heart entry to play on clubs, thereby securing five club tricks. That meant she could easily collect the remaining tricks she needed to record +990.

Closed Room

West	North	East	South
Dhondy	Kofoed-Bilde	McGowan	Cilleborg
	Pass	2 ◇	Pass
2♡	Pass	2NT	Pass
3♠	Pass	3NT	Pass
4 뢒	Pass	4 \diamond	Pass
4 ♡	Pass	4♠	Pass
6 📥	Pass	7♣	All Pass

Three Spades was a transfer to 3NT, after which West made a slam try in clubs. North, who is doubtless ready to help a well-known American player with his opening leads, selected the ten of spades. Declarer won with dummy's ace, crossed to hand with the jack of hearts and ran the ten of clubs. The club suit behaved, but the entry position was such that declarer was no longer in a position take more than one diamond finesse.

If declarer attacks clubs by playing the queen, unblocking the jack from dummy, then there are enough entries to bring home four diamond tricks. Is that a better line than the combination of the ruffing diamond finesse plus the chance of a singleton or doubleton queen of diamonds, or even Qxx with South? Whatever, the contract had to go one down, and Denmark had 14 IMPs.

Board 18. Dealer East. N/S Vul



Open Room

West	North	East	South
Steen Møller	Walker	Drogmüller	Landy
		\square	Pass
2NT	Pass	3NT	Pass
4 뢒	Pass	4♠	Pass
4NT	Pass	5♡	Pass
6♡	All Pass		

An efficient auction to the virtually laydown slam. Denmark +980.

Closed Room

West	North	East	South
Dhondy	Kofoed-Bilde	McGowan	Cilleborg
		$\square \heartsuit$	Pass
2NT	Pass	4 ♡	All Pass

You can see how popular it is to use 2NT to show a game forcing raise of partner's suit, as it was the response made at both tables. East showed a minimum with her rebid, and West decided to call it a day. She had a recollection that the jump to Four Hearts denied an ace. She was absolutely right, but unfortunately that was an agreement she had with another partner! That was another big swing for the runaway leaders, who had won the match at the death.

Orbis World Transnational Open Teams

Captains of Transnational teams are requested to advise the Hospitality Desk of any changes in the names of players;

The captain of the teams who have not paid their entry fees must pay before the start of play. Please see Christine Francin in the WBF Office Warwick room on the Mezzanine. The entry fee is \$600.

Players who want to register a new team may do so at the Hospitality Desk after having paid the entry fees.

Players from the Bermuda Bowl and Venice Cup who wish to register a team for the Transnational Teams may do so at Hospitality. There is no charge for players from either of these competitions who wish to participate in the Transnational teams, but if they are joined by players who have not competed, an entry fee of \$100 per player for each of those competitors will be payable to Christine Francin in the WBF Office (Warwick Room on the Mezzanine)

The Warwick Room will be open for payments between 10 am and 12 noon and from 2.30 pm until 6 pm.

Orbis Venice Cup - Round 8

When fourth-placed Germany faced sixthplaced France, Germany led 11-2 after 5 boards. Board 6 turned out to be a turning point in the match, but not in the way one might suppose.



Farwig	Lustin	Stawowy	Avon
		$ \diamond $	Pass
l ♠	Pass	2 ♢	Pass
3NT	All Pass		

In the Closed Room, Barbara Stawowy and Katrin Farwig took the direct route to 3NT and Christine Lustin cashed her four top spades before switching to the $\heartsuit 10$. Stawowy won in hand with the $\heartsuit Q$ and decided to play South for the $\diamondsuit Q$ in view of North's monopoly of the spade honours. She therefore played a diamond to dummy's Jack and when the finesse succeeded she had the rest of the tricks.

