APPEAL	NABC+ FOUR
Subject	Unauthorized Information (UI)
DIC	Henry Cukoff
Event	Silodor Open Pairs
Session	Second Final
Date	March 14, 2009

86

KQJ8

AKT3

K 5 4

٠

۷

۲

*

BD#	25		Kent Mignocchi		
VUL	E/W		•	KJ532	
DLR	North		•	Τ5	
			•	Q 5 4 2	
			*	Α7	
P. Drew Cannell		•			

ınell			Dennis Kasle
			A Q T 7 4
	Spring 2009	•	43
	Houston, TX	•	J96
		*	T 6 2
	Alison Wilson		

	Alisuli vylisuli
	9
¥	A9762
•	87
*	QJ983

West	North	East	South	Final Contract	3NT by West
	Pass	Pass	Pass	Opening Lead	♦2
1NT	$2 \bigstar^1$	2♥	Pass ²	Table Result	Made 4, E/W +630
3♥	Pass	3♠	Pass	Director Ruling	4♠ E, down 2, E/W -200
3NT	Pass	Pass	Pass	Committee Ruling	4 ▲ E, down 2, E/W -200

(1)	Diamonds and an unspecified major.
(2)	Question: What is 2♥? Answer: Natural.

The Facts: The director was called after the opening lead and again after play was completed. Before the opening lead there was discussion about the $2 \checkmark$ bid. East said it was a transfer. West said it became obvious later in the auction that $2 \checkmark$ was a transfer.

The Ruling: The director ruled that the answer to the question about $2 \lor$ was UI for East. The UI demonstrably suggested to East that the contract should not be placed in hearts. The director judged that $4 \blacklozenge$ over $3 \lor$ or 3NT was a logical alternative. Therefore, the result was adjusted to $4 \clubsuit$ by East, down two, E/W minus 200 for both sides. This was in accordance with Laws 12 and 16.

The Appeal: E/W appealed and South was the only player who did not attend the hearing. East provided system notes showing that without interference, the $3 \lor$ would indicate a doubleton heart along with spade support. East argued that his hand didn't merit anything other than signing off in $3 \bigstar$. When asked why he passed 3NT, he mentioned the possibility of Kx or AQ doubleton in hearts.

The Decision: The director reported polling five experts with three of five stating they would bid $4 \bigstar$ over $3 \heartsuit$, but that they had been told that $3 \heartsuit$ showed heart values with spade support. The consensus of the committee was that with $3 \blacktriangledown$ showing a doubleton, the odds didn't favor committing to game. However, it was agreed that a substantial fraction of players of the caliber of the appellants would seriously consider bidding $4 \bigstar$ (or $4 \blacklozenge$ or $4 \heartsuit$ to make West declarer) and that some would bid it. Therefore, there was unanimous agreement to uphold the director's adjustment of $4 \bigstar$ by East down two, E/W minus 200 for both sides, per Laws 12 and 16.

The appeal was found to have merit.

The Committee: Mark Feldman (Chair), Lynn Deas, Danny Sprung, Patty Tucker and Bob White.