Open Room

West	North	East	South
Bessis	Auken	D'Ovidio	v.Arnim
		$ \diamond$	Pass
l ♠	Pass	2 ◇	Pass
2♡	Pass	3♢	Pass
3♡	Pass	3NT	Pass
Pass	Dble	Pass	Pass
4 ◇	All Pass		

In the Open Room, Catherine D'Ovidio and Veronique Bessis took a more probing route to 3NT and Sabine Auken chanced her arm with a lead-directing double in the pass out seat even though she had only four spades to cash. However, the threat was only too real to Bessis and she retreated to 40. A 10-IMP gain to Germany you might think, but there was a cruel twist to come. Daniela von Arnim led a spade to Auken's Jack and she switched to the \heartsuit 9. After a slight pause, D'Ovidio flourished the $\Diamond 10$, a card that was also visible in the dummy and announced that she had started with fourteen cards! The director was summoned and France were duly fined 3 IMPs, but Germany's loss was the greater.

After this escape, France took control of the match with four big gains in the next seven boards.





Pass	I♠ Pass	I♦ 2NT	Pass Pass
3NT	All Pass		

Open Roc	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
West	North	East	South
Bessis	Auken	D'Ovidio	v.Arnim
Pass	Pass	$ \diamond$	Pass
	Pass	2NT	Pass
3 🙅	Pass	3♠	Pass
3NT	Pass	4♠	All Pass

Clubs were led and continued against the German 3NT and Stawowy won the second round perforce and played a spade to the Queen and another one to the King, discovering the bad break. She now needed the $\Diamond Q$ to be onside, so she crossed to the \bigstar and took the diamond finesse. When that failed she was two down.

A heart was led against the French 4 contract and von Arnim took her King and switched to a trump to the nine and King. A second round of spades revealed the 4-1 break and Bessis now had to guess which finesse to take. After considerable thought she played a club to the King and when that held she was home and dry. She drew one more round of trumps, cashed the $\heartsuit QJ$ and then crossed to the $\diamondsuit A$ and discarded her remaining club on the $\heartsuit A$. Although the diamond finesse subsequently lost she still had ten tricks and France had 11 IMPs.

Board 10. Dealer East. Game All.

Boar	d 10. Dealei	r East. Game	e All.
	🔶 A	K 8 6 5 2	
	V 9	3	
	Δ	65	
	. ↓ A		
	* 0		2
♦ Q 9 7 4		N 🔶	-
♡ K Q 6	W	-	A 5 4 2
Q 8 4 3		\diamond	K 7
뢒 10 6		S 🎍	A K J 8 7 5
		10	-
	ví	1087	
) 9 2	
		432	
Open Roor		752	
•	North	East	South
		East	
Bessis	Auken	D'Ovidio	v.Arnim
		📥	Pass
l ♠	2♠	3 📥	All Pass

Closed Room			
West	North	East	South
Farwig	Lustin	Stawowy	Avon
		♣	Pass
l ♠	2♠	3 📥	Pass
3NT	All Pass		

D'Ovidio had no problems in making an overtrick in her $3\clubsuit$ contract, but she must have wished she was in 3NT. Farwig was in 3NT in the other room and Lustin led the \bigstar A and then switched to a diamond. Farwig ran this to dummy's Queen and then erred by playing the \bigstar 10. With a certain club loser, she could no longer make the contract and she went two down for 8 IMPs to France.

On board 12 France reached a poor 3NT in the Closed Room, but were gifted the contract on a misdefence. 10 IMPs to France who now led 31-20.

Board 13. Dealer North. Game All.

Board 13. Dealer North. Game All.			
 ▲ J74 ♡ A J42 ◇ J9872 ◆ 9 Closed Roo 	 ↓ I ↓ I	Q 10 8 (10 8 7 5 4 N E S A K Q 6 5 3 A Q 10 3	3 10 8 3 2 K 7 6 6 5 4 A 6 2
		_	
West	North	East	South
Farwig	Lustin	Stawowy	Avon
	Pass	Pass	I¢
Pass	2 뢒	Pass	2NT
Pass	3 뢒	Pass	3♠
Pass	4 🛧	Pass	5 🙅
All Pass			

In the Closed Room France reached 5 with three top losers, but unless a heart was led (or the A was led followed by a heart switch) all but one of declarer's potential losers would rapidly disappear. However, Stawowy led a spade and that was +620 to France.

Open Room			
West	North	East	South
Bessis	Auken	D'Ovidio	v.Arnim
	2NT	Pass	3♣
All Pass			

In the Open Room, Auken opened 2NT showing a preempt in a minor with less than two of the top honours. Facing what was likely to be a six or seven card club suit headed by the Ace or King, von Arnim opted for a conservative pass or correct 3. That was the right view on the actual layout, but on a diamond lead she made the same twelve tricks as in the other room for a 10-IMP loss.

That was the end of the major swings and France eventually emerged victorious by 48-27 IMPs (19-11 VPs), but stayed in sixth place while Germany dropped one place to fifth.

Handle with care

This heart game from round 8 had to be handled very delicately. Ten declarers failed; only Martin Reid, Albert Bitran and Fred Gitelman found the safe way home.

Round 8. Board 15. Dealer South. N/S Vul



Gitelman won the spade lead in dummy with the $\bigstar A$ and did not fall in the first trap, cashing the $\bigstar K$ prematurely, in which case declarer will lose control. Fred continued with a heart to the king, felling the queen. He realized that continuing hearts would again result in loss of control, so he played the $\bigstar K$ to drive out the ace. North won the $\bigstar A$ (ducking would probably have been better) and persisted in spades.

Fred, who had played the hand rather defensively until now, realized that the time to change gear had come. He took the \bigstar K, ruffed a spade, cashed the \diamond AK and \bigstar Q and crossed to the \bigstar J. The fourth round of spades from dummy allowed him to score his \heartsuit 9 en passant for his tenth trick.

Other things that have to be handled with care are words like 'inevitable', as in '6th has two inevitable losers' in yesterday's bulletin, concerning this hand:



Marijke van der Pas led a spade. Ling Gu

By Onno Eskes

played quickly as if there were no problem in the play at all. Two top trumps, followed by the \bigstar A, throwing a diamond from dummy. The \forall K was followed by four more rounds of hearts. Marijke van der Pas is still puzzling how she could escape and leave declarer with the allegedly 'two inevitable losers'. Ruffing a heart would endplay her immediately; instead she discarded on all the hearts, but that was only delaying the execution. Ling Gu exited with a club at trick 10 and Van der Pas had to concede a ruff-and-discard or lead into the diamond tenace. Very elegant. All other declarers in 6 \clubsuit went ingloriously down when they tried a diamond or club finesse at trick two.

World Watchers

These championships are being followed around the world on the Internet. We are getting a lot of comments about the Daily News (mostly favourable!). This comes from Virginia Crawford.

I am just writing to let you know how much we are enjoying downloading your bulletins each morning and reading the most interesting material therein. It's great to be able to "almost be there" via the Internet!

I would like to comment on the following hand, which was written up in Issue 4 of the Bulletin:



This hand was bid to Six Clubs by New Zealand in their match against Brazil (on VuGraph) and was down two.Although your reviewer stated in the Bulletin that this was a hopeless contract, it can be made on elimination lines. Play the AK, AK, K, $A \lor A$ and throw West in with a club. He must now either lead a diamond or concede a ruff and discard (one of North's diamonds having been discarded on the A).

Yalan Zhang and Linq Gu of China bid and made 64 against the Netherlands (Venice Cup).

The Canadian women also bid 6♣ but were down one.

Thanks again for making this event so interesting to bridge players around the world!

You can read about the deal from the Orbis Venice Cup match between China and The Netherlands in the left-hand column. Even though the declarer had a little help thanks to West's overcall, both she and Virginia did well. The Editor

Keep a roof over your Head

Don't check out of the hotel unless you are sure of your onward transport from Bermuda! This applies particularly to people who are trying to change their flights.

Bermuda Bowl



Copies of this definitive record of the world's greatest Championship, written by Henry Francis & Brian Senior, are on sale at the Island Embroidery stand in the lobby, priced \$25.00.

Transfers to the Airport

There will be a special transportation desk in the lobby located close to the elevators. Please make sure you arrange your transfer in good time.

Bermuda Shorts

Here is an extract from one of the players biographies that did not make it into the team bio...

When I was a young lad my father told me to never, never, ever open the cellar door. One day, at the tender age of fourteen, when my father was at work I crept to the door and turned the handle and slowly opened the door. What I saw amazed me, it was the first time I had ever seen anything like it. Never before had I seen trees, clouds and sunlight.

Orbis Bermuda Bowl - Round 11

Sweden v Poland

No jinx this time

At the start of the Round II Orbis Bermuda Bowl match between Sweden and Poland, Vugraph commentator Barry Rigal noted that Bjorn Fallenius, of the Swedish team, has a remarkably successful record against Poland in high-level competition. "I hope," Rigal said, "that I didn't just put the kibosh on Sweden."

For much of the match, it appeared that, indeed, Sweden was headed for a loss in the well-played match. Near the end, however, Sweden came up with two big swings to pull out a 33-20 victory.

Poland jumped into the lead after the first board.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul



Mats Nilsland led the $\bigstar6$ to the queen and ace and Jacek Romanski followed with the \bigstarK . Fallenius won the ace and switched accurately to the $\heartsuit2$. Romanski put up the \heartsuitQ , covered and ducked. The $\heartsuit10$ was returned, ducked and overtaken by Fallenius with the jack for a third



Mats Nilsland, Sweden

round of the suit.

Romanski tried the \blacklozenge J, ducked, and then played a club. Nilsland considered his play for some time before putting up the queen and getting out with the \Diamond 10 to the 4, queen and ace. On the run of the diamonds, Nilsland had the foresight to unblock his \Diamond 9 and \Diamond 8 to avoid being thrown in to concede the final two tricks in clubs. After Romanski cashed his good \Diamond 6, he had to lead from the \blacklozenge 10 2 into Fallenius' K 9. Well defended for minus 90.

Unfortunately for Sweden, Peter Fredin and Magnus Lindkvist bid up to 3NT with the East-West cards and, although Lindkvist took one more trick than Romanski, it was still down one and 4 IMPs to Poland.

Poland gained an overtrick IMP on the next board for a 5-0 lead, and there was very little scoring for several boards after that. On this deal, Fallenius and Nilsland did well to overcome interference from Romanski and Kowalski to earn a push.

Boa	urd 4. Dealer	West. Both	n Vul
♦ K	♡ A ◊ A ♣ A	ј9 К95	0.43
♥ K Q J 7 ◊ 2 ♣ 8 7 4 3	65 2 ▲ A ♡ 4 ◊ K	E S 10 9 8 7 Q 10 8 6 3	Q 6 3 9 8 3 2 7 5 4 J 10 6
	♣ Q		
West	North	East	South
Fredin	Tuszynski	Lindkvist	Jassem
Pass	INT	Pass	2 ♡
3♡	3♠	Pass	4 뢒
Pass	4 ◊	Pass	4NT
Pass	5 🛧	Pass	6♠

All Pass

Piotr Tuszynski and Krzysztof Jassem had a virtually free run at the spade slam once Fredin passed in first seat. Romanski and Kowalski made it much more difficult for Nilsland and Fallenius, but they landed on their feet nonetheless.

West	North	East	South
Romanski	Nilsland	Kowalski	Fallenius
3♡	Dble	4 ♡	5♡
Pass	6 🙅	Pass	6�
Pass	6♠	All Pass	

There was little to the play after an opening heart lead – Nilsland took two spade finesses to bring home the contract for plus 1430.

A 6-IMP swing to Sweden on Board 12 changed the low-scoring affair from 6-3 Poland to 9-6 Sweden. Poland struck back on Board 13 for a 9-IMP swing to regain the lead.

Board	13. Dealer	⁻ North. Bo	oth Vul
 ▲ 6 5 ♡ A K 9 8 ◊ A K 9 ♣ A K 7 6 	♡ - ◇ J ♣ 8 ₩ ₩ ♀ A ♡ J ◇ C		9 3 Q 5 4 3 2 4 2 Q J 10 2
West	North	East	South
Romanski	Nilsland	Kowalski	Fallenius
	4♠	Pass	Pass

Dble All Pass

Had Kowalski bid his long suit, no doubt he would have made it, losing only two spades. Even a third round of spades would not have hurt so long as Kowalski made the routine play in hearts. As it was, 4€ could have been defeated two tricks for plus 500 (Kowalski can get a diamond ruff), but Romanski overtook Kowalski's lead of the €Q and played two more rounds, allowing Nilsland to ruff, pull trumps and drive out the top diamonds. Plus 200 to Poland.

This might have been a 10-IMP gain for Sweden, but it didn't work out that way.

West	North	East	South
Fredin	Tuszynski	Lindkvist	Jassem
	3♠	Pass	4♠
Dble	Pass	5♡	Pass
6♡	All Pass		

The bidding left Fredin no way to ask about spade control, so he took the reasonable chance that Lindkvist was short in spades. Besides the two spade losers, Lindkvist also lost a trump trick for minus 200 and 9 IMPs to Poland, now leading, 15-9.

Poland gained another 2 IMPs thereafter and were leading, 17-9, when this deal came up.

Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul

	▲ Q J 9 7 3 ♡ J I0 3 ◊ 6 4 ♣ 8 4	2
 ▲ 1084 ♡ A 94 ◊ K J 105 ♣ 102 	N W E S ▲ K 5 ♡ 8 7 5 2 ◊ 8 3 2 ♣ K Q J 6	 ▲ 6 ♡ K Q 6 ◇ A Q 97 ▲ A 9 7 5 3

West	North	East	South
Romanski	Nilsland	Kowalski	Fallenius
	Pass	I \diamond	Pass
♠	Pass	2 📥	Pass
2 ♡ (I)	Pass	3NT	All Pass
⁽¹⁾ Fourth-suit forcing.			

Romanski considered moving over 3NT, but after long thought he passed. Fallenius started with clubs, and Kowalski had to settle for nine tricks and plus 400.At the other table, Fredin and Lindkvist had an impressive auction to the optimum spot.

West	North	East	South
Fredin	Tuszynski	Lindkvist	Jassem
	Pass	I♦	Pass
	Pass	2 📥 ⁽²⁾	Pass
2 $^{(3)}$	Pass	20 (4)	Pass
2 (5)	Pass	3 ◇ ⁽⁶⁾	Pass
3 (7)	Pass	4 ♠ ⁽⁸⁾	Pass
60	All Pass		

(1) Forcing relay.

⁽²⁾ Showing 1-4-4-4, 4-1-4-4 or canapé in the minors

- ⁽³⁾ Which?
- (4) 4-5, diamonds and clubs.
- ⁽⁵⁾ Shape ask.
- ⁽⁶⁾ 1-3-4-5
- ⁽⁷⁾ Setting diamonds as trumps and asking for keycards if opener is not minimum.
- ⁽⁸⁾ Maximum with two keycards and the trump gueen.

Lindkvist duly took 12 tricks to record plus 920 and earn his team an 11-IMP swing. Sweden had regained the lead, 20-17. The Swedes added another double-digit swing on the next deal.

Board 18. Dealer East. N/S Vul



Fredin's opening in third seat was sufficient to slow down North-South, and they didn't even try for game. Jassem got he $\heartsuit Q$ lead from West, but he managed nine tricks for plus 150 without undue strain. At the other table:

West	North	East	South
Romanski	Nilsland	Kowalski	Fallenius
		Pass	I¢
Pass	♠	Pass	INT
Pass	3NT	All Pass	

Despite the shortage of high-card points and dull shape, Fallenius liked his hand. Once he opened, the Swedes were almost certain to get to game, which they did.

Romanski led the $\heartsuit2$, to the 3, 10 and ace. Fallenius led a low diamond to dummy's 10 and Kowalski's king. The $\heartsuit10$ was ducked, and the heart continuation was taken by Fallenius with the king. When the \diamondsuitJ held the trick, Fallenius led a spade toward dummy. Romanski hopped up with the ace and cashed his heart trick, but that was it for the defense – and for Poland in the match.

Poland gained 3 IMPs when Romanski opened a gambling 3NT and played it there for plus 690, while Fredin and Lindkvist settled in 5⁺ for plus 600. The match was still within reach with a board to go – Sweden's lead was 10 IMPs – but Tuszynski had to make a well-judged bid to hold the loss to 3 IMPs.

Boar	d 20. Dealei	West. Both	n Vul
	≜ 7	4	
	♡ A	972	
	♦ A	KQ 1064	
	🏚 9		
1032		N ♠	< Q J 8 6 5
♡ Q I0 8	W		く 5 4
◊ 7 5 3			-
뢒 Q J 5 3			A 1086
	♠ A	-	
	ΎJ		
		982	
	🛧 K	742	
West	North	East	South
Romanski	Nilsland	Kowalski	Fallenius
Pass	I \diamond	I ♠	Dble
Pass	2♠	Dble	Pass
Pass	3♢	Pass	3NT

All Pass

On the low spade lead, Fallenius had no legitimate chance for nine tricks, and the Poles did not slip on defense. Plus 100 to Poland. At the other table:

West	North	East	South
Fredin	Tuszynski	Lindkvist	Jassem
Pass	I \diamond	I ♠	2 ◇
Pass	2♠	3♠	3NT
4♠	5♢	Pass	Pass
Dble	All Pass		

Had Lindkvist been allowed to play 4^{\bullet} , he would have had excellent chances to make it. Double dummy, the contract can be defeated with a low club lead (South wins the first round of spades and gives partner a club ruff and still gets his \mathbf{A} K) or a heart lead to North's ace followed by a club switch. Whether either lead is likely is debatable – and academic, since Tuszynski took the push to 5 \Diamond , doubled by Fredin.

It looks as though 5° should go down two tricks, but Tuszynski managed to get out for down one and minus 200, still a 3-IMP gain for Sweden.

What a difference a day makes

Yesterday we reported how the world's number one ranked player, Bob Hamman, failed to find the killing lead against a slam. In Round 10 of the Orbis Bermuda Bowl he once again found himself in the hot seat.

Board 14. Dealer East. None Vul

♠ A J 10 8	♡ 10 ◇ J 2 ♣ 9	742	KQ7
 ♀ A j 10 0 ♀ Q 9 ◊ K 7 6 5 ♣ A 	4 3 W	E ♡ S ♣	A K 8 7 Q 9 8 8 6 3
	≜ 5	—	
	♡ J 6	543	
	♦ A	10	
	뢒 K	Q J 10 5	
West	North	East	South
Duboin	Hamman	Bocchi	Soloway

West	North	East	South
Duboin	Hamman	Bocchi	Soloway
		ا 🛃	Pass
2♠	Pass	2NT	Pass
3NT	Pass	4 ♡	Pass
5♢	Pass	6♠	All Pass

Bocchi & Duboin play a complicated system, that almost always gets them to the right contract, but this time it did not quite do the business. Still, Six Spades will make unless North hits on a club lead. Bob Hamman unerringly selected the seven of clubs, and declarer's goose was cooked. He needed a 3-3-spade break, as well as a favourable position in diamonds. The latter was present, but the lack of the former, combined with declarer's attempt to make his contract, saw him go four down, -200.

West	North	East	South
Meckstroth	De Falco	Rodwell	Ferraro
		INT	Pass
2 🛧	Pass	2♡	Pass
3♢	Pass	3♡	Pass
3NT	All Pass		

Against 3NT, South led the king of clubs. That deserved to be as killing as the lead against Six Spades, but South was in possession of all the important cards. When Rodwell played off four rounds of spades, the last one was fatal. South elected to release a club, but now declarer could establish his game going trick in diamonds. +400 and 12 IMPs for USA I.

Turning the wife off

The Editor's wife sent him an email yesterday. She was extremely proud of having worked out how to do this. She sent her love and asked that if he received the message, could he please reply telling her how to disconnect!

CHINESE OPEN TEAM

CAO Xueliang

Professional player, 36 years old. Represented China since 1999. Second of PABF Championships 1999. Many national titles.

YANG Lixin

CAO Xueliang's partner for the past 8 years. Same records as CAO.

FU Zhong

Professional player, 32 years old. Runner-up in PABF Championships 1997 and 1999 Represented China in many World Championships and other international events. Winner in the Open Pairs of China Cup International Championships 1998 and 1999. Many national titles.

JU Chuancheng

FU's partner since 1996. Professional player, 30 years old. Runner-up in PABF Championships 1999. Winner in the Open Pairs of China Cup International Championships 1998 and 1999. Many national titles.

LIU Chuan

Professional player, 37 years old. Represented China in Bermuda Bowl and PABF Championships 1997. Many national titles.

ZHANG Qiang

LIU's partner since 1998, 32 years old. ZHANG is a senior employee of an investment company. Many national titles.

CHINESE LADIES TEAM

ZHANG Yalan

WBF Life master. Started to play bridge in 1978, and began to represent China in international events in 1984. Third place in Venice Cup 1991; second place in Team Olympiad 1996; second place in Venice Cup 1997. Many national titles.

GU Ling ZHANG's partner. WBF Life master. Same titles as ZHANG.

SUN Ming

WBF Life master. Started to play bridge in 1975 and began to represent China in international events since 1984. Third place in Venice Cup 1991; second place in Teams Olympiad 1996; second place in Venice Cup 1997. Many national titles.

WANG Hongli

SUN's partner. WBF Life Master. Started to play bridge in 1987. Second place in Teams Olympiad 1996. Many times PABF champion.

WANG Wenfei

WBF Life Master. Started to play bridge in 1987, and began to represent China in international events in 1993. second place in Teams Olympiad 1996; second place in Venice Cup 1997. Many national titles.

ZHANG Yu

WANG Wenfei's partner. WBF Life Master. Started to play bridge in 1987, and began to represent China in international events in 1996. second place in Teams Olympiad 1996; second place in Venice Cup 1997. Many national titles.

SPECIAL OFFER!

World Championship Book Bermuda 2000

The official book of these championships will be published during the summer (probably June).

> Principal analysts will be: Eric Kokish (The Final)

Brian Senior (The Semi-finals)

Barry Rigal (The Quarter-finals) with guest contributors

including Henry Francis.

There will be a wealth of good hands, including every deal from the finals and semifinals, photographs and statistics - including a complete listing of all participants in all four events.

On publication, the price will be \$30 plus p&p. For the duration of these championships, buy at the special price of \$25 with free postage and packing.

Please see Elly Ducheyne in the Press Room to place your order.

INVESTING IS OUR STRONG SUIT

A daily column on investing by Orbis Investment Management Limited

You may meet a senior representative from Orbis Investment Management Limited at the hotel. To make an appointment please contact the hospitality desk or call the Churchill Suite, room phone: 7554.

Investing in Equities

Of the major classes of assets, equities are generally considered to be the type that will generate the greatest returns but will experience more risk as they do so. They also offer significant opportunities for active managers.

Both theory and practical experience support this first conclusion. The theory is based on the premise that when an investor is presented with a choice between two investments and he expects each to have the same average return, he will prefer the less risky one. This is because there is more chance that the risky one might have experienced a poor return when the investment is sold. Steady gains are preferred to those that gyrate wildly. Accordingly, risky investments should have higher returns than those with less risk. As time passes and the effect of volatility becomes small in comparison to the accumulated gains, the distinction becomes less significant. Equities are generally riskier than bonds or cash; their prices are more volatile. In general, equities can be expected to have higher returns on average than cash or bonds.

A review of average returns for various asset classes reveals that equities, over the long term, have in fact generated higher returns than either bonds or cash. This is why most long-term investors traditionally have a fairly significant proportion of their portfolio in equities. However, do not overlook the qualification about long-term investing. If you are investing for less than five years, the volatility of equities may well result in your experiencing a poor or negative return. Investors looking back over five years since 1925, would see that 35% of the time there would be a decrease in the US stockmarket. This is not unique to the US market. For the last 34 years, the Japanese market has experienced a similar incidence of declines

The diversity of equities also creates more opportunities to take advantage of in-depth proprietary research.

Most investment managers take a "top-down" approach. First they determine, from their economic outlook, which countries to invest in, then which industries and finally which companies. As a result, they are often buying or selling shares based on industry or country factors and not company-specific factors.

For "bottom-up" investors, like Orbis, this offers opportunities. Bottom-up investors first look at shares which appear to be attractively priced and then only limit their focus on these companies when they consider their portfolio to be too concentrated in a particular country or industry. We often find great companies that are selling at very reasonable prices because the average investor has lost faith in that country as a whole.

As a result of being able to identify these opportunities, we think that investing in equities offers far more opportunity to add value than can be achieved using other asset classes. It is important that we do our own research. We are generally most excited when our view differs from the common outlook. This is often when the greatest opportunity arises. While it can be discomforting to differ, it is when others come to share your view that the rewards are reaped.